



# Nano-Cathedral

## Documentation

### Public Survey in Six European Countries and Survey at Public Workshops

1. June 2015 - 31. May 2018



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 646178



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 646178

BACES  
Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg  
Feldkirchenstraße 21  
96045 Bamberg  
Tel.: +49 (0)951 863 2612  
Fax.: +49 (0)951 35996  
Email: [info@baces.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:info@baces.uni-bamberg.de)



# Nano-Cathedral

## Tables

### **Public I**

- Gender
- Age Group
- Education

### **Public II**

- Country

### **Public III**

- Technology Affinity
- Awareness Nanotechnology
- Nanotechnology Affinity
- Importance Monument Protection

### **Workshops**

- Gender
- Age Group
- Work Experience
- Workshop



# **NANO-Cathedral**

## **Public Survey in Six European Countries**

### **Content Public I**

Socio – Demographic .....	1
Issue – Importance .....	13
New Technologies .....	30
Nanotechnologies .....	90
Monument Protection .....	114
Visual Perception of Monuments .....	132
Tourism .....	155



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Country

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	2742 100.0%	2855 100.0%	643 100.0%	869 100.0%	1021 100.0%	1465 100.0%	1599 100.0%	1408 100.0%	2029 100.0%	2161 100.0%
<b>Country</b>												
Austria	1075 19.2%	1075 19.2%	524 19.1%	551 19.3%	131 20.4%	170 19.5%	199 19.5%	282 19.2%	293 18.4%	284 20.2%	245 12.1%	546 25.3%
Belgium	547 9.8%	547 9.8%	269 9.8%	278 9.8%	68 10.6%	89 10.3%	97 9.5%	142 9.7%	150 9.4%	23 1.6%	262 12.9%	262 12.1%
Germany	1111 19.8%	1111 19.8%	543 19.8%	568 19.9%	123 19.2%	159 18.3%	192 18.8%	302 20.6%	333 20.8%	339 24.1%	386 19.0%	385 17.8%
Germany2	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%
Italy	556 9.9%	556 9.9%	269 9.8%	287 10.0%	55 8.5%	82 9.5%	107 10.5%	139 9.5%	173 10.8%	94 6.7%	282 13.9%	179 8.3%
Norway	528 9.4%	528 9.4%	265 9.6%	263 9.2%	72 11.2%	89 10.2%	100 9.8%	131 9.0%	136 8.5%	73 5.2%	201 9.9%	254 11.8%
Spain	551 9.8%	551 9.8%	272 9.9%	279 9.8%	57 8.9%	104 11.9%	112 11.0%	133 9.1%	144 9.0%	173 12.3%	232 11.4%	146 6.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Gender												
Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	2742 100.0%	2855 100.0%	643 100.0%	869 100.0%	1021 100.0%	1465 100.0%	1599 100.0%	1408 100.0%	2029 100.0%	2161 100.0%
<b>Gender</b>												
male	2765 49.4%	2742 49.0%	2742 100.0%	0 -	262 40.8%	409 47.1%	541 53.0%	731 49.9%	798 49.9%	650 46.2%	996 49.1%	1095 50.7%
female	2834 50.6%	2855 51.0%	0 -	2855 100.0%	381 59.2%	460 52.9%	479 47.0%	734 50.1%	802 50.1%	757 53.8%	1032 50.9%	1066 49.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES												





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Age Group												
Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	2742 100.0%	2855 100.0%	643 100.0%	869 100.0%	1021 100.0%	1465 100.0%	1599 100.0%	1408 100.0%	2029 100.0%	2161 100.0%
<b>Age Group</b>												
18 - 25 years	1019 18.2%	643 11.5%	262 9.6%	381 13.3%	643 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	141 10.0%	245 12.1%	256 11.9%
26 - 35 years	1123 20.1%	869 15.5%	409 14.9%	460 16.1%	0 -	869 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	183 13.0%	303 14.9%	383 17.7%
36 - 45 years	1093 19.5%	1021 18.2%	541 19.7%	479 16.8%	0 -	0 -	1021 100.0%	0 -	0 -	232 16.5%	344 16.9%	445 20.6%
46 - 60 years	1305 23.3%	1465 26.2%	731 26.7%	734 25.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1465 100.0%	0 -	363 25.8%	548 27.0%	554 25.6%
61+ years	1059 18.9%	1599 28.6%	798 29.1%	802 28.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1599 100.0%	488 34.7%	589 29.0%	522 24.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES												



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Educational level												
Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	2742 100.0%	2855 100.0%	643 100.0%	869 100.0%	1021 100.0%	1465 100.0%	1599 100.0%	1408 100.0%	2029 100.0%	2161 100.0%
Education level												
low education	1360 24.3%	1408 25.2%	650 23.7%	757 26.5%	141 22.0%	183 21.1%	232 22.7%	363 24.8%	488 30.5%	1408 100.0%	0 -	0 -
medium education	2040 36.4%	2029 36.2%	996 36.3%	1032 36.2%	245 38.1%	303 34.8%	344 33.7%	548 37.4%	589 36.8%	0 -	2029 100.0%	0 -
high education	2199 39.3%	2161 38.6%	1095 39.9%	1066 37.3%	256 39.9%	383 44.1%	445 43.6%	554 37.8%	522 32.7%	0 -	0 -	2161 100.0%
Basis total	5599	5597	2742	2855	643	869	1021	1465	1599	1408	2029	2161
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES												



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### What is your current marital status?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4337 77.5%	4340 77.5%	2127 77.6%	2213 77.5%	500 77.7%	687 79.1%	804 78.8%	1127 76.9%	1223 76.5%	981 69.7%	1596 78.7%	1763 81.6%
<b>Marital status</b>												
single	1885 43.5%	1514 34.9%	772 36.3%	742 33.5%	443 88.6%	411 59.9%	336 41.7%	237 21.1%	86 7.1%	314 32.0%	547 34.3%	653 37.0%
married	1923 44.3%	2166 49.9%	1123 52.8%	1043 47.1%	54 10.7%	255 37.2%	397 49.4%	646 57.3%	814 66.6%	481 49.1%	818 51.3%	866 49.1%
divorced	421 9.7%	514 11.8%	201 9.5%	313 14.1%	2 0.4%	19 2.8%	67 8.4%	217 19.2%	208 17.0%	145 14.8%	181 11.3%	188 10.7%
widowed	108 2.5%	147 3.4%	31 1.5%	116 5.2%	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	4 0.5%	26 2.3%	114 9.3%	41 4.1%	51 3.2%	56 3.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	1262 22.5%	1257 22.5%	615 22.4%	642 22.5%	143 22.3%	182 20.9%	217 21.2%	339 23.1%	376 23.5%	427 30.3%	433 21.3%	397 18.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Are you currently employed?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5577 99.6%	5580 99.7%	2735 99.7%	2845 99.6%	639 99.4%	861 99.1%	1018 99.7%	1463 99.9%	1598 99.9%	1406 99.8%	2022 99.7%	2152 99.6%
<b>Employment status</b>												
fulltime employment	2430 43.6%	2277 40.8%	1413 51.7%	865 30.4%	176 27.5%	496 57.6%	634 62.3%	738 50.4%	233 14.6%	370 26.3%	790 39.1%	1117 51.9%
part-time employment	725 13.0%	695 12.5%	181 6.6%	515 18.1%	65 10.1%	131 15.2%	167 16.4%	247 16.9%	85 5.3%	190 13.5%	263 13.0%	243 11.3%
in training (student/retraining)	534 9.6%	365 6.5%	153 5.6%	212 7.5%	281 44.0%	66 7.6%	12 1.2%	6 0.4%	0 -	71 5.1%	94 4.6%	200 9.3%
currently unemployed	532 9.5%	495 8.9%	231 8.5%	264 9.3%	72 11.3%	97 11.3%	101 9.9%	164 11.2%	60 3.8%	183 13.1%	207 10.2%	104 4.8%
retiree, pensioner	945 16.9%	1341 24.0%	694 25.4%	647 22.7%	2 0.3%	9 1.0%	24 2.4%	178 12.1%	1128 70.6%	421 30.0%	503 24.9%	416 19.3%
not employed (housewife/ housemen)	411 7.4%	406 7.3%	63 2.3%	343 12.0%	43 6.7%	62 7.2%	79 7.7%	130 8.9%	92 5.7%	170 12.1%	165 8.2%	71 3.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	22 0.4%	18 0.3%	7 0.3%	11 0.4%	4 0.6%	8 0.9%	3 0.3%	2 0.1%	1 0.1%	2 0.2%	7 0.3%	9 0.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you normally go to church?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4345 77.6%	2129 77.7%	2216 77.6%	503 78.2%	688 79.2%	806 79.0%	1124 76.7%	1224 76.5%	984 69.9%	1599 78.8%	1762 81.6%
<b>Church visit frequency</b>												
Never	1999 46.0%	1955 45.0%	950 44.6%	1005 45.3%	248 49.3%	349 50.8%	386 47.9%	491 43.7%	480 39.2%	451 45.8%	749 46.9%	754 42.8%
Once a year	939 21.6%	924 21.3%	464 21.8%	460 20.8%	118 23.4%	150 21.8%	165 20.5%	254 22.6%	237 19.3%	220 22.4%	320 20.0%	384 21.8%
Several times a year	946 21.8%	983 22.6%	484 22.7%	498 22.5%	88 17.5%	127 18.4%	172 21.3%	275 24.4%	321 26.3%	228 23.2%	366 22.9%	388 22.0%
Once a month	138 3.2%	136 3.1%	60 2.8%	77 3.5%	19 3.7%	25 3.6%	27 3.4%	23 2.1%	42 3.4%	19 2.0%	41 2.6%	76 4.3%
Two to three times a month	133 3.1%	139 3.2%	71 3.3%	68 3.1%	12 2.3%	20 2.9%	25 3.0%	34 3.0%	50 4.1%	28 2.8%	55 3.5%	57 3.2%
Once or several times a week	190 4.4%	208 4.8%	100 4.7%	108 4.9%	19 3.8%	17 2.5%	31 3.9%	46 4.1%	94 7.7%	37 3.8%	67 4.2%	104 5.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	1254 22.4%	1252 22.4%	612 22.3%	640 22.4%	140 21.8%	181 20.8%	214 21.0%	341 23.3%	375 23.5%	424 30.1%	430 21.2%	398 18.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How many people live in your community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5575 99.6%	5576 99.6%	2732 99.7%	2844 99.6%	638 99.1%	864 99.4%	1018 99.7%	1462 99.8%	1595 99.7%	1407 99.9%	2019 99.5%	2151 99.6%
<b>Number of habitants in the community</b>												
under 5.000	1014 18.2%	1000 17.9%	495 18.1%	505 17.8%	130 20.4%	138 16.0%	206 20.2%	255 17.5%	271 17.0%	297 21.1%	368 18.2%	335 15.6%
5.001-25.000	1510 27.1%	1479 26.5%	722 26.4%	757 26.6%	184 28.9%	248 28.7%	245 24.1%	392 26.8%	410 25.7%	387 27.5%	567 28.1%	525 24.4%
25.001-100.000	1185 21.3%	1197 21.5%	607 22.2%	590 20.7%	133 20.8%	173 20.1%	226 22.2%	339 23.2%	325 20.4%	295 20.9%	489 24.2%	413 19.2%
100.001-500.000	795 14.3%	811 14.5%	406 14.9%	405 14.2%	81 12.7%	132 15.3%	155 15.2%	187 12.8%	256 16.1%	196 14.0%	248 12.3%	366 17.0%
over 500.000	1071 19.2%	1089 19.5%	502 18.4%	587 20.7%	110 17.2%	173 20.0%	186 18.3%	288 19.7%	332 20.8%	231 16.4%	347 17.2%	511 23.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	24 0.4%	21 0.4%	9 0.3%	12 0.4%	6 0.9%	5 0.6%	3 0.3%	3 0.2%	4 0.3%	1 0.1%	10 0.5%	10 0.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How strongly connected do you feel to your community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4463 79.7%	4464 79.8%	2190 79.9%	2274 79.6%	514 80.0%	705 81.1%	826 80.9%	1161 79.2%	1259 78.7%	1064 75.6%	1633 80.5%	1767 81.8%
<b>Connection to the community</b>												
(1) very strong	969 21.7%	1017 22.8%	513 23.4%	505 22.2%	98 19.0%	131 18.5%	164 19.9%	256 22.0%	369 29.3%	231 21.7%	386 23.6%	401 22.7%
(2) rather strong	1415 31.7%	1444 32.3%	735 33.6%	708 31.1%	130 25.3%	214 30.4%	273 33.1%	379 32.6%	448 35.6%	327 30.7%	536 32.8%	580 32.8%
(3) partly	1322 29.6%	1290 28.9%	603 27.5%	687 30.2%	149 28.9%	235 33.3%	263 31.8%	350 30.1%	294 23.3%	335 31.4%	475 29.1%	481 27.2%
(4) rather weak	500 11.2%	477 10.7%	230 10.5%	247 10.9%	95 18.5%	81 11.4%	77 9.4%	116 10.0%	109 8.6%	107 10.1%	159 9.8%	210 11.9%
(5) very weak	257 5.8%	236 5.3%	109 5.0%	127 5.6%	43 8.4%	44 6.3%	48 5.8%	61 5.2%	40 3.2%	64 6.0%	77 4.7%	95 5.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2384 53.4%	2461 55.1%	1248 57.0%	1213 53.3%	227 44.2%	345 48.9%	438 53.0%	634 54.7%	816 64.9%	558 52.4%	922 56.5%	981 55.5%
Middle Box (3)	1322 29.6%	1290 28.9%	603 27.5%	687 30.2%	149 28.9%	235 33.3%	263 31.8%	350 30.1%	294 23.3%	335 31.4%	475 29.1%	481 27.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	757 17.0%	713 16.0%	339 15.5%	374 16.5%	138 26.9%	125 17.7%	125 15.1%	176 15.2%	148 11.8%	172 16.1%	236 14.5%	305 17.3%
Mean	2,48	2,43	2,40	2,47	2,72	2,57	2,48	2,44	2,21	2,48	2,39	2,44
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1136 20.3%	1133 20.2%	552 20.1%	581 20.4%	129 20.0%	164 18.9%	195 19.1%	305 20.8%	341 21.3%	344 24.4%	396 19.5%	393 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How strongly connected do you feel to your federal state?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4462 79.7%	4464 79.8%	2191 79.9%	2273 79.6%	514 79.9%	705 81.2%	826 80.9%	1159 79.1%	1260 78.8%	1064 75.6%	1632 80.4%	1768 81.8%
<b>Connection to the country or your federal state</b>												
(1) very strong	973 21.8%	987 22.1%	494 22.5%	493 21.7%	99 19.3%	141 20.0%	171 20.7%	249 21.5%	327 25.9%	260 24.5%	391 24.0%	335 18.9%
(2) rather strong	1500 33.6%	1532 34.3%	749 34.2%	783 34.5%	148 28.7%	228 32.3%	277 33.5%	407 35.1%	473 37.6%	383 36.0%	520 31.9%	629 35.5%
(3) partly	1287 28.8%	1274 28.5%	611 27.9%	663 29.2%	154 30.0%	214 30.3%	250 30.3%	342 29.5%	315 25.0%	301 28.3%	484 29.7%	489 27.7%
(4) rather weak	467 10.5%	448 10.0%	227 10.4%	221 9.7%	73 14.2%	85 12.0%	85 10.3%	108 9.3%	97 7.7%	66 6.2%	165 10.1%	217 12.3%
(5) very weak	235 5.3%	222 5.0%	110 5.0%	112 4.9%	40 7.8%	38 5.4%	43 5.2%	54 4.6%	48 3.8%	53 5.0%	71 4.3%	99 5.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2473 55.4%	2519 56.4%	1243 56.7%	1276 56.1%	247 48.0%	369 52.2%	447 54.2%	656 56.6%	800 63.5%	644 60.5%	912 55.9%	963 54.5%
Middle Box (3)	1287 28.8%	1274 28.5%	611 27.9%	663 29.2%	154 30.0%	214 30.3%	250 30.3%	342 29.5%	315 25.0%	301 28.3%	484 29.7%	489 27.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	702 15.7%	671 15.0%	337 15.4%	333 14.7%	113 22.0%	123 17.5%	128 15.5%	161 13.9%	145 11.5%	119 11.2%	236 14.5%	316 17.8%
Mean	2,44	2,41	2,41	2,42	2,62	2,51	2,46	2,40	2,26	2,31	2,39	2,50
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1137 20.3%	1133 20.2%	551 20.1%	582 20.4%	130 20.1%	163 18.8%	195 19.1%	306 20.9%	339 21.2%	344 24.4%	397 19.6%	393 18.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How strongly connected do you feel to your country?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4462 79.7%	4462 79.7%	2186 79.7%	2276 79.7%	515 80.1%	706 81.3%	826 81.0%	1159 79.1%	1256 78.5%	1062 75.4%	1633 80.5%	1768 81.8%
<b>Connection to [country]</b>												
(1) very strong	1291 28.9%	1342 30.1%	672 30.8%	670 29.4%	122 23.7%	170 24.1%	216 26.1%	361 31.1%	474 37.7%	362 34.1%	474 29.0%	507 28.7%
(2) rather strong	1478 33.1%	1495 33.5%	737 33.7%	759 33.3%	162 31.5%	230 32.6%	287 34.7%	389 33.6%	427 34.0%	317 29.9%	553 33.8%	626 35.4%
(3) partly	1098 24.6%	1053 23.6%	471 21.5%	582 25.6%	150 29.1%	205 29.0%	216 26.2%	266 23.0%	216 17.2%	257 24.2%	390 23.9%	406 23.0%
(4) rather weak	363 8.1%	346 7.7%	191 8.7%	155 6.8%	55 10.7%	61 8.6%	64 7.7%	83 7.2%	83 6.6%	71 6.7%	134 8.2%	141 8.0%
(5) very weak	232 5.2%	226 5.1%	116 5.3%	110 4.8%	26 5.0%	41 5.8%	43 5.2%	60 5.1%	56 4.5%	55 5.2%	83 5.1%	88 5.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2769 62.1%	2838 63.6%	1409 64.5%	1429 62.8%	284 55.2%	400 56.6%	503 60.9%	750 64.7%	900 71.7%	679 64.0%	1026 62.9%	1133 64.1%
Middle Box (3)	1098 24.6%	1053 23.6%	471 21.5%	582 25.6%	150 29.1%	205 29.0%	216 26.2%	266 23.0%	216 17.2%	257 24.2%	390 23.9%	406 23.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	595 13.3%	571 12.8%	306 14.0%	265 11.6%	81 15.7%	102 14.4%	107 12.9%	143 12.3%	139 11.1%	126 11.9%	216 13.2%	229 13.0%
Mean	2,28	2,24	2,24	2,24	2,42	2,40	2,31	2,22	2,06	2,19	2,26	2,25
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1137 20.3%	1135 20.3%	556 20.3%	579 20.3%	128 19.9%	162 18.7%	194 19.0%	307 20.9%	344 21.5%	346 24.6%	396 19.5%	393 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


### How strongly connected do you feel to Europe?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4453 79.5%	4456 79.6%	2183 79.6%	2273 79.6%	513 79.7%	703 80.9%	824 80.7%	1159 79.1%	1258 78.6%	1062 75.4%	1633 80.5%	1762 81.5%
<b>Connection to Europe</b>												
(1) very strong	605 13.6%	624 14.0%	306 14.0%	318 14.0%	74 14.5%	90 12.8%	88 10.7%	144 12.5%	227 18.1%	127 11.9%	229 14.0%	269 15.3%
(2) rather strong	1194 26.8%	1220 27.4%	586 26.9%	633 27.9%	152 29.6%	161 22.8%	217 26.3%	298 25.7%	393 31.2%	294 27.7%	418 25.6%	509 28.9%
(3) partly	1531 34.4%	1486 33.3%	669 30.6%	817 36.0%	174 33.8%	266 37.9%	312 37.9%	408 35.2%	326 25.9%	387 36.5%	554 33.9%	545 31.0%
(4) rather weak	632 14.2%	634 14.2%	347 15.9%	287 12.6%	67 13.0%	107 15.3%	118 14.4%	165 14.2%	177 14.0%	133 12.6%	249 15.2%	252 14.3%
(5) very weak	491 11.0%	493 11.1%	275 12.6%	217 9.6%	47 9.1%	79 11.2%	89 10.7%	143 12.4%	135 10.8%	121 11.4%	184 11.3%	187 10.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1799 40.4%	1844 41.4%	892 40.9%	952 41.9%	226 44.1%	251 35.7%	305 37.0%	442 38.2%	620 49.3%	420 39.6%	646 39.6%	777 44.1%
Middle Box (3)	1531 34.4%	1486 33.3%	669 30.6%	817 36.0%	174 33.8%	266 37.9%	312 37.9%	408 35.2%	326 25.9%	387 36.5%	554 33.9%	545 31.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1123 25.2%	1126 25.3%	622 28.5%	504 22.2%	113 22.1%	186 26.5%	207 25.1%	308 26.6%	312 24.8%	254 23.9%	433 26.5%	439 24.9%
Mean	2,82	2,81	2,86	2,76	2,73	2,89	2,88	2,88	2,68	2,84	2,84	2,76
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1146 20.5%	1141 20.4%	559 20.4%	582 20.4%	130 20.3%	166 19.1%	197 19.3%	307 20.9%	342 21.4%	346 24.6%	396 19.5%	399 18.5%

BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Education level		
	low education	medium education	high education
<b>Fighting poverty</b>	1.54	1.61	1.80
<b>Supporting families</b>	1.63	1.73	1.97
<b>Investments in education and research</b>	1.70	1.69	1.66
<b>Reduction of social differences</b>	1.79	1.81	1.95
<b>Development of the infrastructure</b>	2.07	2.11	2.14
<b>Development of new technologies</b>	2.08	2.09	2.01
<b>Strengthening the national identity</b>	2.24	2.26	2.55
<b>Conservation of cultural goods and monuments</b>	2.24	2.19	2.32
<b>Acceleration of the ecological change</b>	2.26	2.23	2.22
<b>Increase of international trade</b>	2.30	2.29	2.45
<b>Strengthening the European Union</b>	2.58	2.58	2.63
<b>Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change</b>	2.59	2.47	2.57
<b>Integration of migrants and refugees</b>	2.79	2.61	2.36
<b>Regulating migration</b>	3.19	2.87	3.08



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Reduction of social differences**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4342 77.6%	2127 77.6%	2215 77.6%	505 78.4%	690 79.5%	806 78.9%	1120 76.5%	1222 76.4%	977 69.4%	1600 78.9%	1765 81.7%
<b>Reduction of social differences</b>												
(1) very important	1858 42.8%	1895 43.7%	886 41.6%	1010 45.6%	189 37.4%	276 39.9%	326 40.4%	535 47.7%	571 46.7%	463 47.3%	719 45.0%	713 40.4%
(2) important	1464 33.7%	1458 33.6%	731 34.4%	728 32.8%	177 35.1%	235 34.1%	277 34.4%	347 30.9%	423 34.6%	324 33.2%	556 34.7%	578 32.8%
(3) rather important	779 17.9%	752 17.3%	379 17.8%	373 16.9%	110 21.8%	129 18.6%	154 19.1%	177 15.8%	183 14.9%	142 14.5%	255 16.0%	355 20.1%
(4) less important	184 4.2%	178 4.1%	101 4.7%	77 3.5%	22 4.3%	40 5.7%	39 4.8%	43 3.8%	34 2.8%	31 3.2%	53 3.3%	93 5.3%
(5) unimportant	60 1.4%	58 1.3%	30 1.4%	28 1.3%	7 1.4%	11 1.6%	10 1.3%	19 1.7%	11 0.9%	17 1.8%	16 1.0%	25 1.4%
Top Box (1+2)	3322 76.5%	3354 77.2%	1617 76.0%	1737 78.4%	366 72.5%	511 74.0%	603 74.8%	881 78.7%	994 81.3%	787 80.5%	1275 79.7%	1292 73.2%
Middle Box (3)	779 17.9%	752 17.3%	379 17.8%	373 16.9%	110 21.8%	129 18.6%	154 19.1%	177 15.8%	183 14.9%	142 14.5%	255 16.0%	355 20.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	244 5.6%	236 5.4%	131 6.2%	105 4.7%	29 5.7%	51 7.4%	49 6.1%	62 5.5%	46 3.7%	49 5.0%	69 4.3%	118 6.7%
Mean	1,88	1,86	1,90	1,82	1,97	1,95	1,92	1,81	1,77	1,79	1,81	1,95
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1254 22.4%	1255 22.4%	615 22.4%	640 22.4%	139 21.6%	179 20.5%	215 21.1%	345 23.5%	378 23.6%	430 30.6%	429 21.1%	396 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Fighting poverty**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4336 77.5%	2131 77.7%	2206 77.3%	504 78.3%	691 79.5%	802 78.6%	1119 76.4%	1220 76.3%	979 69.5%	1593 78.5%	1765 81.7%
<b>Fighting poverty</b>												
(1) very important	2263 52.2%	2285 52.7%	1023 48.0%	1263 57.2%	250 49.6%	349 50.5%	391 48.8%	635 56.8%	660 54.1%	587 60.0%	888 55.7%	811 45.9%
(2) important	1358 31.3%	1361 31.4%	704 33.1%	656 29.8%	160 31.7%	213 30.8%	263 32.8%	338 30.2%	387 31.7%	278 28.4%	496 31.2%	587 33.2%
(3) rather important	575 13.3%	553 12.8%	315 14.8%	239 10.8%	77 15.4%	93 13.5%	125 15.6%	111 9.9%	147 12.1%	95 9.7%	168 10.5%	291 16.5%
(4) less important	105 2.4%	99 2.3%	59 2.8%	39 1.8%	15 3.0%	25 3.6%	16 2.0%	21 1.9%	21 1.7%	12 1.2%	31 2.0%	56 3.2%
(5) unimportant	38 0.9%	38 0.9%	29 1.4%	9 0.4%	2 0.3%	11 1.6%	7 0.8%	14 1.2%	5 0.4%	7 0.7%	10 0.6%	21 1.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3621 83.5%	3646 84.1%	1727 81.1%	1919 87.0%	410 81.3%	562 81.3%	654 81.5%	974 87.0%	1047 85.8%	865 88.4%	1384 86.9%	1397 79.2%
Middle Box (3)	575 13.3%	553 12.8%	315 14.8%	239 10.8%	77 15.4%	93 13.5%	125 15.6%	111 9.9%	147 12.1%	95 9.7%	168 10.5%	291 16.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	143 3.3%	137 3.2%	88 4.2%	48 2.2%	17 3.3%	36 5.2%	23 2.9%	35 3.1%	26 2.2%	19 1.9%	41 2.6%	77 4.3%
Mean	1,69	1,67	1,76	1,58	1,73	1,75	1,73	1,61	1,63	1,54	1,61	1,80
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1260 22.5%	1261 22.5%	611 22.3%	650 22.7%	140 21.7%	178 20.5%	218 21.4%	346 23.6%	379 23.7%	429 30.5%	436 21.5%	396 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Investments in education and research**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4343 77.6%	2125 77.5%	2218 77.7%	505 78.4%	690 79.5%	803 78.7%	1122 76.6%	1223 76.5%	979 69.5%	1596 78.7%	1768 81.9%
<b>Investments in education and research</b>												
(1) very important	2223 51.2%	2222 51.2%	1082 50.9%	1140 51.4%	265 52.6%	343 49.7%	395 49.1%	546 48.6%	673 55.0%	505 51.6%	793 49.7%	924 52.2%
(2) important	1419 32.7%	1425 32.8%	719 33.8%	706 31.8%	157 31.1%	227 32.8%	270 33.6%	385 34.4%	387 31.6%	305 31.1%	546 34.2%	575 32.5%
(3) rather important	582 13.4%	581 13.4%	265 12.5%	316 14.2%	67 13.3%	95 13.8%	118 14.6%	159 14.2%	142 11.6%	136 13.9%	224 14.0%	220 12.4%
(4) less important	95 2.2%	90 2.1%	41 1.9%	49 2.2%	14 2.7%	19 2.7%	16 2.0%	23 2.1%	18 1.5%	24 2.5%	26 1.6%	40 2.3%
(5) unimportant	26 0.6%	26 0.6%	19 0.9%	7 0.3%	1 0.3%	6 0.9%	6 0.7%	9 0.8%	4 0.3%	9 0.9%	7 0.4%	10 0.6%
Top Box (1+2)	3642 83.8%	3647 84.0%	1801 84.7%	1846 83.2%	422 83.7%	570 82.6%	664 82.7%	931 83.0%	1059 86.6%	809 82.7%	1339 83.9%	1499 84.7%
Middle Box (3)	582 13.4%	581 13.4%	265 12.5%	316 14.2%	67 13.3%	95 13.8%	118 14.6%	159 14.2%	142 11.6%	136 13.9%	224 14.0%	220 12.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	121 2.8%	116 2.7%	60 2.8%	56 2.5%	15 3.0%	25 3.6%	22 2.7%	32 2.8%	22 1.8%	33 3.4%	33 2.1%	50 2.8%
Mean	1,68	1,68	1,68	1,68	1,67	1,72	1,72	1,72	1,61	1,70	1,69	1,66
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1254 22.4%	1254 22.4%	616 22.5%	638 22.3%	139 21.6%	178 20.5%	217 21.3%	344 23.4%	376 23.5%	429 30.5%	433 21.3%	392 18.1%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Development of new technologies**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4336 77.4%	4334 77.4%	2120 77.3%	2214 77.5%	504 78.3%	689 79.4%	801 78.5%	1123 76.6%	1216 76.1%	977 69.4%	1591 78.4%	1765 81.7%
<b>Development of new technologies</b>												
(1) very important	1382 31.9%	1419 32.8%	789 37.2%	630 28.5%	151 29.9%	183 26.5%	243 30.3%	376 33.5%	468 38.5%	326 33.4%	506 31.8%	587 33.2%
(2) important	1584 36.5%	1605 37.0%	827 39.0%	778 35.2%	165 32.7%	246 35.7%	293 36.6%	431 38.4%	470 38.7%	338 34.6%	585 36.8%	682 38.6%
(3) rather important	1043 24.1%	1009 23.3%	393 18.5%	616 27.8%	136 27.1%	189 27.4%	214 26.7%	244 21.7%	226 18.6%	232 23.7%	381 24.0%	395 22.4%
(4) less important	268 6.2%	245 5.6%	80 3.8%	165 7.4%	43 8.5%	62 9.0%	41 5.1%	55 4.9%	44 3.6%	65 6.7%	91 5.7%	89 5.0%
(5) unimportant	59 1.4%	56 1.3%	31 1.5%	25 1.1%	9 1.8%	10 1.4%	11 1.4%	17 1.5%	8 0.7%	16 1.6%	28 1.8%	12 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2966 68.4%	3024 69.8%	1616 76.2%	1408 63.6%	315 62.6%	429 62.2%	536 66.8%	807 71.9%	938 77.1%	664 68.0%	1091 68.6%	1269 71.9%
Middle Box (3)	1043 24.1%	1009 23.3%	393 18.5%	616 27.8%	136 27.1%	189 27.4%	214 26.7%	244 21.7%	226 18.6%	232 23.7%	381 24.0%	395 22.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	327 7.5%	301 6.9%	111 5.2%	189 8.6%	52 10.3%	72 10.4%	52 6.5%	72 6.4%	52 4.3%	81 8.3%	119 7.5%	101 5.7%
Mean	2,09	2,06	1,93	2,18	2,20	2,23	2,11	2,03	1,89	2,08	2,09	2,01
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1263 22.6%	1264 22.6%	622 22.7%	642 22.5%	140 21.7%	179 20.6%	219 21.5%	342 23.4%	383 23.9%	431 30.6%	438 21.6%	395 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Conservation of cultural goods and monuments**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4348 77.7%	4346 77.6%	2131 77.7%	2215 77.6%	505 78.6%	690 79.4%	805 78.9%	1123 76.6%	1222 76.4%	981 69.7%	1596 78.6%	1769 81.9%
<b>Conservation of cultural goods and monuments</b>												
(1) very important	1160 26.7%	1161 26.7%	566 26.6%	595 26.9%	129 25.5%	182 26.4%	207 25.7%	296 26.4%	346 28.3%	274 28.0%	472 29.6%	414 23.4%
(2) important	1500 34.5%	1502 34.6%	724 34.0%	778 35.1%	168 33.2%	236 34.2%	283 35.1%	386 34.4%	428 35.0%	336 34.3%	555 34.8%	611 34.5%
(3) rather important	1180 27.1%	1180 27.2%	577 27.1%	603 27.2%	137 27.0%	203 29.4%	234 29.1%	298 26.6%	308 25.2%	253 25.8%	394 24.7%	533 30.1%
(4) less important	421 9.7%	422 9.7%	214 10.1%	207 9.4%	58 11.5%	53 7.7%	70 8.7%	121 10.8%	120 9.8%	98 10.0%	138 8.6%	186 10.5%
(5) unimportant	87 2.0%	82 1.9%	49 2.3%	32 1.5%	14 2.7%	16 2.3%	11 1.4%	21 1.9%	19 1.6%	19 1.9%	37 2.3%	26 1.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2660 61.2%	2662 61.3%	1290 60.5%	1373 62.0%	297 58.8%	418 60.6%	490 60.9%	683 60.8%	774 63.4%	611 62.3%	1027 64.3%	1025 57.9%
Middle Box (3)	1180 27.1%	1180 27.2%	577 27.1%	603 27.2%	137 27.0%	203 29.4%	234 29.1%	298 26.6%	308 25.2%	253 25.8%	394 24.7%	533 30.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	508 11.7%	503 11.6%	264 12.4%	240 10.8%	72 14.2%	69 10.1%	81 10.0%	142 12.6%	139 11.4%	117 11.9%	175 10.9%	212 12.0%
Mean	2,26	2,25	2,28	2,23	2,33	2,25	2,25	2,27	2,21	2,24	2,19	2,32
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1251 22.3%	1251 22.4%	611 22.3%	640 22.4%	138 21.4%	179 20.6%	215 21.1%	342 23.4%	377 23.6%	427 30.3%	433 21.4%	391 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Development of the infrastructure**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4338 77.5%	2127 77.6%	2211 77.4%	503 78.2%	690 79.5%	805 78.9%	1117 76.2%	1223 76.5%	978 69.5%	1594 78.6%	1766 81.7%
<b>Development of the infrastructure</b>												
(1) very important	1171 27.0%	1201 27.7%	641 30.1%	561 25.4%	109 21.6%	183 26.5%	214 26.6%	331 29.6%	365 29.8%	284 29.1%	448 28.1%	469 26.6%
(2) important	1744 40.2%	1777 41.0%	900 42.3%	877 39.6%	194 38.6%	244 35.3%	318 39.5%	450 40.3%	571 46.7%	398 40.7%	656 41.2%	723 40.9%
(3) rather important	1114 25.7%	1074 24.8%	465 21.9%	609 27.5%	145 28.8%	200 29.0%	223 27.7%	268 24.0%	239 19.5%	249 25.5%	377 23.6%	448 25.4%
(4) less important	273 6.3%	249 5.7%	101 4.8%	148 6.7%	50 9.9%	55 7.9%	43 5.3%	59 5.3%	43 3.5%	39 4.0%	96 6.0%	114 6.5%
(5) unimportant	39 0.9%	37 0.9%	20 0.9%	17 0.8%	6 1.1%	9 1.3%	8 1.0%	9 0.8%	6 0.5%	7 0.8%	18 1.1%	12 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2915 67.2%	2978 68.7%	1541 72.4%	1437 65.0%	303 60.2%	427 61.8%	532 66.1%	781 70.0%	935 76.5%	682 69.8%	1104 69.2%	1192 67.5%
Middle Box (3)	1114 25.7%	1074 24.8%	465 21.9%	609 27.5%	145 28.8%	200 29.0%	223 27.7%	268 24.0%	239 19.5%	249 25.5%	377 23.6%	448 25.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	312 7.2%	286 6.6%	121 5.7%	165 7.5%	56 11.0%	64 9.2%	50 6.3%	68 6.1%	49 4.0%	47 4.8%	114 7.1%	126 7.1%
Mean	2,14	2,11	2,04	2,18	2,30	2,22	2,15	2,07	1,98	2,07	2,11	2,14
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1258 22.5%	1259 22.5%	615 22.4%	644 22.6%	140 21.8%	179 20.5%	215 21.1%	349 23.8%	376 23.5%	430 30.5%	435 21.4%	395 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Increase of international trade**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4335 77.4%	2124 77.5%	2211 77.4%	505 78.5%	690 79.4%	803 78.7%	1119 76.4%	1218 76.1%	978 69.5%	1593 78.5%	1765 81.7%
<b>Increase of international trade</b>												
(1) very important	839 19.3%	842 19.4%	440 20.7%	402 18.2%	107 21.3%	112 16.3%	132 16.4%	238 21.3%	252 20.7%	209 21.4%	341 21.4%	292 16.5%
(2) important	1629 37.5%	1679 38.7%	822 38.7%	857 38.8%	153 30.4%	253 36.7%	300 37.3%	440 39.3%	533 43.8%	396 40.5%	626 39.3%	658 37.3%
(3) rather important	1371 31.6%	1344 31.0%	642 30.2%	702 31.8%	168 33.2%	237 34.4%	270 33.7%	333 29.7%	336 27.6%	268 27.4%	476 29.9%	600 34.0%
(4) less important	394 9.1%	366 8.4%	167 7.9%	199 9.0%	62 12.3%	76 11.0%	80 9.9%	73 6.5%	75 6.2%	79 8.1%	120 7.5%	167 9.4%
(5) unimportant	106 2.4%	104 2.4%	53 2.5%	51 2.3%	14 2.8%	11 1.6%	22 2.7%	36 3.2%	22 1.8%	26 2.6%	29 1.8%	49 2.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2468 56.9%	2521 58.2%	1262 59.4%	1259 56.9%	261 51.6%	366 53.0%	432 53.7%	678 60.6%	785 64.5%	605 61.9%	967 60.7%	949 53.8%
Middle Box (3)	1371 31.6%	1344 31.0%	642 30.2%	702 31.8%	168 33.2%	237 34.4%	270 33.7%	333 29.7%	336 27.6%	268 27.4%	476 29.9%	600 34.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	500 11.5%	470 10.8%	220 10.4%	250 11.3%	76 15.1%	87 12.6%	101 12.6%	108 9.7%	97 8.0%	105 10.7%	149 9.4%	216 12.2%
Mean	2,38	2,36	2,33	2,39	2,45	2,45	2,45	2,31	2,25	2,30	2,29	2,45
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1260 22.5%	1262 22.6%	618 22.5%	645 22.6%	139 21.5%	179 20.6%	217 21.3%	346 23.6%	382 23.9%	430 30.5%	436 21.5%	396 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Acceleration of the ecological change**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4340 77.5%	2126 77.6%	2213 77.5%	505 78.5%	691 79.5%	802 78.6%	1119 76.4%	1222 76.4%	979 69.6%	1595 78.6%	1765 81.7%
<b>Acceleration of the ecological change</b>												
(1) very important	1192 27.4%	1181 27.2%	548 25.8%	632 28.6%	147 29.1%	186 26.9%	211 26.3%	301 26.9%	335 27.4%	269 27.4%	431 27.0%	481 27.3%
(2) important	1495 34.4%	1517 35.0%	743 35.0%	774 34.9%	163 32.3%	232 33.6%	278 34.7%	406 36.3%	437 35.7%	325 33.2%	559 35.0%	633 35.9%
(3) rather important	1218 28.0%	1202 27.7%	584 27.4%	618 27.9%	149 29.4%	201 29.1%	224 28.0%	299 26.7%	329 26.9%	283 28.9%	444 27.9%	474 26.9%
(4) less important	343 7.9%	343 7.9%	188 8.9%	155 7.0%	36 7.1%	60 8.7%	71 8.8%	81 7.2%	96 7.9%	70 7.2%	133 8.3%	141 8.0%
(5) unimportant	95 2.2%	97 2.2%	63 3.0%	34 1.5%	10 2.0%	12 1.7%	18 2.2%	32 2.9%	25 2.1%	32 3.3%	29 1.8%	36 2.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2687 61.9%	2697 62.2%	1292 60.7%	1406 63.5%	310 61.5%	418 60.5%	489 61.0%	707 63.2%	772 63.2%	594 60.6%	989 62.0%	1114 63.1%
Middle Box (3)	1218 28.0%	1202 27.7%	584 27.4%	618 27.9%	149 29.4%	201 29.1%	224 28.0%	299 26.7%	329 26.9%	283 28.9%	444 27.9%	474 26.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	438 10.1%	441 10.2%	251 11.8%	189 8.5%	46 9.2%	72 10.4%	89 11.0%	113 10.1%	121 9.9%	103 10.5%	162 10.1%	177 10.0%
Mean	2,23	2,23	2,28	2,18	2,21	2,25	2,26	2,23	2,21	2,26	2,23	2,22
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1256 22.4%	1258 22.5%	615 22.4%	642 22.5%	138 21.5%	178 20.5%	218 21.4%	346 23.6%	377 23.6%	428 30.4%	434 21.4%	395 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Strengthening the European Union**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4342 77.5%	4340 77.5%	2128 77.6%	2212 77.5%	504 78.3%	689 79.3%	806 78.9%	1119 76.4%	1223 76.5%	976 69.3%	1599 78.8%	1765 81.7%
<b>Strengthening the European Union</b>												
(1) very important	869 20.0%	890 20.5%	451 21.2%	439 19.8%	100 19.8%	128 18.6%	142 17.6%	224 20.0%	296 24.2%	206 21.1%	337 21.1%	347 19.7%
(2) important	1276 29.4%	1273 29.3%	613 28.8%	660 29.8%	153 30.4%	188 27.3%	242 30.0%	322 28.8%	369 30.1%	288 29.5%	480 30.0%	505 28.6%
(3) rather important	1233 28.4%	1205 27.8%	532 25.0%	673 30.4%	158 31.4%	223 32.4%	223 27.7%	302 27.0%	299 24.4%	267 27.4%	424 26.5%	514 29.1%
(4) less important	628 14.5%	621 14.3%	322 15.1%	299 13.5%	70 14.0%	104 15.1%	128 15.9%	161 14.4%	157 12.9%	136 13.9%	234 14.6%	251 14.2%
(5) unimportant	336 7.7%	351 8.1%	210 9.9%	141 6.4%	22 4.4%	45 6.6%	71 8.8%	111 9.9%	102 8.4%	79 8.1%	124 7.8%	148 8.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2145 49.4%	2163 49.8%	1064 50.0%	1099 49.7%	253 50.2%	316 45.9%	384 47.6%	546 48.8%	664 54.3%	494 50.6%	817 51.1%	852 48.3%
Middle Box (3)	1233 28.4%	1205 27.8%	532 25.0%	673 30.4%	158 31.4%	223 32.4%	223 27.7%	302 27.0%	299 24.4%	267 27.4%	424 26.5%	514 29.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	964 22.2%	972 22.4%	532 25.0%	440 19.9%	92 18.3%	149 21.7%	199 24.7%	271 24.3%	260 21.2%	215 22.0%	358 22.4%	399 22.6%
Mean	2,61	2,60	2,64	2,57	2,53	2,64	2,68	2,65	2,51	2,58	2,58	2,63
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1257 22.5%	1257 22.5%	614 22.4%	643 22.5%	139 21.7%	180 20.7%	215 21.1%	346 23.6%	376 23.5%	432 30.7%	430 21.2%	396 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Integration of migrants and refugees**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4336 77.4%	4332 77.4%	2123 77.4%	2209 77.4%	503 78.3%	691 79.5%	803 78.7%	1120 76.5%	1214 75.9%	978 69.5%	1593 78.5%	1760 81.5%
<b>Integration of migrants and refugees</b>												
(1) very important	1078 24.9%	1048 24.2%	477 22.5%	571 25.8%	160 31.7%	168 24.3%	184 22.9%	227 20.2%	310 25.5%	196 20.0%	372 23.4%	480 27.3%
(2) important	1316 30.4%	1328 30.7%	681 32.1%	647 29.3%	146 29.0%	200 28.9%	257 32.0%	336 30.0%	389 32.0%	264 27.0%	451 28.3%	613 34.8%
(3) rather important	960 22.1%	968 22.3%	453 21.4%	514 23.3%	104 20.6%	166 24.0%	166 20.7%	269 24.0%	263 21.7%	225 23.0%	385 24.2%	358 20.3%
(4) less important	502 11.6%	508 11.7%	262 12.3%	246 11.1%	47 9.4%	80 11.6%	103 12.8%	140 12.5%	138 11.4%	140 14.3%	197 12.3%	171 9.7%
(5) unimportant	480 11.1%	480 11.1%	249 11.8%	231 10.4%	47 9.2%	78 11.2%	93 11.6%	148 13.2%	114 9.4%	153 15.7%	188 11.8%	139 7.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2394 55.2%	2376 54.9%	1158 54.6%	1218 55.1%	306 60.7%	368 53.2%	442 55.0%	563 50.2%	698 57.5%	460 47.0%	823 51.7%	1093 62.1%
Middle Box (3)	960 22.1%	968 22.3%	453 21.4%	514 23.3%	104 20.6%	166 24.0%	166 20.7%	269 24.0%	263 21.7%	225 23.0%	385 24.2%	358 20.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	982 22.6%	988 22.8%	511 24.1%	477 21.6%	94 18.7%	158 22.8%	196 24.3%	288 25.7%	252 20.8%	294 30.0%	385 24.1%	310 17.6%
Mean	2,54	2,55	2,59	2,51	2,36	2,57	2,58	2,68	2,47	2,79	2,61	2,36
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1263 22.6%	1265 22.6%	619 22.6%	646 22.6%	140 21.7%	178 20.5%	217 21.3%	345 23.5%	386 24.1%	430 30.5%	436 21.5%	400 18.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Regulating migration**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4339 77.5%	2124 77.5%	2214 77.6%	503 78.3%	692 79.6%	802 78.5%	1122 76.6%	1220 76.3%	979 69.5%	1597 78.7%	1763 81.6%
<b>Regulating migration</b>												
(1) very important	786 18.1%	796 18.3%	408 19.2%	388 17.5%	87 17.2%	110 15.9%	149 18.5%	204 18.2%	247 20.2%	171 17.5%	347 21.7%	277 15.7%
(2) important	911 21.0%	896 20.6%	432 20.3%	464 21.0%	115 22.9%	165 23.9%	149 18.6%	232 20.7%	234 19.2%	171 17.5%	356 22.3%	369 20.9%
(3) rather important	933 21.5%	915 21.1%	443 20.9%	472 21.3%	130 25.7%	152 21.9%	178 22.2%	204 18.1%	253 20.7%	178 18.2%	342 21.4%	396 22.4%
(4) less important	856 19.7%	867 20.0%	412 19.4%	455 20.5%	91 18.1%	146 21.1%	147 18.4%	214 19.1%	268 22.0%	218 22.2%	267 16.7%	382 21.7%
(5) unimportant	855 19.7%	865 19.9%	430 20.2%	435 19.6%	81 16.0%	119 17.2%	178 22.3%	268 23.9%	219 17.9%	241 24.6%	285 17.8%	339 19.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1697 39.1%	1692 39.0%	839 39.5%	852 38.5%	202 40.1%	275 39.7%	298 37.2%	436 38.9%	481 39.4%	342 35.0%	703 44.0%	646 36.7%
Middle Box (3)	933 21.5%	915 21.1%	443 20.9%	472 21.3%	130 25.7%	152 21.9%	178 22.2%	204 18.1%	253 20.7%	178 18.2%	342 21.4%	396 22.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1711 39.4%	1731 39.9%	842 39.6%	890 40.2%	172 34.1%	265 38.4%	326 40.6%	482 43.0%	487 39.9%	459 46.8%	552 34.6%	721 40.9%
Mean	3,02	3,02	3,01	3,04	2,93	3,00	3,07	3,10	2,98	3,19	2,87	3,08
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1258 22.5%	1258 22.5%	617 22.5%	641 22.4%	140 21.7%	177 20.4%	219 21.5%	344 23.4%	379 23.7%	429 30.5%	432 21.3%	398 18.4%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Strengthening the national identity**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4341 77.6%	2128 77.6%	2213 77.5%	505 78.5%	690 79.4%	802 78.6%	1122 76.6%	1222 76.4%	980 69.6%	1593 78.5%	1768 81.9%
<b>Strengthening the national identity</b>												
(1) very important	1166 26.8%	1216 28.0%	588 27.6%	628 28.4%	111 21.9%	160 23.2%	204 25.4%	345 30.8%	396 32.4%	297 30.3%	467 29.3%	452 25.6%
(2) important	1256 28.9%	1262 29.1%	605 28.4%	657 29.7%	138 27.4%	197 28.6%	246 30.7%	326 29.1%	354 29.0%	311 31.7%	516 32.4%	435 24.6%
(3) rather important	1134 26.1%	1107 25.5%	534 25.1%	573 25.9%	139 27.6%	200 29.0%	209 26.1%	277 24.7%	282 23.1%	258 26.3%	397 24.9%	452 25.6%
(4) less important	558 12.8%	537 12.4%	282 13.2%	255 11.5%	86 17.0%	90 13.0%	101 12.6%	123 11.0%	137 11.2%	75 7.6%	151 9.5%	311 17.6%
(5) unimportant	229 5.3%	219 5.0%	119 5.6%	100 4.5%	30 6.0%	43 6.3%	42 5.3%	50 4.5%	53 4.3%	40 4.1%	62 3.9%	117 6.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2422 55.8%	2478 57.1%	1193 56.0%	1285 58.1%	249 49.3%	357 51.8%	450 56.0%	672 59.9%	750 61.4%	607 62.0%	983 61.7%	888 50.2%
Middle Box (3)	1134 26.1%	1107 25.5%	534 25.1%	573 25.9%	139 27.6%	200 29.0%	209 26.1%	277 24.7%	282 23.1%	258 26.3%	397 24.9%	452 25.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	787 18.1%	756 17.4%	401 18.8%	355 16.0%	116 23.1%	133 19.3%	143 17.9%	173 15.5%	190 15.5%	115 11.7%	212 13.3%	429 24.2%
Mean	2,41	2,37	2,41	2,34	2,58	2,51	2,42	2,29	2,26	2,24	2,26	2,55
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	614 22.4%	642 22.5%	139 21.5%	179 20.6%	218 21.4%	344 23.4%	377 23.6%	428 30.4%	436 21.5%	392 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Supporting families**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4340 77.5%	2127 77.6%	2213 77.5%	505 78.5%	690 79.4%	806 79.0%	1120 76.5%	1219 76.2%	971 69.0%	1599 78.8%	1770 81.9%
<b>Supporting families</b>												
(1) very important	1994 45.9%	1978 45.6%	868 40.8%	1110 50.1%	231 45.7%	326 47.2%	360 44.7%	527 47.1%	533 43.8%	539 55.5%	788 49.3%	650 36.7%
(2) important	1444 33.2%	1456 33.5%	762 35.8%	693 31.3%	172 34.1%	204 29.6%	269 33.4%	362 32.3%	448 36.8%	298 30.7%	523 32.7%	635 35.9%
(3) rather important	722 16.6%	721 16.6%	388 18.3%	333 15.0%	87 17.3%	125 18.1%	135 16.7%	183 16.3%	191 15.7%	104 10.7%	232 14.5%	385 21.8%
(4) less important	148 3.4%	150 3.5%	84 4.0%	66 3.0%	13 2.6%	28 4.0%	30 3.7%	35 3.1%	45 3.7%	18 1.9%	45 2.8%	87 4.9%
(5) unimportant	37 0.9%	36 0.8%	24 1.1%	12 0.5%	2 0.4%	7 1.0%	12 1.5%	14 1.2%	1 0.1%	12 1.3%	11 0.7%	13 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	3438 79.1%	3433 79.1%	1630 76.6%	1803 81.5%	403 79.8%	530 76.9%	630 78.1%	889 79.4%	981 80.5%	837 86.2%	1311 82.0%	1285 72.6%
Middle Box (3)	722 16.6%	721 16.6%	388 18.3%	333 15.0%	87 17.3%	125 18.1%	135 16.7%	183 16.3%	191 15.7%	104 10.7%	232 14.5%	385 21.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	185 4.3%	186 4.3%	109 5.1%	78 3.5%	15 2.9%	35 5.1%	42 5.2%	48 4.3%	46 3.8%	31 3.2%	56 3.5%	100 5.6%
Mean	1,80	1,80	1,89	1,72	1,78	1,82	1,84	1,79	1,80	1,63	1,73	1,97
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1254 22.4%	1257 22.5%	615 22.4%	642 22.5%	138 21.5%	179 20.6%	214 21.0%	345 23.5%	380 23.8%	436 31.0%	430 21.2%	391 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4336 77.5%	2128 77.6%	2208 77.3%	503 78.3%	690 79.4%	804 78.8%	1120 76.5%	1218 76.1%	974 69.2%	1593 78.5%	1769 81.9%
<b>Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change</b>												
(1) very important	806 18.6%	782 18.0%	364 17.1%	417 18.9%	102 20.2%	126 18.3%	164 20.4%	209 18.6%	181 14.8%	185 19.0%	307 19.3%	290 16.4%
(2) important	1416 32.6%	1439 33.2%	737 34.6%	702 31.8%	147 29.2%	222 32.2%	253 31.4%	366 32.7%	451 37.0%	300 30.8%	542 34.1%	597 33.7%
(3) rather important	1356 31.3%	1359 31.3%	665 31.2%	694 31.4%	164 32.6%	207 30.1%	256 31.8%	356 31.7%	376 30.9%	292 30.0%	508 31.9%	559 31.6%
(4) less important	539 12.4%	518 12.0%	255 12.0%	264 11.9%	74 14.6%	100 14.4%	96 11.9%	120 10.7%	130 10.7%	124 12.7%	162 10.2%	232 13.1%
(5) unimportant	222 5.1%	238 5.5%	107 5.0%	131 5.9%	17 3.3%	35 5.0%	36 4.5%	70 6.3%	80 6.6%	73 7.5%	74 4.6%	91 5.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2222 51.2%	2221 51.2%	1102 51.8%	1119 50.7%	249 49.5%	349 50.5%	417 51.8%	575 51.3%	632 51.9%	485 49.8%	849 53.3%	887 50.1%
Middle Box (3)	1356 31.3%	1359 31.3%	665 31.2%	694 31.4%	164 32.6%	207 30.1%	256 31.8%	356 31.7%	376 30.9%	292 30.0%	508 31.9%	559 31.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	761 17.5%	756 17.4%	361 17.0%	395 17.9%	91 18.0%	134 19.4%	132 16.3%	190 16.9%	210 17.3%	197 20.2%	236 14.8%	323 18.3%
Mean	2,53	2,54	2,53	2,54	2,52	2,56	2,49	2,53	2,57	2,59	2,47	2,57
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1260 22.5%	1261 22.5%	614 22.4%	648 22.7%	140 21.7%	179 20.6%	216 21.2%	345 23.5%	382 23.9%	434 30.8%	436 21.5%	391 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about new technologies?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4352 77.7%	4349 77.7%	2133 77.8%	2216 77.6%	507 78.7%	689 79.3%	804 78.7%	1124 76.7%	1226 76.7%	981 69.7%	1602 79.0%	1766 81.7%
<b>Personal feeling towards new technologies</b>												
(1) very positive	1119 25.7%	1081 24.8%	627 29.4%	453 20.4%	156 30.7%	180 26.2%	214 26.6%	255 22.7%	276 22.5%	265 27.0%	398 24.8%	417 23.6%
(2)	558 12.8%	574 13.2%	322 15.1%	252 11.4%	57 11.2%	75 10.8%	103 12.9%	161 14.3%	178 14.5%	105 10.7%	217 13.6%	252 14.2%
(3)	741 17.0%	743 17.1%	402 18.8%	341 15.4%	85 16.7%	123 17.9%	124 15.5%	186 16.5%	225 18.3%	141 14.4%	268 16.7%	334 18.9%
(4)	453 10.4%	456 10.5%	192 9.0%	264 11.9%	56 11.1%	66 9.6%	79 9.8%	126 11.2%	129 10.5%	82 8.4%	173 10.8%	201 11.4%
(5)	299 6.9%	303 7.0%	134 6.3%	169 7.6%	28 5.6%	49 7.2%	63 7.8%	86 7.6%	76 6.2%	56 5.7%	121 7.6%	125 7.1%
(6)	872 20.0%	886 20.4%	311 14.6%	576 26.0%	89 17.7%	143 20.7%	160 19.9%	239 21.3%	255 20.8%	264 26.9%	312 19.5%	310 17.5%
(7)	120 2.8%	115 2.6%	61 2.9%	54 2.4%	18 3.6%	21 3.0%	20 2.5%	21 1.9%	34 2.8%	22 2.2%	47 2.9%	46 2.6%
(8)	93 2.1%	93 2.1%	43 2.0%	50 2.3%	7 1.4%	18 2.6%	23 2.8%	21 1.9%	24 2.0%	14 1.5%	33 2.0%	46 2.6%
(9)	55 1.3%	53 1.2%	23 1.1%	30 1.4%	6 1.2%	9 1.3%	12 1.5%	15 1.3%	11 0.9%	16 1.6%	19 1.2%	18 1.0%
(10)	14 0.3%	17 0.4%	5 0.2%	12 0.5%	1 0.1%	2 0.4%	2 0.3%	5 0.4%	7 0.5%	6 0.7%	0 -	10 0.6%
(11) very negative	28 0.6%	30 0.7%	15 0.7%	15 0.7%	3 0.6%	2 0.3%	3 0.4%	10 0.9%	11 0.9%	9 1.0%	14 0.9%	6 0.4%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	3,57	3,59	3,26	3,91	3,37	3,61	3,57	3,65	3,63	3,74	3,57	3,53
missing	1247 22.3%	1248 22.3%	609 22.2%	639 22.4%	137 21.3%	180 20.7%	217 21.3%	341 23.3%	373 23.3%	427 30.3%	427 21.0%	394 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards new technologies in your country?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4359 77.9%	4358 77.9%	2134 77.8%	2224 77.9%	507 78.7%	690 79.4%	807 79.0%	1128 77.0%	1226 76.7%	984 69.9%	1604 79.1%	1769 81.9%
<b>Population's feeling towards new technologies</b>												
(1) very positive	332 7.6%	322 7.4%	131 6.1%	191 8.6%	42 8.3%	57 8.3%	67 8.3%	75 6.6%	81 6.6%	92 9.4%	140 8.7%	89 5.1%
(2)	308 7.1%	311 7.1%	147 6.9%	164 7.4%	33 6.4%	48 6.9%	54 6.7%	90 8.0%	87 7.1%	85 8.6%	119 7.4%	107 6.0%
(3)	682 15.6%	679 15.6%	327 15.3%	352 15.8%	78 15.3%	106 15.4%	128 15.8%	180 16.0%	188 15.3%	160 16.2%	239 14.9%	281 15.9%
(4)	782 17.9%	770 17.7%	397 18.6%	373 16.8%	102 20.1%	117 16.9%	142 17.6%	185 16.4%	224 18.3%	138 14.0%	299 18.6%	334 18.9%
(5)	611 14.0%	616 14.1%	320 15.0%	296 13.3%	65 12.9%	108 15.6%	118 14.6%	146 13.0%	179 14.6%	110 11.2%	232 14.4%	274 15.5%
(6)	1069 24.5%	1089 25.0%	501 23.5%	588 26.4%	115 22.7%	160 23.2%	198 24.5%	312 27.6%	304 24.8%	278 28.2%	379 23.6%	432 24.4%
(7)	283 6.5%	276 6.3%	147 6.9%	129 5.8%	39 7.7%	51 7.4%	46 5.7%	63 5.5%	77 6.3%	54 5.5%	98 6.1%	124 7.0%
(8)	169 3.9%	175 4.0%	93 4.4%	82 3.7%	19 3.8%	19 2.8%	33 4.1%	47 4.1%	57 4.6%	31 3.2%	55 3.4%	89 5.0%
(9)	80 1.8%	77 1.8%	39 1.8%	38 1.7%	9 1.7%	16 2.3%	16 2.0%	18 1.6%	17 1.4%	20 2.0%	27 1.7%	30 1.7%
(10)	15 0.3%	14 0.3%	10 0.5%	4 0.2%	2 0.5%	2 0.4%	2 0.2%	5 0.4%	3 0.2%	3 0.3%	4 0.2%	8 0.4%
(11) very negative	28 0.6%	28 0.6%	21 1.0%	7 0.3%	2 0.4%	5 0.7%	3 0.4%	7 0.7%	10 0.8%	13 1.3%	13 0.8%	2 0.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	4,61	4,62	4,71	4,54	4,58	4,60	4,58	4,65	4,66	4,57	4,53	4,74
missing	1240 22.1%	1240 22.1%	608 22.2%	632 22.1%	137 21.3%	179 20.6%	214 21.0%	338 23.0%	373 23.3%	424 30.1%	425 20.9%	391 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about new technologies? - Groups

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4352 77.7%	4349 77.7%	2133 77.8%	2216 77.6%	507 78.7%	689 79.3%	804 78.7%	1124 76.7%	1226 76.7%	981 69.7%	1602 79.0%	1766 81.7%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity</b>												
(1) enthusiastic	1119 25.7%	1081 24.8%	627 29.4%	453 20.4%	156 30.7%	180 26.2%	214 26.6%	255 22.7%	276 22.5%	265 27.0%	398 24.8%	417 23.6%
(2) positive	1299 29.8%	1317 30.3%	724 33.9%	593 26.8%	141 27.9%	198 28.7%	228 28.3%	347 30.9%	403 32.8%	246 25.0%	485 30.3%	586 33.2%
(3) rather positive	752 17.3%	759 17.5%	326 15.3%	433 19.5%	85 16.7%	116 16.8%	142 17.6%	211 18.8%	205 16.7%	139 14.1%	294 18.4%	326 18.5%
(4) neutral	872 20.0%	886 20.4%	311 14.6%	576 26.0%	89 17.7%	143 20.7%	160 19.9%	239 21.3%	255 20.8%	264 26.9%	312 19.5%	310 17.5%
(5) negative	310 7.1%	307 7.1%	146 6.8%	161 7.3%	35 6.9%	52 7.6%	61 7.5%	72 6.4%	87 7.1%	68 6.9%	113 7.0%	127 7.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	2,53	2,55	2,35	2,73	2,42	2,55	2,53	2,58	2,57	2,62	2,54	2,51
missing	1247 22.3%	1248 22.3%	609 22.2%	639 22.4%	137 21.3%	180 20.7%	217 21.3%	341 23.3%	373 23.3%	427 30.3%	427 21.0%	394 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards new technologies in your country? - Groups

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4359 77.9%	4358 77.9%	2134 77.8%	2224 77.9%	507 78.7%	690 79.4%	807 79.0%	1128 77.0%	1226 76.7%	984 69.9%	1604 79.1%	1769 81.9%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity - Society</b>												
(1) enthusiastic	332 7.6%	322 7.4%	131 6.1%	191 8.6%	42 8.3%	57 8.3%	67 8.3%	75 6.6%	81 6.6%	92 9.4%	140 8.7%	89 5.1%
(2) positive	990 22.7%	991 22.7%	474 22.2%	516 23.2%	110 21.8%	154 22.4%	182 22.5%	270 23.9%	274 22.4%	245 24.9%	358 22.3%	388 21.9%
(3) rather positive	1393 32.0%	1386 31.8%	717 33.6%	669 30.1%	167 33.0%	225 32.6%	260 32.2%	332 29.4%	403 32.8%	248 25.2%	530 33.1%	608 34.3%
(4) neutral	1069 24.5%	1089 25.0%	501 23.5%	588 26.4%	115 22.7%	160 23.2%	198 24.5%	312 27.6%	304 24.8%	278 28.2%	379 23.6%	432 24.4%
(5) negative	575 13.2%	570 13.1%	310 14.5%	260 11.7%	72 14.2%	94 13.6%	101 12.5%	140 12.4%	164 13.4%	121 12.3%	196 12.2%	253 14.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	3,13	3,14	3,18	3,09	3,13	3,11	3,10	3,15	3,16	3,09	3,08	3,21
missing	1240 22.1%	1240 22.1%	608 22.2%	632 22.1%	137 21.3%	179 20.6%	214 21.0%	338 23.0%	373 23.3%	424 30.1%	425 20.9%	391 18.1%


BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Gender	
	male	female
New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.	1.98	2.12
New technologies are very interesting to me.	2.05	2.42
New technologies are important to solve present problems.	2.16	2.30
New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.	2.28	2.47
New technologies are used as often as possible by me.	2.35	2.52
New technologies should be regulated by laws.	2.39	2.33
New technologies should be supported by public finances.	2.40	2.52
New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.	2.52	2.42
New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.	2.60	2.78
New technologies are connected with health risks.	3.01	2.92
New technologies make me feel uneasy.	3.37	3.32



BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.

New technologies are very interesting to me.

New technologies are important to solve present problems.

New technologies are used as often as possible by me.

New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.

New technologies should be regulated by laws.

New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.


New technologies should be supported by public finances.

New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.

New technologies are connected with health risks.

New technologies make me feel uneasy.

Age Group				
18 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 60 years	61+ years
2.10	2.14	2.09	2.05	1.95
2.17	2.27	2.23	2.23	2.27
2.28	2.29	2.29	2.24	2.14
2.31	2.43	2.42	2.48	2.46
2.45	2.52	2.49	2.49	2.41
2.47	2.44	2.39	2.39	2.21
2.48	2.47	2.38	2.38	2.28
2.55	2.53	2.49	2.46	2.37
2.89	2.74	2.68	2.69	2.58
2.91	2.87	2.97	2.96	3.03
3.43	3.31	3.35	3.35	3.31



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.

New technologies are important to solve present problems.

New technologies are very interesting to me.

New technologies should be regulated by laws.

New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.

New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

New technologies should be supported by public finances.


New technologies are used as often as possible by me.

New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.

New technologies are connected with health risks.

New technologies make me feel uneasy.

Education level		
low education	medium education	high education
2.11	2.07	2.00
2.27	2.23	2.21
2.35	2.23	2.19
2.36	2.33	2.37
2.37	2.45	2.54
2.45	2.35	2.37
2.47	2.48	2.44
2.50	2.41	2.42
2.73	2.66	2.69
2.91	2.89	3.05
3.30	3.29	3.41



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies are important to solve current problems.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4344 77.6%	4344 77.6%	2132 77.8%	2212 77.5%	503 78.2%	689 79.3%	804 78.7%	1126 76.9%	1222 76.4%	981 69.7%	1596 78.6%	1767 81.8%
<b>New technologies are important to solve present problems.</b>												
(1) completely agree	986 22.7%	1006 23.2%	556 26.1%	450 20.3%	106 21.1%	141 20.4%	171 21.3%	257 22.8%	331 27.1%	233 23.7%	366 22.9%	407 23.0%
(2) rather agree	1718 39.5%	1722 39.6%	866 40.6%	856 38.7%	203 40.4%	265 38.5%	304 37.8%	461 40.9%	489 40.0%	341 34.7%	640 40.1%	742 42.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1316 30.3%	1296 29.8%	563 26.4%	733 33.1%	150 29.8%	236 34.3%	271 33.7%	317 28.2%	322 26.4%	338 34.5%	469 29.4%	489 27.6%
(4) rather disagree	247 5.7%	243 5.6%	108 5.1%	135 6.1%	35 6.9%	34 4.9%	45 5.6%	68 6.0%	62 5.0%	48 4.9%	100 6.3%	96 5.4%
(5) completely disagree	77 1.8%	77 1.8%	39 1.8%	38 1.7%	9 1.9%	13 1.8%	13 1.6%	23 2.1%	19 1.5%	22 2.2%	21 1.3%	34 1.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2704 62.2%	2728 62.8%	1422 66.7%	1306 59.0%	309 61.5%	406 59.0%	475 59.1%	718 63.7%	820 67.1%	573 58.5%	1006 63.0%	1149 65.0%
Middle Box (3)	1316 30.3%	1296 29.8%	563 26.4%	733 33.1%	150 29.8%	236 34.3%	271 33.7%	317 28.2%	322 26.4%	338 34.5%	469 29.4%	489 27.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	324 7.5%	320 7.4%	147 6.9%	173 7.8%	44 8.7%	47 6.8%	58 7.3%	91 8.1%	80 6.6%	70 7.1%	121 7.6%	130 7.4%
Mean	2,24	2,23	2,16	2,30	2,28	2,29	2,29	2,24	2,14	2,27	2,23	2,21
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1255 22.4%	1253 22.4%	610 22.2%	644 22.5%	140 21.8%	180 20.7%	217 21.3%	339 23.1%	377 23.6%	427 30.3%	433 21.4%	393 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies have a positive impact on the economic development.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4348 77.7%	4348 77.7%	2132 77.8%	2216 77.6%	504 78.3%	689 79.3%	806 78.9%	1126 76.9%	1223 76.5%	980 69.6%	1602 78.9%	1767 81.8%
<b>New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1243 28.6%	1262 29.0%	699 32.8%	562 25.4%	151 30.0%	168 24.4%	208 25.9%	326 28.9%	409 33.4%	277 28.3%	435 27.2%	549 31.1%
(2) rather agree	1814 41.7%	1819 41.8%	878 41.2%	941 42.5%	187 37.0%	296 42.9%	355 44.1%	474 42.1%	508 41.5%	374 38.2%	702 43.8%	743 42.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1108 25.5%	1092 25.1%	470 22.1%	621 28.0%	138 27.5%	192 27.8%	215 26.6%	277 24.6%	270 22.1%	280 28.6%	392 24.4%	420 23.8%
(4) rather disagree	139 3.2%	133 3.1%	63 3.0%	70 3.2%	22 4.4%	28 4.0%	17 2.1%	39 3.5%	27 2.2%	35 3.5%	55 3.5%	44 2.5%
(5) completely disagree	44 1.0%	42 1.0%	21 1.0%	21 0.9%	6 1.1%	6 0.9%	10 1.3%	10 0.9%	10 0.8%	13 1.4%	17 1.1%	11 0.6%
Top Box (1+2)	3057 70.3%	3081 70.9%	1577 74.0%	1504 67.9%	338 67.0%	463 67.3%	564 70.0%	800 71.0%	916 74.9%	651 66.5%	1137 71.0%	1292 73.1%
Middle Box (3)	1108 25.5%	1092 25.1%	470 22.1%	621 28.0%	138 27.5%	192 27.8%	215 26.6%	277 24.6%	270 22.1%	280 28.6%	392 24.4%	420 23.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	183 4.2%	175 4.0%	85 4.0%	91 4.1%	28 5.5%	34 4.9%	27 3.4%	49 4.4%	37 3.0%	48 4.9%	73 4.5%	55 3.1%
Mean	2,06	2,05	1,98	2,12	2,10	2,14	2,09	2,05	1,95	2,11	2,07	2,00
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1251 22.3%	1250 22.3%	610 22.2%	640 22.4%	139 21.7%	180 20.7%	215 21.1%	339 23.1%	376 23.5%	428 30.4%	427 21.1%	394 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies help to protect the environment and to reverse environmental damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4349 77.7%	2132 77.8%	2216 77.6%	505 78.5%	692 79.6%	803 78.7%	1123 76.6%	1226 76.7%	982 69.7%	1598 78.7%	1769 81.9%
<b>New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>												
(1) completely agree	875 20.1%	893 20.5%	501 23.5%	391 17.7%	102 20.3%	118 17.0%	148 18.4%	231 20.6%	294 24.0%	196 20.0%	346 21.7%	350 19.8%
(2) rather agree	1450 33.3%	1469 33.8%	779 36.5%	690 31.2%	149 29.4%	224 32.4%	282 35.1%	377 33.6%	437 35.7%	297 30.3%	540 33.8%	631 35.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1567 36.0%	1545 35.5%	658 30.9%	887 40.0%	182 36.0%	277 40.0%	308 38.3%	403 35.9%	376 30.7%	377 38.4%	558 34.9%	610 34.5%
(4) rather disagree	342 7.9%	331 7.6%	144 6.7%	187 8.5%	52 10.3%	55 8.0%	53 6.7%	77 6.8%	93 7.6%	75 7.6%	118 7.4%	137 7.8%
(5) completely disagree	116 2.7%	111 2.5%	51 2.4%	60 2.7%	20 4.0%	18 2.6%	13 1.6%	34 3.1%	25 2.1%	36 3.7%	35 2.2%	39 2.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2325 53.4%	2362 54.3%	1280 60.0%	1082 48.8%	251 49.7%	342 49.4%	430 53.5%	608 54.2%	731 59.6%	494 50.3%	886 55.5%	982 55.5%
Middle Box (3)	1567 36.0%	1545 35.5%	658 30.9%	887 40.0%	182 36.0%	277 40.0%	308 38.3%	403 35.9%	376 30.7%	377 38.4%	558 34.9%	610 34.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	458 10.5%	442 10.2%	194 9.1%	247 11.2%	72 14.3%	73 10.6%	66 8.2%	111 9.9%	119 9.7%	111 11.3%	154 9.6%	177 10.0%
Mean	2,40	2,38	2,28	2,47	2,48	2,47	2,38	2,38	2,28	2,45	2,35	2,37
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1249 22.3%	1249 22.3%	609 22.2%	639 22.4%	139 21.5%	177 20.4%	217 21.3%	343 23.4%	373 23.3%	426 30.3%	431 21.3%	391 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4348 77.7%	2132 77.8%	2216 77.6%	505 78.5%	691 79.5%	807 79.0%	1124 76.7%	1221 76.3%	978 69.5%	1599 78.8%	1771 82.0%
<b>New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.</b>												
(1) completely agree	620 14.3%	637 14.7%	359 16.8%	278 12.6%	61 12.1%	94 13.7%	105 13.0%	163 14.5%	214 17.5%	150 15.3%	243 15.2%	244 13.8%
(2) rather agree	1135 26.1%	1168 26.9%	614 28.8%	554 25.0%	108 21.4%	166 24.0%	220 27.2%	299 26.6%	376 30.8%	216 22.1%	460 28.8%	493 27.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1700 39.1%	1681 38.7%	793 37.2%	888 40.1%	196 38.9%	290 41.9%	345 42.8%	442 39.3%	408 33.4%	419 42.8%	573 35.9%	688 38.9%
(4) rather disagree	660 15.2%	633 14.6%	264 12.4%	370 16.7%	103 20.5%	107 15.4%	102 12.6%	164 14.6%	158 12.9%	134 13.7%	235 14.7%	264 14.9%
(5) completely disagree	235 5.4%	228 5.3%	103 4.8%	126 5.7%	36 7.2%	35 5.0%	36 4.4%	56 5.0%	65 5.3%	59 6.0%	87 5.4%	82 4.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1755 40.3%	1805 41.5%	972 45.6%	833 37.6%	169 33.5%	260 37.6%	324 40.2%	462 41.1%	590 48.3%	366 37.4%	703 44.0%	737 41.6%
Middle Box (3)	1700 39.1%	1681 38.7%	793 37.2%	888 40.1%	196 38.9%	290 41.9%	345 42.8%	442 39.3%	408 33.4%	419 42.8%	573 35.9%	688 38.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	895 20.6%	862 19.8%	366 17.2%	495 22.3%	140 27.6%	141 20.5%	137 17.0%	220 19.6%	223 18.3%	193 19.8%	322 20.2%	346 19.5%
Mean	2,71	2,69	2,60	2,78	2,89	2,74	2,68	2,69	2,58	2,73	2,66	2,69
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1249 22.3%	1250 22.3%	610 22.2%	640 22.4%	138 21.5%	178 20.5%	214 21.0%	341 23.3%	379 23.7%	430 30.5%	430 21.2%	390 18.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies are connected with health risks.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4342 77.6%	2131 77.7%	2211 77.4%	504 78.4%	690 79.4%	804 78.7%	1123 76.6%	1221 76.3%	974 69.2%	1600 78.9%	1767 81.8%
<b>New technologies are connected with health risks.</b>												
(1) completely agree	326 7.5%	316 7.3%	168 7.9%	148 6.7%	42 8.3%	66 9.5%	49 6.1%	86 7.7%	73 6.0%	77 7.9%	144 9.0%	96 5.4%
(2) rather agree	892 20.5%	864 19.9%	388 18.2%	477 21.6%	123 24.4%	153 22.1%	157 19.5%	204 18.2%	228 18.6%	201 20.6%	324 20.2%	340 19.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2006 46.2%	2033 46.8%	959 45.0%	1074 48.6%	204 40.4%	311 45.1%	401 49.8%	554 49.3%	564 46.2%	477 49.0%	760 47.5%	796 45.0%
(4) rather disagree	913 21.0%	924 21.3%	488 22.9%	436 19.7%	109 21.6%	127 18.5%	164 20.4%	224 20.0%	300 24.6%	174 17.9%	302 18.9%	447 25.3%
(5) completely disagree	206 4.7%	204 4.7%	128 6.0%	76 3.4%	27 5.4%	33 4.8%	33 4.1%	54 4.8%	56 4.6%	45 4.7%	70 4.4%	88 5.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1218 28.0%	1180 27.2%	555 26.1%	625 28.3%	165 32.7%	218 31.6%	206 25.7%	291 25.9%	301 24.6%	277 28.5%	467 29.2%	436 24.6%
Middle Box (3)	2006 46.2%	2033 46.8%	959 45.0%	1074 48.6%	204 40.4%	311 45.1%	401 49.8%	554 49.3%	564 46.2%	477 49.0%	760 47.5%	796 45.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1119 25.8%	1128 26.0%	616 28.9%	512 23.1%	136 26.9%	161 23.3%	197 24.5%	278 24.8%	356 29.2%	220 22.5%	373 23.3%	536 30.3%
Mean	2,95	2,96	3,01	2,92	2,91	2,87	2,97	2,96	3,03	2,91	2,89	3,05
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	611 22.3%	645 22.6%	139 21.6%	179 20.6%	217 21.3%	342 23.4%	379 23.7%	433 30.8%	429 21.1%	393 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4349 77.7%	2135 77.9%	2214 77.5%	503 78.2%	691 79.5%	807 79.0%	1125 76.8%	1223 76.5%	978 69.5%	1601 78.9%	1770 81.9%
<b>New technologies should be supported by public finances.</b>												
(1) completely agree	764 17.6%	793 18.2%	426 20.0%	366 16.5%	79 15.7%	108 15.7%	122 15.1%	213 18.9%	271 22.1%	196 20.0%	290 18.1%	307 17.4%
(2) rather agree	1406 32.3%	1406 32.3%	730 34.2%	675 30.5%	159 31.6%	216 31.2%	276 34.3%	359 31.9%	396 32.4%	285 29.2%	509 31.8%	611 34.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1654 38.0%	1635 37.6%	745 34.9%	890 40.2%	195 38.7%	282 40.8%	321 39.8%	417 37.0%	421 34.4%	372 38.0%	606 37.8%	658 37.1%
(4) rather disagree	390 9.0%	385 8.9%	164 7.7%	222 10.0%	53 10.5%	62 9.0%	67 8.3%	100 8.9%	104 8.5%	89 9.1%	142 8.9%	153 8.7%
(5) completely disagree	136 3.1%	131 3.0%	70 3.3%	61 2.8%	18 3.5%	24 3.4%	21 2.6%	37 3.3%	32 2.6%	36 3.7%	54 3.4%	41 2.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2170 49.9%	2198 50.5%	1157 54.2%	1042 47.1%	238 47.3%	324 46.9%	398 49.4%	571 50.8%	667 54.5%	481 49.2%	799 49.9%	918 51.9%
Middle Box (3)	1654 38.0%	1635 37.6%	745 34.9%	890 40.2%	195 38.7%	282 40.8%	321 39.8%	417 37.0%	421 34.4%	372 38.0%	606 37.8%	658 37.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	526 12.1%	516 11.9%	234 10.9%	283 12.8%	71 14.0%	86 12.4%	87 10.8%	137 12.2%	136 11.1%	125 12.8%	196 12.3%	194 11.0%
Mean	2,48	2,46	2,40	2,52	2,55	2,53	2,49	2,46	2,37	2,47	2,48	2,44
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1249 22.3%	1248 22.3%	606 22.1%	642 22.5%	140 21.8%	178 20.5%	214 21.0%	340 23.2%	376 23.5%	430 30.5%	428 21.1%	390 18.1%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies should be regulated by law.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4341 77.6%	2128 77.6%	2214 77.5%	505 78.5%	690 79.4%	803 78.7%	1123 76.6%	1221 76.3%	977 69.4%	1599 78.8%	1765 81.7%
<b>New technologies should be regulated by laws.</b>												
(1) completely agree	872 20.1%	900 20.7%	449 21.1%	450 20.3%	96 19.0%	123 17.9%	133 16.5%	222 19.8%	325 26.6%	217 22.3%	336 21.0%	346 19.6%
(2) rather agree	1462 33.7%	1485 34.2%	709 33.3%	775 35.0%	147 29.1%	226 32.8%	300 37.3%	383 34.1%	429 35.1%	296 30.3%	566 35.4%	622 35.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1599 36.8%	1564 36.0%	733 34.4%	832 37.6%	204 40.5%	268 38.9%	311 38.7%	406 36.1%	375 30.7%	380 38.9%	557 34.8%	628 35.6%
(4) rather disagree	307 7.1%	293 6.8%	168 7.9%	125 5.7%	44 8.7%	58 8.4%	42 5.2%	81 7.2%	69 5.6%	58 5.9%	108 6.7%	128 7.3%
(5) completely disagree	103 2.4%	99 2.3%	68 3.2%	31 1.4%	14 2.7%	14 2.0%	18 2.2%	31 2.7%	23 1.9%	26 2.7%	32 2.0%	41 2.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2334 53.7%	2384 54.9%	1159 54.5%	1226 55.4%	243 48.1%	349 50.7%	433 53.9%	606 53.9%	754 61.7%	514 52.6%	903 56.5%	968 54.8%
Middle Box (3)	1599 36.8%	1564 36.0%	733 34.4%	832 37.6%	204 40.5%	268 38.9%	311 38.7%	406 36.1%	375 30.7%	380 38.9%	557 34.8%	628 35.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	410 9.4%	393 9.0%	236 11.1%	156 7.1%	58 11.4%	72 10.4%	60 7.4%	111 9.9%	92 7.5%	84 8.6%	140 8.7%	169 9.6%
Mean	2,38	2,36	2,39	2,33	2,47	2,44	2,39	2,39	2,21	2,36	2,33	2,37
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	614 22.4%	642 22.5%	138 21.5%	179 20.6%	218 21.3%	342 23.4%	378 23.7%	431 30.6%	430 21.2%	395 18.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies interest me a lot.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4338 77.5%	2125 77.5%	2213 77.5%	502 78.0%	691 79.5%	806 78.9%	1123 76.6%	1217 76.1%	975 69.3%	1593 78.5%	1769 81.9%
<b>New technologies are very interesting to me.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1177 27.1%	1149 26.5%	707 33.3%	442 20.0%	154 30.6%	179 26.0%	217 26.9%	309 27.5%	290 23.9%	227 23.2%	420 26.3%	503 28.4%
(2) rather agree	1518 35.0%	1534 35.4%	781 36.8%	753 34.0%	169 33.7%	230 33.2%	283 35.1%	393 35.0%	459 37.7%	338 34.6%	574 36.0%	623 35.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1201 27.7%	1215 28.0%	491 23.1%	723 32.7%	129 25.6%	212 30.6%	225 28.0%	304 27.1%	345 28.4%	290 29.7%	452 28.4%	473 26.7%
(4) rather disagree	349 8.0%	345 8.0%	110 5.2%	235 10.6%	41 8.2%	56 8.1%	63 7.9%	91 8.1%	94 7.7%	87 9.0%	116 7.3%	141 8.0%
(5) completely disagree	94 2.2%	95 2.2%	36 1.7%	59 2.7%	9 1.9%	14 2.0%	17 2.1%	26 2.3%	29 2.3%	34 3.4%	32 2.0%	29 1.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2695 62.1%	2683 61.9%	1488 70.0%	1195 54.0%	323 64.3%	409 59.2%	500 62.1%	702 62.5%	749 61.6%	564 57.9%	993 62.3%	1126 63.6%
Middle Box (3)	1201 27.7%	1215 28.0%	491 23.1%	723 32.7%	129 25.6%	212 30.6%	225 28.0%	304 27.1%	345 28.4%	290 29.7%	452 28.4%	473 26.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	443 10.2%	440 10.1%	146 6.8%	294 13.3%	50 10.1%	70 10.2%	80 10.0%	117 10.4%	122 10.0%	121 12.4%	148 9.3%	171 9.7%
Mean	2,23	2,24	2,05	2,42	2,17	2,27	2,23	2,23	2,27	2,35	2,23	2,19
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1260 22.5%	1259 22.5%	617 22.5%	642 22.5%	141 22.0%	178 20.5%	215 21.1%	343 23.4%	383 23.9%	433 30.7%	435 21.5%	391 18.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies I use as often as possible.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4340 77.5%	2131 77.7%	2210 77.4%	503 78.3%	689 79.3%	805 78.8%	1119 76.4%	1225 76.6%	977 69.4%	1597 78.7%	1767 81.8%
<b>New technologies are used as often as possible by me.</b>												
(1) completely agree	799 18.4%	771 17.8%	432 20.3%	339 15.3%	116 23.0%	127 18.4%	142 17.7%	192 17.2%	194 15.8%	165 16.9%	278 17.4%	327 18.5%
(2) rather agree	1508 34.7%	1507 34.7%	782 36.7%	725 32.8%	181 36.0%	226 32.8%	279 34.7%	374 33.4%	447 36.5%	306 31.3%	584 36.5%	617 34.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1531 35.3%	1556 35.8%	697 32.7%	858 38.8%	150 29.7%	262 38.1%	300 37.3%	408 36.4%	435 35.5%	383 39.2%	566 35.4%	607 34.4%
(4) rather disagree	404 9.3%	404 9.3%	176 8.2%	229 10.3%	48 9.5%	58 8.4%	69 8.6%	112 10.0%	118 9.6%	93 9.5%	135 8.4%	177 10.0%
(5) completely disagree	99 2.3%	103 2.4%	44 2.1%	58 2.6%	9 1.8%	16 2.3%	14 1.7%	33 3.0%	31 2.5%	30 3.1%	34 2.1%	38 2.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2307 53.1%	2278 52.5%	1214 57.0%	1064 48.2%	297 58.9%	352 51.2%	421 52.4%	566 50.6%	641 52.4%	472 48.3%	862 54.0%	945 53.5%
Middle Box (3)	1531 35.3%	1556 35.8%	697 32.7%	858 38.8%	150 29.7%	262 38.1%	300 37.3%	408 36.4%	435 35.5%	383 39.2%	566 35.4%	607 34.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	503 11.6%	507 11.7%	220 10.3%	287 13.0%	57 11.3%	74 10.7%	83 10.3%	145 12.9%	148 12.1%	123 12.6%	169 10.6%	215 12.2%
Mean	2,42	2,44	2,35	2,52	2,31	2,43	2,42	2,48	2,46	2,50	2,41	2,42
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1258 22.5%	1257 22.5%	611 22.3%	646 22.6%	140 21.7%	180 20.7%	216 21.2%	346 23.6%	374 23.4%	431 30.6%	432 21.3%	394 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies make me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4330 77.3%	4326 77.3%	2122 77.4%	2204 77.2%	502 78.1%	687 79.1%	804 78.8%	1118 76.3%	1215 76.0%	971 69.0%	1589 78.3%	1767 81.8%
<b>New technologies make me feel uneasy.</b>												
(1) completely agree	251 5.8%	250 5.8%	152 7.2%	98 4.4%	27 5.3%	39 5.7%	47 5.8%	72 6.4%	66 5.4%	60 6.1%	98 6.1%	93 5.3%
(2) rather agree	616 14.2%	620 14.3%	289 13.6%	330 15.0%	76 15.1%	94 13.7%	109 13.6%	152 13.6%	189 15.5%	132 13.5%	240 15.1%	248 14.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1523 35.2%	1536 35.5%	689 32.5%	847 38.4%	157 31.3%	269 39.1%	284 35.3%	382 34.2%	443 36.5%	376 38.8%	589 37.1%	570 32.3%
(4) rather disagree	1244 28.7%	1246 28.8%	609 28.7%	637 28.9%	142 28.2%	184 26.8%	244 30.3%	334 29.9%	343 28.2%	261 26.9%	426 26.8%	559 31.7%
(5) completely disagree	696 16.1%	675 15.6%	382 18.0%	292 13.3%	101 20.1%	101 14.7%	120 14.9%	178 15.9%	175 14.4%	142 14.6%	236 14.9%	297 16.8%
Top Box (1+2)	867 20.0%	870 20.1%	442 20.8%	428 19.4%	103 20.4%	133 19.4%	156 19.4%	224 20.0%	254 20.9%	191 19.7%	338 21.3%	341 19.3%
Middle Box (3)	1523 35.2%	1536 35.5%	689 32.5%	847 38.4%	157 31.3%	269 39.1%	284 35.3%	382 34.2%	443 36.5%	376 38.8%	589 37.1%	570 32.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1940 44.8%	1921 44.4%	992 46.7%	929 42.2%	242 48.3%	285 41.5%	364 45.2%	512 45.8%	518 42.6%	403 41.6%	662 41.7%	856 48.4%
Mean	3,35	3,34	3,37	3,32	3,43	3,31	3,35	3,35	3,31	3,30	3,29	3,41
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1269 22.7%	1271 22.7%	620 22.6%	651 22.8%	141 21.9%	182 20.9%	217 21.2%	348 23.7%	384 24.0%	437 31.0%	440 21.7%	394 18.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies mainly benefit large companies.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4347 77.6%	4346 77.6%	2133 77.8%	2213 77.5%	504 78.3%	692 79.6%	806 78.9%	1121 76.5%	1224 76.5%	980 69.6%	1599 78.8%	1767 81.8%
<b>New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.</b>												
(1) completely agree	764 17.6%	776 17.8%	364 17.1%	412 18.6%	90 17.9%	116 16.8%	122 15.1%	207 18.5%	240 19.6%	207 21.1%	281 17.6%	287 16.3%
(2) rather agree	1382 31.8%	1377 31.7%	638 29.9%	738 33.4%	171 33.9%	204 29.5%	268 33.2%	339 30.3%	395 32.3%	324 33.1%	513 32.1%	540 30.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1690 38.9%	1686 38.8%	845 39.6%	840 38.0%	186 37.0%	288 41.6%	325 40.3%	422 37.6%	466 38.1%	356 36.3%	642 40.1%	689 39.0%
(4) rather disagree	398 9.2%	399 9.2%	221 10.4%	178 8.0%	40 8.0%	64 9.2%	79 9.8%	124 11.1%	92 7.5%	67 6.9%	133 8.3%	198 11.2%
(5) completely disagree	113 2.6%	110 2.5%	64 3.0%	45 2.0%	16 3.2%	20 2.9%	12 1.5%	29 2.6%	31 2.6%	26 2.7%	31 1.9%	53 3.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2146 49.4%	2152 49.5%	1002 47.0%	1150 52.0%	261 51.8%	320 46.3%	390 48.4%	547 48.7%	635 51.9%	531 54.2%	794 49.6%	828 46.8%
Middle Box (3)	1690 38.9%	1686 38.8%	845 39.6%	840 38.0%	186 37.0%	288 41.6%	325 40.3%	422 37.6%	466 38.1%	356 36.3%	642 40.1%	689 39.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	511 11.8%	508 11.7%	285 13.4%	223 10.1%	56 11.2%	84 12.2%	91 11.4%	153 13.7%	123 10.1%	93 9.5%	164 10.2%	251 14.2%
Mean	2,47	2,47	2,52	2,42	2,45	2,52	2,49	2,49	2,41	2,37	2,45	2,54
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1252 22.4%	1251 22.4%	609 22.2%	642 22.5%	140 21.7%	177 20.4%	215 21.1%	344 23.5%	376 23.5%	428 30.4%	430 21.2%	394 18.2%

BACES




### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Solar energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Solar energy</b>												
(1) very much	1307 29.9%	1325 30.3%	726 33.9%	599 26.9%	142 28.0%	206 29.7%	223 27.6%	342 30.3%	412 33.5%	256 25.9%	497 30.9%	573 32.3%
(2) rather much	1868 42.8%	1893 43.4%	925 43.2%	968 43.5%	201 39.7%	279 40.3%	348 43.1%	494 43.7%	571 46.4%	430 43.6%	666 41.4%	797 45.0%
(3) rather little	869 19.9%	843 19.3%	367 17.2%	476 21.4%	115 22.7%	144 20.8%	185 22.9%	222 19.7%	177 14.4%	204 20.7%	330 20.6%	309 17.4%
(4) very little	229 5.2%	214 4.9%	88 4.1%	126 5.6%	36 7.1%	48 7.0%	33 4.1%	57 5.0%	40 3.2%	61 6.1%	78 4.9%	75 4.2%
(5) nothing at all	95 2.2%	92 2.1%	34 1.6%	58 2.6%	13 2.5%	15 2.2%	18 2.3%	15 1.3%	31 2.5%	36 3.7%	37 2.3%	19 1.1%
Top Box (1+2)	3175 72.7%	3218 73.7%	1651 77.1%	1567 70.4%	343 67.7%	485 70.1%	571 70.7%	836 74.0%	983 79.9%	686 69.5%	1162 72.3%	1370 77.3%
Middle Box (3)	869 19.9%	843 19.3%	367 17.2%	476 21.4%	115 22.7%	144 20.8%	185 22.9%	222 19.7%	177 14.4%	204 20.7%	330 20.6%	309 17.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	324 7.4%	306 7.0%	122 5.7%	184 8.3%	49 9.6%	63 9.2%	52 6.4%	72 6.3%	71 5.7%	97 9.8%	115 7.2%	94 5.3%
Mean	2,07	2,05	1,96	2,14	2,16	2,12	2,10	2,03	1,95	2,18	2,06	1,97
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - IT and computer technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: IT and computer technology</b>												
(1) very much	1133 25.9%	1098 25.1%	636 29.7%	463 20.8%	159 31.5%	178 25.7%	197 24.3%	262 23.1%	303 24.6%	194 19.7%	385 23.9%	519 29.3%
(2) rather much	1787 40.9%	1819 41.7%	946 44.2%	874 39.2%	182 35.9%	274 39.5%	337 41.7%	474 41.9%	553 44.9%	387 39.3%	682 42.4%	749 42.3%
(3) rather little	1024 23.4%	1025 23.5%	408 19.1%	616 27.7%	115 22.6%	169 24.4%	200 24.7%	271 24.0%	270 21.9%	265 26.8%	383 23.8%	377 21.3%
(4) very little	298 6.8%	296 6.8%	100 4.7%	196 8.8%	37 7.3%	56 8.1%	50 6.2%	87 7.7%	65 5.3%	93 9.4%	109 6.8%	93 5.3%
(5) nothing at all	126 2.9%	130 3.0%	52 2.4%	78 3.5%	14 2.7%	15 2.2%	25 3.1%	36 3.2%	40 3.3%	48 4.8%	48 3.0%	34 1.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2920 66.8%	2917 66.8%	1581 73.9%	1336 60.0%	341 67.4%	452 65.2%	533 66.0%	735 65.1%	856 69.5%	582 58.9%	1067 66.4%	1269 71.5%
Middle Box (3)	1024 23.4%	1025 23.5%	408 19.1%	616 27.7%	115 22.6%	169 24.4%	200 24.7%	271 24.0%	270 21.9%	265 26.8%	383 23.8%	377 21.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	424 9.7%	425 9.7%	151 7.1%	274 12.3%	51 10.0%	71 10.3%	75 9.2%	123 10.9%	106 8.6%	140 14.2%	157 9.8%	128 7.2%
Mean	2,20	2,21	2,06	2,35	2,14	2,22	2,22	2,26	2,18	2,40	2,22	2,08
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology</b>												
(1) very much	411 9.4%	408 9.3%	259 12.1%	149 6.7%	51 10.1%	69 9.9%	73 9.0%	112 9.9%	103 8.3%	75 7.6%	149 9.3%	184 10.4%
(2) rather much	1114 25.5%	1113 25.5%	628 29.4%	485 21.8%	116 23.0%	187 27.1%	211 26.1%	280 24.8%	319 25.9%	187 19.0%	411 25.5%	515 29.1%
(3) rather little	1638 37.5%	1650 37.8%	758 35.4%	892 40.1%	184 36.4%	261 37.7%	302 37.4%	437 38.7%	465 37.8%	386 39.2%	588 36.6%	675 38.1%
(4) very little	734 16.8%	726 16.6%	341 15.9%	385 17.3%	95 18.8%	114 16.5%	141 17.4%	168 14.9%	207 16.8%	189 19.1%	252 15.7%	285 16.1%
(5) nothing at all	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	155 7.2%	316 14.2%	59 11.7%	61 8.8%	81 10.1%	133 11.7%	137 11.1%	150 15.2%	208 13.0%	113 6.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1525 34.9%	1521 34.8%	887 41.4%	634 28.5%	168 33.1%	256 37.0%	283 35.1%	392 34.7%	421 34.2%	262 26.5%	559 34.8%	699 39.4%
Middle Box (3)	1638 37.5%	1650 37.8%	758 35.4%	892 40.1%	184 36.4%	261 37.7%	302 37.4%	437 38.7%	465 37.8%	386 39.2%	588 36.6%	675 38.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1205 27.6%	1197 27.4%	496 23.2%	701 31.5%	155 30.5%	176 25.3%	222 27.5%	301 26.6%	344 28.0%	338 34.3%	460 28.6%	398 22.5%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,77	3,11	2,99	2,87	2,93	2,94	2,96	3,15	2,98	2,79
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology Groups</b>												
(1) high awareness	1525 34.9%	1521 34.8%	887 41.4%	634 28.5%	168 33.1%	256 37.0%	283 35.1%	392 34.7%	421 34.2%	262 26.5%	559 34.8%	699 39.4%
(2) low awareness	2372 54.3%	2376 54.4%	1099 51.3%	1277 57.3%	280 55.2%	375 54.2%	443 54.8%	605 53.6%	672 54.6%	575 58.3%	840 52.2%	961 54.2%
(3) no awareness	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	155 7.2%	316 14.2%	59 11.7%	61 8.8%	81 10.1%	133 11.7%	137 11.1%	150 15.2%	208 13.0%	113 6.4%
Top Box (1+2)	3897 89.2%	3896 89.2%	1986 92.8%	1911 85.8%	447 88.3%	631 91.2%	726 89.9%	997 88.3%	1094 88.9%	837 84.8%	1399 87.0%	1660 93.6%
Middle Box (3)	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	155 7.2%	316 14.2%	59 11.7%	61 8.8%	81 10.1%	133 11.7%	137 11.1%	150 15.2%	208 13.0%	113 6.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,76	1,76	1,66	1,86	1,79	1,72	1,75	1,77	1,77	1,89	1,78	1,67
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nuclear energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Nuclear energy</b>												
(1) very much	666 15.2%	683 15.6%	394 18.4%	289 13.0%	73 14.5%	106 15.4%	104 12.8%	171 15.2%	228 18.5%	108 10.9%	269 16.7%	306 17.3%
(2) rather much	1276 29.2%	1278 29.3%	702 32.8%	576 25.8%	141 27.9%	204 29.5%	224 27.7%	334 29.6%	374 30.4%	238 24.1%	474 29.5%	566 31.9%
(3) rather little	1452 33.2%	1469 33.6%	662 30.9%	808 36.3%	159 31.4%	224 32.4%	291 36.1%	396 35.1%	398 32.4%	372 37.7%	508 31.6%	590 33.3%
(4) very little	619 14.2%	598 13.7%	266 12.4%	333 14.9%	79 15.6%	103 14.8%	124 15.3%	138 12.2%	155 12.6%	151 15.3%	216 13.4%	231 13.0%
(5) nothing at all	355 8.1%	339 7.8%	118 5.5%	222 9.9%	53 10.5%	55 7.9%	65 8.0%	90 8.0%	76 6.2%	119 12.0%	140 8.7%	80 4.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1942 44.5%	1960 44.9%	1096 51.2%	865 38.8%	215 42.4%	311 44.9%	327 40.6%	506 44.8%	602 48.9%	345 35.0%	744 46.3%	872 49.2%
Middle Box (3)	1452 33.2%	1469 33.6%	662 30.9%	808 36.3%	159 31.4%	224 32.4%	291 36.1%	396 35.1%	398 32.4%	372 37.7%	508 31.6%	590 33.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	974 22.3%	938 21.5%	383 17.9%	554 24.9%	133 26.2%	158 22.8%	189 23.4%	228 20.2%	231 18.7%	270 27.4%	356 22.2%	311 17.6%
Mean	2,71	2,69	2,54	2,83	2,80	2,70	2,78	2,68	2,58	2,93	2,68	2,56
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Robot technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Robot technology</b>												
(1) very much	642 14.7%	631 14.5%	385 18.0%	246 11.0%	81 16.0%	106 15.4%	114 14.1%	159 14.0%	171 13.9%	105 10.6%	244 15.2%	283 15.9%
(2) rather much	1586 36.3%	1590 36.4%	852 39.8%	738 33.1%	181 35.8%	248 35.8%	267 33.1%	420 37.2%	474 38.5%	310 31.4%	597 37.1%	683 38.5%
(3) rather little	1438 32.9%	1442 33.0%	644 30.1%	799 35.9%	161 31.7%	229 33.1%	280 34.7%	377 33.3%	396 32.2%	353 35.8%	513 31.9%	576 32.5%
(4) very little	465 10.6%	463 10.6%	176 8.2%	286 12.9%	57 11.3%	73 10.5%	95 11.8%	119 10.6%	118 9.6%	121 12.3%	170 10.6%	171 9.6%
(5) nothing at all	237 5.4%	241 5.5%	83 3.9%	157 7.1%	26 5.2%	36 5.2%	51 6.3%	55 4.9%	72 5.8%	97 9.8%	83 5.2%	61 3.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2228 51.0%	2222 50.9%	1237 57.8%	984 44.2%	262 51.8%	354 51.2%	381 47.2%	579 51.2%	645 52.4%	415 42.1%	841 52.3%	965 54.4%
Middle Box (3)	1438 32.9%	1442 33.0%	644 30.1%	799 35.9%	161 31.7%	229 33.1%	280 34.7%	377 33.3%	396 32.2%	353 35.8%	513 31.9%	576 32.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	702 16.1%	704 16.1%	260 12.1%	444 19.9%	84 16.5%	109 15.8%	146 18.1%	175 15.5%	190 15.4%	218 22.1%	254 15.8%	232 13.1%
Mean	2,56	2,56	2,40	2,72	2,54	2,54	2,63	2,55	2,55	2,79	2,53	2,46
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Wind energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Wind energy</b>												
(1) very much	1094 25.0%	1125 25.8%	616 28.8%	509 22.9%	120 23.6%	156 22.5%	171 21.2%	306 27.0%	373 30.3%	216 21.9%	442 27.5%	467 26.4%
(2) rather much	1783 40.8%	1811 41.5%	924 43.2%	886 39.8%	187 36.9%	262 37.8%	330 40.9%	478 42.3%	554 45.0%	394 39.9%	632 39.3%	785 44.3%
(3) rather little	1056 24.2%	1027 23.5%	439 20.5%	588 26.4%	131 25.8%	194 28.0%	221 27.4%	265 23.4%	216 17.6%	244 24.7%	385 23.9%	398 22.5%
(4) very little	295 6.8%	274 6.3%	109 5.1%	165 7.4%	46 9.2%	55 7.9%	60 7.4%	62 5.4%	51 4.1%	81 8.2%	96 5.9%	97 5.5%
(5) nothing at all	140 3.2%	131 3.0%	52 2.4%	79 3.6%	23 4.5%	26 3.8%	25 3.1%	20 1.8%	37 3.0%	52 5.3%	53 3.3%	26 1.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2877 65.9%	2936 67.2%	1541 72.0%	1395 62.6%	307 60.5%	418 60.3%	501 62.1%	784 69.4%	926 75.3%	610 61.8%	1074 66.8%	1252 70.6%
Middle Box (3)	1056 24.2%	1027 23.5%	439 20.5%	588 26.4%	131 25.8%	194 28.0%	221 27.4%	265 23.4%	216 17.6%	244 24.7%	385 23.9%	398 22.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	435 10.0%	405 9.3%	161 7.5%	244 11.0%	69 13.7%	81 11.7%	85 10.5%	81 7.2%	88 7.2%	133 13.5%	149 9.3%	123 6.9%
Mean	2,22	2,19	2,09	2,29	2,34	2,33	2,30	2,13	2,05	2,35	2,18	2,11
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Genetic engineering


Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	2141 78.1%	2227 78.0%	507 78.7%	693 79.7%	808 79.1%	1130 77.1%	1231 77.0%	987 70.1%	1608 79.2%	1773 82.1%
<b>Heard or read about: Genetic Engineering</b>												
(1) very much	645 14.8%	650 14.9%	316 14.7%	334 15.0%	83 16.3%	88 12.7%	99 12.2%	163 14.4%	218 17.7%	121 12.3%	219 13.6%	309 17.5%
(2) rather much	1476 33.8%	1498 34.3%	728 34.0%	771 34.6%	151 29.8%	221 31.9%	286 35.4%	422 37.3%	419 34.1%	280 28.4%	522 32.5%	695 39.2%
(3) rather little	1495 34.2%	1501 34.4%	750 35.0%	750 33.7%	169 33.3%	246 35.5%	284 35.1%	379 33.5%	424 34.4%	376 38.1%	575 35.7%	550 31.0%
(4) very little	496 11.4%	476 10.9%	245 11.5%	231 10.4%	66 13.1%	90 12.9%	91 11.2%	115 10.1%	115 9.3%	124 12.5%	183 11.4%	170 9.6%
(5) nothing at all	256 5.9%	243 5.6%	102 4.8%	141 6.3%	38 7.5%	49 7.0%	49 6.1%	52 4.6%	55 4.5%	86 8.7%	108 6.7%	49 2.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2121 48.6%	2148 49.2%	1043 48.7%	1105 49.6%	234 46.1%	308 44.5%	384 47.6%	585 51.8%	637 51.8%	401 40.7%	742 46.1%	1005 56.7%
Middle Box (3)	1495 34.2%	1501 34.4%	750 35.0%	750 33.7%	169 33.3%	246 35.5%	284 35.1%	379 33.5%	424 34.4%	376 38.1%	575 35.7%	550 31.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	752 17.2%	719 16.5%	347 16.2%	372 16.7%	104 20.6%	138 19.9%	140 17.3%	167 14.7%	170 13.8%	209 21.2%	291 18.1%	218 12.3%
Mean	2,60	2,58	2,57	2,58	2,66	2,70	2,64	2,53	2,49	2,77	2,65	2,41
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	601 21.9%	629 22.0%	137 21.3%	176 20.3%	213 20.9%	335 22.9%	369 23.0%	421 29.9%	421 20.8%	388 17.9%

BACES






## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Solar energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4025 71.9%	4042 72.2%	2011 73.3%	2031 71.1%	455 70.8%	628 72.2%	754 73.9%	1055 72.0%	1150 71.9%	884 62.8%	1486 73.3%	1672 77.4%
<b>Effects for the future: Solar energy</b>												
(1) very positive effect	1952 48.5%	1962 48.5%	977 48.6%	985 48.5%	231 50.8%	286 45.6%	333 44.2%	556 52.7%	554 48.2%	475 53.7%	721 48.5%	766 45.8%
(2)	636 15.8%	663 16.4%	341 17.0%	322 15.9%	60 13.2%	94 14.9%	110 14.5%	162 15.4%	238 20.7%	120 13.6%	236 15.9%	307 18.4%
(3)	535 13.3%	545 13.5%	277 13.8%	268 13.2%	54 11.8%	83 13.3%	122 16.2%	139 13.2%	147 12.7%	99 11.2%	185 12.5%	260 15.6%
(4)	285 7.1%	282 7.0%	149 7.4%	133 6.6%	29 6.4%	48 7.7%	56 7.4%	72 6.8%	78 6.8%	51 5.8%	111 7.5%	119 7.1%
(5)	181 4.5%	173 4.3%	90 4.5%	84 4.1%	18 4.1%	37 5.8%	46 6.1%	31 3.0%	41 3.6%	36 4.1%	77 5.2%	60 3.6%
(6)	323 8.0%	311 7.7%	120 6.0%	191 9.4%	45 9.9%	55 8.8%	68 9.1%	73 6.9%	69 6.0%	82 9.3%	115 7.7%	114 6.8%
(7)	51 1.3%	47 1.2%	24 1.2%	23 1.1%	10 2.2%	9 1.5%	8 1.1%	9 0.8%	11 0.9%	9 1.0%	18 1.2%	20 1.2%
(8)	30 0.7%	30 0.7%	15 0.8%	15 0.7%	2 0.5%	4 0.7%	10 1.3%	7 0.7%	6 0.5%	3 0.3%	11 0.8%	16 0.9%
(9)	18 0.4%	16 0.4%	9 0.5%	7 0.3%	3 0.7%	6 0.9%	1 0.1%	3 0.3%	4 0.3%	3 0.3%	7 0.5%	6 0.4%
(10)	2 0.0%	2 0.0%	2 0.1%	0 -	0 -	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.0%
(11) very negative effect	12 0.3%	10 0.3%	5 0.3%	5 0.2%	2 0.5%	4 0.7%	0 -	1 0.1%	3 0.2%	4 0.5%	4 0.3%	2 0.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	2,42	2,38	2,34	2,42	2,49	2,61	2,55	2,23	2,25	2,33	2,42	2,38
missing	1574 28.1%	1556 27.8%	731 26.7%	824 28.9%	188 29.2%	241 27.8%	267 26.1%	410 28.0%	449 28.1%	524 37.2%	543 26.7%	489 22.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - IT and computer technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3921 70.0%	3917 70.0%	1978 72.1%	1940 67.9%	454 70.6%	617 71.1%	732 71.7%	999 68.2%	1115 69.7%	839 59.6%	1443 71.1%	1635 75.7%
<b>Effects for the future: IT and computer technology</b>												
(1) very positive effect	999 25.5%	1008 25.7%	570 28.8%	438 22.6%	121 26.7%	138 22.4%	174 23.7%	269 26.9%	306 27.4%	231 27.5%	371 25.7%	405 24.8%
(2)	666 17.0%	702 17.9%	398 20.1%	304 15.7%	58 12.8%	84 13.6%	116 15.9%	184 18.4%	260 23.3%	132 15.7%	263 18.2%	307 18.8%
(3)	733 18.7%	735 18.8%	374 18.9%	361 18.6%	86 19.0%	118 19.1%	135 18.4%	179 17.9%	216 19.4%	145 17.3%	264 18.3%	326 19.9%
(4)	489 12.5%	490 12.5%	216 10.9%	274 14.1%	54 11.8%	78 12.6%	96 13.1%	142 14.2%	120 10.8%	92 10.9%	185 12.8%	214 13.1%
(5)	312 8.0%	301 7.7%	132 6.7%	169 8.7%	37 8.1%	54 8.7%	78 10.6%	63 6.3%	70 6.3%	72 8.5%	113 7.8%	117 7.1%
(6)	487 12.4%	463 11.8%	188 9.5%	276 14.2%	61 13.5%	101 16.3%	92 12.6%	116 11.6%	93 8.3%	126 15.0%	175 12.1%	163 9.9%
(7)	114 2.9%	102 2.6%	46 2.3%	56 2.9%	21 4.6%	22 3.5%	23 3.2%	19 1.9%	18 1.6%	17 2.0%	37 2.6%	48 2.9%
(8)	54 1.4%	54 1.4%	28 1.4%	25 1.3%	5 1.1%	11 1.8%	8 1.1%	13 1.3%	16 1.4%	11 1.3%	19 1.3%	25 1.5%
(9)	30 0.8%	29 0.7%	9 0.5%	19 1.0%	5 1.1%	6 1.0%	3 0.4%	6 0.6%	8 0.7%	7 0.8%	8 0.6%	14 0.8%
(10)	8 0.2%	7 0.2%	4 0.2%	3 0.2%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	3 0.4%	3 0.3%	0 -	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	4 0.3%
(11) very negative effect	29 0.7%	28 0.7%	13 0.6%	15 0.8%	5 1.0%	4 0.7%	4 0.6%	6 0.6%	8 0.7%	6 0.8%	8 0.5%	14 0.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	3,28	3,23	3,01	3,45	3,43	3,56	3,37	3,14	2,94	3,29	3,20	3,22
missing	1678 30.0%	1680 30.0%	764 27.9%	916 32.1%	189 29.4%	251 28.9%	289 28.3%	466 31.8%	485 30.3%	569 40.4%	585 28.9%	526 24.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3143 56.1%	3149 56.3%	1633 59.6%	1515 53.1%	350 54.4%	515 59.2%	584 57.2%	823 56.2%	877 54.9%	639 45.4%	1142 56.3%	1368 63.3%
<b>Effects for the future: Nanotechnology</b>												
(1) very positive effect	674 21.4%	687 21.8%	443 27.1%	244 16.1%	78 22.2%	86 16.7%	121 20.8%	204 24.8%	198 22.5%	144 22.5%	235 20.6%	307 22.5%
(2)	418 13.3%	430 13.7%	237 14.5%	194 12.8%	36 10.3%	70 13.5%	73 12.5%	125 15.2%	127 14.5%	72 11.2%	159 13.9%	200 14.6%
(3)	509 16.2%	517 16.4%	300 18.4%	217 14.3%	51 14.5%	92 18.0%	79 13.5%	129 15.7%	165 18.9%	88 13.8%	198 17.3%	231 16.9%
(4)	374 11.9%	366 11.6%	171 10.5%	195 12.9%	42 12.1%	67 13.0%	77 13.2%	98 11.9%	81 9.3%	64 10.0%	133 11.7%	168 12.3%
(5)	290 9.2%	292 9.3%	137 8.4%	156 10.3%	27 7.9%	51 10.0%	56 9.7%	72 8.7%	85 9.7%	57 8.9%	107 9.3%	129 9.4%
(6)	666 21.2%	648 20.6%	257 15.7%	391 25.8%	90 25.7%	107 20.9%	134 23.0%	153 18.6%	163 18.6%	171 26.8%	231 20.2%	246 18.0%
(7)	89 2.8%	84 2.7%	40 2.5%	44 2.9%	13 3.8%	20 4.0%	18 3.0%	12 1.5%	20 2.3%	9 1.4%	34 3.0%	41 3.0%
(8)	48 1.5%	49 1.5%	18 1.1%	31 2.0%	6 1.8%	6 1.2%	10 1.8%	13 1.5%	13 1.5%	7 1.1%	22 1.9%	19 1.4%
(9)	28 0.9%	30 0.9%	11 0.7%	18 1.2%	3 0.8%	2 0.5%	5 0.8%	11 1.3%	8 0.9%	10 1.6%	7 0.6%	13 0.9%
(10)	9 0.3%	9 0.3%	5 0.3%	5 0.3%	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	3 0.5%	0 -	4 0.5%	1 0.2%	4 0.3%	4 0.3%
(11) very negative effect	38 1.2%	37 1.2%	15 0.9%	22 1.5%	2 0.6%	11 2.1%	7 1.2%	5 0.6%	12 1.4%	16 2.5%	12 1.1%	10 0.7%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	3,74	3,70	3,35	4,09	3,86	3,92	3,87	3,47	3,62	3,93	3,72	3,58
missing	2456 43.9%	2449 43.7%	1108 40.4%	1340 46.9%	293 45.6%	354 40.8%	437 42.8%	642 43.8%	722 45.1%	769 54.6%	887 43.7%	793 36.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3143 56.1%	3149 56.3%	1633 59.6%	1515 53.1%	350 54.4%	515 59.2%	584 57.2%	823 56.2%	877 54.9%	639 45.4%	1142 56.3%	1368 63.3%
<b>Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity</b>												
(1) enthusiastic	674 21.4%	687 21.8%	443 27.1%	244 16.1%	78 22.2%	86 16.7%	121 20.8%	204 24.8%	198 22.5%	144 22.5%	235 20.6%	307 22.5%
(2) positive	927 29.5%	947 30.1%	537 32.9%	410 27.1%	87 24.9%	162 31.5%	151 25.9%	255 30.9%	292 33.3%	160 25.1%	357 31.2%	430 31.5%
(3) rather positive	664 21.1%	658 20.9%	307 18.8%	351 23.1%	70 19.9%	118 22.9%	134 22.9%	170 20.6%	167 19.0%	121 19.0%	240 21.0%	297 21.7%
(4) neutral	666 21.2%	648 20.6%	257 15.7%	391 25.8%	90 25.7%	107 20.9%	134 23.0%	153 18.6%	163 18.6%	171 26.8%	231 20.2%	246 18.0%
(5) negative	212 6.7%	209 6.6%	89 5.5%	120 7.9%	26 7.3%	41 8.0%	43 7.3%	41 5.0%	58 6.6%	43 6.7%	79 6.9%	87 6.4%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	2,62	2,60	2,40	2,82	2,71	2,72	2,70	2,48	2,53	2,70	2,62	2,54
missing	2456 43.9%	2449 43.7%	1108 40.4%	1340 46.9%	293 45.6%	354 40.8%	437 42.8%	642 43.8%	722 45.1%	769 54.6%	887 43.7%	793 36.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nuclear energy**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3366 60.1%	3399 60.7%	1739 63.4%	1660 58.1%	372 57.8%	533 61.3%	615 60.3%	891 60.8%	989 61.8%	709 50.4%	1241 61.2%	1449 67.1%
<b>Effects for the future: Nuclear energy</b>												
(1) very positive effect	210 6.2%	213 6.3%	118 6.8%	95 5.7%	24 6.4%	31 5.9%	32 5.3%	60 6.8%	65 6.6%	47 6.6%	79 6.4%	87 6.0%
(2)	137 4.1%	141 4.2%	81 4.7%	60 3.6%	13 3.6%	23 4.3%	20 3.3%	40 4.5%	45 4.5%	27 3.8%	53 4.3%	61 4.2%
(3)	281 8.3%	295 8.7%	157 9.0%	138 8.3%	26 7.0%	40 7.6%	51 8.3%	79 8.9%	98 9.9%	58 8.1%	127 10.2%	111 7.6%
(4)	277 8.2%	285 8.4%	140 8.0%	145 8.7%	29 7.8%	41 7.8%	51 8.4%	90 10.1%	73 7.4%	60 8.5%	114 9.2%	111 7.7%
(5)	288 8.6%	292 8.6%	144 8.3%	148 8.9%	26 7.1%	61 11.4%	50 8.1%	69 7.7%	87 8.8%	63 8.9%	101 8.1%	129 8.9%
(6)	714 21.2%	729 21.4%	350 20.1%	379 22.8%	76 20.4%	107 20.1%	135 21.9%	207 23.3%	204 20.6%	173 24.4%	270 21.7%	286 19.8%
(7)	205 6.1%	205 6.0%	112 6.4%	94 5.7%	23 6.1%	36 6.8%	36 5.8%	40 4.5%	71 7.2%	31 4.4%	77 6.2%	97 6.7%
(8)	237 7.0%	223 6.6%	117 6.7%	106 6.4%	37 10.0%	32 6.1%	51 8.2%	38 4.3%	65 6.5%	38 5.4%	81 6.5%	104 7.2%
(9)	273 8.1%	272 8.0%	142 8.2%	131 7.9%	39 10.5%	33 6.1%	51 8.4%	61 6.9%	88 8.9%	54 7.6%	81 6.5%	138 9.5%
(10)	162 4.8%	164 4.8%	81 4.7%	83 5.0%	15 4.0%	21 4.0%	37 6.0%	52 5.9%	38 3.9%	28 4.0%	49 3.9%	87 6.0%
(11) very negative effect	582 17.3%	578 17.0%	297 17.1%	282 17.0%	64 17.1%	107 20.0%	100 16.2%	153 17.2%	155 15.7%	130 18.3%	211 17.0%	238 16.4%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	6,53	6,48	6,44	6,52	6,69	6,57	6,63	6,37	6,37	6,47	6,33	6,62
missing	2233 39.9%	2198 39.3%	1002 36.6%	1196 41.9%	271 42.2%	336 38.7%	406 39.7%	574 39.2%	611 38.2%	699 49.6%	788 38.8%	711 32.9%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Robot technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3646 65.1%	3642 65.1%	1872 68.3%	1770 62.0%	422 65.5%	580 66.8%	660 64.7%	949 64.8%	1031 64.5%	762 54.1%	1346 66.4%	1534 71.0%
<b>Effects for the future: Robot technology</b>												
(1) very positive effect	550 15.1%	560 15.4%	344 18.4%	216 12.2%	62 14.6%	78 13.4%	86 13.1%	167 17.6%	166 16.1%	116 15.2%	228 16.9%	216 14.1%
(2)	438 12.0%	454 12.5%	248 13.2%	206 11.7%	35 8.4%	68 11.7%	82 12.5%	115 12.1%	154 15.0%	89 11.7%	148 11.0%	217 14.1%
(3)	615 16.9%	628 17.2%	368 19.6%	260 14.7%	62 14.8%	94 16.2%	105 15.9%	172 18.1%	195 18.9%	133 17.4%	225 16.7%	270 17.6%
(4)	488 13.4%	493 13.5%	261 13.9%	232 13.1%	58 13.7%	72 12.3%	87 13.2%	139 14.6%	137 13.3%	93 12.2%	187 13.9%	212 13.9%
(5)	383 10.5%	378 10.4%	182 9.7%	196 11.1%	45 10.7%	62 10.7%	87 13.2%	77 8.1%	106 10.3%	78 10.3%	144 10.7%	155 10.1%
(6)	691 19.0%	683 18.8%	270 14.4%	413 23.3%	87 20.6%	119 20.6%	125 18.9%	174 18.3%	179 17.3%	170 22.3%	252 18.7%	262 17.1%
(7)	165 4.5%	155 4.3%	81 4.3%	74 4.2%	25 5.8%	34 5.8%	25 3.7%	37 3.9%	36 3.5%	26 3.4%	53 3.9%	76 5.0%
(8)	130 3.6%	120 3.3%	56 3.0%	64 3.6%	20 4.8%	22 3.8%	25 3.8%	28 3.0%	25 2.4%	19 2.4%	39 2.9%	63 4.1%
(9)	79 2.2%	73 2.0%	25 1.3%	48 2.7%	13 3.0%	14 2.4%	14 2.2%	16 1.7%	15 1.5%	15 1.9%	31 2.3%	27 1.7%
(10)	30 0.8%	27 0.7%	8 0.4%	19 1.1%	3 0.8%	6 1.0%	8 1.2%	9 0.9%	1 0.1%	4 0.5%	12 0.9%	11 0.7%
(11) very negative effect	77 2.1%	72 2.0%	30 1.6%	42 2.4%	12 2.9%	13 2.2%	15 2.2%	16 1.7%	17 1.6%	21 2.7%	27 2.0%	25 1.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	4,21	4,14	3,83	4,47	4,53	4,37	4,31	3,99	3,87	4,20	4,13	4,12
missing	1953 34.9%	1955 34.9%	870 31.7%	1085 38.0%	222 34.5%	289 33.2%	360 35.3%	516 35.2%	569 35.5%	646 45.9%	683 33.6%	627 29.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Wind energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3911 69.9%	3941 70.4%	1970 71.8%	1971 69.0%	434 67.4%	609 70.1%	721 70.6%	1044 71.2%	1133 70.9%	848 60.2%	1451 71.5%	1642 76.0%
<b>Effects for the future: Wind energy</b>												
(1) very positive effect	1624 41.5%	1623 41.2%	817 41.5%	805 40.9%	195 45.0%	238 39.1%	275 38.2%	462 44.3%	452 39.9%	387 45.7%	600 41.4%	635 38.7%
(2)	686 17.5%	710 18.0%	358 18.2%	352 17.9%	69 15.8%	106 17.5%	117 16.2%	182 17.4%	237 20.9%	135 15.9%	252 17.4%	323 19.7%
(3)	567 14.5%	580 14.7%	306 15.6%	273 13.9%	53 12.2%	79 12.9%	119 16.6%	150 14.4%	179 15.8%	111 13.1%	215 14.8%	254 15.5%
(4)	357 9.1%	370 9.4%	181 9.2%	188 9.5%	33 7.5%	60 9.8%	73 10.1%	96 9.2%	108 9.5%	71 8.3%	131 9.0%	168 10.2%
(5)	187 4.8%	173 4.4%	96 4.9%	78 3.9%	26 6.0%	40 6.6%	36 4.9%	34 3.3%	37 3.3%	29 3.4%	71 4.9%	73 4.5%
(6)	362 9.3%	358 9.1%	145 7.4%	213 10.8%	40 9.2%	68 11.2%	71 9.9%	84 8.1%	95 8.4%	95 11.2%	137 9.5%	126 7.7%
(7)	54 1.4%	52 1.3%	29 1.5%	23 1.2%	10 2.3%	7 1.1%	11 1.5%	15 1.4%	9 0.8%	6 0.7%	23 1.6%	22 1.4%
(8)	24 0.6%	22 0.6%	13 0.7%	9 0.4%	4 1.0%	3 0.5%	8 1.1%	3 0.2%	4 0.3%	1 0.2%	6 0.4%	15 0.9%
(9)	21 0.5%	21 0.5%	8 0.4%	13 0.7%	2 0.4%	4 0.7%	5 0.7%	5 0.5%	6 0.5%	4 0.5%	7 0.5%	10 0.6%
(10)	10 0.3%	12 0.3%	6 0.3%	5 0.3%	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%	4 0.3%	5 0.4%	1 0.2%	3 0.2%	7 0.4%
(11) very negative effect	19 0.5%	20 0.5%	9 0.5%	11 0.5%	2 0.4%	3 0.4%	4 0.5%	9 0.8%	3 0.2%	6 0.8%	5 0.3%	8 0.5%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	2,63	2,62	2,58	2,67	2,62	2,76	2,79	2,53	2,53	2,55	2,63	2,66
missing	1688 30.1%	1657 29.6%	772 28.2%	885 31.0%	210 32.6%	260 29.9%	300 29.4%	422 28.8%	466 29.1%	560 39.8%	578 28.5%	519 24.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Genetic engineering

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3595 64.2%	3626 64.8%	1780 64.9%	1846 64.6%	400 62.2%	552 63.6%	667 65.3%	956 65.2%	1050 65.7%	768 54.6%	1309 64.5%	1549 71.7%
<b>Effects for the future: Genetic Engineering</b>												
(1) very positive effect	442 12.3%	444 12.2%	222 12.5%	222 12.0%	56 13.9%	61 11.0%	77 11.6%	124 12.9%	127 12.0%	101 13.1%	177 13.5%	166 10.7%
(2)	297 8.3%	326 9.0%	175 9.8%	151 8.2%	21 5.3%	35 6.4%	43 6.4%	105 11.0%	122 11.6%	53 6.9%	140 10.7%	133 8.6%
(3)	423 11.8%	433 11.9%	227 12.7%	206 11.2%	44 10.9%	67 12.1%	83 12.5%	108 11.3%	131 12.4%	78 10.2%	173 13.3%	181 11.7%
(4)	365 10.2%	365 10.1%	196 11.0%	169 9.1%	39 9.8%	55 10.0%	76 11.4%	93 9.7%	101 9.6%	65 8.4%	136 10.4%	165 10.6%
(5)	347 9.7%	337 9.3%	161 9.1%	175 9.5%	41 10.3%	64 11.6%	64 9.6%	80 8.4%	88 8.4%	53 6.9%	141 10.8%	142 9.2%
(6)	766 21.3%	767 21.1%	351 19.7%	415 22.5%	91 22.7%	120 21.8%	137 20.5%	216 22.6%	202 19.2%	201 26.2%	273 20.9%	292 18.9%
(7)	214 6.0%	213 5.9%	109 6.1%	104 5.6%	31 7.6%	29 5.3%	37 5.5%	45 4.7%	72 6.8%	41 5.3%	64 4.9%	108 7.0%
(8)	193 5.4%	190 5.2%	110 6.2%	80 4.3%	22 5.5%	30 5.4%	46 6.9%	41 4.3%	51 4.9%	31 4.1%	47 3.6%	112 7.2%
(9)	176 4.9%	178 4.9%	77 4.3%	100 5.4%	18 4.5%	35 6.4%	33 5.0%	33 3.5%	58 5.5%	47 6.2%	51 3.9%	79 5.1%
(10)	96 2.7%	95 2.6%	40 2.2%	55 3.0%	12 3.0%	14 2.5%	19 2.8%	31 3.3%	19 1.8%	21 2.8%	24 1.8%	50 3.2%
(11) very negative effect	276 7.7%	279 7.7%	112 6.3%	168 9.1%	26 6.5%	42 7.6%	52 7.9%	79 8.3%	80 7.6%	76 9.9%	82 6.3%	121 7.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
Mean	5,21	5,18	5,01	5,34	5,23	5,35	5,31	5,08	5,07	5,45	4,81	5,35
missing	2004 35.8%	1972 35.2%	962 35.1%	1010 35.4%	243 37.8%	317 36.4%	354 34.7%	509 34.8%	549 34.3%	640 45.4%	720 35.5%	612 28.3%


BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597


	Education level		
	low education	medium education	high education
Benefits of solar energy	1.52	1.54	1.51
Benefits of wind energy	1.61	1.66	1.65
Benefits of IT and computer technology	1.96	1.84	1.76
Benefits of robot technology	2.16	2.15	2.03
Benefits of nanotechnology	2.29	2.24	2.12
Benefits of genetic engineering	2.76	2.50	2.46
Benefits of nuclear energy	2.91	2.88	2.76



BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Age Group				
	18 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 60 years	61+ years
Risks of nuclear energy	1.86	1.77	1.81	1.73	1.61
Risks of genetic engineering	2.34	2.29	2.20	2.20	2.17
Risks of robot technology	2.67	2.80	2.92	3.02	3.05
Risks of nanotechnology	2.93	2.90	2.91	2.97	2.95
Risks of IT and computer technology	2.96	2.86	2.99	2.88	3.01
Risks of solar energy	3.84	3.83	3.89	4.03	4.00
Risks of wind energy	3.85	3.72	3.74	3.85	3.74



BACES






### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Education level		
	low education	medium education	high education
Risks of nuclear energy	1.78	1.80	1.64
Risks of genetic engineering	2.12	2.36	2.16
Risks of nanotechnology	2.90	2.95	2.95
Risks of IT and computer technology	2.92	2.98	2.93
Risks of robot technology	2.95	2.91	2.94
Risks of wind energy	3.77	3.74	3.82
Risks of solar energy	3.92	3.89	3.99



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nanotechnology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3134 56.0%	3141 56.1%	1633 59.6%	1507 52.8%	348 54.0%	515 59.3%	583 57.1%	823 56.2%	872 54.5%	640 45.5%	1137 56.0%	1364 63.1%
<b>Benefits of nanotechnology</b>												
(1) very great	788 25.1%	784 24.9%	488 29.9%	295 19.6%	92 26.3%	135 26.3%	128 22.0%	229 27.8%	200 22.9%	153 23.9%	268 23.6%	362 26.6%
(2) rather great	1135 36.2%	1142 36.3%	636 39.0%	505 33.5%	119 34.3%	180 34.9%	229 39.3%	282 34.3%	331 38.0%	213 33.3%	382 33.6%	546 40.1%
(3) moderate	1074 34.3%	1072 34.1%	443 27.1%	629 41.7%	124 35.6%	179 34.8%	200 34.3%	276 33.6%	293 33.6%	228 35.7%	438 38.5%	406 29.8%
(4) rather small	94 3.0%	101 3.2%	50 3.1%	51 3.4%	7 2.1%	13 2.6%	20 3.4%	26 3.1%	35 4.0%	25 3.8%	41 3.6%	35 2.6%
(5) very small	43 1.4%	43 1.4%	15 0.9%	27 1.8%	6 1.6%	8 1.5%	6 1.0%	10 1.2%	14 1.6%	21 3.3%	7 0.6%	14 1.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1923 61.4%	1925 61.3%	1125 68.8%	801 53.1%	211 60.7%	315 61.2%	357 61.3%	511 62.1%	531 60.9%	366 57.2%	650 57.2%	908 66.6%
Middle Box (3)	1074 34.3%	1072 34.1%	443 27.1%	629 41.7%	124 35.6%	179 34.8%	200 34.3%	276 33.6%	293 33.6%	228 35.7%	438 38.5%	406 29.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	137 4.4%	144 4.6%	66 4.0%	78 5.2%	13 3.8%	21 4.0%	26 4.4%	36 4.4%	48 5.5%	45 7.1%	49 4.3%	50 3.6%
Mean	2,19	2,20	2,06	2,34	2,18	2,18	2,22	2,16	2,23	2,29	2,24	2,12
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2465 44.0%	2456 43.9%	1108 40.4%	1348 47.2%	296 46.0%	354 40.7%	438 42.9%	642 43.8%	727 45.5%	768 54.5%	892 44.0%	797 36.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nanotechnology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3130 55.9%	3136 56.0%	1627 59.4%	1509 52.8%	347 53.9%	515 59.3%	584 57.2%	819 55.9%	870 54.4%	642 45.6%	1135 56.0%	1358 62.9%
<b>Risks of nanotechnology</b>												
(1) very great	215 6.9%	219 7.0%	132 8.1%	87 5.8%	20 5.9%	37 7.2%	50 8.6%	51 6.3%	60 6.9%	60 9.3%	71 6.3%	89 6.5%
(2) rather great	519 16.6%	509 16.2%	256 15.7%	254 16.8%	66 18.9%	89 17.4%	101 17.2%	118 14.5%	135 15.5%	100 15.5%	171 15.1%	239 17.6%
(3) moderate	1765 56.4%	1772 56.5%	863 53.0%	909 60.2%	192 55.3%	294 57.2%	311 53.2%	475 58.0%	500 57.4%	364 56.6%	677 59.6%	731 53.8%
(4) rather small	512 16.4%	517 16.5%	314 19.3%	202 13.4%	55 15.9%	75 14.6%	97 16.7%	151 18.4%	138 15.9%	81 12.7%	180 15.9%	255 18.8%
(5) very small	119 3.8%	119 3.8%	62 3.8%	56 3.7%	14 4.0%	19 3.7%	25 4.4%	24 2.9%	37 4.2%	38 5.9%	36 3.1%	45 3.3%
Top Box (1+2)	734 23.5%	729 23.2%	388 23.8%	341 22.6%	86 24.8%	126 24.5%	151 25.8%	170 20.7%	196 22.5%	159 24.8%	242 21.4%	327 24.1%
Middle Box (3)	1765 56.4%	1772 56.5%	863 53.0%	909 60.2%	192 55.3%	294 57.2%	311 53.2%	475 58.0%	500 57.4%	364 56.6%	677 59.6%	731 53.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	631 20.2%	635 20.3%	377 23.1%	259 17.1%	69 19.9%	94 18.3%	123 21.0%	174 21.3%	175 20.1%	119 18.6%	216 19.0%	300 22.1%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,95	2,92	2,93	2,90	2,91	2,97	2,95	2,90	2,95	2,95
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2469 44.1%	2461 44.0%	1115 40.6%	1347 47.2%	296 46.1%	354 40.7%	437 42.8%	646 44.1%	729 45.6%	766 54.4%	894 44.0%	802 37.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of genetic engineering?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1818 32.5%	1818 32.5%	899 32.8%	919 32.2%	211 32.7%	283 32.6%	341 33.4%	472 32.2%	512 32.0%	394 28.0%	639 31.5%	785 36.3%
<b>Benefits of genetic engineering</b>												
(1) very great	361 19.9%	362 19.9%	184 20.4%	179 19.4%	43 20.4%	55 19.3%	62 18.1%	107 22.6%	97 18.9%	68 17.3%	125 19.5%	169 21.6%
(2) rather great	550 30.3%	544 29.9%	295 32.9%	249 27.0%	68 32.1%	86 30.4%	103 30.2%	137 29.0%	151 29.4%	99 25.1%	194 30.3%	251 32.0%
(3) moderate	618 34.0%	606 33.4%	283 31.4%	324 35.2%	77 36.4%	104 36.8%	121 35.5%	146 31.0%	158 31.0%	137 34.7%	228 35.6%	242 30.9%
(4) rather small	174 9.6%	180 9.9%	83 9.3%	97 10.6%	16 7.8%	24 8.4%	35 10.2%	44 9.4%	61 11.9%	42 10.6%	63 9.8%	76 9.7%
(5) very small	115 6.3%	125 6.9%	54 6.0%	71 7.7%	7 3.3%	15 5.2%	20 6.0%	38 8.1%	45 8.8%	49 12.4%	31 4.8%	46 5.8%
Top Box (1+2)	911 50.1%	907 49.9%	479 53.3%	427 46.5%	110 52.5%	141 49.7%	165 48.3%	243 51.6%	247 48.3%	167 42.4%	318 49.8%	421 53.6%
Middle Box (3)	618 34.0%	606 33.4%	283 31.4%	324 35.2%	77 36.4%	104 36.8%	121 35.5%	146 31.0%	158 31.0%	137 34.7%	228 35.6%	242 30.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	289 15.9%	305 16.8%	137 15.3%	168 18.3%	23 11.1%	38 13.5%	55 16.2%	82 17.5%	106 20.7%	90 22.9%	93 14.6%	122 15.5%
Mean	2,52	2,54	2,48	2,60	2,42	2,50	2,56	2,51	2,62	2,76	2,50	2,46
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3781 67.5%	3779 67.5%	1843 67.2%	1936 67.8%	433 67.3%	586 67.4%	679 66.6%	993 67.8%	1087 68.0%	1014 72.0%	1390 68.5%	1376 63.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of genetic engineering?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1821 32.5%	1822 32.6%	899 32.8%	923 32.3%	211 32.8%	283 32.6%	341 33.4%	474 32.4%	512 32.0%	395 28.0%	640 31.6%	787 36.4%
<b>Risks of genetic engineering</b>												
(1) very great	552 30.3%	576 31.6%	285 31.8%	291 31.5%	52 24.4%	72 25.4%	109 32.0%	160 33.6%	184 35.9%	145 36.8%	161 25.2%	270 34.3%
(2) rather great	517 28.4%	501 27.5%	261 29.0%	240 25.9%	66 31.2%	89 31.3%	102 29.9%	108 22.7%	136 26.6%	98 24.9%	174 27.1%	229 29.1%
(3) moderate	558 30.6%	550 30.2%	264 29.4%	286 30.9%	71 33.4%	97 34.2%	92 27.0%	162 34.1%	129 25.1%	114 28.8%	232 36.2%	205 26.0%
(4) rather small	149 8.2%	152 8.4%	67 7.5%	85 9.2%	16 7.6%	21 7.3%	27 8.0%	41 8.7%	47 9.2%	33 8.3%	60 9.3%	60 7.6%
(5) very small	45 2.5%	43 2.4%	21 2.3%	22 2.4%	7 3.4%	5 1.8%	11 3.2%	4 0.8%	16 3.2%	5 1.2%	14 2.2%	24 3.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1069 58.7%	1077 59.1%	546 60.8%	530 57.4%	117 55.6%	161 56.7%	211 61.9%	267 56.4%	320 62.5%	243 61.7%	335 52.3%	498 63.3%
Middle Box (3)	558 30.6%	550 30.2%	264 29.4%	286 30.9%	71 33.4%	97 34.2%	92 27.0%	162 34.1%	129 25.1%	114 28.8%	232 36.2%	205 26.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	194 10.7%	195 10.7%	88 9.8%	107 11.6%	23 11.0%	26 9.1%	38 11.2%	45 9.5%	63 12.4%	37 9.5%	74 11.5%	84 10.7%
Mean	2,24	2,22	2,20	2,25	2,34	2,29	2,20	2,20	2,17	2,12	2,36	2,16
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3778 67.5%	3775 67.4%	1843 67.2%	1932 67.7%	432 67.2%	585 67.4%	679 66.6%	991 67.6%	1087 68.0%	1013 72.0%	1389 68.4%	1374 63.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of IT and computer technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1997 35.7%	1978 35.3%	1000 36.5%	977 34.2%	239 37.2%	346 39.9%	351 34.4%	477 32.5%	564 35.3%	429 30.4%	741 36.5%	808 37.4%
<b>Benefits of IT and computer technology</b>												
(1) very great	782 39.2%	770 38.9%	433 43.3%	337 34.5%	102 42.6%	135 39.0%	128 36.5%	176 36.9%	229 40.6%	144 33.7%	283 38.2%	343 42.4%
(2) rather great	810 40.6%	808 40.9%	404 40.4%	404 41.4%	91 38.1%	127 36.8%	153 43.5%	211 44.2%	226 40.1%	173 40.3%	307 41.4%	328 40.6%
(3) moderate	370 18.5%	367 18.6%	147 14.6%	221 22.6%	42 17.5%	76 21.9%	65 18.4%	84 17.5%	101 17.9%	100 23.4%	140 18.9%	126 15.6%
(4) rather small	24 1.2%	23 1.2%	12 1.2%	11 1.2%	3 1.2%	5 1.4%	4 1.1%	5 1.0%	7 1.2%	8 1.8%	10 1.4%	5 0.7%
(5) very small	11 0.6%	9 0.5%	5 0.5%	4 0.4%	2 0.7%	3 1.0%	2 0.5%	1 0.3%	1 0.2%	3 0.8%	1 0.1%	5 0.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1592 79.7%	1578 79.8%	837 83.7%	741 75.8%	193 80.7%	262 75.7%	281 79.9%	387 81.2%	455 80.7%	317 74.0%	590 79.6%	671 83.1%
Middle Box (3)	370 18.5%	367 18.6%	147 14.6%	221 22.6%	42 17.5%	76 21.9%	65 18.4%	84 17.5%	101 17.9%	100 23.4%	140 18.9%	126 15.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	35 1.8%	32 1.6%	17 1.7%	16 1.6%	4 1.8%	8 2.4%	6 1.6%	6 1.3%	8 1.4%	11 2.5%	11 1.5%	11 1.3%
Mean	1,83	1,83	1,75	1,92	1,79	1,89	1,86	1,84	1,80	1,96	1,84	1,76
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3602 64.3%	3620 64.7%	1741 63.5%	1878 65.8%	404 62.8%	523 60.1%	669 65.6%	989 67.5%	1035 64.7%	979 69.6%	1288 63.5%	1352 62.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of IT and computer technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1997 35.7%	1978 35.3%	998 36.4%	980 34.3%	239 37.1%	346 39.9%	354 34.6%	477 32.5%	563 35.2%	429 30.5%	742 36.6%	807 37.4%
<b>Risks of IT and computer technology</b>												
(1) very great	192 9.6%	185 9.3%	83 8.3%	102 10.4%	25 10.3%	42 12.1%	30 8.4%	46 9.6%	43 7.7%	43 9.9%	64 8.6%	78 9.7%
(2) rather great	408 20.4%	402 20.3%	194 19.4%	208 21.2%	50 21.0%	74 21.3%	69 19.4%	110 23.1%	99 17.6%	88 20.4%	143 19.3%	171 21.2%
(3) moderate	861 43.1%	865 43.7%	403 40.3%	462 47.1%	96 40.3%	145 41.9%	152 43.0%	207 43.4%	264 47.0%	191 44.6%	333 44.9%	340 42.1%
(4) rather small	390 19.5%	389 19.7%	225 22.5%	164 16.8%	45 18.7%	62 17.8%	82 23.3%	82 17.1%	119 21.2%	78 18.2%	148 19.9%	163 20.2%
(5) very small	146 7.3%	137 6.9%	94 9.4%	43 4.4%	23 9.7%	24 7.0%	21 5.9%	32 6.7%	37 6.6%	29 6.8%	54 7.3%	54 6.7%
Top Box (1+2)	600 30.0%	587 29.7%	276 27.7%	310 31.7%	75 31.4%	115 33.3%	98 27.8%	156 32.7%	142 25.3%	130 30.4%	207 27.9%	250 30.9%
Middle Box (3)	861 43.1%	865 43.7%	403 40.3%	462 47.1%	96 40.3%	145 41.9%	152 43.0%	207 43.4%	264 47.0%	191 44.6%	333 44.9%	340 42.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	536 26.8%	527 26.6%	319 32.0%	208 21.2%	68 28.3%	86 24.8%	103 29.2%	114 23.9%	156 27.7%	107 25.0%	202 27.2%	218 27.0%
Mean	2,94	2,95	3,05	2,83	2,96	2,86	2,99	2,88	3,01	2,92	2,98	2,93
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3602 64.3%	3619 64.7%	1743 63.6%	1876 65.7%	405 62.9%	523 60.1%	667 65.4%	989 67.5%	1036 64.8%	979 69.5%	1287 63.4%	1353 62.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nuclear energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1601 28.6%	1631 29.1%	829 30.2%	802 28.1%	175 27.2%	254 29.2%	279 27.3%	449 30.6%	474 29.6%	337 24.0%	603 29.7%	690 32.0%
<b>Benefits of nuclear energy</b>												
(1) very great	223 13.9%	224 13.7%	136 16.4%	88 11.0%	29 16.6%	39 15.4%	29 10.3%	73 16.2%	55 11.6%	52 15.3%	66 11.0%	106 15.4%
(2) rather great	375 23.4%	386 23.7%	213 25.7%	173 21.6%	40 23.1%	59 23.2%	62 22.4%	98 21.8%	127 26.8%	67 19.9%	139 23.0%	180 26.1%
(3) moderate	624 39.0%	634 38.9%	303 36.5%	331 41.3%	68 38.8%	89 35.2%	116 41.4%	184 40.9%	178 37.5%	122 36.3%	253 42.0%	258 37.4%
(4) rather small	202 12.6%	204 12.5%	92 11.1%	113 14.0%	21 12.2%	38 15.1%	35 12.7%	43 9.6%	66 14.0%	53 15.6%	90 14.9%	62 8.9%
(5) very small	177 11.1%	182 11.2%	86 10.4%	96 12.0%	16 9.4%	28 11.1%	37 13.2%	52 11.6%	48 10.2%	43 12.9%	55 9.0%	84 12.2%
Top Box (1+2)	598 37.4%	610 37.4%	349 42.1%	262 32.6%	69 39.7%	98 38.6%	91 32.7%	170 37.9%	182 38.4%	119 35.2%	205 34.0%	287 41.5%
Middle Box (3)	624 39.0%	634 38.9%	303 36.5%	331 41.3%	68 38.8%	89 35.2%	116 41.4%	184 40.9%	178 37.5%	122 36.3%	253 42.0%	258 37.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	379 23.7%	386 23.7%	178 21.4%	209 26.1%	38 21.5%	67 26.2%	72 25.9%	95 21.2%	115 24.2%	96 28.5%	144 24.0%	146 21.1%
Mean	2,83	2,84	2,73	2,94	2,75	2,83	2,96	2,79	2,84	2,91	2,88	2,76
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3998 71.4%	3967 70.9%	1913 69.8%	2054 71.9%	469 72.8%	615 70.8%	742 72.7%	1016 69.4%	1125 70.4%	1070 76.0%	1426 70.3%	1470 68.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nuclear energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1602 28.6%	1633 29.2%	832 30.3%	801 28.0%	175 27.2%	254 29.2%	278 27.3%	449 30.6%	476 29.8%	337 23.9%	606 29.9%	690 31.9%
<b>Risks of nuclear energy</b>												
(1) very great	879 54.9%	905 55.4%	474 57.0%	431 53.8%	86 49.3%	138 54.2%	143 51.3%	252 56.2%	286 60.1%	183 54.5%	316 52.2%	405 58.7%
(2) rather great	349 21.8%	357 21.9%	179 21.6%	178 22.2%	43 24.6%	51 20.2%	61 22.0%	94 21.0%	108 22.6%	68 20.3%	134 22.0%	155 22.5%
(3) moderate	302 18.9%	300 18.4%	142 17.0%	158 19.8%	34 19.7%	54 21.2%	62 22.3%	84 18.6%	66 13.8%	70 20.7%	126 20.8%	104 15.1%
(4) rather small	47 2.9%	47 2.9%	23 2.8%	24 3.0%	7 3.7%	8 3.2%	8 2.7%	10 2.2%	15 3.2%	7 2.1%	20 3.3%	20 2.9%
(5) very small	25 1.6%	23 1.4%	14 1.6%	9 1.2%	5 2.7%	3 1.3%	5 1.7%	9 1.9%	1 0.3%	8 2.4%	10 1.6%	5 0.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1228 76.7%	1263 77.3%	654 78.6%	609 76.0%	129 73.8%	189 74.4%	204 73.3%	347 77.2%	394 82.7%	252 74.8%	450 74.3%	561 81.2%
Middle Box (3)	302 18.9%	300 18.4%	142 17.0%	158 19.8%	34 19.7%	54 21.2%	62 22.3%	84 18.6%	66 13.8%	70 20.7%	126 20.8%	104 15.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	72 4.5%	70 4.3%	36 4.4%	34 4.2%	11 6.4%	11 4.4%	12 4.4%	19 4.2%	17 3.5%	15 4.5%	30 4.9%	25 3.7%
Mean	1,75	1,73	1,70	1,76	1,86	1,77	1,81	1,73	1,61	1,78	1,80	1,64
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3997 71.4%	3965 70.8%	1910 69.7%	2055 72.0%	469 72.8%	615 70.8%	742 72.7%	1016 69.4%	1123 70.2%	1071 76.1%	1423 70.1%	1470 68.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of robot technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1863 33.3%	1852 33.1%	937 34.2%	915 32.0%	228 35.5%	294 33.8%	330 32.3%	491 33.5%	510 31.9%	381 27.1%	695 34.3%	775 35.9%
<b>Benefits of robot technology</b>												
(1) very great	555 29.8%	551 29.7%	328 34.9%	223 24.4%	64 27.9%	91 31.1%	95 28.9%	148 30.1%	152 29.9%	123 32.3%	194 27.9%	234 30.1%
(2) rather great	666 35.7%	677 36.5%	361 38.6%	315 34.5%	75 32.8%	94 31.9%	121 36.6%	195 39.8%	192 37.7%	112 29.3%	246 35.3%	319 41.2%
(3) moderate	552 29.6%	536 28.9%	212 22.6%	324 35.4%	74 32.4%	96 32.7%	101 30.5%	123 25.0%	143 28.0%	116 30.3%	224 32.3%	196 25.3%
(4) rather small	61 3.3%	62 3.4%	25 2.6%	38 4.1%	9 4.0%	10 3.5%	8 2.4%	17 3.5%	18 3.5%	22 5.8%	20 2.9%	20 2.6%
(5) very small	29 1.6%	26 1.4%	12 1.3%	14 1.6%	7 2.9%	2 0.8%	5 1.5%	7 1.5%	5 0.9%	9 2.2%	11 1.7%	6 0.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1221 65.5%	1227 66.3%	689 73.5%	538 58.9%	138 60.7%	185 63.0%	216 65.6%	343 69.9%	345 67.6%	235 61.6%	439 63.2%	553 71.3%
Middle Box (3)	552 29.6%	536 28.9%	212 22.6%	324 35.4%	74 32.4%	96 32.7%	101 30.5%	123 25.0%	143 28.0%	116 30.3%	224 32.3%	196 25.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	90 4.8%	89 4.8%	36 3.9%	52 5.7%	16 6.9%	13 4.3%	13 3.9%	25 5.1%	22 4.4%	31 8.1%	31 4.5%	26 3.4%
Mean	2,11	2,10	1,97	2,24	2,21	2,11	2,11	2,07	2,08	2,16	2,15	2,03
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3736 66.7%	3745 66.9%	1805 65.8%	1941 68.0%	415 64.5%	575 66.2%	691 67.7%	974 66.5%	1090 68.1%	1026 72.9%	1334 65.7%	1385 64.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of robot technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	1863 33.3%	1852 33.1%	937 34.2%	915 32.0%	228 35.5%	293 33.7%	331 32.4%	491 33.5%	510 31.9%	382 27.2%	694 34.2%	775 35.9%
<b>Risks of robot technology</b>												
(1) very great	210 11.3%	194 10.5%	103 11.0%	91 9.9%	35 15.4%	38 13.0%	34 10.4%	39 8.0%	47 9.3%	42 11.0%	80 11.5%	72 9.3%
(2) rather great	372 20.0%	354 19.1%	169 18.1%	185 20.2%	56 24.4%	60 20.3%	67 20.3%	88 18.0%	83 16.4%	66 17.2%	127 18.4%	161 20.7%
(3) moderate	808 43.4%	806 43.5%	377 40.2%	429 46.9%	96 42.0%	134 45.7%	140 42.3%	218 44.4%	219 42.9%	173 45.2%	307 44.2%	326 42.0%
(4) rather small	362 19.4%	380 20.5%	215 22.9%	165 18.1%	32 14.0%	47 15.9%	71 21.3%	114 23.3%	116 22.8%	72 18.9%	134 19.4%	173 22.3%
(5) very small	111 6.0%	118 6.4%	73 7.8%	45 4.9%	9 4.1%	15 5.0%	19 5.6%	31 6.3%	44 8.7%	29 7.6%	45 6.5%	43 5.6%
Top Box (1+2)	582 31.2%	548 29.6%	273 29.1%	276 30.1%	91 39.9%	98 33.4%	102 30.7%	128 26.0%	131 25.6%	108 28.2%	207 29.9%	233 30.0%
Middle Box (3)	808 43.4%	806 43.5%	377 40.2%	429 46.9%	96 42.0%	134 45.7%	140 42.3%	218 44.4%	219 42.9%	173 45.2%	307 44.2%	326 42.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	473 25.4%	498 26.9%	288 30.7%	210 23.0%	41 18.1%	61 20.9%	89 27.0%	145 29.6%	160 31.5%	101 26.5%	180 25.9%	216 27.9%
Mean	2,89	2,93	2,98	2,88	2,67	2,80	2,92	3,02	3,05	2,95	2,91	2,94
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3736 66.7%	3745 66.9%	1805 65.8%	1941 68.0%	415 64.5%	576 66.3%	690 67.6%	974 66.5%	1090 68.1%	1025 72.8%	1334 65.8%	1385 64.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of solar energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2175 38.8%	2170 38.8%	1075 39.2%	1095 38.4%	255 39.6%	338 38.9%	424 41.5%	550 37.5%	604 37.8%	485 34.4%	802 39.5%	883 40.9%
<b>Benefits of solar energy</b>												
(1) very great	1357 62.4%	1355 62.4%	690 64.2%	665 60.7%	163 63.9%	207 61.2%	258 61.0%	353 64.3%	374 61.9%	303 62.5%	496 61.8%	556 63.0%
(2) rather great	555 25.5%	561 25.9%	266 24.7%	295 27.0%	57 22.5%	88 26.0%	111 26.1%	137 24.8%	169 27.9%	129 26.5%	207 25.8%	226 25.5%
(3) moderate	213 9.8%	202 9.3%	91 8.5%	110 10.1%	31 12.3%	37 10.9%	43 10.3%	38 7.0%	52 8.5%	41 8.5%	78 9.7%	83 9.4%
(4) rather small	37 1.7%	39 1.8%	21 2.0%	18 1.7%	2 0.8%	6 1.7%	8 1.8%	15 2.7%	9 1.5%	7 1.5%	17 2.2%	15 1.7%
(5) very small	13 0.6%	13 0.6%	6 0.6%	7 0.6%	1 0.5%	1 0.2%	4 0.8%	6 1.1%	1 0.2%	4 0.9%	4 0.5%	4 0.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1912 87.9%	1916 88.3%	956 89.0%	960 87.6%	220 86.4%	295 87.2%	369 87.1%	490 89.1%	542 89.8%	432 89.1%	702 87.6%	782 88.5%
Middle Box (3)	213 9.8%	202 9.3%	91 8.5%	110 10.1%	31 12.3%	37 10.9%	43 10.3%	38 7.0%	52 8.5%	41 8.5%	78 9.7%	83 9.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	50 2.3%	52 2.4%	27 2.5%	25 2.3%	3 1.3%	6 1.9%	11 2.7%	21 3.9%	10 1.7%	12 2.4%	22 2.7%	19 2.1%
Mean	1,53	1,52	1,50	1,55	1,51	1,54	1,55	1,52	1,50	1,52	1,54	1,51
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3424 61.2%	3427 61.2%	1667 60.8%	1760 61.6%	389 60.4%	531 61.1%	597 58.5%	916 62.5%	995 62.2%	923 65.6%	1227 60.5%	1277 59.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of solar energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2168 38.7%	2163 38.6%	1071 39.1%	1091 38.2%	254 39.5%	339 39.0%	422 41.3%	547 37.3%	600 37.5%	481 34.1%	798 39.3%	884 40.9%
<b>Risks of solar energy</b>												
(1) very great	58 2.7%	55 2.5%	32 3.0%	22 2.0%	8 3.1%	11 3.4%	15 3.6%	11 2.0%	9 1.5%	14 3.0%	23 2.9%	17 1.9%
(2) rather great	115 5.3%	105 4.8%	51 4.8%	53 4.9%	20 8.0%	26 7.5%	18 4.3%	21 3.9%	20 3.3%	20 4.1%	45 5.6%	40 4.6%
(3) moderate	491 22.6%	484 22.4%	207 19.3%	278 25.5%	59 23.2%	77 22.8%	99 23.5%	109 19.8%	140 23.4%	114 23.7%	184 23.1%	186 21.1%
(4) rather small	781 36.0%	791 36.6%	376 35.1%	415 38.0%	84 33.0%	120 35.4%	156 36.9%	208 38.0%	224 37.3%	174 36.1%	289 36.3%	328 37.1%
(5) very small	723 33.3%	728 33.7%	405 37.8%	323 29.6%	83 32.7%	105 30.9%	134 31.8%	199 36.3%	208 34.6%	159 33.1%	256 32.1%	313 35.4%
Top Box (1+2)	173 8.0%	159 7.4%	84 7.8%	75 6.9%	28 11.1%	37 10.9%	33 7.9%	32 5.9%	29 4.8%	34 7.1%	68 8.5%	57 6.5%
Middle Box (3)	491 22.6%	484 22.4%	207 19.3%	278 25.5%	59 23.2%	77 22.8%	99 23.5%	109 19.8%	140 23.4%	114 23.7%	184 23.1%	186 21.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1504 69.4%	1519 70.2%	781 72.9%	738 67.6%	167 65.7%	225 66.3%	290 68.6%	406 74.3%	431 71.8%	333 69.2%	546 68.4%	640 72.4%
Mean	3,92	3,94	4,00	3,88	3,84	3,83	3,89	4,03	4,00	3,92	3,89	3,99
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3431 61.3%	3435 61.4%	1670 60.9%	1764 61.8%	389 60.5%	530 61.0%	599 58.7%	918 62.7%	999 62.5%	927 65.9%	1231 60.7%	1276 59.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of wind energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2041 36.5%	2053 36.7%	993 36.2%	1061 37.2%	224 34.7%	319 36.7%	379 37.2%	550 37.5%	581 36.3%	425 30.2%	782 38.5%	846 39.2%
<b>Benefits of wind energy</b>												
(1) very great	1158 56.7%	1151 56.1%	574 57.9%	577 54.4%	136 60.9%	185 58.0%	206 54.2%	302 54.8%	323 55.5%	256 60.3%	422 53.9%	473 55.9%
(2) rather great	560 27.4%	579 28.2%	268 27.0%	311 29.4%	54 24.1%	79 24.8%	97 25.6%	162 29.5%	187 32.1%	103 24.3%	233 29.8%	243 28.7%
(3) moderate	252 12.3%	248 12.1%	117 11.8%	132 12.4%	27 12.2%	43 13.6%	64 16.8%	66 11.9%	48 8.3%	47 11.2%	105 13.4%	96 11.3%
(4) rather small	50 2.4%	52 2.5%	22 2.2%	30 2.8%	5 2.1%	9 2.9%	9 2.3%	14 2.5%	16 2.7%	12 2.9%	15 2.0%	24 2.9%
(5) very small	21 1.0%	23 1.1%	12 1.2%	11 1.1%	2 0.8%	3 0.8%	4 1.0%	7 1.3%	7 1.3%	6 1.4%	7 0.9%	10 1.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1718 84.2%	1730 84.3%	842 84.8%	888 83.7%	190 84.9%	264 82.7%	303 79.9%	464 84.3%	510 87.7%	360 84.6%	655 83.7%	715 84.6%
Middle Box (3)	252 12.3%	248 12.1%	117 11.8%	132 12.4%	27 12.2%	43 13.6%	64 16.8%	66 11.9%	48 8.3%	47 11.2%	105 13.4%	96 11.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	71 3.5%	75 3.7%	34 3.4%	41 3.9%	6 2.9%	12 3.7%	13 3.3%	21 3.8%	23 4.0%	18 4.2%	22 2.8%	35 4.1%
Mean	1,64	1,64	1,62	1,67	1,58	1,64	1,70	1,66	1,62	1,61	1,66	1,65
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3558 63.5%	3544 63.3%	1749 63.8%	1795 62.8%	420 65.3%	550 63.3%	641 62.8%	915 62.5%	1018 63.7%	982 69.8%	1247 61.5%	1315 60.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of wind energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2039 36.4%	2049 36.6%	991 36.2%	1058 37.1%	225 35.0%	319 36.7%	380 37.3%	549 37.5%	576 36.0%	423 30.0%	779 38.4%	847 39.2%
<b>Risks of wind energy</b>												
(1) very great	61 3.0%	63 3.1%	37 3.7%	27 2.5%	7 3.1%	12 3.6%	10 2.5%	15 2.7%	20 3.5%	19 4.4%	21 2.7%	23 2.8%
(2) rather great	148 7.3%	152 7.4%	75 7.6%	77 7.2%	12 5.4%	29 9.1%	29 7.7%	36 6.6%	45 7.9%	26 6.2%	58 7.4%	68 8.0%
(3) moderate	546 26.8%	546 26.6%	254 25.7%	291 27.5%	60 26.7%	87 27.4%	110 29.0%	137 25.0%	151 26.2%	113 26.8%	239 30.7%	194 22.9%
(4) rather small	699 34.3%	705 34.4%	327 33.0%	378 35.7%	75 33.6%	101 31.7%	134 35.2%	191 34.8%	204 35.4%	140 33.0%	249 32.0%	316 37.3%
(5) very small	585 28.7%	584 28.5%	298 30.1%	286 27.0%	70 31.3%	90 28.2%	97 25.6%	170 31.0%	156 27.0%	125 29.6%	212 27.2%	246 29.0%
Top Box (1+2)	209 10.3%	215 10.5%	112 11.3%	103 9.7%	19 8.5%	41 12.7%	39 10.2%	51 9.2%	66 11.4%	45 10.6%	79 10.1%	91 10.8%
Middle Box (3)	546 26.8%	546 26.6%	254 25.7%	291 27.5%	60 26.7%	87 27.4%	110 29.0%	137 25.0%	151 26.2%	113 26.8%	239 30.7%	194 22.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1284 63.0%	1289 62.9%	625 63.1%	664 62.7%	146 64.8%	191 59.9%	231 60.8%	361 65.8%	360 62.4%	265 62.7%	461 59.2%	562 66.4%
Mean	3,78	3,78	3,78	3,77	3,85	3,72	3,74	3,85	3,74	3,77	3,74	3,82
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3560 63.6%	3548 63.4%	1751 63.8%	1797 62.9%	418 65.0%	550 63.3%	640 62.7%	916 62.5%	1023 64.0%	985 70.0%	1250 61.6%	1313 60.8%

BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group					Education level		
	N	male	female	18 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 60 years	61+ years	low education	medium education	high education
<b>Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know?</b>											
Area of application known: Medicine	1566 49.4%	892 54.2%	674 44.2%	175 49.8%	233 45.0%	282 48.2%	407 49.1%	469 52.9%	287 44.2%	538 46.9%	742 53.9%
Area of application known: Paint and varnish	1180 37.2%	707 43.0%	474 31.0%	114 32.5%	181 35.1%	211 36.1%	321 38.7%	352 39.7%	228 35.2%	381 33.2%	571 41.5%
Area of application known: Textiles	1040 32.8%	599 36.4%	441 28.9%	109 30.9%	172 33.3%	187 31.9%	292 35.2%	281 31.6%	200 30.8%	332 29.0%	508 36.9%
Area of application known: Electronics	956 30.2%	550 33.4%	406 26.6%	109 31.0%	165 31.9%	183 31.3%	255 30.7%	244 27.6%	140 21.6%	414 36.1%	402 29.2%
Area of application known: Cosmetics	918 28.9%	460 27.9%	458 30.0%	116 33.0%	133 25.7%	155 26.5%	246 29.6%	267 30.2%	173 26.6%	287 25.0%	458 33.3%
Area of application known: Food	707 22.3%	373 22.7%	334 21.9%	101 28.7%	141 27.2%	120 20.4%	152 18.4%	194 21.8%	144 22.2%	244 21.3%	319 23.2%
Area of application known: Others	197 6.2%	124 7.5%	73 4.8%	19 5.5%	21 4.0%	39 6.7%	61 7.4%	57 6.4%	32 5.0%	53 4.7%	112 8.1%
BACES											





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Medicine

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Medicine</b>												
quoted	1550 49.0%	1566 49.4%	892 54.2%	674 44.2%	175 49.8%	233 45.0%	282 48.2%	407 49.1%	469 52.9%	287 44.2%	538 46.9%	742 53.9%
not quoted	1613 51.0%	1604 50.6%	753 45.8%	851 55.8%	177 50.2%	284 55.0%	304 51.8%	422 50.9%	417 47.1%	361 55.8%	609 53.1%	633 46.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Textiles

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known:</b>												
<b>Textiles</b>												
quoted	1029 32.5%	1040 32.8%	599 36.4%	441 28.9%	109 30.9%	172 33.3%	187 31.9%	292 35.2%	281 31.6%	200 30.8%	332 29.0%	508 36.9%
not quoted	2134 67.5%	2131 67.2%	1046 63.6%	1085 71.1%	243 69.1%	345 66.7%	399 68.1%	538 64.8%	606 68.4%	449 69.2%	815 71.0%	867 63.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Food

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Food</b>												
quoted	726 23.0%	707 22.3%	373 22.7%	334 21.9%	101 28.7%	141 27.2%	120 20.4%	152 18.4%	194 21.8%	144 22.2%	244 21.3%	319 23.2%
not quoted	2437 77.0%	2463 77.7%	1272 77.3%	1191 78.1%	251 71.3%	376 72.8%	466 79.6%	677 81.6%	693 78.2%	504 77.8%	903 78.7%	1056 76.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Paint and varnish

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Paint and varnish</b>												
quoted	1154 36.5%	1180 37.2%	707 43.0%	474 31.0%	114 32.5%	181 35.1%	211 36.1%	321 38.7%	352 39.7%	228 35.2%	381 33.2%	571 41.5%
not quoted	2009 63.5%	1990 62.8%	938 57.0%	1052 69.0%	238 67.5%	336 64.9%	374 63.9%	508 61.3%	534 60.3%	420 64.8%	766 66.8%	804 58.5%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Cosmetics

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Cosmetics</b>												
quoted	904 28.6%	918 28.9%	460 27.9%	458 30.0%	116 33.0%	133 25.7%	155 26.5%	246 29.6%	267 30.2%	173 26.6%	287 25.0%	458 33.3%
not quoted	2259 71.4%	2253 71.1%	1185 72.1%	1068 70.0%	236 67.0%	384 74.3%	430 73.5%	584 70.4%	619 69.8%	475 73.4%	860 75.0%	917 66.7%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Electronics

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Electronics</b>												
quoted	963 30.4%	956 30.2%	550 33.4%	406 26.6%	109 31.0%	165 31.9%	183 31.3%	255 30.7%	244 27.6%	140 21.6%	414 36.1%	402 29.2%
not quoted	2200 69.6%	2214 69.8%	1095 66.6%	1119 73.4%	243 69.0%	352 68.1%	402 68.7%	575 69.3%	642 72.4%	508 78.4%	733 63.9%	973 70.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Others:

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known:</b>												
<b>Others</b>												
quoted	190 6.0%	197 6.2%	124 7.5%	73 4.8%	19 5.5%	21 4.0%	39 6.7%	61 7.4%	57 6.4%	32 5.0%	53 4.7%	112 8.1%
not quoted	2973 94.0%	2973 93.8%	1521 92.5%	1452 95.2%	333 94.5%	496 96.0%	546 93.3%	768 92.6%	830 93.6%	616 95.0%	1094 95.3%	1263 91.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - I don't know any applications.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	1645 100.0%	1526 100.0%	352 100.0%	517 100.0%	586 100.0%	829 100.0%	887 100.0%	648 100.0%	1147 100.0%	1375 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: I do not know any applications</b>												
quoted	717 22.7%	717 22.6%	277 16.8%	440 28.8%	82 23.4%	114 22.1%	134 22.8%	191 23.0%	196 22.1%	182 28.0%	285 24.9%	249 18.1%
not quoted	2446 77.3%	2454 77.4%	1368 83.2%	1086 71.2%	270 76.6%	403 77.9%	452 77.2%	639 77.0%	690 77.9%	466 72.0%	862 75.1%	1126 81.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>1645</b>	<b>1526</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>586</b>	<b>829</b>	<b>887</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>1147</b>	<b>1375</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES






## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Gender	
	male	female
Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.	2.33	2.48
Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.	2.38	2.39
Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.	2.44	2.79
Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.	2.45	2.66
Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.	2.49	2.65
Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.	2.55	2.53
Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.	2.62	2.79
Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.	2.66	2.82
Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.	2.69	2.83
Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.	2.94	2.93
Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.	3.24	3.19
Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.	3.39	3.26



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Age Group				
18 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 60 years	61+ years
2.48	2.40	2.42	2.38	2.37
2.54	2.51	2.49	2.53	2.63
2.55	2.51	2.45	2.32	2.26
2.59	2.61	2.59	2.50	2.59
2.65	2.64	2.56	2.54	2.66
2.67	2.59	2.56	2.57	2.43
2.77	2.68	2.70	2.66	2.72
2.78	2.70	2.74	2.76	2.80
2.83	2.67	2.74	2.74	2.75
3.02	2.94	2.89	2.95	2.92
3.27	3.16	3.21	3.41	3.44
3.35	3.22	3.20	3.24	3.15



BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Education level		
	low education	medium education	high education
Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.	2.42	2.38	2.37
Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.	2.48	2.42	2.35
Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.	2.48	2.55	2.56
Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.	2.64	2.51	2.58
Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.	2.72	2.59	2.56
Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.	2.73	2.55	2.47
Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.	2.82	2.66	2.76
Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.	2.83	2.76	2.73
Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.	2.83	2.68	2.66
Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.	2.88	2.93	2.97
Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.	3.13	3.18	3.29
Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.	3.22	3.25	3.44

2



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3137 56.0%	1628 59.4%	1510 52.9%	344 53.4%	513 59.1%	580 56.9%	826 56.3%	874 54.7%	639 45.4%	1137 56.0%	1361 63.0%
<b>Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.</b>												
(1) completely agree	453 14.5%	458 14.6%	281 17.3%	176 11.7%	48 13.9%	71 13.7%	80 13.8%	138 16.7%	122 13.9%	78 12.2%	159 14.0%	221 16.2%
(2) rather agree	1183 37.8%	1206 38.4%	665 40.9%	541 35.8%	115 33.3%	203 39.6%	213 36.8%	307 37.2%	368 42.1%	238 37.2%	421 37.0%	547 40.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1300 41.6%	1285 40.9%	578 35.5%	707 46.8%	158 45.9%	210 40.9%	255 44.0%	324 39.3%	337 38.6%	275 43.0%	499 43.9%	511 37.6%
(4) rather disagree	143 4.6%	141 4.5%	76 4.7%	65 4.3%	16 4.7%	23 4.4%	28 4.8%	40 4.8%	35 4.0%	35 5.5%	42 3.7%	64 4.7%
(5) completely disagree	48 1.5%	48 1.5%	27 1.6%	21 1.4%	7 2.2%	7 1.4%	4 0.7%	17 2.1%	12 1.4%	14 2.1%	16 1.4%	18 1.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1636 52.3%	1664 53.0%	947 58.2%	717 47.5%	162 47.2%	274 53.3%	293 50.6%	445 53.9%	490 56.0%	316 49.4%	580 51.0%	768 56.4%
Middle Box (3)	1300 41.6%	1285 40.9%	578 35.5%	707 46.8%	158 45.9%	210 40.9%	255 44.0%	324 39.3%	337 38.6%	275 43.0%	499 43.9%	511 37.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	191 6.1%	189 6.0%	103 6.3%	86 5.7%	24 6.8%	30 5.8%	32 5.5%	57 6.9%	47 5.4%	49 7.6%	58 5.1%	82 6.0%
Mean	2,41	2,40	2,33	2,48	2,48	2,40	2,42	2,38	2,37	2,48	2,42	2,35
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2472 44.2%	2460 44.0%	1114 40.6%	1346 47.1%	300 46.6%	355 40.9%	440 43.1%	640 43.7%	725 45.3%	769 54.6%	892 44.0%	800 37.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3125 55.8%	3134 56.0%	1623 59.2%	1511 52.9%	346 53.7%	514 59.2%	579 56.7%	821 56.0%	874 54.7%	637 45.3%	1135 56.0%	1361 63.0%
<b>Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.</b>												
(1) completely agree	403 12.9%	396 12.6%	238 14.7%	158 10.4%	48 14.0%	74 14.4%	76 13.2%	107 13.0%	90 10.3%	52 8.2%	142 12.5%	202 14.8%
(2) rather agree	997 31.9%	1001 32.0%	589 36.3%	412 27.3%	103 29.8%	161 31.3%	201 34.8%	263 32.0%	274 31.3%	178 27.9%	341 30.0%	483 35.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1439 46.0%	1441 46.0%	666 41.0%	776 51.3%	163 47.2%	237 46.1%	253 43.7%	383 46.6%	406 46.4%	327 51.3%	565 49.8%	549 40.4%
(4) rather disagree	208 6.7%	213 6.8%	89 5.5%	123 8.2%	24 6.9%	30 5.8%	36 6.2%	43 5.2%	80 9.2%	51 8.1%	67 5.9%	94 6.9%
(5) completely disagree	78 2.5%	82 2.6%	40 2.5%	42 2.8%	8 2.2%	12 2.4%	12 2.0%	26 3.1%	24 2.8%	28 4.4%	21 1.9%	33 2.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1400 44.8%	1397 44.6%	828 51.0%	570 37.7%	151 43.7%	235 45.7%	278 48.0%	369 45.0%	364 41.6%	230 36.1%	483 42.5%	684 50.3%
Middle Box (3)	1439 46.0%	1441 46.0%	666 41.0%	776 51.3%	163 47.2%	237 46.1%	253 43.7%	383 46.6%	406 46.4%	327 51.3%	565 49.8%	549 40.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	286 9.2%	295 9.4%	129 8.0%	166 11.0%	31 9.1%	42 8.2%	48 8.3%	69 8.4%	105 12.0%	80 12.5%	88 7.7%	127 9.3%
Mean	2,54	2,55	2,45	2,66	2,54	2,51	2,49	2,53	2,63	2,73	2,55	2,47
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2474 44.2%	2464 44.0%	1119 40.8%	1345 47.1%	298 46.3%	354 40.8%	442 43.3%	645 44.0%	725 45.3%	770 54.7%	893 44.0%	800 37.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3139 56.1%	1629 59.4%	1510 52.9%	344 53.5%	514 59.2%	579 56.7%	824 56.3%	877 54.8%	641 45.5%	1136 56.0%	1362 63.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.</b>												
(1) completely agree	166 5.3%	157 5.0%	93 5.7%	64 4.2%	21 6.1%	31 5.9%	39 6.8%	34 4.2%	32 3.6%	33 5.2%	68 6.0%	56 4.1%
(2) rather agree	386 12.3%	378 12.1%	184 11.3%	194 12.9%	45 13.2%	76 14.7%	76 13.2%	83 10.0%	98 11.2%	87 13.5%	141 12.4%	150 11.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1351 43.2%	1342 42.8%	622 38.2%	720 47.7%	147 42.8%	237 46.0%	255 44.0%	357 43.2%	347 39.5%	300 46.9%	519 45.7%	523 38.4%
(4) rather disagree	788 25.2%	807 25.7%	456 28.0%	351 23.2%	82 23.8%	121 23.6%	142 24.5%	212 25.8%	249 28.4%	148 23.2%	260 22.9%	398 29.2%
(5) completely disagree	436 13.9%	455 14.5%	275 16.9%	180 11.9%	48 14.1%	50 9.7%	66 11.5%	138 16.8%	151 17.2%	72 11.2%	148 13.0%	235 17.3%
Top Box (1+2)	552 17.7%	535 17.0%	277 17.0%	258 17.1%	66 19.2%	106 20.7%	115 20.0%	117 14.2%	130 14.8%	120 18.7%	209 18.4%	206 15.2%
Middle Box (3)	1351 43.2%	1342 42.8%	622 38.2%	720 47.7%	147 42.8%	237 46.0%	255 44.0%	357 43.2%	347 39.5%	300 46.9%	519 45.7%	523 38.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1224 39.1%	1261 40.2%	730 44.8%	531 35.2%	130 37.9%	171 33.3%	208 36.0%	351 42.5%	400 45.6%	220 34.4%	408 35.9%	633 46.5%
Mean	3,30	3,33	3,39	3,26	3,27	3,16	3,21	3,41	3,44	3,22	3,25	3,44
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2472 44.2%	2459 43.9%	1113 40.6%	1346 47.1%	299 46.5%	354 40.8%	442 43.3%	641 43.7%	722 45.2%	767 54.5%	893 44.0%	799 37.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3116 55.7%	3127 55.9%	1622 59.2%	1504 52.7%	344 53.4%	513 59.0%	575 56.3%	821 56.0%	874 54.7%	637 45.2%	1134 55.9%	1356 62.8%
<b>Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>												
(1) completely agree	293 9.4%	296 9.5%	192 11.9%	104 6.9%	29 8.5%	56 10.9%	52 9.1%	81 9.8%	78 8.9%	46 7.3%	118 10.5%	131 9.7%
(2) rather agree	776 24.9%	786 25.1%	453 27.9%	333 22.2%	73 21.1%	128 25.0%	146 25.4%	215 26.2%	224 25.6%	141 22.1%	312 27.5%	333 24.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1593 51.1%	1586 50.7%	743 45.8%	844 56.1%	185 53.8%	271 52.9%	295 51.3%	398 48.4%	438 50.1%	356 55.9%	567 50.0%	663 48.9%
(4) rather disagree	349 11.2%	352 11.3%	179 11.1%	173 11.5%	42 12.4%	46 9.0%	65 11.3%	92 11.2%	107 12.2%	66 10.4%	102 9.0%	184 13.6%
(5) completely disagree	105 3.4%	106 3.4%	55 3.4%	51 3.4%	15 4.2%	12 2.3%	17 2.9%	35 4.3%	28 3.1%	28 4.3%	34 3.0%	45 3.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1069 34.3%	1082 34.6%	645 39.8%	437 29.0%	102 29.6%	184 35.9%	198 34.5%	296 36.1%	302 34.6%	187 29.4%	431 38.0%	464 34.2%
Middle Box (3)	1593 51.1%	1586 50.7%	743 45.8%	844 56.1%	185 53.8%	271 52.9%	295 51.3%	398 48.4%	438 50.1%	356 55.9%	567 50.0%	663 48.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	454 14.6%	458 14.7%	235 14.5%	224 14.9%	57 16.6%	58 11.3%	82 14.3%	127 15.5%	134 15.3%	94 14.7%	136 12.0%	229 16.9%
Mean	2,74	2,74	2,66	2,82	2,83	2,67	2,74	2,74	2,75	2,82	2,66	2,76
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2483 44.3%	2471 44.1%	1120 40.8%	1351 47.3%	299 46.6%	356 41.0%	446 43.7%	644 44.0%	725 45.3%	771 54.8%	895 44.1%	805 37.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3129 55.9%	3138 56.1%	1628 59.4%	1511 52.9%	345 53.7%	514 59.1%	579 56.8%	826 56.3%	874 54.7%	641 45.5%	1137 56.1%	1360 63.0%
<b>Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.</b>												
(1) completely agree	319 10.2%	324 10.3%	195 12.0%	129 8.5%	29 8.4%	61 11.9%	54 9.4%	94 11.4%	85 9.7%	68 10.5%	118 10.3%	139 10.2%
(2) rather agree	773 24.7%	773 24.6%	444 27.3%	330 21.8%	89 25.7%	119 23.2%	151 26.1%	198 24.0%	215 24.6%	141 22.0%	275 24.1%	358 26.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1526 48.8%	1519 48.4%	728 44.8%	790 52.3%	171 49.4%	263 51.1%	291 50.1%	385 46.7%	410 46.9%	308 48.0%	560 49.2%	651 47.9%
(4) rather disagree	369 11.8%	377 12.0%	189 11.6%	188 12.4%	41 11.9%	54 10.5%	59 10.2%	104 12.6%	119 13.6%	84 13.1%	135 11.8%	158 11.6%
(5) completely disagree	142 4.5%	145 4.6%	71 4.4%	74 4.9%	16 4.6%	17 3.3%	24 4.2%	43 5.2%	45 5.1%	40 6.3%	51 4.4%	54 3.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1092 34.9%	1098 35.0%	639 39.2%	459 30.4%	118 34.2%	180 35.1%	206 35.5%	293 35.5%	301 34.4%	208 32.5%	392 34.5%	497 36.5%
Middle Box (3)	1526 48.8%	1519 48.4%	728 44.8%	790 52.3%	171 49.4%	263 51.1%	291 50.1%	385 46.7%	410 46.9%	308 48.0%	560 49.2%	651 47.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	511 16.3%	522 16.6%	260 16.0%	261 17.3%	57 16.4%	71 13.7%	83 14.4%	147 17.9%	164 18.7%	125 19.4%	185 16.3%	212 15.6%
Mean	2,76	2,76	2,69	2,83	2,78	2,70	2,74	2,76	2,80	2,83	2,76	2,73
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2470 44.1%	2459 43.9%	1114 40.6%	1345 47.1%	298 46.3%	355 40.9%	441 43.2%	640 43.7%	725 45.3%	767 54.5%	892 43.9%	800 37.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3118 55.7%	3128 55.9%	1621 59.1%	1507 52.8%	345 53.6%	511 58.8%	576 56.4%	823 56.2%	873 54.6%	638 45.3%	1134 55.9%	1355 62.7%
<b>Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.</b>												
(1) completely agree	165 5.3%	168 5.4%	106 6.6%	61 4.1%	16 4.5%	30 5.9%	29 5.1%	44 5.4%	48 5.5%	38 6.0%	65 5.7%	65 4.8%
(2) rather agree	441 14.1%	458 14.7%	244 15.1%	214 14.2%	38 11.1%	69 13.4%	90 15.6%	110 13.3%	152 17.4%	97 15.2%	159 14.0%	202 14.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1363 43.7%	1375 43.9%	628 38.8%	746 49.5%	144 41.6%	230 45.1%	252 43.8%	367 44.6%	381 43.7%	303 47.4%	533 47.0%	539 39.8%
(4) rather disagree	796 25.5%	783 25.0%	440 27.2%	343 22.7%	103 29.8%	121 23.7%	149 25.9%	207 25.1%	204 23.4%	146 22.8%	258 22.8%	379 28.0%
(5) completely disagree	353 11.3%	344 11.0%	201 12.4%	143 9.5%	45 12.9%	61 11.9%	56 9.7%	96 11.6%	88 10.0%	55 8.5%	120 10.5%	170 12.5%
Top Box (1+2)	606 19.4%	626 20.0%	350 21.6%	276 18.3%	54 15.7%	99 19.4%	119 20.6%	154 18.7%	200 22.9%	135 21.2%	224 19.7%	267 19.7%
Middle Box (3)	1363 43.7%	1375 43.9%	628 38.8%	746 49.5%	144 41.6%	230 45.1%	252 43.8%	367 44.6%	381 43.7%	303 47.4%	533 47.0%	539 39.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1149 36.9%	1127 36.0%	642 39.6%	485 32.2%	147 42.7%	182 35.5%	205 35.6%	302 36.7%	291 33.4%	200 31.4%	378 33.3%	549 40.5%
Mean	3,23	3,22	3,24	3,19	3,35	3,22	3,20	3,24	3,15	3,13	3,18	3,29
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2481 44.3%	2470 44.1%	1121 40.9%	1349 47.2%	298 46.4%	358 41.2%	445 43.6%	642 43.8%	727 45.4%	770 54.7%	895 44.1%	805 37.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3120 55.7%	3130 55.9%	1622 59.1%	1508 52.8%	345 53.6%	511 58.8%	577 56.6%	826 56.4%	871 54.4%	636 45.2%	1135 55.9%	1358 62.9%
<b>Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.</b>												
(1) completely agree	304 9.7%	300 9.6%	193 11.9%	107 7.1%	30 8.8%	57 11.2%	62 10.8%	83 10.0%	68 7.8%	45 7.0%	114 10.0%	142 10.4%
(2) rather agree	786 25.2%	813 26.0%	461 28.4%	352 23.3%	75 21.7%	123 24.0%	133 23.1%	232 28.1%	251 28.8%	143 22.5%	299 26.3%	371 27.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1639 52.5%	1620 51.8%	775 47.8%	845 56.1%	194 56.2%	268 52.4%	313 54.3%	417 50.5%	428 49.2%	345 54.2%	592 52.2%	683 50.3%
(4) rather disagree	312 10.0%	319 10.2%	156 9.6%	163 10.8%	35 10.3%	51 10.0%	55 9.6%	75 9.1%	102 11.7%	82 13.0%	101 8.9%	135 9.9%
(5) completely disagree	79 2.5%	77 2.5%	37 2.3%	40 2.7%	11 3.1%	12 2.3%	13 2.3%	20 2.4%	22 2.5%	21 3.3%	29 2.6%	27 2.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1090 34.9%	1113 35.6%	654 40.3%	460 30.5%	105 30.4%	180 35.2%	195 33.8%	314 38.1%	318 36.6%	188 29.6%	413 36.4%	512 37.7%
Middle Box (3)	1639 52.5%	1620 51.8%	775 47.8%	845 56.1%	194 56.2%	268 52.4%	313 54.3%	417 50.5%	428 49.2%	345 54.2%	592 52.2%	683 50.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	391 12.5%	396 12.7%	193 11.9%	203 13.5%	46 13.4%	63 12.3%	69 11.9%	94 11.4%	124 14.2%	103 16.3%	130 11.5%	163 12.0%
Mean	2,70	2,70	2,62	2,79	2,77	2,68	2,70	2,66	2,72	2,83	2,68	2,66
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2479 44.3%	2468 44.1%	1120 40.9%	1347 47.2%	298 46.4%	358 41.2%	443 43.4%	640 43.6%	729 45.6%	772 54.8%	894 44.1%	802 37.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3125 55.8%	3135 56.0%	1626 59.3%	1509 52.8%	344 53.4%	514 59.2%	578 56.7%	826 56.3%	873 54.6%	639 45.4%	1135 55.9%	1361 63.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.</b>												
(1) completely agree	219 7.0%	228 7.3%	131 8.1%	97 6.4%	16 4.7%	38 7.4%	46 8.0%	61 7.4%	67 7.6%	50 7.8%	83 7.3%	96 7.1%
(2) rather agree	580 18.6%	581 18.5%	312 19.2%	269 17.8%	59 17.1%	102 19.9%	113 19.6%	142 17.2%	164 18.8%	121 18.9%	198 17.4%	262 19.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1620 51.8%	1621 51.7%	787 48.4%	835 55.3%	185 53.9%	255 49.6%	294 50.9%	436 52.8%	451 51.6%	347 54.3%	614 54.1%	661 48.6%
(4) rather disagree	570 18.2%	568 18.1%	316 19.4%	251 16.7%	69 20.0%	91 17.7%	108 18.8%	146 17.7%	153 17.6%	99 15.5%	200 17.6%	268 19.7%
(5) completely disagree	136 4.4%	136 4.4%	80 4.9%	57 3.8%	14 4.2%	28 5.5%	16 2.8%	39 4.8%	38 4.4%	22 3.5%	41 3.6%	73 5.4%
Top Box (1+2)	799 25.6%	809 25.8%	443 27.3%	366 24.3%	75 21.9%	140 27.3%	159 27.6%	204 24.7%	231 26.5%	170 26.7%	281 24.7%	358 26.3%
Middle Box (3)	1620 51.8%	1621 51.7%	787 48.4%	835 55.3%	185 53.9%	255 49.6%	294 50.9%	436 52.8%	451 51.6%	347 54.3%	614 54.1%	661 48.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	706 22.6%	704 22.5%	396 24.3%	308 20.4%	83 24.2%	119 23.2%	125 21.5%	186 22.5%	191 21.9%	122 19.1%	241 21.2%	342 25.1%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,94	2,93	3,02	2,94	2,89	2,95	2,92	2,88	2,93	2,97
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2474 44.2%	2462 44.0%	1116 40.7%	1347 47.2%	300 46.6%	354 40.8%	442 43.3%	640 43.7%	726 45.4%	769 54.6%	894 44.1%	799 37.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3123 55.8%	3134 56.0%	1628 59.4%	1506 52.8%	344 53.5%	512 58.9%	577 56.5%	827 56.4%	874 54.7%	637 45.3%	1136 56.0%	1361 63.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.</b>												
(1) completely agree	447 14.3%	439 14.0%	285 17.5%	153 10.2%	54 15.6%	72 14.1%	82 14.2%	130 15.7%	101 11.6%	71 11.1%	171 15.1%	196 14.4%
(2) rather agree	948 30.4%	965 30.8%	581 35.7%	384 25.5%	93 27.1%	144 28.1%	189 32.7%	270 32.7%	269 30.8%	174 27.3%	336 29.5%	456 33.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1238 39.6%	1246 39.8%	571 35.1%	675 44.8%	133 38.7%	213 41.5%	228 39.5%	309 37.3%	364 41.6%	284 44.5%	462 40.6%	501 36.8%
(4) rather disagree	365 11.7%	362 11.5%	145 8.9%	217 14.4%	46 13.5%	64 12.4%	59 10.2%	85 10.3%	108 12.4%	77 12.1%	121 10.7%	164 12.0%
(5) completely disagree	125 4.0%	122 3.9%	45 2.7%	77 5.1%	17 5.1%	20 3.9%	20 3.4%	33 4.0%	32 3.6%	31 4.9%	46 4.1%	44 3.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1395 44.7%	1404 44.8%	866 53.2%	538 35.7%	147 42.7%	216 42.2%	270 46.9%	400 48.4%	370 42.4%	245 38.5%	507 44.6%	652 47.9%
Middle Box (3)	1238 39.6%	1246 39.8%	571 35.1%	675 44.8%	133 38.7%	213 41.5%	228 39.5%	309 37.3%	364 41.6%	284 44.5%	462 40.6%	501 36.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	490 15.7%	484 15.4%	190 11.7%	294 19.5%	64 18.6%	84 16.3%	78 13.6%	118 14.3%	140 16.0%	108 17.0%	168 14.8%	208 15.3%
Mean	2,61	2,61	2,44	2,79	2,65	2,64	2,56	2,54	2,66	2,72	2,59	2,56
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2476 44.2%	2463 44.0%	1114 40.6%	1349 47.2%	299 46.5%	357 41.1%	444 43.5%	638 43.6%	725 45.3%	771 54.7%	893 44.0%	800 37.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3120 55.7%	3128 55.9%	1627 59.3%	1502 52.6%	346 53.7%	513 59.0%	578 56.6%	822 56.1%	871 54.4%	633 45.0%	1137 56.0%	1359 62.9%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.</b>												
(1) completely agree	423 13.6%	452 14.4%	233 14.3%	219 14.6%	31 8.9%	59 11.5%	80 13.9%	123 15.0%	159 18.2%	101 16.0%	146 12.9%	205 15.1%
(2) rather agree	894 28.7%	891 28.5%	479 29.5%	412 27.4%	101 29.3%	146 28.5%	162 28.0%	223 27.1%	259 29.8%	185 29.2%	331 29.1%	375 27.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1510 48.4%	1500 47.9%	746 45.9%	753 50.2%	172 49.6%	265 51.6%	282 48.8%	391 47.5%	391 44.9%	299 47.2%	571 50.2%	630 46.3%
(4) rather disagree	222 7.1%	214 6.9%	126 7.8%	88 5.9%	34 9.7%	34 6.6%	42 7.3%	58 7.1%	46 5.3%	36 5.6%	70 6.2%	109 8.0%
(5) completely disagree	71 2.3%	71 2.3%	41 2.5%	30 2.0%	8 2.4%	9 1.7%	11 2.0%	27 3.3%	16 1.8%	12 1.9%	19 1.7%	40 2.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1317 42.2%	1343 42.9%	712 43.8%	631 42.0%	132 38.2%	205 40.0%	242 41.9%	346 42.1%	418 48.0%	286 45.2%	477 42.0%	580 42.7%
Middle Box (3)	1510 48.4%	1500 47.9%	746 45.9%	753 50.2%	172 49.6%	265 51.6%	282 48.8%	391 47.5%	391 44.9%	299 47.2%	571 50.2%	630 46.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	293 9.4%	286 9.1%	168 10.3%	118 7.8%	42 12.1%	43 8.3%	54 9.3%	85 10.3%	62 7.1%	48 7.6%	89 7.8%	149 11.0%
Mean	2,56	2,54	2,55	2,53	2,67	2,59	2,56	2,57	2,43	2,48	2,55	2,56
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2479 44.3%	2469 44.1%	1115 40.7%	1354 47.4%	298 46.3%	356 41.0%	443 43.4%	643 43.9%	729 45.6%	775 55.0%	892 44.0%	802 37.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3122 55.8%	3134 56.0%	1626 59.3%	1508 52.8%	344 53.4%	511 58.8%	578 56.6%	824 56.3%	877 54.8%	639 45.4%	1135 55.9%	1360 62.9%
<b>Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.</b>												
(1) completely agree	392 12.6%	393 12.6%	237 14.6%	156 10.3%	48 14.0%	59 11.6%	68 11.8%	118 14.3%	100 11.4%	65 10.2%	152 13.4%	176 12.9%
(2) rather agree	969 31.0%	987 31.5%	561 34.5%	426 28.3%	100 29.1%	149 29.1%	174 30.1%	274 33.3%	290 33.1%	186 29.2%	372 32.8%	429 31.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1419 45.5%	1417 45.2%	663 40.8%	754 50.0%	152 44.3%	246 48.2%	277 48.0%	356 43.2%	384 43.8%	323 50.6%	510 44.9%	584 42.9%
(4) rather disagree	254 8.1%	249 7.9%	122 7.5%	127 8.4%	31 9.0%	46 8.9%	45 7.8%	53 6.4%	74 8.5%	38 5.9%	79 6.9%	132 9.7%
(5) completely disagree	88 2.8%	88 2.8%	43 2.6%	45 3.0%	12 3.6%	11 2.2%	13 2.3%	23 2.8%	28 3.2%	26 4.1%	23 2.0%	39 2.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1361 43.6%	1380 44.0%	798 49.1%	582 38.6%	148 43.1%	208 40.7%	242 41.9%	392 47.5%	390 44.5%	252 39.4%	524 46.2%	605 44.5%
Middle Box (3)	1419 45.5%	1417 45.2%	663 40.8%	754 50.0%	152 44.3%	246 48.2%	277 48.0%	356 43.2%	384 43.8%	323 50.6%	510 44.9%	584 42.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	342 11.0%	337 10.8%	165 10.1%	172 11.4%	43 12.6%	57 11.1%	59 10.1%	76 9.2%	102 11.7%	64 10.0%	101 8.9%	172 12.6%
Mean	2,58	2,57	2,49	2,65	2,59	2,61	2,59	2,50	2,59	2,64	2,51	2,58
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2477 44.2%	2463 44.0%	1116 40.7%	1347 47.2%	299 46.6%	358 41.2%	443 43.4%	641 43.7%	722 45.2%	769 54.6%	894 44.1%	801 37.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3136 56.0%	1631 59.5%	1505 52.7%	346 53.7%	514 59.1%	578 56.7%	824 56.3%	874 54.7%	637 45.2%	1140 56.2%	1360 62.9%
<b>Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.</b>												
(1) completely agree	632 20.2%	669 21.3%	357 21.9%	312 20.7%	58 16.8%	81 15.7%	106 18.3%	194 23.5%	231 26.4%	138 21.7%	244 21.4%	287 21.1%
(2) rather agree	924 29.5%	931 29.7%	489 30.0%	443 29.4%	93 26.9%	161 31.4%	169 29.2%	243 29.5%	265 30.3%	163 25.6%	334 29.3%	434 31.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1277 40.8%	1261 40.2%	626 38.4%	634 42.1%	154 44.5%	214 41.7%	252 43.6%	329 39.9%	311 35.6%	281 44.1%	465 40.8%	514 37.8%
(4) rather disagree	224 7.2%	212 6.8%	121 7.4%	91 6.0%	29 8.5%	44 8.5%	41 7.1%	42 5.1%	56 6.4%	38 5.9%	74 6.5%	101 7.4%
(5) completely disagree	70 2.2%	63 2.0%	37 2.3%	26 1.7%	12 3.4%	13 2.6%	10 1.8%	16 1.9%	12 1.3%	17 2.6%	23 2.0%	24 1.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1556 49.8%	1600 51.0%	846 51.9%	754 50.1%	151 43.7%	242 47.1%	275 47.5%	437 53.1%	495 56.6%	301 47.3%	578 50.7%	721 53.0%
Middle Box (3)	1277 40.8%	1261 40.2%	626 38.4%	634 42.1%	154 44.5%	214 41.7%	252 43.6%	329 39.9%	311 35.6%	281 44.1%	465 40.8%	514 37.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	294 9.4%	275 8.8%	159 9.7%	116 7.7%	41 11.9%	57 11.1%	51 8.9%	58 7.0%	68 7.8%	54 8.5%	96 8.4%	125 9.2%
Mean	2,42	2,38	2,38	2,39	2,55	2,51	2,45	2,32	2,26	2,42	2,38	2,37
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2472 44.2%	2461 44.0%	1111 40.5%	1350 47.3%	298 46.3%	355 40.9%	442 43.3%	641 43.7%	725 45.3%	771 54.8%	889 43.8%	801 37.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How many old historical buildings are there in your city or community?


Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35 years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4266 76.2%	4250 75.9%	2078 75.8%	2172 76.1%	498 77.4%	684 78.8%	799 78.3%	1098 74.9%	1171 73.2%	950 67.5%	1567 77.2%	1733 80.2%
<b>Historical buildings</b>												
a great many	976 22.9%	984 23.2%	468 22.5%	516 23.8%	102 20.5%	160 23.3%	202 25.2%	242 22.0%	279 23.8%	186 19.6%	303 19.3%	494 28.5%
rather many	1312 30.8%	1298 30.5%	646 31.1%	651 30.0%	158 31.7%	238 34.8%	238 29.8%	315 28.7%	348 29.7%	286 30.1%	493 31.4%	519 29.9%
rather few	1777 41.7%	1765 41.5%	864 41.6%	901 41.5%	209 42.0%	271 39.7%	322 40.3%	492 44.8%	471 40.2%	414 43.6%	702 44.8%	649 37.4%
no buildings at all	201 4.7%	204 4.8%	100 4.8%	103 4.8%	29 5.8%	15 2.2%	38 4.7%	49 4.5%	73 6.2%	64 6.7%	69 4.4%	71 4.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missing	1333 23.8%	1347 24.1%	664 24.2%	683 23.9%	146 22.6%	185 21.2%	222 21.7%	367 25.1%	428 26.8%	457 32.5%	462 22.8%	428 19.8%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.

The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.

Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.

Monument protection is an important social duty.

New technologies are useful for monument protection.

Monument protection should be supported by public finances.

Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.

Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.

Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.

Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.

We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.

In our country enough is done for monument protection.

The regulations for monument protection are too strict.

Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.

Age Group

18 - 25 years 26 - 35 years 36 - 45 years 46 - 60 years 61+ years

1.85	1.92	1.80	1.71	1.63
2.05	2.07	1.96	1.84	1.69
2.15	2.11	1.97	1.86	1.70
2.19	2.14	2.02	1.97	1.81
2.33	2.26	2.16	2.04	1.94
2.35	2.27	2.17	2.06	1.94
2.43	2.35	2.20	2.04	1.90
2.52	2.56	2.50	2.48	2.47
2.55	2.55	2.41	2.41	2.33
2.58	2.66	2.60	2.64	2.59
2.61	2.49	2.39	2.30	2.24
2.76	2.84	2.80	2.91	2.96
2.90	2.80	2.79	2.83	2.90
3.00	2.94	2.95	3.07	3.05




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4326 77.3%	4329 77.3%	2126 77.5%	2203 77.1%	499 77.5%	685 78.8%	805 78.9%	1123 76.6%	1218 76.2%	977 69.4%	1590 78.4%	1762 81.5%
<b>Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.</b>												
(1) completely agree	829 19.2%	854 19.7%	398 18.7%	457 20.7%	87 17.5%	99 14.5%	146 18.1%	233 20.8%	289 23.7%	202 20.7%	329 20.7%	323 18.3%
(2) rather agree	1442 33.3%	1467 33.9%	733 34.5%	734 33.3%	140 28.0%	234 34.1%	298 37.1%	374 33.3%	422 34.7%	328 33.5%	518 32.6%	622 35.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1498 34.6%	1466 33.9%	718 33.8%	748 33.9%	197 39.6%	255 37.3%	271 33.6%	379 33.7%	364 29.9%	344 35.2%	529 33.3%	593 33.7%
(4) rather disagree	404 9.3%	390 9.0%	196 9.2%	194 8.8%	57 11.4%	72 10.4%	62 7.7%	97 8.6%	103 8.4%	72 7.3%	154 9.7%	165 9.4%
(5) completely disagree	153 3.5%	151 3.5%	80 3.8%	70 3.2%	17 3.5%	25 3.7%	28 3.5%	40 3.6%	40 3.3%	32 3.3%	60 3.8%	59 3.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2271 52.5%	2322 53.6%	1131 53.2%	1190 54.0%	227 45.5%	333 48.6%	444 55.2%	607 54.1%	711 58.4%	530 54.2%	847 53.3%	945 53.6%
Middle Box (3)	1498 34.6%	1466 33.9%	718 33.8%	748 33.9%	197 39.6%	255 37.3%	271 33.6%	379 33.7%	364 29.9%	344 35.2%	529 33.3%	593 33.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	557 12.9%	541 12.5%	277 13.0%	265 12.0%	74 14.9%	97 14.1%	90 11.2%	137 12.2%	143 11.8%	103 10.6%	214 13.4%	224 12.7%
Mean	2,45	2,43	2,45	2,40	2,55	2,55	2,41	2,41	2,33	2,39	2,43	2,44
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1273 22.7%	1269 22.7%	616 22.5%	653 22.9%	145 22.5%	184 21.2%	216 21.1%	343 23.4%	381 23.8%	431 30.6%	439 21.6%	399 18.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? In our country enough is done for monument protection.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4323 77.2%	4325 77.3%	2122 77.4%	2204 77.2%	500 77.7%	683 78.6%	803 78.6%	1119 76.4%	1221 76.3%	974 69.2%	1593 78.5%	1758 81.4%
<b>In our country enough is done for monument protection.</b>												
(1) completely agree	406 9.4%	398 9.2%	192 9.0%	207 9.4%	55 11.1%	64 9.4%	72 9.0%	103 9.2%	104 8.5%	92 9.5%	139 8.7%	167 9.5%
(2) rather agree	1068 24.7%	1061 24.5%	549 25.9%	512 23.2%	124 24.9%	166 24.3%	222 27.7%	257 23.0%	291 23.8%	223 22.9%	383 24.0%	455 25.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1841 42.6%	1823 42.2%	872 41.1%	952 43.2%	227 45.5%	311 45.6%	344 42.8%	477 42.7%	464 38.0%	431 44.3%	680 42.7%	712 40.5%
(4) rather disagree	751 17.4%	778 18.0%	388 18.3%	390 17.7%	72 14.4%	98 14.3%	124 15.4%	206 18.4%	278 22.8%	162 16.6%	290 18.2%	326 18.6%
(5) completely disagree	257 5.9%	265 6.1%	121 5.7%	144 6.5%	21 4.2%	43 6.3%	41 5.1%	76 6.8%	84 6.9%	66 6.8%	101 6.3%	98 5.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1474 34.1%	1459 33.7%	740 34.9%	719 32.6%	180 35.9%	230 33.7%	294 36.7%	359 32.1%	395 32.4%	315 32.3%	522 32.8%	622 35.4%
Middle Box (3)	1841 42.6%	1823 42.2%	872 41.1%	952 43.2%	227 45.5%	311 45.6%	344 42.8%	477 42.7%	464 38.0%	431 44.3%	680 42.7%	712 40.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1008 23.3%	1043 24.1%	510 24.0%	533 24.2%	93 18.6%	141 20.7%	165 20.5%	282 25.2%	362 29.7%	228 23.4%	391 24.5%	424 24.1%
Mean	2,86	2,87	2,86	2,89	2,76	2,84	2,80	2,91	2,96	2,88	2,89	2,85
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1276 22.8%	1272 22.7%	620 22.6%	652 22.8%	143 22.3%	186 21.4%	218 21.4%	346 23.6%	378 23.7%	434 30.8%	436 21.5%	402 18.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4326 77.3%	4330 77.4%	2121 77.4%	2209 77.4%	498 77.4%	684 78.7%	806 79.0%	1121 76.5%	1221 76.3%	975 69.2%	1596 78.7%	1759 81.4%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1722 39.8%	1789 41.3%	841 39.6%	949 42.9%	177 35.6%	223 32.6%	282 34.9%	495 44.1%	613 50.2%	378 38.8%	663 41.5%	748 42.5%
(2) rather agree	1491 34.5%	1492 34.5%	745 35.1%	747 33.8%	163 32.8%	232 33.8%	320 39.7%	371 33.0%	407 33.4%	336 34.4%	544 34.1%	612 34.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	922 21.3%	875 20.2%	437 20.6%	438 19.8%	122 24.4%	198 28.9%	175 21.7%	210 18.7%	171 14.0%	214 22.0%	323 20.2%	338 19.2%
(4) rather disagree	125 2.9%	111 2.6%	66 3.1%	45 2.0%	26 5.3%	20 2.9%	16 2.0%	29 2.6%	20 1.6%	25 2.6%	41 2.6%	45 2.5%
(5) completely disagree	66 1.5%	62 1.4%	32 1.5%	30 1.4%	9 1.9%	12 1.8%	14 1.7%	17 1.6%	10 0.8%	21 2.1%	24 1.5%	17 1.0%
Top Box (1+2)	3213 74.3%	3281 75.8%	1586 74.8%	1696 76.8%	340 68.4%	455 66.4%	601 74.6%	865 77.1%	1020 83.6%	714 73.3%	1207 75.6%	1360 77.3%
Middle Box (3)	922 21.3%	875 20.2%	437 20.6%	438 19.8%	122 24.4%	198 28.9%	175 21.7%	210 18.7%	171 14.0%	214 22.0%	323 20.2%	338 19.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	191 4.4%	174 4.0%	98 4.6%	75 3.4%	36 7.2%	32 4.6%	30 3.7%	47 4.2%	29 2.4%	46 4.7%	66 4.1%	62 3.5%
Mean	1,92	1,88	1,92	1,85	2,05	2,07	1,96	1,84	1,69	1,95	1,88	1,85
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1273 22.7%	1267 22.6%	621 22.6%	647 22.6%	146 22.6%	185 21.3%	215 21.0%	344 23.5%	378 23.7%	433 30.8%	433 21.3%	401 18.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5554 99.2%	5556 99.3%	2725 99.4%	2831 99.1%	637 99.1%	857 98.6%	1018 99.7%	1455 99.3%	1589 99.4%	1392 98.9%	2016 99.3%	2148 99.4%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.</b>												
(1) completely agree	2573 46.3%	2626 47.3%	1225 45.0%	1401 49.5%	276 43.3%	344 40.1%	441 43.3%	717 49.3%	848 53.4%	681 49.0%	935 46.4%	1010 47.0%
(2) rather agree	1867 33.6%	1873 33.7%	964 35.4%	909 32.1%	210 32.9%	281 32.8%	378 37.1%	483 33.2%	522 32.9%	433 31.1%	688 34.1%	752 35.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	966 17.4%	918 16.5%	455 16.7%	463 16.4%	128 20.1%	202 23.6%	171 16.8%	227 15.6%	191 12.0%	235 16.9%	343 17.0%	340 15.8%
(4) rather disagree	94 1.7%	85 1.5%	49 1.8%	36 1.3%	17 2.7%	22 2.5%	18 1.8%	12 0.8%	16 1.0%	22 1.6%	27 1.4%	36 1.7%
(5) completely disagree	54 1.0%	53 0.9%	31 1.1%	22 0.8%	6 1.0%	9 1.0%	10 1.0%	16 1.1%	12 0.8%	21 1.5%	22 1.1%	10 0.5%
Top Box (1+2)	4440 79.9%	4500 81.0%	2189 80.4%	2310 81.6%	486 76.3%	624 72.9%	819 80.4%	1200 82.5%	1370 86.2%	1114 80.0%	1623 80.5%	1762 82.0%
Middle Box (3)	966 17.4%	918 16.5%	455 16.7%	463 16.4%	128 20.1%	202 23.6%	171 16.8%	227 15.6%	191 12.0%	235 16.9%	343 17.0%	340 15.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	148 2.7%	138 2.5%	80 2.9%	58 2.0%	23 3.7%	31 3.6%	28 2.8%	28 1.9%	28 1.7%	43 3.1%	49 2.4%	46 2.1%
Mean	1,77	1,75	1,79	1,72	1,85	1,92	1,80	1,71	1,63	1,76	1,77	1,74
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	45 0.8%	41 0.7%	17 0.6%	24 0.9%	6 0.9%	12 1.4%	3 0.3%	10 0.7%	10 0.6%	16 1.1%	13 0.7%	12 0.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection is an important social duty.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4323 77.2%	4325 77.3%	2122 77.4%	2203 77.2%	499 77.5%	684 78.7%	803 78.7%	1120 76.4%	1219 76.2%	975 69.3%	1591 78.4%	1759 81.4%
<b>Monument protection is an important social duty.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1516 35.1%	1590 36.8%	748 35.3%	842 38.2%	139 27.8%	201 29.4%	263 32.7%	419 37.4%	569 46.7%	360 36.9%	578 36.3%	653 37.1%
(2) rather agree	1512 35.0%	1505 34.8%	739 34.8%	766 34.8%	172 34.5%	246 36.0%	315 39.2%	393 35.1%	379 31.1%	314 32.1%	570 35.8%	621 35.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1047 24.2%	996 23.0%	501 23.6%	494 22.4%	153 30.6%	191 27.9%	186 23.1%	245 21.9%	221 18.1%	233 23.9%	373 23.4%	389 22.1%
(4) rather disagree	177 4.1%	167 3.9%	97 4.6%	71 3.2%	26 5.3%	31 4.6%	26 3.2%	45 4.0%	39 3.2%	46 4.7%	49 3.1%	72 4.1%
(5) completely disagree	71 1.6%	67 1.6%	37 1.8%	30 1.4%	9 1.8%	15 2.2%	14 1.7%	18 1.6%	12 1.0%	22 2.3%	22 1.4%	24 1.3%
Top Box (1+2)	3028 70.0%	3095 71.6%	1487 70.1%	1608 73.0%	311 62.3%	447 65.3%	578 71.9%	812 72.5%	948 77.7%	673 69.0%	1148 72.1%	1274 72.4%
Middle Box (3)	1047 24.2%	996 23.0%	501 23.6%	494 22.4%	153 30.6%	191 27.9%	186 23.1%	245 21.9%	221 18.1%	233 23.9%	373 23.4%	389 22.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	248 5.7%	235 5.4%	134 6.3%	101 4.6%	35 7.1%	46 6.7%	40 4.9%	63 5.6%	51 4.2%	68 7.0%	71 4.4%	96 5.4%
Mean	2,02	1,99	2,03	1,95	2,19	2,14	2,02	1,97	1,81	2,03	1,97	1,97
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1276 22.8%	1272 22.7%	620 22.6%	652 22.8%	145 22.5%	185 21.3%	218 21.3%	345 23.6%	380 23.8%	433 30.7%	438 21.6%	402 18.6%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5554 99.2%	5557 99.3%	2723 99.3%	2835 99.3%	634 98.5%	860 98.9%	1018 99.7%	1458 99.5%	1588 99.3%	1399 99.4%	2009 99.0%	2149 99.5%
<b>Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1061 19.1%	1068 19.2%	519 19.0%	550 19.4%	122 19.2%	138 16.1%	186 18.2%	295 20.2%	328 20.7%	255 18.2%	408 20.3%	405 18.8%
(2) rather agree	1521 27.4%	1532 27.6%	774 28.4%	758 26.7%	166 26.1%	236 27.4%	305 30.0%	390 26.8%	435 27.4%	326 23.3%	549 27.3%	656 30.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1893 34.1%	1866 33.6%	899 33.0%	967 34.1%	237 37.4%	328 38.1%	327 32.1%	464 31.8%	511 32.2%	485 34.7%	682 34.0%	699 32.5%
(4) rather disagree	666 12.0%	668 12.0%	321 11.8%	347 12.2%	73 11.5%	99 11.5%	132 13.0%	170 11.7%	194 12.2%	185 13.2%	224 11.1%	260 12.1%
(5) completely disagree	413 7.4%	423 7.6%	210 7.7%	213 7.5%	37 5.8%	59 6.9%	68 6.7%	139 9.5%	120 7.5%	148 10.6%	145 7.2%	130 6.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2582 46.5%	2600 46.8%	1292 47.5%	1308 46.1%	288 45.4%	374 43.5%	491 48.3%	685 47.0%	763 48.0%	581 41.6%	958 47.7%	1061 49.4%
Middle Box (3)	1893 34.1%	1866 33.6%	899 33.0%	967 34.1%	237 37.4%	328 38.1%	327 32.1%	464 31.8%	511 32.2%	485 34.7%	682 34.0%	699 32.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1079 19.4%	1091 19.6%	531 19.5%	560 19.8%	109 17.2%	158 18.4%	200 19.7%	309 21.2%	314 19.8%	333 23.8%	369 18.4%	389 18.1%
Mean	2,61	2,61	2,61	2,62	2,58	2,66	2,60	2,64	2,59	2,75	2,58	2,56
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	45 0.8%	40 0.7%	19 0.7%	21 0.7%	10 1.5%	9 1.1%	3 0.3%	7 0.5%	12 0.7%	9 0.6%	20 1.0%	11 0.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4331 77.4%	4333 77.4%	2125 77.5%	2208 77.3%	500 77.7%	686 79.0%	805 78.9%	1123 76.6%	1219 76.3%	976 69.3%	1595 78.6%	1762 81.6%
<b>Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1195 27.6%	1284 29.6%	619 29.1%	665 30.1%	96 19.2%	136 19.8%	192 23.9%	373 33.2%	487 39.9%	292 29.9%	493 30.9%	499 28.3%
(2) rather agree	1547 35.7%	1565 36.1%	796 37.4%	769 34.8%	156 31.1%	244 35.5%	324 40.2%	412 36.7%	430 35.3%	316 32.4%	564 35.4%	685 38.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1289 29.8%	1212 28.0%	567 26.7%	645 29.2%	196 39.2%	248 36.2%	238 29.5%	280 24.9%	251 20.6%	292 29.9%	447 28.0%	474 26.9%
(4) rather disagree	226 5.2%	201 4.6%	105 5.0%	96 4.3%	41 8.3%	46 6.7%	39 4.8%	39 3.5%	36 2.9%	55 5.7%	68 4.2%	78 4.5%
(5) completely disagree	74 1.7%	70 1.6%	38 1.8%	32 1.5%	11 2.2%	12 1.8%	13 1.6%	19 1.7%	16 1.3%	21 2.2%	23 1.4%	26 1.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2742 63.3%	2849 65.8%	1415 66.6%	1434 65.0%	252 50.4%	380 55.3%	516 64.1%	785 69.9%	917 75.2%	608 62.3%	1058 66.3%	1184 67.2%
Middle Box (3)	1289 29.8%	1212 28.0%	567 26.7%	645 29.2%	196 39.2%	248 36.2%	238 29.5%	280 24.9%	251 20.6%	292 29.9%	447 28.0%	474 26.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	300 6.9%	271 6.3%	143 6.7%	128 5.8%	52 10.4%	58 8.5%	52 6.4%	58 5.2%	52 4.2%	77 7.8%	91 5.7%	104 5.9%
Mean	2,18	2,12	2,13	2,12	2,43	2,35	2,20	2,04	1,90	2,18	2,10	2,12
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1268 22.6%	1264 22.6%	617 22.5%	648 22.7%	143 22.3%	183 21.0%	216 21.1%	343 23.4%	380 23.7%	432 30.7%	434 21.4%	398 18.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4314 77.0%	4316 77.1%	2114 77.1%	2202 77.1%	499 77.6%	682 78.5%	803 78.7%	1116 76.2%	1216 76.0%	971 69.0%	1584 78.1%	1761 81.5%
<b>Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.</b>												
(1) completely agree	372 8.6%	386 8.9%	216 10.2%	170 7.7%	38 7.6%	58 8.4%	67 8.4%	93 8.3%	130 10.7%	86 8.9%	140 8.8%	159 9.0%
(2) rather agree	795 18.4%	784 18.2%	408 19.3%	376 17.1%	95 19.0%	131 19.2%	172 21.4%	181 16.2%	205 16.9%	160 16.5%	297 18.7%	327 18.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2000 46.4%	1980 45.9%	900 42.6%	1080 49.1%	237 47.5%	335 49.1%	368 45.8%	536 48.0%	505 41.5%	474 48.9%	757 47.8%	749 42.5%
(4) rather disagree	731 16.9%	729 16.9%	371 17.6%	358 16.2%	89 17.8%	116 17.0%	126 15.7%	174 15.5%	224 18.5%	150 15.4%	237 14.9%	343 19.5%
(5) completely disagree	416 9.6%	437 10.1%	219 10.3%	218 9.9%	41 8.1%	43 6.3%	70 8.7%	133 11.9%	151 12.4%	101 10.4%	154 9.7%	183 10.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1167 27.1%	1169 27.1%	624 29.5%	546 24.8%	133 26.6%	188 27.6%	239 29.8%	274 24.5%	335 27.6%	246 25.3%	437 27.6%	486 27.6%
Middle Box (3)	2000 46.4%	1980 45.9%	900 42.6%	1080 49.1%	237 47.5%	335 49.1%	368 45.8%	536 48.0%	505 41.5%	474 48.9%	757 47.8%	749 42.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1147 26.6%	1166 27.0%	590 27.9%	576 26.2%	129 25.9%	159 23.3%	196 24.4%	307 27.5%	375 30.9%	250 25.8%	390 24.6%	526 29.8%
Mean	3,01	3,01	2,99	3,04	3,00	2,94	2,95	3,07	3,05	3,02	2,98	3,04
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1285 23.0%	1281 22.9%	628 22.9%	654 22.9%	144 22.4%	187 21.5%	218 21.3%	349 23.8%	384 24.0%	437 31.0%	445 21.9%	399 18.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5539 98.9%	5539 99.0%	2713 99.0%	2825 98.9%	635 98.8%	857 98.6%	1015 99.4%	1448 98.8%	1585 99.1%	1388 98.6%	2006 98.9%	2144 99.3%
<b>Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1022 18.5%	1039 18.8%	475 17.5%	565 20.0%	117 18.5%	133 15.6%	181 17.8%	283 19.5%	325 20.5%	328 23.6%	382 19.0%	330 15.4%
(2) rather agree	1647 29.7%	1662 30.0%	817 30.1%	845 29.9%	185 29.1%	246 28.7%	308 30.3%	434 30.0%	489 30.9%	426 30.7%	631 31.4%	605 28.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2131 38.5%	2091 37.7%	1025 37.8%	1066 37.7%	241 38.0%	371 43.3%	399 39.3%	539 37.2%	541 34.1%	488 35.1%	735 36.6%	868 40.5%
(4) rather disagree	550 9.9%	556 10.0%	292 10.8%	264 9.3%	71 11.1%	79 9.2%	96 9.5%	145 10.0%	165 10.4%	102 7.4%	186 9.3%	267 12.5%
(5) completely disagree	189 3.4%	191 3.4%	105 3.9%	86 3.0%	21 3.3%	28 3.2%	31 3.1%	47 3.3%	64 4.0%	44 3.2%	73 3.7%	74 3.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2669 48.2%	2701 48.8%	1291 47.6%	1410 49.9%	302 47.6%	379 44.3%	489 48.2%	717 49.5%	814 51.4%	754 54.3%	1012 50.5%	935 43.6%
Middle Box (3)	2131 38.5%	2091 37.7%	1025 37.8%	1066 37.7%	241 38.0%	371 43.3%	399 39.3%	539 37.2%	541 34.1%	488 35.1%	735 36.6%	868 40.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	739 13.3%	747 13.5%	397 14.6%	350 12.4%	92 14.4%	107 12.5%	127 12.5%	192 13.3%	229 14.5%	146 10.5%	259 12.9%	341 15.9%
Mean	2,50	2,49	2,53	2,46	2,52	2,56	2,50	2,48	2,47	2,36	2,47	2,60
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	60 1.1%	58 1.0%	28 1.0%	30 1.1%	8 1.2%	12 1.4%	6 0.6%	17 1.2%	15 0.9%	20 1.4%	22 1.1%	16 0.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5544 99.0%	5545 99.1%	2717 99.1%	2828 99.0%	635 98.6%	860 99.0%	1014 99.4%	1451 99.0%	1585 99.1%	1392 98.9%	2007 98.9%	2145 99.3%
<b>Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.</b>												
(1) completely agree	2041 36.8%	2160 38.9%	1040 38.3%	1120 39.6%	189 29.8%	259 30.1%	343 33.8%	597 41.2%	771 48.7%	575 41.3%	774 38.6%	811 37.8%
(2) rather agree	2006 36.2%	2008 36.2%	997 36.7%	1011 35.8%	215 33.9%	300 34.9%	408 40.2%	523 36.1%	563 35.5%	473 34.0%	723 36.0%	812 37.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1265 22.8%	1165 21.0%	565 20.8%	600 21.2%	184 29.1%	262 30.5%	222 21.9%	282 19.4%	215 13.5%	287 20.6%	431 21.5%	447 20.8%
(4) rather disagree	170 3.1%	153 2.8%	87 3.2%	66 2.3%	36 5.7%	31 3.6%	30 3.0%	32 2.2%	23 1.5%	36 2.6%	56 2.8%	61 2.8%
(5) completely disagree	62 1.1%	59 1.1%	29 1.1%	30 1.1%	9 1.5%	8 1.0%	11 1.1%	17 1.2%	13 0.8%	21 1.5%	22 1.1%	15 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	4047 73.0%	4168 75.2%	2037 75.0%	2131 75.4%	404 63.7%	558 64.9%	751 74.0%	1120 77.2%	1334 84.2%	1048 75.2%	1497 74.6%	1623 75.7%
Middle Box (3)	1265 22.8%	1165 21.0%	565 20.8%	600 21.2%	184 29.1%	262 30.5%	222 21.9%	282 19.4%	215 13.5%	287 20.6%	431 21.5%	447 20.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	232 4.2%	212 3.8%	115 4.2%	96 3.4%	46 7.2%	40 4.6%	41 4.1%	49 3.4%	36 2.3%	58 4.1%	79 3.9%	75 3.5%
Mean	1,95	1,91	1,92	1,90	2,15	2,11	1,97	1,86	1,70	1,89	1,92	1,91
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	55 1.0%	53 0.9%	25 0.9%	27 1.0%	9 1.4%	9 1.0%	6 0.6%	14 1.0%	14 0.9%	15 1.1%	22 1.1%	15 0.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The regulations for monument protection are too strict.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5542 99.0%	5541 99.0%	2717 99.1%	2823 98.9%	635 98.8%	857 98.6%	1015 99.5%	1453 99.2%	1580 98.8%	1393 98.9%	2005 98.8%	2143 99.2%
<b>The regulations for monument protection are too strict.</b>												
(1) completely agree	623 11.2%	623 11.2%	330 12.1%	293 10.4%	64 10.0%	88 10.3%	118 11.6%	185 12.7%	169 10.7%	176 12.6%	222 11.1%	225 10.5%
(2) rather agree	1124 20.3%	1137 20.5%	594 21.8%	544 19.3%	113 17.7%	177 20.7%	226 22.2%	277 19.1%	344 21.8%	272 19.5%	426 21.2%	440 20.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2637 47.6%	2591 46.8%	1219 44.9%	1372 48.6%	320 50.3%	442 51.6%	479 47.2%	677 46.6%	673 42.6%	645 46.3%	968 48.3%	978 45.7%
(4) rather disagree	842 15.2%	852 15.4%	429 15.8%	423 15.0%	106 16.6%	115 13.4%	142 14.0%	221 15.2%	268 17.0%	202 14.5%	277 13.8%	373 17.4%
(5) completely disagree	316 5.7%	338 6.1%	145 5.3%	192 6.8%	34 5.3%	35 4.1%	51 5.0%	92 6.4%	126 7.9%	99 7.1%	113 5.6%	126 5.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1747 31.5%	1760 31.8%	924 34.0%	837 29.6%	176 27.7%	265 31.0%	343 33.8%	462 31.8%	513 32.5%	448 32.1%	648 32.3%	665 31.0%
Middle Box (3)	2637 47.6%	2591 46.8%	1219 44.9%	1372 48.6%	320 50.3%	442 51.6%	479 47.2%	677 46.6%	673 42.6%	645 46.3%	968 48.3%	978 45.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1158 20.9%	1190 21.5%	575 21.1%	615 21.8%	139 21.9%	150 17.5%	193 19.0%	314 21.6%	394 24.9%	300 21.6%	390 19.4%	499 23.3%
Mean	2,84	2,85	2,80	2,89	2,90	2,80	2,79	2,83	2,90	2,84	2,82	2,88
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	57 1.0%	57 1.0%	24 0.9%	32 1.1%	8 1.2%	12 1.4%	5 0.5%	12 0.8%	19 1.2%	15 1.1%	24 1.2%	18 0.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection should be supported by public finances.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	5545 99.0%	5540 99.0%	2715 99.0%	2825 98.9%	637 99.0%	862 99.2%	1017 99.6%	1452 99.1%	1572 98.3%	1388 98.6%	2008 99.0%	2145 99.3%
<b>Monument protection should be supported by public finances.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1709 30.8%	1791 32.3%	873 32.2%	918 32.5%	153 23.9%	217 25.2%	294 28.9%	506 34.8%	621 39.5%	452 32.6%	656 32.7%	682 31.8%
(2) rather agree	1840 33.2%	1865 33.7%	919 33.8%	946 33.5%	201 31.6%	283 32.8%	349 34.4%	483 33.2%	549 34.9%	429 30.9%	676 33.7%	761 35.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1591 28.7%	1505 27.2%	714 26.3%	791 28.0%	214 33.5%	299 34.7%	306 30.1%	379 26.1%	307 19.5%	403 29.1%	533 26.6%	568 26.5%
(4) rather disagree	248 4.5%	231 4.2%	125 4.6%	106 3.8%	46 7.2%	40 4.7%	40 4.0%	42 2.9%	63 4.0%	55 4.0%	84 4.2%	92 4.3%
(5) completely disagree	157 2.8%	149 2.7%	85 3.1%	64 2.3%	24 3.8%	23 2.7%	27 2.7%	43 3.0%	32 2.0%	48 3.5%	59 2.9%	42 2.0%
Top Box (1+2)	3549 64.0%	3656 66.0%	1792 66.0%	1864 66.0%	354 55.5%	500 58.0%	644 63.3%	989 68.1%	1170 74.4%	881 63.5%	1332 66.3%	1443 67.3%
Middle Box (3)	1591 28.7%	1505 27.2%	714 26.3%	791 28.0%	214 33.5%	299 34.7%	306 30.1%	379 26.1%	307 19.5%	403 29.1%	533 26.6%	568 26.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	405 7.3%	380 6.9%	209 7.7%	170 6.0%	70 11.0%	63 7.3%	67 6.6%	85 5.8%	95 6.0%	103 7.4%	143 7.1%	134 6.2%
Mean	2,15	2,11	2,13	2,10	2,35	2,27	2,17	2,06	1,94	2,15	2,11	2,09
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	54 1.0%	57 1.0%	27 1.0%	30 1.1%	6 1.0%	7 0.8%	4 0.4%	13 0.9%	27 1.7%	20 1.4%	21 1.0%	16 0.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? New technologies are useful for monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3376 60.3%	3377 60.3%	1660 60.6%	1716 60.1%	382 59.4%	534 61.4%	628 61.5%	874 59.6%	959 60.0%	772 54.8%	1382 68.1%	1223 56.6%
<b>New technologies are useful for monument protection.</b>												
(1) completely agree	918 27.2%	949 28.1%	512 30.8%	437 25.5%	81 21.2%	116 21.8%	153 24.3%	273 31.2%	326 34.0%	208 27.0%	393 28.5%	347 28.4%
(2) rather agree	1276 37.8%	1301 38.5%	661 39.8%	640 37.3%	124 32.5%	195 36.5%	253 40.3%	329 37.7%	400 41.7%	279 36.2%	531 38.4%	491 40.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1042 30.9%	998 29.6%	433 26.1%	565 32.9%	152 39.8%	196 36.7%	196 31.3%	245 28.0%	209 21.8%	254 32.9%	405 29.3%	339 27.8%
(4) rather disagree	104 3.1%	93 2.8%	38 2.3%	56 3.2%	20 5.1%	20 3.7%	21 3.3%	20 2.2%	14 1.4%	18 2.4%	40 2.9%	35 2.9%
(5) completely disagree	36 1.1%	35 1.0%	17 1.0%	18 1.1%	5 1.4%	7 1.3%	5 0.8%	8 0.9%	11 1.1%	12 1.5%	13 0.9%	10 0.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2194 65.0%	2250 66.6%	1173 70.6%	1077 62.8%	205 53.7%	311 58.3%	405 64.6%	602 68.9%	726 75.7%	488 63.2%	924 66.9%	838 68.5%
Middle Box (3)	1042 30.9%	998 29.6%	433 26.1%	565 32.9%	152 39.8%	196 36.7%	196 31.3%	245 28.0%	209 21.8%	254 32.9%	405 29.3%	339 27.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	140 4.1%	129 3.8%	54 3.3%	74 4.3%	25 6.5%	27 5.0%	26 4.1%	27 3.1%	24 2.5%	30 3.9%	53 3.8%	46 3.7%
Mean	2,13	2,10	2,03	2,17	2,33	2,26	2,16	2,04	1,94	2,15	2,09	2,08
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2223 39.7%	2221 39.7%	1081 39.4%	1139 39.9%	261 40.6%	335 38.6%	393 38.5%	591 40.4%	640 40.0%	636 45.2%	647 31.9%	938 43.4%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3380 60.4%	3380 60.4%	1661 60.6%	1719 60.2%	384 59.6%	535 61.6%	628 61.5%	872 59.5%	962 60.1%	774 55.0%	1383 68.1%	1223 56.6%
<b>We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.</b>												
(1) completely agree	661 19.6%	690 20.4%	319 19.2%	371 21.6%	52 13.6%	78 14.6%	118 18.7%	198 22.7%	244 25.4%	193 25.0%	279 20.2%	217 17.8%
(2) rather agree	1114 33.0%	1135 33.6%	550 33.1%	585 34.0%	112 29.2%	181 33.9%	216 34.3%	289 33.2%	336 35.0%	265 34.2%	497 35.9%	373 30.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1295 38.3%	1251 37.0%	622 37.4%	629 36.6%	166 43.4%	223 41.6%	239 38.2%	326 37.4%	296 30.8%	256 33.1%	504 36.5%	490 40.1%
(4) rather disagree	240 7.1%	237 7.0%	125 7.5%	112 6.5%	40 10.5%	41 7.7%	45 7.1%	39 4.4%	72 7.5%	47 6.0%	76 5.5%	114 9.3%
(5) completely disagree	70 2.1%	68 2.0%	46 2.7%	22 1.3%	13 3.3%	12 2.2%	10 1.7%	20 2.3%	13 1.4%	13 1.7%	27 1.9%	28 2.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1775 52.5%	1825 54.0%	869 52.3%	956 55.6%	164 42.8%	260 48.5%	333 53.1%	487 55.9%	580 60.4%	458 59.2%	776 56.1%	591 48.3%
Middle Box (3)	1295 38.3%	1251 37.0%	622 37.4%	629 36.6%	166 43.4%	223 41.6%	239 38.2%	326 37.4%	296 30.8%	256 33.1%	504 36.5%	490 40.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	310 9.2%	305 9.0%	171 10.3%	134 7.8%	53 13.8%	53 9.9%	55 8.8%	58 6.7%	85 8.8%	60 7.8%	102 7.4%	142 11.6%
Mean	2,39	2,37	2,42	2,32	2,61	2,49	2,39	2,30	2,24	2,25	2,33	2,48
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2219 39.6%	2217 39.6%	1080 39.4%	1137 39.8%	260 40.4%	333 38.4%	393 38.5%	593 40.5%	638 39.9%	634 45.0%	646 31.9%	937 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3405 60.8%	3406 60.8%	1672 61.0%	1733 60.7%	386 60.0%	539 62.1%	630 61.7%	881 60.1%	970 60.7%	783 55.6%	1396 68.8%	1227 56.8%
<b>On historic buildings I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1068 31.4%	1095 32.2%	549 32.9%	546 31.5%	93 24.2%	164 30.4%	189 30.0%	294 33.4%	355 36.6%	265 33.9%	471 33.7%	360 29.3%
(2) rather agree	789 23.2%	800 23.5%	413 24.7%	387 22.3%	79 20.4%	121 22.4%	155 24.6%	203 23.1%	242 25.0%	142 18.1%	329 23.6%	330 26.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	947 27.8%	923 27.1%	434 26.0%	489 28.2%	118 30.5%	158 29.2%	192 30.5%	228 25.9%	228 23.5%	214 27.4%	354 25.3%	355 28.9%
(4) rather disagree	314 9.2%	320 9.4%	153 9.2%	167 9.6%	53 13.7%	36 6.7%	49 7.8%	91 10.3%	90 9.3%	75 9.6%	137 9.8%	107 8.7%
(5) completely disagree	287 8.4%	267 7.8%	123 7.3%	144 8.3%	43 11.1%	60 11.2%	44 7.0%	65 7.4%	55 5.6%	86 11.0%	106 7.6%	76 6.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1857 54.5%	1896 55.7%	962 57.6%	933 53.9%	172 44.7%	285 52.8%	344 54.7%	497 56.4%	597 61.6%	407 52.0%	800 57.3%	689 56.2%
Middle Box (3)	947 27.8%	923 27.1%	434 26.0%	489 28.2%	118 30.5%	158 29.2%	192 30.5%	228 25.9%	228 23.5%	214 27.4%	354 25.3%	355 28.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	601 17.7%	587 17.2%	276 16.5%	311 17.9%	96 24.8%	97 18.0%	93 14.8%	156 17.7%	145 14.9%	161 20.6%	242 17.4%	183 14.9%
Mean	2,40	2,37	2,33	2,41	2,67	2,46	2,37	2,35	2,22	2,46	2,34	2,36
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2194 39.2%	2192 39.2%	1070 39.0%	1122 39.3%	258 40.0%	330 37.9%	391 38.3%	584 39.9%	629 39.3%	625 44.4%	633 31.2%	933 43.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find damages on the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3394 60.6%	1668 60.8%	1726 60.4%	383 59.5%	537 61.8%	629 61.6%	877 59.8%	969 60.6%	782 55.5%	1389 68.5%	1224 56.6%
<b>On historic buildings I find damages on the facade unappealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1248 36.8%	1293 38.1%	643 38.5%	650 37.7%	114 29.9%	165 30.8%	225 35.7%	354 40.4%	434 44.8%	320 40.9%	547 39.4%	426 34.8%
(2) rather agree	886 26.1%	892 26.3%	470 28.2%	422 24.4%	85 22.2%	151 28.1%	167 26.5%	233 26.5%	257 26.5%	168 21.5%	362 26.1%	362 29.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	857 25.3%	830 24.4%	371 22.2%	458 26.6%	113 29.5%	145 27.0%	177 28.2%	194 22.2%	200 20.7%	199 25.4%	322 23.2%	308 25.2%
(4) rather disagree	219 6.5%	210 6.2%	102 6.1%	107 6.2%	42 10.9%	40 7.4%	29 4.6%	55 6.3%	45 4.6%	46 5.8%	91 6.6%	72 5.9%
(5) completely disagree	183 5.4%	170 5.0%	82 4.9%	88 5.1%	29 7.5%	36 6.8%	31 5.0%	40 4.6%	33 3.4%	49 6.3%	66 4.8%	55 4.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2134 62.9%	2185 64.4%	1113 66.7%	1072 62.1%	199 52.1%	316 58.9%	391 62.3%	587 67.0%	691 71.3%	488 62.4%	909 65.4%	788 64.4%
Middle Box (3)	857 25.3%	830 24.4%	371 22.2%	458 26.6%	113 29.5%	145 27.0%	177 28.2%	194 22.2%	200 20.7%	199 25.4%	322 23.2%	308 25.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	402 11.8%	380 11.2%	184 11.0%	195 11.3%	70 18.4%	76 14.1%	60 9.6%	95 10.9%	78 8.1%	95 12.1%	158 11.4%	127 10.4%
Mean	2,18	2,14	2,11	2,17	2,44	2,31	2,17	2,08	1,95	2,15	2,11	2,16
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2206 39.4%	2203 39.4%	1073 39.2%	1130 39.6%	261 40.5%	331 38.2%	392 38.4%	588 40.2%	630 39.4%	626 44.5%	640 31.5%	937 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3394 60.6%	3395 60.6%	1669 60.9%	1726 60.4%	384 59.7%	539 62.0%	626 61.4%	878 59.9%	968 60.5%	782 55.5%	1391 68.6%	1221 56.5%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1247 36.7%	1304 38.4%	643 38.5%	661 38.3%	108 28.2%	168 31.2%	233 37.3%	349 39.8%	445 46.0%	316 40.4%	556 39.9%	432 35.4%
(2) rather agree	943 27.8%	940 27.7%	492 29.5%	448 26.0%	100 26.0%	165 30.6%	178 28.4%	230 26.2%	268 27.7%	178 22.7%	375 27.0%	387 31.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	774 22.8%	727 21.4%	342 20.5%	385 22.3%	102 26.5%	148 27.5%	143 22.8%	195 22.2%	138 14.3%	165 21.1%	288 20.7%	274 22.4%
(4) rather disagree	250 7.4%	252 7.4%	111 6.6%	142 8.2%	45 11.7%	31 5.7%	46 7.4%	57 6.5%	74 7.6%	77 9.8%	92 6.6%	83 6.8%
(5) completely disagree	180 5.3%	171 5.1%	82 4.9%	90 5.2%	29 7.6%	27 5.0%	26 4.1%	47 5.3%	43 4.4%	46 5.9%	81 5.8%	44 3.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2190 64.5%	2244 66.1%	1135 68.0%	1109 64.3%	208 54.2%	333 61.8%	411 65.7%	579 65.9%	713 73.7%	494 63.1%	931 66.9%	820 67.1%
Middle Box (3)	774 22.8%	727 21.4%	342 20.5%	385 22.3%	102 26.5%	148 27.5%	143 22.8%	195 22.2%	138 14.3%	165 21.1%	288 20.7%	274 22.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	430 12.7%	424 12.5%	193 11.5%	231 13.4%	74 19.2%	57 10.7%	72 11.5%	104 11.8%	116 12.0%	123 15.8%	173 12.4%	127 10.4%
Mean	2,17	2,13	2,10	2,16	2,44	2,23	2,13	2,11	1,97	2,18	2,11	2,12
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2205 39.4%	2203 39.4%	1073 39.1%	1130 39.6%	260 40.3%	330 38.0%	394 38.6%	588 40.1%	631 39.5%	626 44.5%	637 31.4%	939 43.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3387 60.5%	3387 60.5%	1660 60.6%	1727 60.5%	384 59.7%	536 61.7%	628 61.5%	873 59.6%	967 60.4%	780 55.4%	1384 68.2%	1223 56.6%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1241 36.6%	1287 38.0%	634 38.2%	653 37.8%	114 29.6%	171 31.8%	220 35.1%	341 39.0%	442 45.7%	300 38.4%	569 41.1%	418 34.2%
(2) rather agree	1018 30.1%	1010 29.8%	512 30.8%	498 28.8%	116 30.2%	169 31.5%	194 31.0%	256 29.3%	274 28.4%	205 26.3%	395 28.5%	410 33.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	787 23.2%	755 22.3%	363 21.9%	392 22.7%	99 25.9%	149 27.7%	155 24.7%	191 21.9%	160 16.6%	177 22.7%	287 20.7%	291 23.8%
(4) rather disagree	220 6.5%	216 6.4%	92 5.5%	124 7.2%	41 10.8%	28 5.3%	39 6.2%	48 5.5%	60 6.2%	56 7.2%	82 5.9%	78 6.4%
(5) completely disagree	121 3.6%	119 3.5%	59 3.6%	60 3.5%	13 3.4%	20 3.6%	19 3.0%	37 4.3%	31 3.2%	42 5.4%	51 3.7%	27 2.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2259 66.7%	2297 67.8%	1146 69.0%	1151 66.6%	230 59.9%	340 63.3%	415 66.1%	596 68.3%	716 74.1%	505 64.7%	964 69.7%	827 67.6%
Middle Box (3)	787 23.2%	755 22.3%	363 21.9%	392 22.7%	99 25.9%	149 27.7%	155 24.7%	191 21.9%	160 16.6%	177 22.7%	287 20.7%	291 23.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	341 10.1%	336 9.9%	151 9.1%	184 10.7%	55 14.2%	48 9.0%	58 9.2%	85 9.7%	90 9.3%	98 12.6%	133 9.6%	105 8.6%
Mean	2,10	2,08	2,05	2,10	2,28	2,17	2,11	2,07	1,93	2,15	2,02	2,09
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2212 39.5%	2210 39.5%	1081 39.4%	1129 39.5%	260 40.3%	332 38.3%	393 38.5%	592 40.4%	633 39.6%	628 44.6%	645 31.8%	937 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3385 60.5%	1666 60.8%	1719 60.2%	384 59.7%	537 61.8%	626 61.4%	877 59.8%	961 60.1%	778 55.3%	1386 68.3%	1221 56.5%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1432 42.3%	1499 44.3%	713 42.8%	786 45.7%	138 35.9%	176 32.8%	242 38.7%	428 48.8%	515 53.5%	373 47.9%	637 45.9%	489 40.1%
(2) rather agree	1045 30.9%	1032 30.5%	528 31.7%	504 29.3%	119 31.0%	185 34.4%	203 32.4%	246 28.0%	280 29.1%	214 27.4%	407 29.4%	411 33.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	725 21.4%	679 20.1%	324 19.4%	356 20.7%	100 26.1%	140 26.1%	150 24.0%	162 18.5%	127 13.2%	145 18.6%	285 20.6%	249 20.4%
(4) rather disagree	117 3.5%	109 3.2%	60 3.6%	49 2.9%	18 4.8%	23 4.3%	23 3.7%	20 2.2%	25 2.6%	24 3.1%	32 2.3%	53 4.4%
(5) completely disagree	67 2.0%	65 1.9%	41 2.5%	24 1.4%	8 2.2%	13 2.4%	8 1.2%	22 2.5%	15 1.6%	23 2.9%	25 1.8%	18 1.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2477 73.2%	2531 74.8%	1241 74.5%	1290 75.0%	257 66.9%	361 67.2%	445 71.1%	674 76.8%	795 82.7%	587 75.4%	1044 75.3%	900 73.8%
Middle Box (3)	725 21.4%	679 20.1%	324 19.4%	356 20.7%	100 26.1%	140 26.1%	150 24.0%	162 18.5%	127 13.2%	145 18.6%	285 20.6%	249 20.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	184 5.4%	175 5.2%	101 6.1%	73 4.3%	27 7.0%	36 6.7%	31 5.0%	41 4.7%	40 4.2%	47 6.0%	57 4.1%	71 5.8%
Mean	1,92	1,88	1,91	1,85	2,06	2,09	1,96	1,82	1,70	1,86	1,85	1,93
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2213 39.5%	2212 39.5%	1076 39.2%	1136 39.8%	259 40.3%	332 38.2%	394 38.6%	589 40.2%	638 39.9%	629 44.7%	643 31.7%	940 43.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the cultural value of the building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3388 60.5%	1669 60.9%	1719 60.2%	384 59.7%	534 61.4%	630 61.7%	875 59.7%	966 60.4%	775 55.0%	1390 68.5%	1223 56.6%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the cultural value of the building.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1178 34.8%	1242 36.7%	601 36.0%	641 37.3%	89 23.1%	149 28.0%	216 34.3%	345 39.5%	443 45.8%	319 41.2%	538 38.7%	384 31.4%
(2) rather agree	889 26.3%	880 26.0%	460 27.6%	420 24.4%	95 24.7%	146 27.3%	185 29.4%	225 25.7%	230 23.8%	153 19.7%	367 26.4%	361 29.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	905 26.7%	863 25.5%	410 24.6%	453 26.3%	129 33.5%	164 30.7%	158 25.1%	224 25.6%	188 19.5%	201 25.9%	339 24.4%	323 26.4%
(4) rather disagree	255 7.5%	250 7.4%	123 7.3%	128 7.4%	46 12.0%	49 9.2%	44 6.9%	41 4.7%	71 7.3%	60 7.7%	82 5.9%	109 8.9%
(5) completely disagree	159 4.7%	152 4.5%	75 4.5%	77 4.5%	25 6.6%	26 4.9%	27 4.2%	39 4.5%	35 3.6%	42 5.4%	63 4.6%	47 3.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2067 61.0%	2123 62.7%	1062 63.6%	1061 61.7%	184 47.9%	295 55.2%	401 63.7%	570 65.2%	672 69.6%	472 61.0%	905 65.1%	745 60.9%
Middle Box (3)	905 26.7%	863 25.5%	410 24.6%	453 26.3%	129 33.5%	164 30.7%	158 25.1%	224 25.6%	188 19.5%	201 25.9%	339 24.4%	323 26.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	414 12.2%	403 11.9%	198 11.8%	205 11.9%	71 18.6%	75 14.1%	70 11.2%	80 9.1%	106 10.9%	102 13.1%	145 10.4%	156 12.7%
Mean	2,21	2,17	2,17	2,17	2,54	2,36	2,17	2,09	1,99	2,16	2,11	2,24
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2213 39.5%	2209 39.5%	1073 39.1%	1137 39.8%	260 40.3%	335 38.6%	391 38.3%	591 40.3%	633 39.6%	633 45.0%	639 31.5%	937 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3387 60.5%	3386 60.5%	1664 60.7%	1723 60.3%	383 59.5%	538 61.9%	628 61.5%	874 59.7%	964 60.3%	775 55.0%	1386 68.3%	1225 56.7%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.</b>												
(1) completely agree	518 15.3%	509 15.0%	241 14.5%	268 15.6%	66 17.2%	90 16.7%	89 14.2%	127 14.5%	137 14.3%	159 20.6%	205 14.8%	145 11.8%
(2) rather agree	724 21.4%	700 20.7%	348 20.9%	352 20.5%	88 23.0%	120 22.4%	147 23.5%	175 20.0%	170 17.6%	166 21.4%	263 19.0%	271 22.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1083 32.0%	1058 31.2%	505 30.3%	553 32.1%	131 34.1%	203 37.8%	193 30.7%	272 31.1%	259 26.8%	222 28.6%	448 32.3%	388 31.7%
(4) rather disagree	575 17.0%	588 17.4%	302 18.2%	286 16.6%	65 16.9%	72 13.3%	105 16.7%	157 17.9%	190 19.7%	115 14.9%	237 17.1%	236 19.3%
(5) completely disagree	487 14.4%	530 15.7%	268 16.1%	263 15.2%	34 8.8%	53 9.8%	94 14.9%	143 16.4%	208 21.5%	112 14.5%	233 16.8%	185 15.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1242 36.7%	1210 35.7%	589 35.4%	621 36.0%	154 40.2%	210 39.1%	237 37.7%	302 34.5%	307 31.9%	325 42.0%	468 33.8%	416 34.0%
Middle Box (3)	1083 32.0%	1058 31.2%	505 30.3%	553 32.1%	131 34.1%	203 37.8%	193 30.7%	272 31.1%	259 26.8%	222 28.6%	448 32.3%	388 31.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1062 31.4%	1119 33.0%	570 34.3%	549 31.9%	98 25.7%	124 23.1%	198 31.6%	300 34.3%	398 41.3%	227 29.4%	470 33.9%	421 34.4%
Mean	2,94	2,98	3,00	2,95	2,77	2,77	2,95	3,02	3,17	2,81	3,02	3,04
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2212 39.5%	2211 39.5%	1078 39.3%	1133 39.7%	260 40.5%	331 38.1%	393 38.5%	591 40.3%	636 39.7%	633 45.0%	642 31.7%	935 43.3%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3391 60.6%	3391 60.6%	1662 60.6%	1729 60.5%	384 59.7%	536 61.7%	629 61.6%	877 59.9%	965 60.4%	782 55.5%	1387 68.4%	1222 56.5%
<b>The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1890 55.7%	1929 56.9%	938 56.4%	991 57.3%	201 52.5%	265 49.4%	321 51.1%	526 59.9%	616 63.8%	501 64.0%	790 56.9%	639 52.3%
(2) rather agree	873 25.7%	864 25.5%	438 26.4%	426 24.6%	91 23.6%	142 26.5%	190 30.3%	218 24.9%	223 23.1%	159 20.4%	347 25.0%	358 29.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	537 15.8%	511 15.1%	233 14.0%	278 16.1%	80 20.8%	109 20.4%	109 17.3%	109 12.5%	104 10.8%	102 13.0%	220 15.9%	189 15.5%
(4) rather disagree	62 1.8%	58 1.7%	36 2.2%	21 1.2%	9 2.3%	13 2.4%	6 0.9%	14 1.6%	16 1.7%	10 1.2%	23 1.7%	25 2.1%
(5) completely disagree	29 0.9%	29 0.9%	16 1.0%	13 0.7%	3 0.8%	7 1.3%	3 0.5%	10 1.1%	6 0.6%	11 1.3%	8 0.6%	11 0.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2763 81.5%	2793 82.4%	1376 82.8%	1417 82.0%	292 76.1%	407 75.9%	511 81.3%	744 84.8%	839 86.9%	660 84.4%	1136 81.9%	997 81.6%
Middle Box (3)	537 15.8%	511 15.1%	233 14.0%	278 16.1%	80 20.8%	109 20.4%	109 17.3%	109 12.5%	104 10.8%	102 13.0%	220 15.9%	189 15.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	91 2.7%	87 2.6%	53 3.2%	34 2.0%	12 3.1%	20 3.7%	9 1.4%	24 2.7%	22 2.3%	20 2.6%	31 2.2%	36 2.9%
Mean	1,66	1,64	1,65	1,63	1,75	1,80	1,69	1,59	1,52	1,55	1,64	1,70
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2208 39.4%	2207 39.4%	1080 39.4%	1127 39.5%	260 40.3%	333 38.3%	392 38.4%	588 40.1%	634 39.6%	626 44.5%	641 31.6%	939 43.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3390 60.5%	3391 60.6%	1664 60.7%	1727 60.5%	383 59.5%	536 61.7%	629 61.6%	877 59.9%	965 60.4%	781 55.5%	1387 68.3%	1223 56.6%
<b>Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.</b>												
(1) completely agree	690 20.4%	715 21.1%	385 23.1%	330 19.1%	63 16.4%	94 17.6%	126 20.1%	199 22.7%	233 24.1%	167 21.4%	304 21.9%	244 19.9%
(2) rather agree	1074 31.7%	1096 32.3%	541 32.5%	555 32.1%	118 30.7%	163 30.4%	201 32.1%	277 31.6%	337 34.9%	220 28.2%	437 31.5%	438 35.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1161 34.2%	1117 32.9%	510 30.7%	607 35.2%	146 38.1%	213 39.7%	216 34.4%	281 32.0%	262 27.1%	266 34.1%	459 33.1%	392 32.0%
(4) rather disagree	300 8.8%	296 8.7%	149 9.0%	147 8.5%	41 10.7%	40 7.5%	60 9.5%	73 8.3%	82 8.5%	63 8.1%	127 9.2%	106 8.6%
(5) completely disagree	165 4.9%	166 4.9%	78 4.7%	88 5.1%	16 4.1%	26 4.9%	24 3.9%	48 5.5%	52 5.4%	64 8.2%	59 4.3%	43 3.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1764 52.0%	1811 53.4%	926 55.7%	885 51.2%	181 47.2%	257 47.9%	328 52.2%	476 54.3%	569 59.0%	387 49.6%	741 53.5%	682 55.8%
Middle Box (3)	1161 34.2%	1117 32.9%	510 30.7%	607 35.2%	146 38.1%	213 39.7%	216 34.4%	281 32.0%	262 27.1%	266 34.1%	459 33.1%	392 32.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	465 13.7%	463 13.6%	228 13.7%	235 13.6%	57 14.8%	67 12.4%	84 13.4%	121 13.8%	134 13.9%	127 16.3%	186 13.4%	149 12.2%
Mean	2,46	2,44	2,40	2,48	2,55	2,52	2,45	2,42	2,36	2,54	2,42	2,40
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2209 39.5%	2207 39.4%	1078 39.3%	1129 39.5%	260 40.5%	332 38.3%	392 38.4%	588 40.1%	634 39.6%	627 44.5%	642 31.7%	938 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3381 60.4%	3381 60.4%	1667 60.8%	1715 60.1%	381 59.3%	536 61.7%	625 61.3%	875 59.7%	963 60.2%	777 55.2%	1381 68.1%	1223 56.6%
<b>Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.</b>												
(1) completely agree	780 23.1%	815 24.1%	432 25.9%	383 22.4%	67 17.7%	96 18.0%	138 22.0%	231 26.4%	282 29.3%	188 24.2%	351 25.4%	276 22.5%
(2) rather agree	930 27.5%	942 27.9%	476 28.6%	466 27.2%	93 24.3%	151 28.2%	178 28.4%	237 27.1%	283 29.4%	186 23.9%	404 29.3%	352 28.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1034 30.6%	994 29.4%	462 27.7%	532 31.0%	135 35.5%	201 37.5%	198 31.7%	241 27.6%	218 22.7%	228 29.4%	385 27.9%	381 31.1%
(4) rather disagree	377 11.2%	375 11.1%	181 10.8%	194 11.3%	55 14.3%	47 8.8%	70 11.3%	95 10.8%	108 11.2%	85 11.0%	145 10.5%	145 11.8%
(5) completely disagree	260 7.7%	255 7.6%	115 6.9%	140 8.2%	32 8.3%	40 7.5%	42 6.6%	71 8.1%	71 7.4%	90 11.5%	96 6.9%	70 5.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1710 50.6%	1757 52.0%	908 54.5%	849 49.5%	160 41.9%	248 46.2%	315 50.4%	469 53.5%	566 58.7%	374 48.1%	756 54.7%	628 51.3%
Middle Box (3)	1034 30.6%	994 29.4%	462 27.7%	532 31.0%	135 35.5%	201 37.5%	198 31.7%	241 27.6%	218 22.7%	228 29.4%	385 27.9%	381 31.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	637 18.8%	630 18.6%	296 17.8%	334 19.5%	86 22.6%	87 16.3%	112 17.9%	165 18.9%	179 18.6%	175 22.5%	241 17.4%	215 17.5%
Mean	2,53	2,50	2,44	2,56	2,71	2,60	2,52	2,47	2,38	2,62	2,44	2,49
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2218 39.6%	2216 39.6%	1075 39.2%	1141 39.9%	262 40.7%	333 38.3%	395 38.7%	590 40.3%	636 39.8%	631 44.8%	648 31.9%	937 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3392 60.6%	3393 60.6%	1666 60.8%	1727 60.5%	384 59.7%	535 61.6%	630 61.7%	877 59.8%	968 60.5%	778 55.3%	1391 68.5%	1224 56.7%
<b>Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.</b>												
(1) completely agree	496 14.6%	484 14.3%	222 13.3%	262 15.2%	66 17.2%	79 14.8%	94 14.9%	126 14.4%	119 12.3%	160 20.6%	199 14.3%	125 10.2%
(2) rather agree	822 24.2%	809 23.8%	382 22.9%	427 24.7%	102 26.7%	119 22.1%	161 25.5%	220 25.1%	207 21.4%	185 23.8%	348 25.0%	276 22.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1167 34.4%	1143 33.7%	548 32.9%	595 34.5%	140 36.4%	225 42.0%	219 34.8%	262 29.9%	297 30.7%	242 31.1%	461 33.2%	440 35.9%
(4) rather disagree	554 16.3%	574 16.9%	309 18.6%	265 15.3%	54 14.2%	71 13.2%	104 16.5%	151 17.2%	195 20.1%	99 12.7%	211 15.2%	265 21.6%
(5) completely disagree	353 10.4%	383 11.3%	205 12.3%	177 10.3%	21 5.6%	42 7.8%	52 8.3%	117 13.4%	151 15.6%	92 11.8%	172 12.3%	119 9.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1318 38.9%	1293 38.1%	604 36.2%	689 39.9%	168 43.9%	198 37.0%	255 40.4%	346 39.5%	326 33.7%	345 44.4%	547 39.3%	401 32.7%
Middle Box (3)	1167 34.4%	1143 33.7%	548 32.9%	595 34.5%	140 36.4%	225 42.0%	219 34.8%	262 29.9%	297 30.7%	242 31.1%	461 33.2%	440 35.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	907 26.7%	957 28.2%	515 30.9%	443 25.6%	76 19.7%	112 21.0%	156 24.8%	268 30.6%	345 35.7%	191 24.5%	383 27.5%	384 31.4%
Mean	2,84	2,87	2,94	2,81	2,64	2,77	2,78	2,90	3,05	2,71	2,86	2,98
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2207 39.4%	2204 39.4%	1076 39.2%	1128 39.5%	259 40.3%	333 38.4%	391 38.3%	589 40.2%	632 39.5%	630 44.7%	638 31.5%	936 43.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find the soiling of sculptures unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3399 60.7%	3400 60.7%	1669 60.9%	1730 60.6%	384 59.8%	539 62.0%	629 61.6%	879 60.0%	969 60.6%	783 55.6%	1389 68.5%	1227 56.8%
<b>On historic buildings I find the soiling of sculptures unappealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	931 27.4%	970 28.5%	513 30.7%	457 26.4%	83 21.5%	125 23.3%	160 25.5%	255 29.0%	347 35.8%	212 27.1%	425 30.6%	333 27.1%
(2) rather agree	784 23.1%	790 23.2%	399 23.9%	392 22.6%	89 23.0%	118 21.9%	153 24.4%	202 23.0%	228 23.5%	154 19.7%	326 23.5%	310 25.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	966 28.4%	941 27.7%	438 26.3%	503 29.0%	110 28.7%	181 33.6%	191 30.4%	234 26.6%	225 23.2%	223 28.5%	368 26.5%	350 28.5%
(4) rather disagree	369 10.9%	369 10.8%	179 10.7%	190 11.0%	55 14.4%	50 9.3%	65 10.3%	99 11.2%	100 10.3%	90 11.5%	149 10.7%	130 10.6%
(5) completely disagree	349 10.3%	330 9.7%	141 8.4%	189 10.9%	48 12.4%	64 11.9%	59 9.4%	89 10.2%	70 7.2%	103 13.2%	122 8.8%	105 8.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1715 50.5%	1760 51.8%	911 54.6%	848 49.0%	171 44.5%	243 45.2%	313 49.9%	457 52.0%	575 59.3%	366 46.8%	751 54.0%	643 52.4%
Middle Box (3)	966 28.4%	941 27.7%	438 26.3%	503 29.0%	110 28.7%	181 33.6%	191 30.4%	234 26.6%	225 23.2%	223 28.5%	368 26.5%	350 28.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	718 21.1%	699 20.6%	320 19.1%	379 21.9%	103 26.8%	114 21.2%	124 19.7%	188 21.4%	170 17.5%	194 24.7%	271 19.5%	235 19.1%
Mean	2,54	2,50	2,42	2,57	2,73	2,65	2,54	2,51	2,30	2,64	2,44	2,48
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2200 39.3%	2198 39.3%	1072 39.1%	1125 39.4%	259 40.2%	330 38.0%	392 38.4%	586 40.0%	631 39.4%	625 44.4%	640 31.5%	933 43.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find damaged sculptures unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3390 60.6%	1666 60.8%	1724 60.4%	386 60.0%	536 61.7%	629 61.6%	879 60.0%	961 60.1%	781 55.5%	1386 68.3%	1223 56.6%
<b>On historic buildings I find damaged sculptures unappealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1025 30.2%	1082 31.9%	568 34.1%	514 29.8%	91 23.5%	136 25.4%	169 26.9%	293 33.4%	392 40.8%	248 31.8%	467 33.7%	366 29.9%
(2) rather agree	953 28.1%	966 28.5%	495 29.7%	471 27.3%	99 25.8%	141 26.4%	193 30.7%	242 27.5%	291 30.2%	193 24.7%	403 29.1%	370 30.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	918 27.1%	877 25.9%	397 23.8%	480 27.9%	111 28.7%	171 32.0%	187 29.7%	226 25.7%	182 18.9%	206 26.4%	345 24.9%	326 26.6%
(4) rather disagree	274 8.1%	253 7.5%	118 7.1%	135 7.9%	55 14.3%	41 7.7%	45 7.2%	61 6.9%	51 5.3%	61 7.8%	90 6.5%	102 8.3%
(5) completely disagree	223 6.6%	212 6.3%	89 5.4%	123 7.1%	30 7.7%	45 8.5%	34 5.5%	56 6.4%	46 4.8%	72 9.2%	81 5.8%	59 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1978 58.3%	2048 60.4%	1062 63.8%	986 57.2%	190 49.3%	278 51.8%	362 57.6%	535 60.9%	683 71.0%	441 56.5%	870 62.8%	736 60.2%
Middle Box (3)	918 27.1%	877 25.9%	397 23.8%	480 27.9%	111 28.7%	171 32.0%	187 29.7%	226 25.7%	182 18.9%	206 26.4%	345 24.9%	326 26.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	497 14.6%	465 13.7%	207 12.4%	258 15.0%	85 22.0%	87 16.2%	80 12.7%	117 13.3%	97 10.1%	133 17.0%	171 12.4%	161 13.2%
Mean	2,33	2,28	2,20	2,35	2,57	2,47	2,34	2,25	2,03	2,38	2,22	2,28
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2206 39.4%	2207 39.4%	1076 39.2%	1132 39.6%	257 40.0%	333 38.3%	392 38.4%	587 40.0%	638 39.9%	627 44.5%	642 31.7%	938 43.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The new sculptures look more attractive than the aged sculptures.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3383 60.4%	3382 60.4%	1657 60.4%	1725 60.4%	384 59.6%	533 61.4%	627 61.4%	875 59.7%	963 60.2%	778 55.2%	1384 68.2%	1220 56.5%
<b>The cleaned sculptures look more attractive than the sculptures that were not cleaned.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1082 32.0%	1118 33.0%	578 34.9%	540 31.3%	97 25.3%	149 27.9%	197 31.5%	301 34.4%	374 38.8%	249 32.0%	478 34.5%	391 32.1%
(2) rather agree	939 27.8%	953 28.2%	481 29.0%	472 27.4%	112 29.2%	142 26.7%	159 25.4%	244 27.9%	295 30.7%	180 23.1%	393 28.4%	380 31.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	882 26.1%	839 24.8%	391 23.6%	449 26.0%	118 30.7%	159 29.7%	186 29.7%	199 22.7%	178 18.5%	210 27.0%	329 23.8%	301 24.6%
(4) rather disagree	269 8.0%	262 7.7%	120 7.3%	141 8.2%	37 9.5%	47 8.8%	48 7.6%	74 8.5%	56 5.9%	63 8.1%	109 7.9%	90 7.3%
(5) completely disagree	211 6.2%	210 6.2%	87 5.3%	123 7.1%	20 5.3%	37 6.9%	37 5.9%	57 6.5%	59 6.1%	77 9.8%	75 5.4%	59 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2021 59.7%	2071 61.2%	1059 63.9%	1012 58.7%	209 54.4%	291 54.5%	356 56.9%	545 62.3%	669 69.5%	428 55.1%	871 62.9%	771 63.2%
Middle Box (3)	882 26.1%	839 24.8%	391 23.6%	449 26.0%	118 30.7%	159 29.7%	186 29.7%	199 22.7%	178 18.5%	210 27.0%	329 23.8%	301 24.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	480 14.2%	472 14.0%	208 12.5%	264 15.3%	57 14.8%	84 15.7%	84 13.5%	131 15.0%	116 12.0%	140 18.0%	184 13.3%	148 12.1%
Mean	2,29	2,26	2,19	2,33	2,40	2,40	2,31	2,25	2,10	2,41	2,21	2,22
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2216 39.6%	2215 39.6%	1085 39.6%	1131 39.6%	260 40.4%	335 38.6%	394 38.6%	590 40.3%	636 39.8%	630 44.8%	645 31.8%	940 43.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### The contrast between the new and the aged sculptures is irritating.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3383 60.4%	1660 60.5%	1723 60.3%	385 59.9%	536 61.7%	627 61.4%	875 59.7%	960 60.0%	774 55.0%	1386 68.3%	1223 56.6%

### The contrast between the cleaned and the uncleaned sculptures is irritating.

(1) completely agree	1019 30.1%	1016 30.0%	492 29.6%	524 30.4%	115 29.8%	152 28.4%	193 30.8%	267 30.5%	289 30.1%	235 30.4%	431 31.1%	349 28.6%
(2) rather agree	965 28.5%	956 28.2%	497 29.9%	459 26.6%	103 26.6%	150 28.0%	182 29.0%	266 30.4%	255 26.6%	223 28.8%	375 27.0%	358 29.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	987 29.1%	980 29.0%	475 28.6%	505 29.3%	118 30.6%	172 32.2%	182 29.1%	234 26.7%	274 28.6%	207 26.8%	407 29.4%	365 29.9%
(4) rather disagree	224 6.6%	231 6.8%	113 6.8%	118 6.8%	28 7.3%	36 6.7%	37 6.0%	60 6.8%	70 7.3%	40 5.1%	91 6.6%	100 8.2%
(5) completely disagree	191 5.6%	201 5.9%	83 5.0%	118 6.8%	22 5.7%	26 4.8%	32 5.2%	48 5.5%	72 7.5%	69 8.9%	81 5.9%	50 4.1%

Top Box (1+2)	1984 58.6%	1971 58.3%	988 59.6%	983 57.0%	217 56.4%	302 56.4%	375 59.8%	533 60.9%	544 56.6%	458 59.2%	806 58.1%	707 57.9%
Middle Box (3)	987 29.1%	980 29.0%	475 28.6%	505 29.3%	118 30.6%	172 32.2%	182 29.1%	234 26.7%	274 28.6%	207 26.8%	407 29.4%	365 29.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	415 12.3%	431 12.8%	196 11.8%	235 13.7%	50 13.0%	61 11.5%	70 11.1%	108 12.4%	142 14.8%	109 14.1%	173 12.5%	150 12.3%
Mean	2,29	2,30	2,28	2,33	2,32	2,31	2,26	2,26	2,36	2,33	2,29	2,30

<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2213 39.5%	2214 39.6%	1082 39.5%	1132 39.7%	258 40.1%	333 38.3%	394 38.6%	590 40.3%	639 40.0%	634 45.0%	643 31.7%	938 43.4%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### The aged sculptures look more authentic.

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3379 60.4%	3379 60.4%	1661 60.6%	1718 60.2%	382 59.4%	536 61.7%	623 61.0%	874 59.7%	964 60.3%	777 55.2%	1384 68.2%	1219 56.4%
<b>The sculptures that were not yet cleaned look more authentic.</b>												
(1) completely agree	557 16.5%	537 15.9%	252 15.2%	285 16.6%	71 18.4%	86 16.1%	107 17.3%	136 15.5%	137 14.2%	167 21.5%	224 16.2%	147 12.1%
(2) rather agree	838 24.8%	828 24.5%	404 24.3%	424 24.7%	97 25.3%	140 26.2%	162 26.1%	215 24.6%	213 22.1%	185 23.8%	349 25.2%	294 24.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1160 34.3%	1142 33.8%	553 33.3%	589 34.3%	142 37.2%	199 37.1%	218 35.0%	267 30.5%	317 32.8%	254 32.7%	461 33.3%	427 35.1%
(4) rather disagree	480 14.2%	489 14.5%	268 16.1%	221 12.9%	54 14.2%	66 12.3%	81 13.1%	143 16.3%	144 15.0%	78 10.0%	197 14.2%	214 17.6%
(5) completely disagree	344 10.2%	383 11.3%	185 11.1%	198 11.5%	19 4.9%	45 8.4%	54 8.6%	113 13.0%	153 15.8%	94 12.1%	153 11.0%	136 11.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1395 41.3%	1365 40.4%	655 39.4%	710 41.3%	167 43.8%	227 42.3%	270 43.4%	351 40.1%	350 36.3%	351 45.2%	573 41.4%	441 36.2%
Middle Box (3)	1160 34.3%	1142 33.8%	553 33.3%	589 34.3%	142 37.2%	199 37.1%	218 35.0%	267 30.5%	317 32.8%	254 32.7%	461 33.3%	427 35.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	824 24.4%	872 25.8%	453 27.2%	419 24.4%	73 19.0%	111 20.7%	135 21.7%	256 29.3%	297 30.8%	172 22.1%	349 25.3%	351 28.8%
Mean	2,77	2,81	2,84	2,78	2,62	2,71	2,70	2,87	2,96	2,68	2,79	2,92
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2220 39.6%	2218 39.6%	1081 39.4%	1137 39.8%	261 40.6%	333 38.3%	398 39.0%	591 40.3%	635 39.7%	631 44.8%	645 31.8%	941 43.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3376 60.3%	3378 60.4%	1656 60.4%	1722 60.3%	381 59.2%	532 61.3%	626 61.3%	877 59.9%	962 60.1%	775 55.0%	1386 68.3%	1217 56.4%
<b>On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).</b>												
(1) completely agree	267 7.9%	272 8.0%	144 8.7%	128 7.4%	30 7.8%	46 8.7%	47 7.5%	65 7.4%	84 8.7%	67 8.7%	121 8.7%	83 6.9%
(2) rather agree	495 14.7%	483 14.3%	252 15.2%	231 13.4%	65 17.0%	70 13.2%	89 14.3%	119 13.5%	140 14.5%	88 11.4%	214 15.4%	180 14.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1113 33.0%	1103 32.7%	557 33.6%	547 31.8%	121 31.7%	188 35.3%	220 35.1%	278 31.7%	296 30.8%	242 31.3%	441 31.8%	420 34.5%
(4) rather disagree	666 19.7%	666 19.7%	334 20.1%	332 19.3%	80 21.0%	105 19.7%	134 21.5%	160 18.3%	187 19.4%	143 18.5%	253 18.3%	270 22.2%
(5) completely disagree	835 24.7%	854 25.3%	371 22.4%	484 28.1%	85 22.4%	123 23.1%	135 21.6%	255 29.1%	255 26.5%	234 30.2%	357 25.7%	264 21.7%
Top Box (1+2)	762 22.6%	754 22.3%	395 23.9%	359 20.8%	95 24.8%	116 21.9%	136 21.8%	183 20.9%	223 23.2%	156 20.1%	335 24.2%	264 21.7%
Middle Box (3)	1113 33.0%	1103 32.7%	557 33.6%	547 31.8%	121 31.7%	188 35.3%	220 35.1%	278 31.7%	296 30.8%	242 31.3%	441 31.8%	420 34.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1501 44.5%	1521 45.0%	705 42.5%	816 47.4%	165 43.4%	228 42.8%	270 43.1%	415 47.4%	442 46.0%	377 48.7%	610 44.0%	534 43.8%
Mean	3,39	3,40	3,32	3,47	3,33	3,35	3,35	3,48	3,41	3,50	3,37	3,37
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2223 39.7%	2219 39.6%	1085 39.6%	1134 39.7%	262 40.8%	337 38.7%	395 38.7%	588 40.1%	637 39.9%	633 45.0%	643 31.7%	943 43.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3387 60.5%	1664 60.7%	1723 60.3%	385 59.8%	535 61.6%	625 61.2%	877 59.9%	964 60.3%	777 55.2%	1388 68.4%	1221 56.5%
<b>Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1186 35.0%	1261 37.2%	603 36.3%	658 38.2%	102 26.6%	134 25.1%	202 32.3%	348 39.7%	475 49.2%	353 45.5%	540 38.9%	368 30.1%
(2) rather agree	1039 30.7%	1038 30.7%	543 32.6%	495 28.7%	107 27.8%	163 30.4%	206 33.0%	282 32.2%	280 29.0%	199 25.6%	413 29.8%	426 34.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	952 28.1%	899 26.6%	426 25.6%	474 27.5%	137 35.7%	193 36.0%	185 29.6%	202 23.1%	182 18.8%	184 23.6%	360 25.9%	356 29.2%
(4) rather disagree	142 4.2%	124 3.7%	65 3.9%	59 3.4%	28 7.2%	29 5.5%	27 4.4%	24 2.7%	16 1.6%	19 2.5%	49 3.5%	56 4.6%
(5) completely disagree	67 2.0%	64 1.9%	27 1.6%	37 2.1%	10 2.7%	16 3.0%	4 0.7%	21 2.4%	13 1.3%	22 2.9%	27 2.0%	15 1.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2225 65.7%	2299 67.9%	1146 68.9%	1153 66.9%	209 54.5%	297 55.5%	408 65.3%	630 71.8%	754 78.2%	552 71.0%	953 68.6%	794 65.0%
Middle Box (3)	952 28.1%	899 26.6%	426 25.6%	474 27.5%	137 35.7%	193 36.0%	185 29.6%	202 23.1%	182 18.8%	184 23.6%	360 25.9%	356 29.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	209 6.2%	188 5.5%	92 5.5%	96 5.6%	38 9.9%	45 8.5%	32 5.1%	45 5.1%	28 2.9%	42 5.3%	76 5.5%	71 5.8%
Mean	2,07	2,02	2,02	2,03	2,31	2,31	2,08	1,96	1,77	1,92	2,00	2,12
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2213 39.5%	2211 39.5%	1078 39.3%	1133 39.7%	259 40.2%	333 38.4%	396 38.8%	588 40.1%	635 39.7%	630 44.8%	641 31.6%	940 43.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**On modern monuments I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3392 60.6%	3392 60.6%	1666 60.7%	1727 60.5%	383 59.6%	536 61.7%	627 61.5%	876 59.8%	970 60.7%	779 55.3%	1387 68.4%	1226 56.8%
<b>On modern monuments I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1257 37.1%	1301 38.3%	659 39.6%	642 37.2%	123 32.0%	175 32.6%	209 33.3%	355 40.5%	440 45.4%	301 38.7%	538 38.8%	462 37.7%
(2) rather agree	790 23.3%	795 23.4%	402 24.1%	393 22.8%	95 24.9%	117 21.9%	147 23.5%	194 22.2%	241 24.8%	180 23.1%	307 22.1%	308 25.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	864 25.5%	839 24.7%	406 24.4%	433 25.1%	97 25.4%	159 29.6%	185 29.4%	211 24.1%	188 19.4%	186 23.9%	345 24.9%	308 25.1%
(4) rather disagree	226 6.7%	209 6.2%	93 5.6%	117 6.8%	33 8.7%	42 7.9%	46 7.3%	50 5.7%	38 3.9%	36 4.6%	90 6.5%	83 6.8%
(5) completely disagree	255 7.5%	248 7.3%	106 6.4%	142 8.2%	34 9.0%	43 8.0%	41 6.6%	66 7.5%	63 6.5%	76 9.8%	107 7.7%	64 5.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2047 60.3%	2096 61.8%	1061 63.7%	1035 59.9%	218 56.9%	292 54.5%	356 56.7%	549 62.7%	681 70.2%	481 61.7%	845 60.9%	770 62.8%
Middle Box (3)	864 25.5%	839 24.7%	406 24.4%	433 25.1%	97 25.4%	159 29.6%	185 29.4%	211 24.1%	188 19.4%	186 23.9%	345 24.9%	308 25.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	481 14.2%	457 13.5%	198 11.9%	259 15.0%	68 17.7%	85 15.9%	87 13.9%	116 13.2%	101 10.5%	112 14.4%	197 14.2%	148 12.0%
Mean	2,24	2,21	2,15	2,26	2,38	2,37	2,30	2,18	2,01	2,24	2,22	2,17
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2207 39.4%	2205 39.4%	1076 39.3%	1129 39.5%	260 40.4%	333 38.3%	393 38.5%	590 40.2%	629 39.3%	629 44.7%	642 31.6%	934 43.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**On modern monuments I find damages on the facade unappealing.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3393 60.6%	1666 60.8%	1727 60.5%	386 60.0%	536 61.7%	627 61.4%	878 59.9%	967 60.4%	777 55.2%	1391 68.5%	1225 56.7%
<b>On modern monuments I find damages on the facade unappealing.</b>												
(1) completely agree	1340 39.5%	1394 41.1%	710 42.6%	684 39.6%	128 33.2%	188 35.0%	226 36.0%	385 43.9%	467 48.3%	333 42.9%	564 40.5%	497 40.6%
(2) rather agree	824 24.3%	825 24.3%	419 25.2%	406 23.5%	101 26.1%	115 21.5%	157 25.0%	200 22.8%	252 26.1%	183 23.5%	323 23.3%	319 26.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	834 24.6%	803 23.7%	375 22.5%	428 24.8%	94 24.5%	160 29.9%	181 28.8%	204 23.2%	164 17.0%	167 21.6%	333 23.9%	303 24.7%
(4) rather disagree	172 5.1%	155 4.6%	72 4.3%	84 4.8%	32 8.3%	34 6.4%	33 5.2%	33 3.8%	24 2.4%	33 4.2%	73 5.3%	49 4.0%
(5) completely disagree	223 6.6%	215 6.3%	89 5.4%	126 7.3%	30 7.9%	39 7.2%	31 5.0%	56 6.3%	59 6.1%	61 7.8%	97 7.0%	57 4.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2164 63.8%	2219 65.4%	1130 67.8%	1089 63.1%	229 59.4%	303 56.5%	382 61.0%	585 66.7%	719 74.4%	516 66.4%	887 63.8%	816 66.6%
Middle Box (3)	834 24.6%	803 23.7%	375 22.5%	428 24.8%	94 24.5%	160 29.9%	181 28.8%	204 23.2%	164 17.0%	167 21.6%	333 23.9%	303 24.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	395 11.6%	370 10.9%	161 9.7%	209 12.1%	62 16.1%	73 13.6%	64 10.2%	89 10.1%	83 8.6%	93 12.0%	170 12.3%	107 8.7%
Mean	2,15	2,11	2,05	2,17	2,31	2,29	2,18	2,06	1,92	2,11	2,15	2,06
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2206 39.4%	2205 39.4%	1076 39.2%	1129 39.5%	258 40.0%	333 38.3%	394 38.6%	587 40.1%	633 39.6%	631 44.8%	638 31.5%	935 43.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Modern monuments are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3396 60.7%	3396 60.7%	1667 60.8%	1729 60.5%	384 59.8%	537 61.8%	629 61.6%	879 60.0%	968 60.5%	782 55.5%	1389 68.5%	1226 56.7%
<b>Modern monuments are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.</b>												
(1) completely agree	762 22.4%	763 22.5%	383 23.0%	380 22.0%	86 22.4%	119 22.2%	129 20.5%	202 23.0%	227 23.5%	187 24.0%	309 22.2%	267 21.8%
(2) rather agree	941 27.7%	934 27.5%	484 29.0%	451 26.1%	100 26.1%	147 27.3%	185 29.4%	241 27.4%	262 27.0%	198 25.3%	400 28.8%	336 27.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1026 30.2%	1029 30.3%	518 31.1%	511 29.6%	119 31.1%	168 31.4%	201 31.9%	256 29.1%	285 29.5%	230 29.4%	414 29.8%	385 31.4%
(4) rather disagree	461 13.6%	468 13.8%	197 11.8%	271 15.7%	60 15.5%	73 13.5%	83 13.2%	110 12.5%	143 14.8%	101 12.9%	182 13.1%	185 15.1%
(5) completely disagree	206 6.1%	202 5.9%	85 5.1%	116 6.7%	19 4.9%	30 5.6%	32 5.1%	70 8.0%	51 5.2%	66 8.4%	84 6.1%	52 4.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1703 50.1%	1698 50.0%	867 52.0%	831 48.0%	186 48.5%	266 49.5%	314 49.9%	443 50.4%	489 50.5%	385 49.3%	708 51.0%	604 49.2%
Middle Box (3)	1026 30.2%	1029 30.3%	518 31.1%	511 29.6%	119 31.1%	168 31.4%	201 31.9%	256 29.1%	285 29.5%	230 29.4%	414 29.8%	385 31.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	667 19.6%	670 19.7%	282 16.9%	387 22.4%	79 20.4%	103 19.1%	115 18.2%	180 20.5%	194 20.0%	167 21.3%	266 19.2%	237 19.3%
Mean	2,53	2,53	2,47	2,59	2,54	2,53	2,53	2,55	2,51	2,56	2,52	2,52
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2203 39.3%	2201 39.3%	1074 39.2%	1127 39.5%	259 40.2%	332 38.2%	392 38.4%	587 40.0%	632 39.5%	626 44.5%	640 31.5%	935 43.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Modern monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3395 60.6%	3395 60.7%	1664 60.7%	1731 60.6%	384 59.7%	538 61.9%	630 61.7%	877 59.8%	967 60.4%	779 55.3%	1390 68.5%	1226 56.8%
<b>Modern monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.</b>												
(1) completely agree	989 29.1%	1000 29.4%	509 30.6%	491 28.4%	110 28.5%	149 27.8%	165 26.1%	281 32.1%	295 30.5%	211 27.1%	433 31.2%	355 29.0%
(2) rather agree	1088 32.0%	1076 31.7%	533 32.0%	544 31.4%	130 33.8%	174 32.4%	198 31.4%	256 29.2%	319 33.0%	231 29.7%	415 29.8%	431 35.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	932 27.5%	921 27.1%	448 26.9%	473 27.3%	107 27.8%	151 28.1%	199 31.6%	241 27.5%	224 23.1%	223 28.6%	374 26.9%	325 26.5%
(4) rather disagree	217 6.4%	217 6.4%	104 6.3%	113 6.5%	24 6.3%	38 7.2%	44 7.0%	45 5.1%	66 6.8%	49 6.3%	91 6.6%	77 6.2%
(5) completely disagree	169 5.0%	181 5.3%	71 4.2%	110 6.4%	14 3.5%	25 4.6%	24 3.9%	54 6.2%	64 6.6%	65 8.3%	77 5.6%	39 3.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2077 61.2%	2076 61.1%	1041 62.6%	1035 59.8%	240 62.3%	324 60.2%	362 57.5%	537 61.2%	614 63.5%	442 56.8%	848 61.0%	786 64.1%
Middle Box (3)	932 27.5%	921 27.1%	448 26.9%	473 27.3%	107 27.8%	151 28.1%	199 31.6%	241 27.5%	224 23.1%	223 28.6%	374 26.9%	325 26.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	386 11.4%	398 11.7%	175 10.5%	223 12.9%	38 9.8%	63 11.8%	68 10.8%	99 11.3%	129 13.4%	114 14.6%	169 12.1%	115 9.4%
Mean	2,26	2,26	2,22	2,31	2,23	2,28	2,31	2,24	2,26	2,39	2,26	2,19
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2204 39.4%	2202 39.3%	1077 39.3%	1125 39.4%	259 40.3%	331 38.1%	391 38.3%	589 40.2%	633 39.6%	629 44.7%	639 31.5%	934 43.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Modern monuments should always look 'as good as new'.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	3390 60.5%	3390 60.6%	1663 60.7%	1727 60.5%	384 59.7%	536 61.7%	630 61.7%	875 59.7%	965 60.4%	779 55.3%	1388 68.4%	1223 56.6%
<b>Modern monuments should always look 'as good as new'.</b>												
(1) completely agree	783 23.1%	766 22.6%	382 23.0%	384 22.3%	106 27.5%	124 23.1%	136 21.6%	191 21.9%	210 21.7%	184 23.6%	344 24.8%	239 19.5%
(2) rather agree	992 29.3%	1003 29.6%	505 30.4%	498 28.9%	109 28.5%	145 27.1%	185 29.4%	265 30.3%	298 30.9%	203 26.0%	399 28.7%	402 32.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1157 34.1%	1147 33.8%	565 34.0%	582 33.7%	121 31.6%	199 37.1%	232 36.9%	291 33.2%	304 31.5%	277 35.6%	459 33.1%	411 33.6%
(4) rather disagree	274 8.1%	278 8.2%	139 8.3%	139 8.0%	28 7.3%	44 8.2%	50 8.0%	71 8.1%	85 8.8%	58 7.4%	105 7.5%	115 9.4%
(5) completely disagree	184 5.4%	196 5.8%	73 4.4%	123 7.1%	20 5.2%	25 4.6%	27 4.2%	57 6.5%	68 7.0%	57 7.3%	82 5.9%	57 4.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1775 52.4%	1769 52.2%	887 53.3%	882 51.1%	215 56.0%	269 50.1%	321 50.9%	457 52.2%	508 52.6%	387 49.6%	742 53.5%	640 52.3%
Middle Box (3)	1157 34.1%	1147 33.8%	565 34.0%	582 33.7%	121 31.6%	199 37.1%	232 36.9%	291 33.2%	304 31.5%	277 35.6%	459 33.1%	411 33.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	458 13.5%	474 14.0%	212 12.7%	262 15.2%	48 12.5%	68 12.8%	77 12.2%	127 14.6%	153 15.9%	115 14.8%	187 13.5%	172 14.1%
Mean	2,43	2,45	2,41	2,49	2,34	2,44	2,44	2,47	2,49	2,49	2,41	2,47
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2209 39.5%	2207 39.4%	1079 39.3%	1129 39.5%	259 40.3%	333 38.3%	391 38.3%	590 40.3%	634 39.6%	629 44.7%	641 31.6%	938 43.4%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you visit historic monuments in your leisure time or on weekends

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4318 77.1%	4316 77.1%	2112 77.0%	2204 77.2%	500 77.7%	686 78.9%	802 78.6%	1115 76.1%	1213 75.9%	968 68.8%	1593 78.5%	1755 81.2%
<b>Visiting monuments: On the weekend or in your leisure time</b>												
(1) almost always	162 3.8%	161 3.7%	81 3.8%	80 3.6%	17 3.4%	37 5.3%	30 3.7%	37 3.3%	41 3.4%	27 2.8%	53 3.3%	80 4.6%
(2) frequently	610 14.1%	623 14.4%	321 15.2%	301 13.7%	63 12.5%	96 14.0%	110 13.8%	148 13.3%	205 16.9%	95 9.8%	215 13.5%	313 17.8%
(3) occasionally	1594 36.9%	1618 37.5%	779 36.9%	840 38.1%	169 33.9%	253 36.9%	298 37.2%	438 39.3%	459 37.9%	321 33.2%	600 37.7%	697 39.7%
(4) rarely	1138 26.4%	1119 25.9%	564 26.7%	555 25.2%	148 29.6%	169 24.6%	206 25.6%	279 25.0%	318 26.2%	259 26.7%	444 27.9%	417 23.7%
(5) almost never	814 18.9%	795 18.4%	367 17.4%	428 19.4%	103 20.6%	131 19.1%	158 19.7%	213 19.1%	190 15.6%	266 27.5%	281 17.6%	248 14.1%
Top Box (1+2)	772 17.9%	783 18.1%	402 19.0%	381 17.3%	80 15.9%	133 19.3%	140 17.5%	185 16.6%	246 20.3%	122 12.6%	268 16.8%	393 22.4%
Middle Box (3)	1594 36.9%	1618 37.5%	779 36.9%	840 38.1%	169 33.9%	253 36.9%	298 37.2%	438 39.3%	459 37.9%	321 33.2%	600 37.7%	697 39.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1952 45.2%	1914 44.4%	931 44.1%	983 44.6%	251 50.2%	300 43.7%	364 45.4%	492 44.1%	508 41.9%	525 54.2%	725 45.5%	664 37.9%
Mean	3,42	3,41	3,39	3,43	3,51	3,38	3,44	3,43	3,34	3,66	3,43	3,25
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1281 22.9%	1281 22.9%	630 23.0%	652 22.8%	144 22.3%	183 21.1%	218 21.4%	350 23.9%	386 24.1%	440 31.2%	436 21.5%	406 18.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you visit historic monuments during vacations?

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	4333 77.4%	4333 77.4%	2121 77.4%	2212 77.5%	502 78.0%	686 79.0%	805 78.9%	1123 76.6%	1218 76.1%	977 69.4%	1596 78.6%	1761 81.5%
<b>Visiting monuments: During vacations</b>												
(1) almost always	750 17.3%	745 17.2%	322 15.2%	423 19.1%	88 17.5%	125 18.3%	142 17.7%	178 15.8%	212 17.4%	153 15.6%	232 14.5%	360 20.4%
(2) frequently	1355 31.3%	1357 31.3%	696 32.8%	661 29.9%	168 33.5%	189 27.5%	253 31.4%	344 30.7%	403 33.1%	260 26.6%	496 31.1%	601 34.1%
(3) occasionally	1278 29.5%	1289 29.7%	624 29.4%	665 30.1%	141 28.0%	219 32.0%	222 27.6%	337 30.1%	369 30.3%	255 26.1%	509 31.9%	525 29.8%
(4) rarely	497 11.5%	489 11.3%	262 12.4%	227 10.3%	51 10.3%	88 12.8%	99 12.3%	124 11.0%	127 10.4%	139 14.2%	191 11.9%	160 9.1%
(5) almost never	453 10.5%	453 10.5%	217 10.2%	236 10.7%	54 10.7%	65 9.4%	89 11.1%	139 12.4%	107 8.8%	170 17.4%	169 10.6%	115 6.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2105 48.6%	2102 48.5%	1018 48.0%	1084 49.0%	256 51.0%	314 45.8%	395 49.1%	522 46.5%	615 50.5%	413 42.3%	728 45.6%	961 54.6%
Middle Box (3)	1278 29.5%	1289 29.7%	624 29.4%	665 30.1%	141 28.0%	219 32.0%	222 27.6%	337 30.1%	369 30.3%	255 26.1%	509 31.9%	525 29.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	950 21.9%	943 21.8%	479 22.6%	463 20.9%	105 21.0%	152 22.2%	188 23.4%	263 23.5%	233 19.2%	309 31.6%	359 22.5%	275 15.6%
Mean	2,66	2,67	2,70	2,64	2,63	2,68	2,68	2,74	2,60	2,91	2,73	2,47
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	1266 22.6%	1264 22.6%	621 22.6%	643 22.5%	142 22.0%	183 21.0%	216 21.1%	343 23.4%	382 23.9%	431 30.6%	433 21.4%	399 18.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


**How often do you visit a historic monument in your leisure time, on weekends or during vacations? - On other occasions:**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2626 46.9%	2747 49.1%	1358 49.5%	1389 48.6%	239 37.1%	359 41.3%	478 46.8%	767 52.4%	904 56.5%	686 48.7%	1024 50.5%	1038 48.0%
<b>Visiting monuments: On other occasions</b>												
(1) almost always	119 4.5%	119 4.3%	57 4.2%	62 4.4%	16 6.6%	15 4.3%	19 4.1%	32 4.2%	36 4.0%	24 3.5%	33 3.2%	62 6.0%
(2) frequently	269 10.2%	278 10.1%	144 10.6%	135 9.7%	24 10.1%	45 12.4%	49 10.3%	71 9.2%	90 9.9%	49 7.2%	105 10.2%	125 12.0%
(3) occasionally	695 26.5%	720 26.2%	357 26.3%	363 26.2%	68 28.4%	101 28.1%	127 26.6%	192 25.1%	232 25.6%	140 20.5%	277 27.0%	303 29.2%
(4) rarely	473 18.0%	504 18.3%	280 20.6%	224 16.1%	35 14.5%	62 17.2%	83 17.3%	134 17.4%	191 21.1%	142 20.7%	195 19.0%	167 16.1%
(5) almost never	1070 40.7%	1126 41.0%	520 38.3%	606 43.6%	97 40.5%	136 38.0%	199 41.7%	339 44.1%	355 39.3%	330 48.1%	415 40.6%	381 36.7%
Top Box (1+2)	388 14.8%	397 14.5%	201 14.8%	196 14.1%	40 16.7%	60 16.7%	69 14.4%	103 13.4%	126 13.9%	73 10.7%	137 13.4%	187 18.0%
Middle Box (3)	695 26.5%	720 26.2%	357 26.3%	363 26.2%	68 28.4%	101 28.1%	127 26.6%	192 25.1%	232 25.6%	140 20.5%	277 27.0%	303 29.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1543 58.8%	1630 59.3%	800 58.9%	829 59.7%	131 55.0%	198 55.1%	282 59.0%	472 61.5%	546 60.4%	472 68.9%	610 59.6%	548 52.8%
Mean	3,80	3,82	3,78	3,85	3,72	3,72	3,82	3,88	3,82	4,03	3,84	3,65
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	2973 53.1%	2850 50.9%	1384 50.5%	1466 51.4%	405 62.9%	510 58.7%	543 53.2%	698 47.6%	695 43.5%	722 51.3%	1005 49.5%	1123 52.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Age Group				
	18 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 60 years	61+ years
Inviting surroundings	2.26	2.32	2.31	2.28	2.28
Free guided tours	2.27	2.38	2.30	2.32	2.34
Good condition of the monument	2.39	2.25	2.17	2.09	1.95
Professional guided tours	2.44	2.32	2.28	2.15	1.96
Meaningful information flyers	2.44	2.33	2.30	2.17	2.04
Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument	2.47	2.25	2.14	2.11	2.02
'Day of the open monument'	2.63	2.49	2.47	2.47	2.28
Clean external fronts	2.65	2.46	2.46	2.32	2.18
Repair of damages accrued by the age of the monument	2.66	2.36	2.39	2.23	1.99
Restaurants in the near proximity	2.81	2.74	2.70	2.85	2.83
Limited number of visitors	2.89	2.75	2.81	2.88	2.77
Souvenir booths	3.49	3.39	3.35	3.56	3.69




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Education level		
	low education	medium education	high education
Professional guided tours	2.17	2.21	2.16
Good condition of the monument	2.17	2.12	2.08
Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument	2.17	2.17	2.12
Meaningful information flyers	2.22	2.19	2.22
Repair of damages accrued by the age of the monument	2.25	2.31	2.23
Free guided tours	2.30	2.35	2.33
Inviting surroundings	2.32	2.28	2.28
'Day of the open monument'	2.40	2.32	2.54
Clean external fronts	2.40	2.35	2.35
Restaurants in the near proximity	2.71	2.72	2.90
Limited number of visitors	2.82	2.78	2.84
Souvenir booths	3.35	3.46	3.69



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Free guided tours

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2166 38.7%	1055 38.5%	1110 38.9%	251 38.9%	324 37.3%	389 38.1%	579 39.5%	622 38.9%	619 44.0%	624 30.7%	923 42.7%
<b>Free guided tours</b>												
(1) very important	555 25.6%	551 25.5%	284 26.9%	267 24.1%	68 27.2%	74 22.7%	106 27.3%	151 26.1%	152 24.4%	163 26.4%	156 25.0%	232 25.2%
(2) rather important	659 30.5%	651 30.1%	310 29.4%	341 30.7%	82 32.6%	97 30.0%	119 30.7%	169 29.1%	184 29.6%	186 30.0%	182 29.2%	283 30.7%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	706 32.6%	720 33.3%	341 32.3%	379 34.2%	72 28.8%	119 36.6%	116 29.7%	192 33.1%	222 35.7%	206 33.3%	213 34.2%	301 32.6%
(4) rather unimportant	191 8.8%	193 8.9%	91 8.6%	102 9.2%	21 8.5%	27 8.3%	37 9.5%	55 9.5%	53 8.5%	49 7.9%	56 9.1%	87 9.5%
(5) very unimportant	53 2.4%	50 2.3%	30 2.8%	20 1.8%	7 2.9%	8 2.6%	11 2.9%	12 2.1%	11 1.8%	15 2.4%	16 2.5%	19 2.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1214 56.1%	1202 55.5%	594 56.3%	608 54.8%	150 59.8%	171 52.6%	226 58.0%	320 55.3%	336 54.0%	349 56.3%	338 54.2%	515 55.9%
Middle Box (3)	706 32.6%	720 33.3%	341 32.3%	379 34.2%	72 28.8%	119 36.6%	116 29.7%	192 33.1%	222 35.7%	206 33.3%	213 34.2%	301 32.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	244 11.3%	243 11.2%	121 11.4%	122 11.0%	29 11.4%	35 10.8%	48 12.4%	67 11.6%	64 10.3%	64 10.4%	72 11.6%	106 11.5%
Mean	2,32	2,33	2,31	2,34	2,27	2,38	2,30	2,32	2,34	2,30	2,35	2,33
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3435 61.4%	3432 61.3%	1686 61.5%	1745 61.1%	393 61.1%	544 62.7%	631 61.9%	886 60.5%	977 61.1%	789 56.0%	1405 69.3%	1238 57.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Meaningful information flyers

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2169 38.7%	2170 38.8%	1059 38.6%	1111 38.9%	252 39.2%	324 37.3%	389 38.1%	580 39.6%	624 39.0%	617 43.8%	628 30.9%	925 42.8%
<b>Meaningful information flyers</b>												
(1) very important	516 23.8%	539 24.8%	241 22.8%	297 26.8%	49 19.2%	76 23.4%	90 23.1%	141 24.3%	183 29.3%	153 24.7%	161 25.7%	225 24.3%
(2) rather important	869 40.1%	903 41.6%	432 40.8%	472 42.4%	91 36.2%	118 36.5%	149 38.2%	260 44.7%	285 45.7%	250 40.4%	258 41.1%	396 42.8%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	555 25.6%	521 24.0%	273 25.8%	247 22.3%	78 30.9%	90 27.7%	106 27.2%	134 23.2%	113 18.1%	160 25.9%	153 24.3%	208 22.5%
(4) rather unimportant	158 7.3%	143 6.6%	75 7.1%	68 6.1%	23 9.0%	28 8.7%	33 8.6%	28 4.8%	31 4.9%	38 6.2%	37 5.9%	68 7.3%
(5) very unimportant	71 3.3%	65 3.0%	37 3.5%	27 2.5%	12 4.8%	12 3.7%	11 2.9%	17 3.0%	12 1.9%	17 2.8%	19 3.0%	28 3.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1385 63.9%	1442 66.4%	673 63.5%	769 69.2%	140 55.4%	194 59.9%	239 61.3%	401 69.1%	468 75.1%	402 65.1%	419 66.8%	621 67.1%
Middle Box (3)	555 25.6%	521 24.0%	273 25.8%	247 22.3%	78 30.9%	90 27.7%	106 27.2%	134 23.2%	113 18.1%	160 25.9%	153 24.3%	208 22.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	229 10.6%	207 9.6%	113 10.6%	95 8.5%	35 13.8%	40 12.4%	45 11.5%	45 7.8%	43 6.9%	56 9.0%	56 8.9%	96 10.4%
Mean	2,26	2,21	2,28	2,15	2,44	2,33	2,30	2,17	2,04	2,22	2,19	2,22
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3430 61.3%	3427 61.2%	1683 61.4%	1744 61.1%	391 60.8%	544 62.7%	631 61.9%	885 60.4%	976 61.0%	791 56.2%	1401 69.1%	1236 57.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Good condition of the monument

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2167 38.7%	2168 38.7%	1055 38.5%	1113 39.0%	252 39.1%	324 37.3%	389 38.1%	580 39.6%	622 38.9%	619 43.9%	627 30.9%	923 42.7%
<b>Good condition of the monument</b>												
(1) very important	565 26.1%	605 27.9%	291 27.5%	315 28.3%	49 19.5%	72 22.2%	96 24.7%	171 29.4%	218 35.0%	167 27.0%	184 29.3%	254 27.6%
(2) rather important	880 40.6%	889 41.0%	436 41.3%	453 40.7%	96 38.3%	131 40.3%	170 43.6%	230 39.6%	262 42.1%	238 38.4%	239 38.1%	412 44.7%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	560 25.8%	530 24.4%	257 24.3%	273 24.5%	74 29.5%	98 30.2%	95 24.5%	150 25.9%	112 17.9%	169 27.3%	164 26.2%	197 21.3%
(4) rather unimportant	112 5.2%	98 4.5%	50 4.7%	48 4.3%	23 9.1%	17 5.1%	20 5.0%	18 3.1%	20 3.3%	29 4.7%	27 4.2%	42 4.6%
(5) very unimportant	50 2.3%	46 2.1%	22 2.1%	24 2.2%	9 3.6%	7 2.1%	9 2.2%	11 1.9%	11 1.7%	15 2.5%	14 2.2%	17 1.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1445 66.7%	1494 68.9%	727 68.9%	767 69.0%	146 57.8%	203 62.5%	266 68.2%	401 69.0%	479 77.1%	405 65.5%	423 67.4%	667 72.3%
Middle Box (3)	560 25.8%	530 24.4%	257 24.3%	273 24.5%	74 29.5%	98 30.2%	95 24.5%	150 25.9%	112 17.9%	169 27.3%	164 26.2%	197 21.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	162 7.5%	144 6.6%	72 6.8%	72 6.5%	32 12.7%	24 7.3%	28 7.2%	29 5.0%	31 5.0%	45 7.2%	40 6.4%	59 6.4%
Mean	2,17	2,12	2,12	2,11	2,39	2,25	2,17	2,09	1,95	2,17	2,12	2,08
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3432 61.3%	3429 61.3%	1687 61.5%	1743 61.0%	392 60.9%	544 62.7%	631 61.9%	885 60.4%	977 61.1%	789 56.1%	1402 69.1%	1238 57.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Souvenir booths

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2164 38.7%	1054 38.5%	1110 38.9%	252 39.2%	324 37.2%	389 38.1%	578 39.4%	621 38.8%	615 43.7%	626 30.8%	923 42.7%
<b>Souvenir booths</b>												
(1) very important	114 5.3%	109 5.0%	52 4.9%	57 5.1%	13 5.0%	18 5.6%	28 7.2%	30 5.2%	20 3.2%	42 6.8%	31 4.9%	37 4.0%
(2) rather important	278 12.8%	263 12.2%	117 11.1%	147 13.2%	37 14.7%	46 14.2%	56 14.5%	69 11.9%	55 8.9%	85 13.7%	83 13.3%	96 10.4%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	669 30.9%	648 29.9%	329 31.2%	318 28.7%	77 30.6%	118 36.3%	124 31.8%	168 29.0%	161 25.9%	218 35.4%	198 31.7%	231 25.0%
(4) rather unimportant	632 29.2%	669 30.9%	320 30.3%	349 31.5%	65 25.8%	76 23.4%	112 28.9%	170 29.4%	246 39.6%	157 25.5%	198 31.6%	314 34.1%
(5) very unimportant	471 21.8%	475 22.0%	236 22.4%	239 21.5%	60 23.9%	66 20.4%	69 17.6%	141 24.4%	139 22.4%	114 18.5%	116 18.6%	245 26.6%
Top Box (1+2)	392 18.1%	372 17.2%	169 16.0%	204 18.3%	50 19.7%	64 19.9%	84 21.7%	99 17.1%	75 12.1%	126 20.5%	113 18.1%	132 14.3%
Middle Box (3)	669 30.9%	648 29.9%	329 31.2%	318 28.7%	77 30.6%	118 36.3%	124 31.8%	168 29.0%	161 25.9%	218 35.4%	198 31.7%	231 25.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1103 51.0%	1144 52.9%	556 52.8%	588 53.0%	125 49.7%	142 43.8%	181 46.5%	311 53.9%	385 62.0%	271 44.0%	314 50.1%	559 60.6%
Mean	3,49	3,53	3,54	3,51	3,49	3,39	3,35	3,56	3,69	3,35	3,46	3,69
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3435 61.4%	3433 61.3%	1687 61.5%	1746 61.1%	391 60.8%	545 62.8%	631 61.9%	887 60.6%	978 61.2%	793 56.3%	1403 69.2%	1238 57.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Professionally guided tours

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2168 38.7%	2170 38.8%	1059 38.6%	1111 38.9%	252 39.1%	324 37.3%	389 38.1%	582 39.7%	624 39.0%	618 43.9%	628 30.9%	924 42.8%
<b>Professional guided tours</b>												
(1) very important	581 26.8%	623 28.7%	308 29.1%	315 28.3%	49 19.5%	80 24.6%	95 24.3%	172 29.6%	228 36.6%	176 28.5%	183 29.1%	264 28.6%
(2) rather important	775 35.7%	784 36.1%	375 35.4%	409 36.8%	89 35.3%	108 33.2%	140 36.0%	216 37.1%	231 37.1%	225 36.4%	209 33.2%	350 37.9%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	591 27.3%	565 26.0%	288 27.2%	277 24.9%	77 30.4%	99 30.4%	116 29.8%	144 24.8%	130 20.8%	167 27.1%	170 27.0%	228 24.7%
(4) rather unimportant	166 7.7%	147 6.8%	61 5.8%	85 7.7%	30 11.7%	29 9.0%	28 7.1%	33 5.7%	27 4.4%	35 5.7%	53 8.4%	59 6.4%
(5) very unimportant	55 2.5%	51 2.3%	26 2.4%	25 2.3%	8 3.1%	9 2.8%	11 2.8%	16 2.7%	7 1.2%	14 2.2%	14 2.2%	23 2.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1356 62.5%	1407 64.8%	684 64.6%	723 65.1%	138 54.7%	188 57.8%	234 60.3%	388 66.7%	459 73.7%	401 65.0%	392 62.4%	614 66.5%
Middle Box (3)	591 27.3%	565 26.0%	288 27.2%	277 24.9%	77 30.4%	99 30.4%	116 29.8%	144 24.8%	130 20.8%	167 27.1%	170 27.0%	228 24.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	221 10.2%	198 9.1%	87 8.2%	110 9.9%	37 14.9%	38 11.8%	38 9.9%	49 8.5%	35 5.5%	49 8.0%	67 10.6%	82 8.9%
Mean	2,23	2,18	2,17	2,19	2,44	2,32	2,28	2,15	1,96	2,17	2,21	2,16
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3431 61.3%	3427 61.2%	1683 61.4%	1744 61.1%	392 60.9%	544 62.7%	632 61.9%	884 60.3%	976 61.0%	790 56.1%	1401 69.1%	1236 57.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Restaurants in the near surrounding

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2161 38.6%	2164 38.7%	1054 38.4%	1110 38.9%	251 39.1%	321 37.0%	389 38.1%	579 39.5%	622 38.9%	615 43.7%	623 30.7%	925 42.8%
<b>Restaurants in the near proximity</b>												
(1) very important	262 12.1%	253 11.7%	120 11.4%	133 12.0%	36 14.2%	38 11.7%	55 14.1%	67 11.6%	58 9.2%	81 13.2%	83 13.4%	88 9.5%
(2) rather important	604 28.0%	603 27.9%	309 29.3%	294 26.5%	69 27.4%	98 30.6%	106 27.2%	146 25.3%	183 29.4%	171 27.8%	176 28.3%	256 27.7%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	774 35.8%	788 36.4%	385 36.5%	403 36.4%	73 29.2%	116 36.2%	148 38.1%	216 37.2%	234 37.7%	238 38.7%	228 36.5%	323 34.9%
(4) rather unimportant	379 17.5%	376 17.4%	172 16.4%	203 18.3%	54 21.5%	50 15.5%	62 16.0%	107 18.5%	102 16.4%	94 15.2%	103 16.5%	179 19.4%
(5) very unimportant	142 6.6%	144 6.7%	68 6.5%	76 6.8%	19 7.5%	19 6.0%	18 4.6%	43 7.4%	45 7.3%	31 5.1%	33 5.4%	79 8.6%
Top Box (1+2)	866 40.1%	856 39.5%	428 40.7%	427 38.5%	105 41.7%	136 42.3%	161 41.4%	213 36.8%	240 38.6%	252 41.0%	259 41.6%	344 37.2%
Middle Box (3)	774 35.8%	788 36.4%	385 36.5%	403 36.4%	73 29.2%	116 36.2%	148 38.1%	216 37.2%	234 37.7%	238 38.7%	228 36.5%	323 34.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	521 24.1%	520 24.0%	241 22.8%	279 25.2%	73 29.1%	69 21.5%	80 20.6%	150 25.9%	147 23.7%	125 20.3%	136 21.8%	259 28.0%
Mean	2,78	2,79	2,77	2,82	2,81	2,74	2,70	2,85	2,83	2,71	2,72	2,90
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3438 61.4%	3434 61.3%	1688 61.6%	1746 61.1%	392 60.9%	547 63.0%	631 61.9%	886 60.5%	977 61.1%	793 56.3%	1405 69.3%	1236 57.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Clean facades

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2165 38.7%	1056 38.5%	1109 38.8%	252 39.1%	324 37.3%	387 37.9%	582 39.7%	620 38.8%	618 43.9%	626 30.8%	921 42.6%
<b>Clean external fronts</b>												
(1) very important	416 19.2%	440 20.3%	213 20.2%	226 20.4%	38 15.2%	58 17.9%	73 19.0%	117 20.1%	153 24.6%	125 20.2%	147 23.5%	168 18.2%
(2) rather important	784 36.2%	822 38.0%	405 38.4%	417 37.6%	75 29.7%	109 33.7%	126 32.7%	241 41.5%	270 43.5%	218 35.3%	215 34.3%	389 42.2%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	678 31.3%	642 29.7%	314 29.7%	328 29.6%	88 34.9%	115 35.4%	138 35.6%	160 27.5%	142 22.9%	196 31.7%	185 29.6%	261 28.4%
(4) rather unimportant	218 10.1%	200 9.3%	94 8.9%	107 9.6%	38 15.3%	32 9.9%	36 9.3%	47 8.1%	47 7.5%	62 9.9%	57 9.1%	82 8.9%
(5) very unimportant	68 3.1%	61 2.8%	29 2.8%	31 2.8%	13 5.0%	10 3.0%	13 3.4%	16 2.8%	9 1.4%	18 2.9%	22 3.5%	21 2.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1200 55.5%	1262 58.3%	618 58.6%	643 58.0%	113 44.9%	168 51.7%	200 51.7%	358 61.6%	423 68.1%	343 55.5%	362 57.9%	556 60.4%
Middle Box (3)	678 31.3%	642 29.7%	314 29.7%	328 29.6%	88 34.9%	115 35.4%	138 35.6%	160 27.5%	142 22.9%	196 31.7%	185 29.6%	261 28.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	286 13.2%	261 12.1%	123 11.7%	138 12.4%	51 20.2%	42 13.0%	49 12.7%	63 10.9%	55 8.9%	79 12.8%	79 12.6%	103 11.2%
Mean	2,42	2,36	2,36	2,37	2,65	2,46	2,46	2,32	2,18	2,40	2,35	2,35
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3435 61.4%	3432 61.3%	1686 61.5%	1746 61.2%	392 60.9%	544 62.7%	634 62.1%	884 60.3%	979 61.2%	789 56.1%	1403 69.2%	1240 57.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Repair of damages caused by the age of the monument**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2169 38.7%	1058 38.6%	1110 38.9%	251 39.0%	324 37.2%	389 38.1%	582 39.7%	624 39.0%	619 43.9%	626 30.9%	924 42.8%
<b>Repair of damages accrued by the age of the monument</b>												
(1) very important	484 22.3%	542 25.0%	258 24.4%	285 25.6%	31 12.4%	64 19.9%	77 19.8%	150 25.7%	220 35.3%	165 26.7%	151 24.1%	227 24.5%
(2) rather important	783 36.1%	796 36.7%	398 37.6%	398 35.9%	83 33.1%	119 36.7%	135 34.8%	220 37.9%	238 38.1%	212 34.2%	213 34.1%	371 40.1%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	658 30.4%	612 28.2%	303 28.7%	309 27.8%	93 36.9%	106 32.9%	135 34.8%	154 26.5%	124 19.8%	180 29.1%	195 31.1%	238 25.7%
(4) rather unimportant	171 7.9%	159 7.3%	65 6.2%	94 8.5%	27 10.8%	26 8.1%	28 7.2%	45 7.7%	33 5.3%	44 7.1%	50 8.0%	66 7.1%
(5) very unimportant	70 3.2%	59 2.7%	34 3.2%	25 2.2%	17 6.7%	8 2.4%	13 3.4%	12 2.1%	9 1.4%	18 3.0%	18 2.8%	23 2.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1267 58.5%	1338 61.7%	655 61.9%	683 61.5%	114 45.5%	183 56.7%	212 54.7%	370 63.6%	458 73.4%	377 60.9%	364 58.1%	598 64.7%
Middle Box (3)	658 30.4%	612 28.2%	303 28.7%	309 27.8%	93 36.9%	106 32.9%	135 34.8%	154 26.5%	124 19.8%	180 29.1%	195 31.1%	238 25.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	241 11.1%	218 10.1%	99 9.4%	119 10.7%	44 17.5%	34 10.4%	41 10.6%	57 9.9%	42 6.7%	62 10.0%	68 10.8%	89 9.6%
Mean	2,34	2,26	2,26	2,26	2,66	2,36	2,39	2,23	1,99	2,25	2,31	2,23
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3433 61.3%	3429 61.3%	1684 61.4%	1745 61.1%	392 61.0%	545 62.8%	632 61.9%	884 60.3%	976 61.0%	789 56.1%	1403 69.1%	1236 57.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Reconstruction of the original condition of the monument**

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2169 38.8%	1059 38.6%	1111 38.9%	250 38.9%	324 37.3%	389 38.1%	582 39.7%	624 39.0%	618 43.9%	626 30.9%	925 42.8%
<b>Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument</b>												
(1) very important	565 26.1%	596 27.5%	283 26.7%	314 28.2%	52 20.7%	74 22.8%	103 26.5%	160 27.4%	208 33.3%	180 29.2%	175 28.0%	241 26.0%
(2) rather important	821 37.9%	844 38.9%	393 37.1%	451 40.6%	79 31.7%	118 36.5%	159 40.9%	245 42.1%	243 38.9%	218 35.2%	226 36.1%	400 43.3%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	613 28.3%	579 26.7%	300 28.3%	278 25.1%	80 32.1%	114 35.0%	105 27.0%	143 24.6%	136 21.9%	168 27.1%	181 28.9%	230 24.8%
(4) rather unimportant	113 5.2%	101 4.7%	55 5.2%	46 4.1%	28 11.1%	11 3.5%	13 3.4%	21 3.5%	28 4.5%	37 6.0%	27 4.4%	37 4.0%
(5) very unimportant	54 2.5%	49 2.2%	27 2.6%	21 1.9%	11 4.3%	7 2.1%	9 2.2%	13 2.3%	9 1.4%	15 2.4%	16 2.6%	17 1.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1386 64.0%	1441 66.4%	676 63.9%	765 68.9%	131 52.4%	193 59.4%	262 67.4%	404 69.5%	450 72.2%	398 64.5%	402 64.1%	641 69.3%
Middle Box (3)	613 28.3%	579 26.7%	300 28.3%	278 25.1%	80 32.1%	114 35.0%	105 27.0%	143 24.6%	136 21.9%	168 27.1%	181 28.9%	230 24.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	167 7.7%	150 6.9%	82 7.8%	67 6.1%	39 15.5%	18 5.6%	22 5.7%	34 5.8%	37 5.9%	52 8.4%	43 6.9%	54 5.9%
Mean	2,20	2,15	2,20	2,11	2,47	2,25	2,14	2,11	2,02	2,17	2,17	2,12
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3433 61.3%	3428 61.2%	1683 61.4%	1745 61.1%	393 61.1%	544 62.7%	631 61.9%	884 60.3%	976 61.0%	790 56.1%	1403 69.1%	1236 57.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Limited number of visitors

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2169 38.7%	2171 38.8%	1059 38.6%	1112 38.9%	251 39.0%	325 37.4%	389 38.1%	582 39.7%	624 39.0%	619 44.0%	627 30.9%	925 42.8%
<b>Limited number of visitors</b>												
(1) very important	222 10.2%	223 10.3%	109 10.3%	114 10.3%	25 9.9%	31 9.7%	39 10.1%	65 11.1%	63 10.0%	65 10.6%	75 12.0%	82 8.9%
(2) rather important	522 24.1%	525 24.2%	233 22.0%	292 26.3%	53 21.2%	91 27.9%	97 24.8%	115 19.7%	170 27.3%	137 22.1%	141 22.6%	247 26.7%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	961 44.3%	959 44.2%	476 44.9%	483 43.5%	110 43.8%	148 45.5%	176 45.1%	264 45.4%	261 41.8%	286 46.2%	287 45.8%	386 41.7%
(4) rather unimportant	352 16.2%	354 16.3%	179 16.9%	174 15.7%	50 20.0%	38 11.5%	57 14.5%	103 17.7%	107 17.1%	107 17.3%	93 14.9%	154 16.6%
(5) very unimportant	112 5.2%	110 5.1%	62 5.8%	48 4.3%	13 5.0%	18 5.4%	21 5.5%	35 6.0%	23 3.8%	24 3.9%	30 4.7%	56 6.0%
Top Box (1+2)	744 34.3%	748 34.5%	342 32.3%	406 36.5%	78 31.2%	122 37.5%	136 34.9%	180 30.9%	233 37.3%	202 32.7%	217 34.6%	329 35.6%
Middle Box (3)	961 44.3%	959 44.2%	476 44.9%	483 43.5%	110 43.8%	148 45.5%	176 45.1%	264 45.4%	261 41.8%	286 46.2%	287 45.8%	386 41.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	464 21.4%	464 21.4%	241 22.8%	223 20.0%	63 25.0%	55 16.9%	78 20.0%	138 23.7%	130 20.9%	131 21.2%	123 19.6%	210 22.7%
Mean	2,82	2,82	2,86	2,78	2,89	2,75	2,81	2,88	2,77	2,82	2,78	2,84
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3430 61.3%	3426 61.2%	1683 61.4%	1743 61.1%	392 61.0%	544 62.6%	631 61.9%	884 60.3%	976 61.0%	789 56.0%	1402 69.1%	1236 57.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - 'Day of the open monument'

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2162 38.6%	2164 38.7%	1056 38.5%	1108 38.8%	251 39.0%	322 37.1%	389 38.1%	578 39.5%	624 39.0%	613 43.6%	627 30.9%	924 42.8%
<b>'Day of the open monument'</b>												
(1) very important	532 24.6%	545 25.2%	269 25.4%	276 24.9%	55 21.9%	77 24.0%	93 23.9%	137 23.7%	183 29.3%	157 25.5%	181 28.8%	208 22.5%
(2) rather important	594 27.5%	621 28.7%	271 25.7%	350 31.6%	59 23.7%	84 26.0%	104 26.8%	174 30.1%	200 32.0%	176 28.6%	173 27.6%	272 29.4%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	651 30.1%	628 29.0%	322 30.5%	306 27.6%	81 32.3%	105 32.6%	127 32.7%	163 28.2%	152 24.4%	188 30.7%	189 30.2%	251 27.1%
(4) rather unimportant	256 11.8%	247 11.4%	128 12.1%	120 10.8%	34 13.6%	38 11.7%	46 11.7%	69 12.0%	61 9.8%	66 10.7%	56 8.9%	126 13.6%
(5) very unimportant	129 6.0%	123 5.7%	67 6.3%	56 5.0%	22 8.6%	18 5.7%	19 4.8%	35 6.1%	29 4.6%	27 4.4%	28 4.4%	68 7.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1126 52.1%	1166 53.9%	540 51.1%	626 56.5%	114 45.6%	161 50.0%	198 50.7%	311 53.7%	382 61.3%	332 54.2%	354 56.5%	480 51.9%
Middle Box (3)	651 30.1%	628 29.0%	322 30.5%	306 27.6%	81 32.3%	105 32.6%	127 32.7%	163 28.2%	152 24.4%	188 30.7%	189 30.2%	251 27.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	385 17.8%	370 17.1%	195 18.4%	176 15.8%	56 22.1%	56 17.3%	65 16.6%	105 18.1%	90 14.4%	93 15.1%	84 13.3%	194 21.0%
Mean	2,47	2,44	2,48	2,39	2,63	2,49	2,47	2,47	2,28	2,40	2,32	2,54
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3437 61.4%	3433 61.3%	1686 61.5%	1748 61.2%	392 61.0%	547 62.9%	631 61.9%	887 60.5%	976 61.0%	795 56.4%	1402 69.1%	1236 57.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Inviting surrounding

Abs. Col %	Total		Gender		Age Group					Education		
	unweighted	weighted	male	female	18-25 years	26-35years	36-45 years	46-60 years	61+ years	low	medium	high
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2167 38.7%	1058 38.6%	1108 38.8%	252 39.1%	324 37.2%	389 38.1%	582 39.7%	620 38.8%	619 44.0%	626 30.8%	922 42.7%
<b>Inviting surroundings</b>												
(1) very important	447 20.6%	445 20.5%	198 18.7%	247 22.3%	56 22.4%	65 20.1%	77 19.7%	119 20.4%	128 20.6%	136 22.0%	142 22.7%	167 18.1%
(2) rather important	901 41.6%	912 42.1%	458 43.3%	454 41.0%	103 41.0%	128 39.5%	161 41.4%	247 42.4%	273 44.0%	238 38.4%	246 39.3%	429 46.5%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	610 28.2%	600 27.7%	309 29.2%	291 26.3%	67 26.8%	102 31.5%	112 28.8%	164 28.1%	155 25.0%	179 29.0%	174 27.7%	247 26.8%
(4) rather unimportant	154 7.1%	155 7.1%	68 6.5%	86 7.8%	18 7.3%	21 6.4%	30 7.8%	37 6.4%	48 7.7%	45 7.3%	51 8.2%	58 6.3%
(5) very unimportant	54 2.5%	55 2.5%	25 2.4%	30 2.7%	6 2.5%	8 2.6%	9 2.3%	15 2.6%	16 2.6%	21 3.3%	13 2.0%	21 2.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1348 62.2%	1357 62.7%	656 62.0%	702 63.3%	160 63.4%	193 59.6%	238 61.1%	366 62.9%	401 64.7%	374 60.3%	388 62.0%	596 64.6%
Middle Box (3)	610 28.2%	600 27.7%	309 29.2%	291 26.3%	67 26.8%	102 31.5%	112 28.8%	164 28.1%	155 25.0%	179 29.0%	174 27.7%	247 26.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	208 9.6%	209 9.6%	93 8.8%	116 10.4%	25 9.8%	29 8.9%	39 10.1%	52 9.0%	64 10.3%	66 10.7%	64 10.2%	79 8.6%
Mean	2,29	2,29	2,30	2,28	2,26	2,32	2,31	2,28	2,28	2,32	2,28	2,28
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>2742</b>	<b>2855</b>	<b>643</b>	<b>869</b>	<b>1021</b>	<b>1465</b>	<b>1599</b>	<b>1408</b>	<b>2029</b>	<b>2161</b>
missings	3433 61.3%	3431 61.3%	1684 61.4%	1747 61.2%	392 60.9%	545 62.8%	631 61.9%	884 60.3%	979 61.2%	789 56.0%	1403 69.2%	1239 57.3%

BACES



# **NANO-Cathedral**

## **Public Survey in Six European Countries**

### **Content Public II**

Socio – Demographic .....	1
Issue – Importance .....	13
New Technologies .....	28
Nanotechnologies .....	78
Monument Protection .....	114
Visual Perception of Monuments .....	127
Tourism .....	139



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Country

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Country</b>									
Austria	1075 19.2%	1075 19.2%	1075 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Belgium	547 9.8%	547 9.8%	0 -	547 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Germany	1111 19.8%	1111 19.8%	0 -	0 -	1111 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Germany2	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -
Italy	556 9.9%	556 9.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	556 100.0%	0 -	0 -
Norway	528 9.4%	528 9.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	528 100.0%	0 -
Spain	551 9.8%	551 9.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	551 100.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Gender

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Gender</b>									
male	2765 49.4%	2742 49.0%	524 48.7%	269 49.1%	543 48.9%	601 48.9%	269 48.4%	265 50.1%	272 49.4%
female	2834 50.6%	2855 51.0%	551 51.3%	278 50.9%	568 51.1%	629 51.1%	287 51.6%	263 49.9%	279 50.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Age Group

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Age Group</b>									
18 - 25 years	1019 18.2%	643 11.5%	131 12.2%	68 12.5%	123 11.1%	137 11.1%	55 9.8%	72 13.6%	57 10.4%
26 - 35 years	1123 20.1%	869 15.5%	170 15.8%	89 16.3%	159 14.3%	176 14.3%	82 14.8%	89 16.8%	104 18.8%
36 - 45 years	1093 19.5%	1021 18.2%	199 18.5%	97 17.8%	192 17.3%	213 17.3%	107 19.2%	100 18.9%	112 20.4%
46 - 60 years	1305 23.3%	1465 26.2%	282 26.2%	142 26.0%	302 27.2%	335 27.2%	139 25.0%	131 24.9%	133 24.2%
61+ years	1059 18.9%	1599 28.6%	293 27.3%	150 27.4%	333 30.0%	369 30.0%	173 31.2%	136 25.8%	144 26.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Educational level									
Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Education level</b>									
low education	1360 24.3%	1408 25.2%	284 26.4%	23 4.2%	339 30.6%	421 34.2%	94 17.0%	73 13.9%	173 31.4%
medium education	2040 36.4%	2029 36.2%	245 22.8%	262 47.9%	386 34.8%	421 34.2%	282 50.8%	201 38.0%	232 42.1%
high education	2199 39.3%	2161 38.6%	546 50.8%	262 48.0%	385 34.7%	388 31.5%	179 32.2%	254 48.1%	146 26.5%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES									





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### What is your current marital status?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4337 77.5%	4340 77.5%	1069 99.4%	542 99.1%	1106 99.6%	0 -	552 99.4%	527 99.7%	544 98.8%
<b>Marital status</b>									
single	1885 43.5%	1514 34.9%	428 40.1%	156 28.8%	412 37.3%	0 -	175 31.7%	162 30.7%	180 33.2%
married	1923 44.3%	2166 49.9%	427 40.0%	278 51.3%	494 44.7%	0 -	330 59.7%	317 60.2%	320 58.7%
divorced	421 9.7%	514 11.8%	171 16.0%	80 14.8%	160 14.5%	0 -	36 6.5%	32 6.1%	34 6.3%
widowed	108 2.5%	147 3.4%	43 4.0%	28 5.2%	39 3.5%	0 -	12 2.1%	16 3.0%	10 1.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1262 22.5%	1257 22.5%	6 0.6%	5 0.9%	4 0.4%	1230 100.0%	3 0.6%	1 0.3%	7 1.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Are you currently employed?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5577 99.6%	5580 99.7%	1071 99.6%	546 99.7%	1110 99.9%	1227 99.8%	555 99.8%	526 99.7%	545 98.9%
<b>Employment status</b>									
fulltime employment	2430 43.6%	2277 40.8%	432 40.4%	216 39.7%	482 43.5%	496 40.4%	210 37.8%	219 41.6%	221 40.6%
part-time employment	725 13.0%	695 12.5%	142 13.3%	65 11.9%	154 13.9%	172 14.0%	60 10.8%	54 10.2%	49 9.0%
in training (student/retraining)	534 9.6%	365 6.5%	83 7.8%	32 5.9%	77 6.9%	76 6.2%	36 6.5%	51 9.6%	10 1.8%
currently unemployed	532 9.5%	495 8.9%	64 6.0%	37 6.9%	76 6.8%	87 7.1%	97 17.5%	31 5.9%	103 18.8%
retiree, pensioner	945 16.9%	1341 24.0%	308 28.7%	140 25.7%	250 22.5%	301 24.5%	110 19.8%	131 25.0%	101 18.6%
not employed (housewife/housemen)	411 7.4%	406 7.3%	41 3.9%	54 10.0%	71 6.4%	96 7.8%	42 7.6%	41 7.7%	61 11.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	22 0.4%	18 0.3%	4 0.4%	1 0.3%	1 0.1%	2 0.2%	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	6 1.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you normally go to church?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4345 77.6%	1070 99.6%	543 99.3%	1107 99.7%	0 -	556 100.0%	526 99.6%	543 98.5%
<b>Church visit frequency</b>									
Never	1999 46.0%	1955 45.0%	430 40.2%	303 55.7%	567 51.2%	0 -	181 32.6%	205 39.0%	269 49.5%
Once a year	939 21.6%	924 21.3%	260 24.3%	87 16.1%	239 21.6%	0 -	75 13.5%	178 33.8%	85 15.7%
Several times a year	946 21.8%	983 22.6%	288 26.9%	105 19.2%	220 19.9%	0 -	151 27.2%	102 19.3%	117 21.5%
Once a month	138 3.2%	136 3.1%	36 3.4%	17 3.2%	24 2.2%	0 -	28 5.0%	17 3.1%	14 2.5%
Two to three times a month	133 3.1%	139 3.2%	24 2.3%	18 3.3%	26 2.4%	0 -	37 6.6%	11 2.2%	23 4.2%
Once or several times a week	190 4.4%	208 4.8%	31 2.9%	14 2.5%	30 2.7%	0 -	84 15.1%	13 2.5%	36 6.7%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1254 22.4%	1252 22.4%	5 0.4%	4 0.7%	4 0.3%	1230 100.0%	0 -	2 0.4%	8 1.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How many people live in your community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5575 99.6%	5576 99.6%	1070 99.5%	542 99.1%	1108 99.8%	1229 99.9%	556 100.0%	525 99.4%	547 99.2%
<b>Number of habitants in the community</b>									
under 5.000	1014 18.2%	1000 17.9%	358 33.4%	61 11.2%	205 18.5%	198 16.1%	67 12.0%	62 11.9%	50 9.1%
5.001-25.000	1510 27.1%	1479 26.5%	263 24.6%	233 43.1%	266 24.0%	296 24.1%	147 26.4%	168 32.1%	105 19.2%
25.001-100.000	1185 21.3%	1197 21.5%	93 8.7%	152 28.1%	249 22.5%	296 24.1%	151 27.1%	146 27.8%	109 20.0%
100.001-500.000	795 14.3%	811 14.5%	94 8.8%	65 12.0%	177 16.0%	188 15.3%	74 13.3%	80 15.3%	132 24.2%
over 500.000	1071 19.2%	1089 19.5%	262 24.5%	30 5.6%	212 19.1%	249 20.3%	118 21.2%	68 12.9%	151 27.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	24 0.4%	21 0.4%	5 0.5%	5 0.9%	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	0 -	3 0.6%	4 0.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How strongly connected do you feel to your community?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4463 79.7%	4464 79.8%	1070 99.6%	545 99.6%	0 -	1225 99.6%	554 99.7%	526 99.6%	545 99.0%
<b>Connection to the community</b>									
(1) very strong	969 21.7%	1017 22.8%	266 24.9%	98 18.0%	0 -	258 21.1%	123 22.3%	120 22.9%	151 27.7%
(2) rather strong	1415 31.7%	1444 32.3%	342 32.0%	160 29.5%	0 -	361 29.5%	152 27.5%	177 33.6%	251 46.0%
(3) partly	1322 29.6%	1290 28.9%	285 26.6%	201 36.8%	0 -	374 30.5%	166 30.0%	148 28.1%	116 21.3%
(4) rather weak	500 11.2%	477 10.7%	121 11.3%	64 11.8%	0 -	142 11.6%	74 13.3%	55 10.5%	21 3.9%
(5) very weak	257 5.8%	236 5.3%	55 5.2%	21 3.9%	0 -	89 7.3%	38 6.9%	25 4.8%	6 1.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2384 53.4%	2461 55.1%	608 56.8%	258 47.4%	0 -	620 50.6%	276 49.8%	297 56.5%	402 73.7%
Middle Box (3)	1322 29.6%	1290 28.9%	285 26.6%	201 36.8%	0 -	374 30.5%	166 30.0%	148 28.1%	116 21.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	757 17.0%	713 16.0%	177 16.5%	86 15.7%	0 -	231 18.9%	112 20.2%	80 15.3%	27 5.0%
Mean	2,48	2,43	2,40 bDeG	2,54 afG	-	2,54 AfG	2,55 afG	2,41 bdeG	2,05 ABDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1136 20.3%	1133 20.2%	5 0.4%	2 0.4%	1111 100.0%	5 0.4%	2 0.3%	2 0.4%	6 1.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How strongly connected do you feel to your federal state?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4462 79.7%	4464 79.8%	1069 99.4%	543 99.3%	0 -	1228 99.9%	555 99.9%	524 99.2%	544 98.8%
<b>Connection to the country or your federal state</b>									
(1) very strong	973 21.8%	987 22.1%	321 30.0%	58 10.7%	0 -	297 24.2%	109 19.6%	83 15.9%	118 21.7%
(2) rather strong	1500 33.6%	1532 34.3%	371 34.7%	132 24.2%	0 -	427 34.8%	182 32.7%	180 34.3%	241 44.3%
(3) partly	1287 28.8%	1274 28.5%	256 24.0%	216 39.7%	0 -	339 27.6%	161 29.0%	157 30.0%	146 26.8%
(4) rather weak	467 10.5%	448 10.0%	94 8.8%	96 17.7%	0 -	98 8.0%	67 12.0%	65 12.5%	28 5.2%
(5) very weak	235 5.3%	222 5.0%	27 2.5%	42 7.6%	0 -	67 5.5%	38 6.8%	39 7.4%	11 2.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2473 55.4%	2519 56.4%	692 64.7%	190 35.0%	0 -	725 59.0%	290 52.3%	263 50.2%	359 66.0%
Middle Box (3)	1287 28.8%	1274 28.5%	256 24.0%	216 39.7%	0 -	339 27.6%	161 29.0%	157 30.0%	146 26.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	702 15.7%	671 15.0%	121 11.3%	138 25.4%	0 -	165 13.4%	104 18.7%	104 19.9%	39 7.2%
Mean	2,44	2,41	2,19 BDEF	2,87 ADEFG	-	2,36 ABEFG	2,54 ABDG	2,61 ABDG	2,21 BDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1137 20.3%	1133 20.2%	6 0.6%	4 0.7%	1111 100.0%	2 0.1%	1 0.1%	4 0.8%	7 1.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How strongly connected do you feel to your country?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4462 79.7%	4462 79.7%	1072 99.7%	544 99.5%	0 -	1224 99.6%	555 99.9%	524 99.3%	542 98.3%
<b>Connection to [country]</b>									
(1) very strong	1291 28.9%	1342 30.1%	462 43.1%	59 10.9%	0 -	295 24.1%	134 24.1%	253 48.3%	140 25.9%
(2) rather strong	1478 33.1%	1495 33.5%	362 33.7%	138 25.4%	0 -	431 35.2%	189 34.1%	168 32.0%	207 38.2%
(3) partly	1098 24.6%	1053 23.6%	187 17.4%	192 35.2%	0 -	342 27.9%	122 21.9%	81 15.4%	130 24.0%
(4) rather weak	363 8.1%	346 7.7%	43 4.0%	96 17.6%	0 -	100 8.1%	63 11.4%	11 2.1%	33 6.1%
(5) very weak	232 5.2%	226 5.1%	19 1.8%	59 10.9%	0 -	57 4.6%	47 8.5%	12 2.2%	31 5.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2769 62.1%	2838 63.6%	823 76.8%	198 36.3%	0 -	726 59.3%	323 58.2%	421 80.3%	347 64.1%
Middle Box (3)	1098 24.6%	1053 23.6%	187 17.4%	192 35.2%	0 -	342 27.9%	122 21.9%	81 15.4%	130 24.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	595 13.3%	571 12.8%	62 5.8%	155 28.5%	0 -	157 12.8%	111 19.9%	23 4.3%	64 11.9%
Mean	2,28	2,24	1,88 BDEG	2,92 ADEFG	-	2,34 ABeF	2,46 ABdFG	1,78 BDEG	2,28 ABEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1137 20.3%	1135 20.3%	3 0.3%	3 0.5%	1111 100.0%	5 0.4%	1 0.1%	4 0.7%	9 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How strongly connected do you feel to Europe?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4453 79.5%	4456 79.6%	1068 99.4%	542 99.1%	0 -	1227 99.7%	553 99.5%	523 99.1%	543 98.5%
<b>Connection to Europe</b>									
(1) very strong	605 13.6%	624 14.0%	169 15.9%	53 9.7%	0 -	183 15.0%	61 11.1%	90 17.3%	67 12.3%
(2) rather strong	1194 26.8%	1220 27.4%	253 23.7%	101 18.6%	0 -	361 29.4%	124 22.5%	170 32.5%	210 38.8%
(3) partly	1531 34.4%	1486 33.3%	342 32.0%	185 34.1%	0 -	417 34.0%	150 27.1%	193 36.8%	200 36.8%
(4) rather weak	632 14.2%	634 14.2%	150 14.1%	114 20.9%	0 -	168 13.7%	112 20.2%	51 9.8%	39 7.3%
(5) very weak	491 11.0%	493 11.1%	154 14.4%	90 16.7%	0 -	97 7.9%	106 19.1%	19 3.7%	26 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1799 40.4%	1844 41.4%	423 39.6%	153 28.3%	0 -	545 44.4%	186 33.6%	260 49.7%	277 51.1%
Middle Box (3)	1531 34.4%	1486 33.3%	342 32.0%	185 34.1%	0 -	417 34.0%	150 27.1%	193 36.8%	200 36.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1123 25.2%	1126 25.3%	304 28.5%	204 37.6%	0 -	265 21.6%	217 39.3%	70 13.4%	66 12.1%
Mean	2,82	2,81	2,87 BDEFG	3,16 ADFG	-	2,70 ABEFG	3,14 ADFG	2,50 ABDE	2,54 ABDE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1146 20.5%	1141 20.4%	6 0.6%	5 0.9%	1111 100.0%	3 0.3%	3 0.5%	5 0.9%	8 1.5%


BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Reduction of social differences**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4342 77.6%	1072 99.7%	545 99.6%	1102 99.2%	0 -	555 99.9%	522 99.0%	546 99.1%
<b>Reduction of social differences</b>									
(1) very important	1858 42.8%	1895 43.7%	446 41.7%	186 34.2%	527 47.8%	0 -	255 45.9%	193 36.9%	288 52.7%
(2) important	1464 33.7%	1458 33.6%	376 35.1%	196 36.0%	359 32.6%	0 -	180 32.3%	139 26.6%	209 38.2%
(3) rather important	779 17.9%	752 17.3%	191 17.8%	127 23.3%	166 15.0%	0 -	94 16.9%	136 26.0%	39 7.1%
(4) less important	184 4.2%	178 4.1%	43 4.0%	25 4.6%	33 3.0%	0 -	23 4.1%	45 8.7%	9 1.6%
(5) unimportant	60 1.4%	58 1.3%	16 1.5%	10 1.9%	17 1.5%	0 -	4 0.7%	10 1.9%	2 0.3%
Top Box (1+2)	3322 76.5%	3354 77.2%	822 76.7%	383 70.2%	886 80.4%	0 -	434 78.3%	332 63.5%	497 91.0%
Middle Box (3)	779 17.9%	752 17.3%	191 17.8%	127 23.3%	166 15.0%	0 -	94 16.9%	136 26.0%	39 7.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	244 5.6%	236 5.4%	59 5.5%	35 6.4%	50 4.5%	0 -	27 4.8%	55 10.6%	10 1.9%
Mean	1,88	1,86	1,89 BCFG	2,04 ACEG	1,78 ABFG	-	1,81 BFG	2,12 ACEG	1,58 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1254 22.4%	1255 22.4%	3 0.3%	2 0.4%	9 0.8%	1230 100.0%	1 0.1%	5 1.0%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Fighting poverty**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4336 77.5%	1069 99.5%	545 99.6%	1102 99.2%	0 -	553 99.5%	524 99.2%	544 98.6%
<b>Fighting poverty</b>									
(1) very important	2263 52.2%	2285 52.7%	596 55.8%	208 38.2%	607 55.0%	0 -	342 61.9%	209 39.9%	323 59.5%
(2) important	1358 31.3%	1361 31.4%	331 30.9%	187 34.2%	342 31.0%	0 -	143 25.9%	165 31.5%	193 35.5%
(3) rather important	575 13.3%	553 12.8%	119 11.2%	122 22.5%	127 11.5%	0 -	59 10.7%	107 20.5%	18 3.4%
(4) less important	105 2.4%	99 2.3%	18 1.7%	21 3.8%	17 1.5%	0 -	8 1.4%	31 5.9%	5 0.9%
(5) unimportant	38 0.9%	38 0.9%	4 0.4%	7 1.3%	10 0.9%	0 -	1 0.2%	12 2.2%	4 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	3621 83.5%	3646 84.1%	927 86.7%	394 72.4%	949 86.1%	0 -	486 87.8%	374 71.4%	517 95.0%
Middle Box (3)	575 13.3%	553 12.8%	119 11.2%	122 22.5%	127 11.5%	0 -	59 10.7%	107 20.5%	18 3.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	143 3.3%	137 3.2%	23 2.1%	28 5.1%	27 2.4%	0 -	8 1.5%	43 8.1%	9 1.6%
Mean	1,69	1,67	1,60 BeFG	1,96 ACEG	1,62 BeFG	-	1,52 aBcF	1,99 ACEG	1,48 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1260 22.5%	1261 22.5%	6 0.5%	2 0.4%	9 0.8%	1230 100.0%	3 0.5%	4 0.8%	7 1.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Investments in education and research**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4343 77.6%	1071 99.7%	546 99.8%	1099 98.9%	0 -	556 100.0%	526 99.7%	545 98.9%
<b>Investments in education and research</b>									
(1) very important	2223 51.2%	2222 51.2%	516 48.1%	197 36.1%	548 49.9%	0 -	317 57.0%	247 46.9%	397 72.8%
(2) important	1419 32.7%	1425 32.8%	367 34.3%	234 42.8%	382 34.7%	0 -	148 26.7%	166 31.5%	128 23.5%
(3) rather important	582 13.4%	581 13.4%	150 14.0%	97 17.8%	145 13.2%	0 -	81 14.5%	94 17.9%	15 2.7%
(4) less important	95 2.2%	90 2.1%	33 3.1%	14 2.5%	19 1.7%	0 -	6 1.1%	14 2.7%	4 0.8%
(5) unimportant	26 0.6%	26 0.6%	6 0.5%	4 0.7%	5 0.5%	0 -	4 0.8%	5 1.0%	1 0.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3642 83.8%	3647 84.0%	883 82.4%	431 79.0%	930 84.7%	0 -	465 83.6%	413 78.4%	525 96.3%
Middle Box (3)	582 13.4%	581 13.4%	150 14.0%	97 17.8%	145 13.2%	0 -	81 14.5%	94 17.9%	15 2.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	121 2.8%	116 2.7%	39 3.6%	18 3.3%	24 2.2%	0 -	10 1.9%	20 3.7%	5 1.0%
Mean	1,68	1,68	1,74 BEG	1,89 ACEG	1,68 BfG	-	1,62 ABFG	1,79 cEG	1,32 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1254 22.4%	1254 22.4%	3 0.3%	1 0.2%	12 1.1%	1230 100.0%	0 -	2 0.3%	6 1.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Development of new technologies**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4336 77.4%	4334 77.4%	1069 99.4%	542 99.0%	1104 99.4%	0 -	552 99.2%	525 99.5%	542 98.4%
<b>Development of new technologies</b>									
(1) very important	1382 31.9%	1419 32.8%	317 29.7%	153 28.3%	300 27.2%	0 -	224 40.6%	176 33.5%	249 45.9%
(2) important	1584 36.5%	1605 37.0%	393 36.7%	188 34.8%	422 38.2%	0 -	211 38.3%	169 32.1%	223 41.1%
(3) rather important	1043 24.1%	1009 23.3%	268 25.1%	154 28.5%	293 26.5%	0 -	94 17.1%	140 26.7%	59 10.9%
(4) less important	268 6.2%	245 5.6%	75 7.0%	41 7.5%	72 6.5%	0 -	16 3.0%	32 6.0%	10 1.8%
(5) unimportant	59 1.4%	56 1.3%	17 1.5%	5 1.0%	17 1.5%	0 -	6 1.1%	9 1.7%	2 0.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2966 68.4%	3024 69.8%	710 66.4%	342 63.1%	722 65.4%	0 -	435 78.9%	345 65.6%	472 87.0%
Middle Box (3)	1043 24.1%	1009 23.3%	268 25.1%	154 28.5%	293 26.5%	0 -	94 17.1%	140 26.7%	59 10.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	327 7.5%	301 6.9%	91 8.5%	46 8.5%	89 8.1%	0 -	22 4.0%	41 7.7%	12 2.2%
Mean	2,09	2,06	2,14 EG	2,18 EG	2,17 EG	-	1,86 ABCFG	2,10 EG	1,70 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1263 22.6%	1264 22.6%	6 0.6%	5 1.0%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	4 0.8%	3 0.5%	9 1.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Conservation of cultural goods and monuments**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4348 77.7%	4346 77.6%	1072 99.7%	543 99.2%	1105 99.5%	0 -	556 100.0%	527 99.8%	544 98.7%
<b>Conservation of cultural goods and monuments</b>									
(1) very important	1160 26.7%	1161 26.7%	341 31.9%	108 19.9%	220 19.9%	0 -	254 45.6%	71 13.5%	167 30.6%
(2) important	1500 34.5%	1502 34.6%	341 31.8%	168 30.9%	386 34.9%	0 -	187 33.5%	147 27.8%	274 50.3%
(3) rather important	1180 27.1%	1180 27.2%	283 26.4%	181 33.3%	347 31.4%	0 -	102 18.4%	190 36.0%	77 14.1%
(4) less important	421 9.7%	422 9.7%	89 8.3%	71 13.0%	129 11.7%	0 -	9 1.6%	100 19.0%	24 4.5%
(5) unimportant	87 2.0%	82 1.9%	17 1.6%	15 2.8%	23 2.1%	0 -	4 0.8%	19 3.6%	3 0.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2660 61.2%	2662 61.3%	682 63.7%	276 50.9%	606 54.8%	0 -	440 79.2%	218 41.4%	440 80.9%
Middle Box (3)	1180 27.1%	1180 27.2%	283 26.4%	181 33.3%	347 31.4%	0 -	102 18.4%	190 36.0%	77 14.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	508 11.7%	503 11.6%	106 9.9%	86 15.9%	152 13.7%	0 -	13 2.4%	119 22.6%	27 5.0%
Mean	2,26	2,25	2,16 BCEFG	2,48 AEFG	2,41 AEFG	-	1,78 ABCFG	2,71 ABCEG	1,94 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1251 22.3%	1251 22.4%	3 0.3%	4 0.8%	6 0.5%	1230 100.0%	0 -	1 0.2%	7 1.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Development of the infrastructure**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4338 77.5%	1069 99.5%	544 99.4%	1104 99.4%	0 -	556 100.0%	524 99.3%	542 98.3%
<b>Development of the infrastructure</b>									
(1) very important	1171 27.0%	1201 27.7%	281 26.3%	90 16.5%	276 25.0%	0 -	239 43.0%	156 29.7%	160 29.5%
(2) important	1744 40.2%	1777 41.0%	441 41.2%	209 38.4%	461 41.8%	0 -	221 39.8%	175 33.3%	271 50.0%
(3) rather important	1114 25.7%	1074 24.8%	277 25.9%	190 35.0%	291 26.4%	0 -	84 15.2%	138 26.2%	94 17.3%
(4) less important	273 6.3%	249 5.7%	62 5.8%	47 8.6%	67 6.0%	0 -	10 1.8%	49 9.3%	15 2.8%
(5) unimportant	39 0.9%	37 0.9%	8 0.8%	8 1.5%	9 0.8%	0 -	2 0.3%	7 1.4%	2 0.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2915 67.2%	2978 68.7%	722 67.5%	298 54.9%	737 66.8%	0 -	460 82.8%	331 63.1%	430 79.5%
Middle Box (3)	1114 25.7%	1074 24.8%	277 25.9%	190 35.0%	291 26.4%	0 -	84 15.2%	138 26.2%	94 17.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	312 7.2%	286 6.6%	70 6.6%	55 10.1%	76 6.9%	0 -	12 2.1%	56 10.7%	17 3.2%
Mean	2,14	2,11	2,14 BEG	2,40 ACEFG	2,16 BEG	-	1,77 ABCFG	2,19 BEG	1,95 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1258 22.5%	1259 22.5%	6 0.5%	3 0.6%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	0 -	4 0.7%	9 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Increase of international trade

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4335 77.4%	1069 99.5%	544 99.5%	1100 99.0%	0 -	555 99.7%	524 99.3%	543 98.5%
<b>Increase of international trade</b>									
(1) very important	839 19.3%	842 19.4%	192 18.0%	97 17.7%	145 13.1%	0 -	145 26.1%	88 16.7%	176 32.3%
(2) important	1629 37.5%	1679 38.7%	365 34.1%	229 42.0%	416 37.8%	0 -	226 40.7%	184 35.2%	260 48.0%
(3) rather important	1371 31.6%	1344 31.0%	350 32.8%	171 31.3%	409 37.2%	0 -	140 25.2%	187 35.6%	88 16.2%
(4) less important	394 9.1%	366 8.4%	123 11.5%	40 7.3%	98 8.9%	0 -	37 6.6%	51 9.7%	17 3.2%
(5) unimportant	106 2.4%	104 2.4%	39 3.6%	9 1.6%	33 3.0%	0 -	8 1.4%	15 2.8%	2 0.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2468 56.9%	2521 58.2%	557 52.1%	325 59.8%	560 50.9%	0 -	370 66.8%	272 51.9%	436 80.3%
Middle Box (3)	1371 31.6%	1344 31.0%	350 32.8%	171 31.3%	409 37.2%	0 -	140 25.2%	187 35.6%	88 16.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	500 11.5%	470 10.8%	162 15.1%	48 8.9%	131 11.9%	0 -	45 8.1%	65 12.4%	19 3.5%
Mean	2,38	2,36	2,49 BEG	2,33 ACEIG	2,51 BEG	-	2,17 ABCFG	2,47 bEG	1,91 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1260 22.5%	1262 22.6%	6 0.5%	3 0.5%	11 1.0%	1230 100.0%	1 0.3%	4 0.7%	8 1.5%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Acceleration of the ecological change**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4340 77.5%	1072 99.7%	545 99.6%	1103 99.3%	0 -	555 99.8%	523 99.0%	543 98.5%
<b>Acceleration of the ecological change</b>									
(1) very important	1192 27.4%	1181 27.2%	259 24.2%	143 26.2%	245 22.3%	0 -	219 39.5%	73 14.0%	241 44.4%
(2) important	1495 34.4%	1517 35.0%	393 36.7%	190 34.9%	383 34.7%	0 -	198 35.7%	142 27.2%	210 38.7%
(3) rather important	1218 28.0%	1202 27.7%	325 30.3%	168 30.9%	362 32.8%	0 -	109 19.7%	165 31.5%	73 13.4%
(4) less important	343 7.9%	343 7.9%	78 7.3%	32 5.9%	88 8.0%	0 -	18 3.3%	111 21.2%	16 3.0%
(5) unimportant	95 2.2%	97 2.2%	16 1.5%	12 2.1%	24 2.2%	0 -	11 1.9%	32 6.1%	3 0.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2687 61.9%	2697 62.2%	653 60.9%	333 61.1%	629 57.0%	0 -	417 75.1%	215 41.2%	451 83.1%
Middle Box (3)	1218 28.0%	1202 27.7%	325 30.3%	168 30.9%	362 32.8%	0 -	109 19.7%	165 31.5%	73 13.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	438 10.1%	441 10.2%	94 8.8%	44 8.0%	112 10.1%	0 -	29 5.2%	143 27.3%	19 3.5%
Mean	2,23	2,23	2,25 EFG	2,23 cEFG	2,33 bEFG	-	1,92 ABCFG	2,78 ABCEG	1,77 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1256 22.4%	1258 22.5%	3 0.3%	2 0.4%	8 0.7%	1230 100.0%	1 0.2%	5 1.0%	8 1.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Strengthening the European Union**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4342 77.5%	4340 77.5%	1067 99.3%	545 99.6%	1102 99.2%	0 -	555 99.9%	524 99.3%	546 99.1%
<b>Strengthening the European Union</b>									
(1) very important	869 20.0%	890 20.5%	195 18.3%	96 17.6%	231 21.0%	0 -	127 22.9%	61 11.6%	179 32.8%
(2) important	1276 29.4%	1273 29.3%	250 23.5%	174 31.8%	341 30.9%	0 -	147 26.4%	133 25.4%	229 41.9%
(3) rather important	1233 28.4%	1205 27.8%	277 26.0%	169 30.9%	315 28.6%	0 -	160 28.9%	175 33.3%	109 20.0%
(4) less important	628 14.5%	621 14.3%	190 17.8%	76 13.9%	138 12.5%	0 -	80 14.4%	120 22.9%	17 3.1%
(5) unimportant	336 7.7%	351 8.1%	154 14.4%	31 5.7%	78 7.1%	0 -	41 7.4%	35 6.8%	12 2.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2145 49.4%	2163 49.8%	446 41.8%	270 49.5%	572 51.9%	0 -	274 49.4%	194 37.0%	408 74.7%
Middle Box (3)	1233 28.4%	1205 27.8%	277 26.0%	169 30.9%	315 28.6%	0 -	160 28.9%	175 33.3%	109 20.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	964 22.2%	972 22.4%	344 32.3%	107 19.6%	215 19.5%	0 -	121 21.8%	156 29.7%	29 5.3%
Mean	2,61	2,60	2,87 BCEG	2,58 AFG	2,54 AFG	-	2,57 AFG	2,88 BCEG	2,00 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1257 22.5%	1257 22.5%	8 0.7%	2 0.4%	8 0.8%	1230 100.0%	1 0.1%	4 0.7%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Integration of migrants and refugees**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4336 77.4%	4332 77.4%	1065 99.1%	542 99.1%	1105 99.4%	0 -	555 99.8%	524 99.2%	542 98.3%
<b>Integration of migrants and refugees</b>									
(1) very important	1078 24.9%	1048 24.2%	276 26.0%	131 24.2%	233 21.1%	0 -	106 19.2%	182 34.7%	120 22.1%
(2) important	1316 30.4%	1328 30.7%	285 26.8%	170 31.3%	319 28.8%	0 -	160 28.8%	156 29.8%	239 44.0%
(3) rather important	960 22.1%	968 22.3%	202 18.9%	134 24.7%	273 24.7%	0 -	144 25.9%	110 21.0%	105 19.3%
(4) less important	502 11.6%	508 11.7%	134 12.6%	65 12.0%	126 11.4%	0 -	78 14.1%	47 9.0%	58 10.6%
(5) unimportant	480 11.1%	480 11.1%	168 15.7%	43 7.9%	154 14.0%	0 -	66 12.0%	29 5.5%	21 3.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2394 55.2%	2376 54.9%	562 52.7%	301 55.5%	552 49.9%	0 -	266 48.0%	338 64.5%	358 66.2%
Middle Box (3)	960 22.1%	968 22.3%	202 18.9%	134 24.7%	273 24.7%	0 -	144 25.9%	110 21.0%	105 19.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	982 22.6%	988 22.8%	302 28.3%	107 19.8%	280 25.3%	0 -	145 26.1%	76 14.5%	78 14.5%
Mean	2,54	2,55	2,65 bFG	2,48 aCEFG	2,68 BFG	-	2,71 BFG	2,21 ABCE	2,30 ABCE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1263 22.6%	1265 22.6%	10 0.9%	5 0.9%	6 0.6%	1230 100.0%	1 0.2%	4 0.8%	9 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Regulating migration**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4339 77.5%	1069 99.5%	543 99.2%	1102 99.2%	0 -	556 100.0%	525 99.4%	544 98.7%
<b>Regulating migration</b>									
(1) very important	786 18.1%	796 18.3%	60 5.6%	207 38.1%	50 4.5%	0 -	137 24.6%	153 29.2%	190 34.9%
(2) important	911 21.0%	896 20.6%	80 7.5%	167 30.8%	131 11.9%	0 -	142 25.5%	136 26.0%	239 44.0%
(3) rather important	933 21.5%	915 21.1%	173 16.2%	114 21.0%	262 23.8%	0 -	140 25.2%	157 29.9%	69 12.7%
(4) less important	856 19.7%	867 20.0%	318 29.8%	29 5.4%	339 30.7%	0 -	78 14.0%	66 12.6%	36 6.7%
(5) unimportant	855 19.7%	865 19.9%	438 40.9%	26 4.7%	320 29.0%	0 -	59 10.7%	12 2.3%	10 1.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1697 39.1%	1692 39.0%	140 13.1%	374 68.8%	181 16.4%	0 -	279 50.1%	289 55.2%	429 78.9%
Middle Box (3)	933 21.5%	915 21.1%	173 16.2%	114 21.0%	262 23.8%	0 -	140 25.2%	157 29.9%	69 12.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1711 39.4%	1731 39.9%	756 70.7%	55 10.2%	659 59.8%	0 -	137 24.7%	79 15.0%	46 8.5%
Mean	3,02	3,02	3,93 BCEFG	2,08 ACEF	3,68 ABEFG	-	2,61 ABCFG	2,33 ABCEG	1,97 ACEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1258 22.5%	1258 22.5%	6 0.5%	4 0.8%	8 0.8%	1230 100.0%	0 -	3 0.6%	7 1.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Strengthening the national identity**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4341 77.6%	1071 99.7%	545 99.6%	1103 99.3%	0 -	555 99.8%	524 99.2%	544 98.7%
<b>Strengthening the national identity</b>									
(1) very important	1166 26.8%	1216 28.0%	376 35.1%	115 21.0%	257 23.3%	0 -	188 33.9%	118 22.5%	162 29.8%
(2) important	1256 28.9%	1262 29.1%	302 28.2%	169 31.1%	317 28.8%	0 -	153 27.7%	130 24.9%	190 34.9%
(3) rather important	1134 26.1%	1107 25.5%	238 22.3%	141 25.9%	304 27.6%	0 -	133 24.0%	174 33.1%	117 21.5%
(4) less important	558 12.8%	537 12.4%	116 10.8%	84 15.5%	162 14.7%	0 -	59 10.7%	80 15.3%	35 6.5%
(5) unimportant	229 5.3%	219 5.0%	39 3.6%	36 6.6%	62 5.6%	0 -	21 3.8%	22 4.1%	40 7.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2422 55.8%	2478 57.1%	678 63.3%	284 52.1%	574 52.1%	0 -	341 61.6%	248 47.4%	352 64.7%
Middle Box (3)	1134 26.1%	1107 25.5%	238 22.3%	141 25.9%	304 27.6%	0 -	133 24.0%	174 33.1%	117 21.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	787 18.1%	756 17.4%	155 14.5%	120 22.0%	224 20.3%	0 -	80 14.4%	102 19.5%	75 13.8%
Mean	2,41	2,37	2,20 BCF	2,55 AEG	2,50 AEG	-	2,23 BCF	2,54 AEG	2,27 BCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	4 0.3%	2 0.4%	8 0.7%	1230 100.0%	1 0.2%	4 0.8%	7 1.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Supporting families**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4340 77.5%	1071 99.7%	544 99.5%	1104 99.4%	0 -	552 99.2%	526 99.7%	543 98.5%
<b>Supporting families</b>									
(1) very important	1994 45.9%	1978 45.6%	525 49.0%	165 30.3%	488 44.2%	0 -	311 56.3%	166 31.6%	323 59.5%
(2) important	1444 33.2%	1456 33.5%	348 32.5%	204 37.5%	386 35.0%	0 -	156 28.3%	176 33.5%	185 34.0%
(3) rather important	722 16.6%	721 16.6%	155 14.4%	139 25.6%	180 16.3%	0 -	74 13.4%	146 27.7%	27 5.0%
(4) less important	148 3.4%	150 3.5%	34 3.2%	34 6.2%	40 3.7%	0 -	9 1.7%	26 4.9%	7 1.3%
(5) unimportant	37 0.9%	36 0.8%	10 0.9%	2 0.4%	9 0.8%	0 -	2 0.3%	12 2.2%	1 0.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3438 79.1%	3433 79.1%	873 81.5%	370 67.9%	874 79.2%	0 -	467 84.6%	343 65.1%	507 93.4%
Middle Box (3)	722 16.6%	721 16.6%	155 14.4%	139 25.6%	180 16.3%	0 -	74 13.4%	146 27.7%	27 5.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	185 4.3%	186 4.3%	44 4.1%	36 6.6%	50 4.5%	0 -	11 2.0%	38 7.2%	8 1.5%
Mean	1,80	1,80	1,75 BEFG	2,09 ACEG	1,82 BEFG	-	1,61 ABCFG	2,13 ACEG	1,49 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1254 22.4%	1257 22.5%	4 0.3%	3 0.5%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	4 0.8%	2 0.3%	8 1.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4336 77.5%	1068 99.3%	546 99.8%	1098 98.8%	0 -	556 100.0%	524 99.3%	545 98.8%
<b>Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change</b>									
(1) very important	806 18.6%	782 18.0%	149 14.0%	77 14.2%	173 15.8%	0 -	168 30.1%	76 14.5%	138 25.3%
(2) important	1416 32.6%	1439 33.2%	290 27.2%	206 37.8%	341 31.1%	0 -	187 33.7%	148 28.3%	266 48.9%
(3) rather important	1356 31.3%	1359 31.3%	338 31.6%	195 35.7%	345 31.4%	0 -	150 27.0%	211 40.3%	120 22.1%
(4) less important	539 12.4%	518 12.0%	195 18.3%	59 10.8%	138 12.6%	0 -	37 6.7%	75 14.3%	13 2.4%
(5) unimportant	222 5.1%	238 5.5%	95 8.9%	8 1.5%	100 9.1%	0 -	14 2.5%	14 2.6%	7 1.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2222 51.2%	2221 51.2%	440 41.2%	284 52.0%	514 46.8%	0 -	355 63.9%	224 42.8%	404 74.2%
Middle Box (3)	1356 31.3%	1359 31.3%	338 31.6%	195 35.7%	345 31.4%	0 -	150 27.0%	211 40.3%	120 22.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	761 17.5%	756 17.4%	290 27.2%	67 12.3%	239 21.7%	0 -	51 9.1%	89 16.9%	20 3.7%
Mean	2,53	2,54	2,81 BcEFG	2,48 ACEFG	2,68 aBEG	-	2,18 ABCFg	2,62 AbEG	2,06 ABCeF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1260 22.5%	1261 22.5%	7 0.7%	1 0.2%	13 1.2%	1230 100.0%	0 -	4 0.7%	6 1.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about new technologies?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4352 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1069 99.5%	546 99.8%	1106 99.6%	0 -	555 99.8%	527 99.8%	546 99.1%
<b>Personal feeling towards new technologies</b>									
(1) very positive	1119 25.7%	1081 24.8%	297 27.8%	69 12.6%	228 20.6%	0 -	171 30.9%	99 18.9%	216 39.6%
(2)	558 12.8%	574 13.2%	118 11.0%	62 11.3%	149 13.5%	0 -	94 16.9%	66 12.5%	84 15.4%
(3)	741 17.0%	743 17.1%	167 15.6%	89 16.3%	186 16.8%	0 -	105 18.9%	102 19.4%	94 17.3%
(4)	453 10.4%	456 10.5%	117 10.9%	66 12.1%	120 10.8%	0 -	45 8.1%	61 11.7%	48 8.7%
(5)	299 6.9%	303 7.0%	48 4.5%	63 11.5%	72 6.5%	0 -	41 7.3%	45 8.6%	34 6.1%
(6)	872 20.0%	886 20.4%	276 25.9%	149 27.3%	294 26.5%	0 -	47 8.4%	80 15.2%	41 7.4%
(7)	120 2.8%	115 2.6%	9 0.9%	19 3.4%	25 2.3%	0 -	18 3.2%	27 5.1%	17 3.2%
(8)	93 2.1%	93 2.1%	10 0.9%	21 3.9%	10 0.9%	0 -	12 2.1%	33 6.3%	7 1.4%
(9)	55 1.3%	53 1.2%	13 1.2%	6 1.1%	10 0.9%	0 -	15 2.6%	6 1.1%	4 0.7%
(10)	14 0.3%	17 0.4%	6 0.6%	0 -	6 0.6%	0 -	1 0.1%	3 0.6%	0 -
(11) very negative	28 0.6%	30 0.7%	7 0.7%	2 0.5%	7 0.6%	0 -	8 1.4%	4 0.8%	1 0.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	3,57	3,59	3,56 BcEFG	4,24 ACEFG	3,77 aBEG	-	3,21 ABCFG	3,92 AbEG	2,74 ABCEF
missing	1247 22.3%	1248 22.3%	6 0.5%	1 0.2%	4 0.4%	1230 100.0%	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	5 0.9%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards new technologies in your country?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4359 77.9%	4358 77.9%	1071 99.6%	546 99.9%	1108 99.8%	0 -	556 100.0%	527 99.8%	550 99.8%
<b>Population's feeling towards new technologies</b>									
(1) very positive	332 7.6%	322 7.4%	44 4.2%	21 3.8%	47 4.3%	0 -	55 9.9%	52 10.0%	102 18.6%
(2)	308 7.1%	311 7.1%	59 5.5%	22 4.0%	72 6.5%	0 -	51 9.1%	41 7.8%	66 12.1%
(3)	682 15.6%	679 15.6%	150 14.0%	67 12.3%	170 15.4%	0 -	81 14.5%	102 19.3%	109 19.9%
(4)	782 17.9%	770 17.7%	169 15.8%	106 19.5%	229 20.6%	0 -	82 14.8%	84 16.0%	100 18.1%
(5)	611 14.0%	616 14.1%	134 12.5%	85 15.5%	150 13.6%	0 -	90 16.2%	80 15.2%	77 14.0%
(6)	1069 24.5%	1089 25.0%	337 31.4%	185 33.8%	317 28.6%	0 -	97 17.5%	100 19.1%	53 9.6%
(7)	283 6.5%	276 6.3%	95 8.8%	33 6.1%	66 6.0%	0 -	38 6.8%	27 5.1%	18 3.2%
(8)	169 3.9%	175 4.0%	58 5.4%	18 3.2%	36 3.2%	0 -	30 5.4%	21 4.0%	13 2.3%
(9)	80 1.8%	77 1.8%	18 1.7%	7 1.3%	17 1.5%	0 -	20 3.6%	11 2.1%	4 0.7%
(10)	15 0.3%	14 0.3%	4 0.4%	2 0.3%	1 0.0%	0 -	4 0.7%	3 0.6%	1 0.2%
(11) very negative	28 0.6%	28 0.6%	3 0.3%	1 0.2%	4 0.4%	0 -	8 1.4%	5 0.9%	7 1.4%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	4,61	4,62	4,99 CEFG	4,92 ceFG	4,71 AbFG	-	4,63 AbG	4,40 ABCG	3,67 ABCEF
missing	1240 22.1%	1240 22.1%	4 0.4%	1 0.1%	3 0.2%	1230 100.0%	0 -	1 0.2%	1 0.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about new technologies? - Groups

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4352 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1069 99.5%	546 99.8%	1106 99.6%	0 -	555 99.8%	527 99.8%	546 99.1%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity</b>									
(1) enthusiastic	1119 25.7%	1081 24.8%	297 27.8%	69 12.6%	228 20.6%	0 -	171 30.9%	99 18.9%	216 39.6%
(2) positive	1299 29.8%	1317 30.3%	285 26.7%	151 27.6%	335 30.3%	0 -	199 35.9%	168 31.9%	178 32.7%
(3) rather positive	752 17.3%	759 17.5%	165 15.5%	129 23.6%	192 17.3%	0 -	85 15.4%	107 20.2%	81 14.9%
(4) neutral	872 20.0%	886 20.4%	276 25.9%	149 27.3%	294 26.5%	0 -	47 8.4%	80 15.2%	41 7.4%
(5) negative	310 7.1%	307 7.1%	45 4.2%	49 8.9%	58 5.2%	0 -	53 9.5%	73 13.8%	30 5.4%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	2,53	2,55	2,52 BcEFG	2,92 ACEtG	2,66 aBEG	-	2,30 ABCFG	2,73 AbEG	2,06 ABCEF
missing	1247 22.3%	1248 22.3%	6 0.5%	1 0.2%	4 0.4%	1230 100.0%	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards new technologies in your country? - Groups

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4359 77.9%	4358 77.9%	1071 99.6%	546 99.9%	1108 99.8%	0 -	556 100.0%	527 99.8%	550 99.8%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity - Society</b>									
(1) enthusiastic	332 7.6%	322 7.4%	44 4.2%	21 3.8%	47 4.3%	0 -	55 9.9%	52 10.0%	102 18.6%
(2) positive	990 22.7%	991 22.7%	209 19.5%	89 16.3%	242 21.9%	0 -	132 23.7%	143 27.1%	176 32.0%
(3) rather positive	1393 32.0%	1386 31.8%	303 28.3%	191 35.0%	379 34.2%	0 -	173 31.0%	164 31.2%	176 32.1%
(4) neutral	1069 24.5%	1089 25.0%	337 31.4%	185 33.8%	317 28.6%	0 -	97 17.5%	100 19.1%	53 9.6%
(5) negative	575 13.2%	570 13.1%	178 16.6%	61 11.1%	123 11.1%	0 -	100 17.9%	66 12.6%	43 7.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	3,13	3,14	3,37 CEFG	3,32 cEFG	3,20 AbFG	-	3,10 ABG	2,97 ABCG	2,56 ABCEF
missing	1240 22.1%	1240 22.1%	4 0.4%	1 0.1%	3 0.2%	1230 100.0%	0 -	1 0.2%	1 0.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.

New technologies are very interesting to me.

New technologies are important to solve present problems.

New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.

New technologies should be regulated by laws.

New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

New technologies should be supported by public finances.

New technologies are used as often as possible by me.

New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.

New technologies are connected with health risks.

New technologies make me feel uneasy.

Country						
Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
1.99	2.26	2.04	-	1.93	2.18	1.98
2.29	2.44	2.37	-	1.91	2.26	1.98
2.36	2.40	2.33	-	2.00	2.06	2.02
2.36	2.55	2.35	-	2.35	2.64	2.81
2.43	2.46	2.47	-	2.11	2.39	2.09
2.47	2.27	2.39	-	2.20	2.33	2.52
2.49	2.81	2.47	-	2.19	2.34	2.44
2.54	2.66	2.62	-	2.03	2.45	2.04
2.75	2.57	2.83	-	2.43	2.66	2.70
3.08	2.90	3.02	-	2.81	3.00	2.80
3.47	2.96	3.32	-	3.64	3.02	3.52

1


1.5

2

2.5

3

3.5



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies are important to solve current problems.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4344 77.6%	4344 77.6%	1072 99.7%	543 99.4%	1108 99.8%	0 -	553 99.5%	522 98.8%	545 98.9%
<b>New technologies are important to solve present problems.</b>									
(1) completely agree	986 22.7%	1006 23.2%	233 21.8%	84 15.4%	234 21.1%	0 -	144 26.1%	168 32.3%	142 26.1%
(2) rather agree	1718 39.5%	1722 39.6%	360 33.6%	212 39.0%	405 36.5%	0 -	287 51.9%	185 35.5%	273 50.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1316 30.3%	1296 29.8%	369 34.4%	199 36.6%	372 33.5%	0 -	102 18.3%	143 27.4%	112 20.6%
(4) rather disagree	247 5.7%	243 5.6%	81 7.5%	42 7.8%	70 6.3%	0 -	18 3.2%	19 3.7%	14 2.5%
(5) completely disagree	77 1.8%	77 1.8%	29 2.7%	7 1.2%	28 2.5%	0 -	3 0.5%	7 1.3%	4 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2704 62.2%	2728 62.8%	593 55.4%	296 54.4%	639 57.6%	0 -	431 78.0%	353 67.7%	415 76.2%
Middle Box (3)	1316 30.3%	1296 29.8%	369 34.4%	199 36.6%	372 33.5%	0 -	102 18.3%	143 27.4%	112 20.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	324 7.5%	320 7.4%	109 10.2%	49 9.0%	98 8.9%	0 -	20 3.7%	26 4.9%	17 3.2%
Mean	2,24	2,23	2,36 EFG	2,40 EFG	2,33 EFG	-	2,00 ABC	2,06 ABC	2,02 ABC
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1255 22.4%	1253 22.4%	3 0.3%	4 0.6%	2 0.2%	1230 100.0%	3 0.5%	6 1.2%	6 1.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies have a positive impact on the economic development.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4348 77.7%	4348 77.7%	1070 99.5%	543 99.3%	1110 99.9%	0 -	554 99.6%	526 99.7%	545 98.9%
<b>New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1243 28.6%	1262 29.0%	361 33.8%	89 16.3%	333 30.0%	0 -	182 32.8%	135 25.6%	163 29.8%
(2) rather agree	1814 41.7%	1819 41.8%	408 38.2%	256 47.1%	451 40.6%	0 -	253 45.8%	190 36.2%	260 47.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1108 25.5%	1092 25.1%	261 24.4%	176 32.3%	282 25.4%	0 -	99 17.8%	179 34.1%	95 17.4%
(4) rather disagree	139 3.2%	133 3.1%	26 2.4%	17 3.1%	33 3.0%	0 -	15 2.7%	17 3.2%	26 4.7%
(5) completely disagree	44 1.0%	42 1.0%	13 1.2%	6 1.2%	11 1.0%	0 -	5 0.9%	5 0.9%	2 0.4%
Top Box (1+2)	3057 70.3%	3081 70.9%	769 71.9%	344 63.4%	784 70.7%	0 -	435 78.6%	325 61.7%	423 77.6%
Middle Box (3)	1108 25.5%	1092 25.1%	261 24.4%	176 32.3%	282 25.4%	0 -	99 17.8%	179 34.1%	95 17.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	183 4.2%	175 4.0%	39 3.6%	23 4.3%	44 4.0%	0 -	20 3.6%	22 4.2%	28 5.1%
Mean	2,06	2,05	1,99 BF	2,26 ACEG	2,04 BeF	-	1,93 BcF	2,18 ACEG	1,98 BF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1251 22.3%	1250 22.3%	5 0.5%	4 0.7%	1 0.1%	1230 100.0%	2 0.4%	2 0.3%	6 1.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies help to protect the environment and to reverse environmental damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1070 99.6%	542 99.1%	1110 100.0%	0 -	554 99.7%	527 99.8%	545 98.9%
<b>New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>									
(1) completely agree	875 20.1%	893 20.5%	216 20.2%	117 21.6%	230 20.7%	0 -	128 23.1%	107 20.3%	94 17.3%
(2) rather agree	1450 33.3%	1469 33.8%	299 27.9%	214 39.5%	352 31.7%	0 -	241 43.5%	184 34.9%	179 32.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1567 36.0%	1545 35.5%	431 40.3%	171 31.6%	416 37.5%	0 -	142 25.6%	195 37.1%	190 34.8%
(4) rather disagree	342 7.9%	331 7.6%	90 8.4%	30 5.6%	89 8.0%	0 -	30 5.4%	33 6.2%	60 11.0%
(5) completely disagree	116 2.7%	111 2.5%	35 3.2%	10 1.8%	23 2.1%	0 -	13 2.3%	8 1.4%	22 4.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2325 53.4%	2362 54.3%	515 48.1%	331 61.1%	582 52.5%	0 -	369 66.6%	291 55.3%	273 50.1%
Middle Box (3)	1567 36.0%	1545 35.5%	431 40.3%	171 31.6%	416 37.5%	0 -	142 25.6%	195 37.1%	190 34.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	458 10.5%	442 10.2%	124 11.6%	40 7.4%	112 10.1%	0 -	43 7.8%	40 7.6%	82 15.1%
Mean	2,40	2,38	2,47 BEf	2,27 AcG	2,39 bEg	-	2,20 ACfG	2,33 aeG	2,52 BcEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1249 22.3%	1249 22.3%	5 0.4%	5 0.9%	1 0.0%	1230 100.0%	2 0.3%	1 0.2%	6 1.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4348 77.7%	1072 99.7%	546 99.8%	1109 99.9%	0 -	552 99.4%	523 99.0%	546 99.1%
<b>New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.</b>									
(1) completely agree	620 14.3%	637 14.7%	162 15.1%	70 12.9%	145 13.1%	0 -	100 18.0%	78 15.0%	82 15.0%
(2) rather agree	1135 26.1%	1168 26.9%	246 22.9%	189 34.7%	232 20.9%	0 -	218 39.5%	134 25.6%	149 27.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1700 39.1%	1681 38.7%	434 40.5%	205 37.6%	473 42.7%	0 -	154 27.9%	220 42.0%	195 35.7%
(4) rather disagree	660 15.2%	633 14.6%	164 15.3%	68 12.4%	180 16.2%	0 -	60 10.9%	70 13.5%	91 16.7%
(5) completely disagree	235 5.4%	228 5.3%	67 6.2%	13 2.5%	79 7.1%	0 -	20 3.6%	21 4.0%	29 5.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1755 40.3%	1805 41.5%	408 38.0%	260 47.6%	378 34.0%	0 -	318 57.6%	212 40.5%	231 42.3%
Middle Box (3)	1700 39.1%	1681 38.7%	434 40.5%	205 37.6%	473 42.7%	0 -	154 27.9%	220 42.0%	195 35.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	895 20.6%	862 19.8%	230 21.5%	81 14.8%	259 23.3%	0 -	80 14.5%	91 17.4%	120 22.0%
Mean	2,71	2,69	2,75 BE	2,57 ACeg	2,83 BEFg	-	2,43 AbCFG	2,66 CE	2,70 bcE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1249 22.3%	1250 22.3%	3 0.3%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	1230 100.0%	4 0.6%	5 1.0%	5 0.9%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies are connected with health risks.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4342 77.6%	1067 99.3%	545 99.5%	1106 99.6%	0 -	555 99.9%	523 99.0%	546 99.1%
<b>New technologies are connected with health risks.</b>									
(1) completely agree	326 7.5%	316 7.3%	65 6.1%	34 6.3%	71 6.5%	0 -	58 10.4%	29 5.5%	59 10.9%
(2) rather agree	892 20.5%	864 19.9%	166 15.6%	114 20.8%	176 15.9%	0 -	157 28.3%	108 20.6%	143 26.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2006 46.2%	2033 46.8%	515 48.3%	280 51.3%	563 50.9%	0 -	208 37.5%	249 47.6%	218 40.0%
(4) rather disagree	913 21.0%	924 21.3%	262 24.6%	106 19.4%	246 22.2%	0 -	101 18.1%	111 21.3%	98 18.0%
(5) completely disagree	206 4.7%	204 4.7%	58 5.5%	12 2.1%	50 4.5%	0 -	32 5.7%	26 4.9%	26 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1218 28.0%	1180 27.2%	231 21.7%	148 27.1%	248 22.4%	0 -	215 38.7%	137 26.1%	203 37.1%
Middle Box (3)	2006 46.2%	2033 46.8%	515 48.3%	280 51.3%	563 50.9%	0 -	208 37.5%	249 47.6%	218 40.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1119 25.8%	1128 26.0%	321 30.1%	117 21.5%	295 26.7%	0 -	132 23.8%	137 26.3%	125 22.9%
Mean	2,95	2,96	3,08 BEG	2,90 AC	3,02 BEG	-	2,81 ACF	3,00 EG	2,80 ACF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	8 0.7%	2 0.5%	4 0.4%	1230 100.0%	1 0.1%	5 1.0%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1071 99.6%	542 99.1%	1111 100.0%	0 -	553 99.5%	526 99.7%	546 99.1%
<b>New technologies should be supported by public finances.</b>									
(1) completely agree	764 17.6%	793 18.2%	193 18.0%	43 7.9%	212 19.1%	0 -	136 24.6%	108 20.5%	100 18.4%
(2) rather agree	1406 32.3%	1406 32.3%	330 30.8%	153 28.3%	322 29.0%	0 -	238 43.0%	178 33.8%	185 33.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1654 38.0%	1635 37.6%	413 38.5%	243 44.7%	447 40.3%	0 -	134 24.3%	200 38.0%	198 36.2%
(4) rather disagree	390 9.0%	385 8.9%	101 9.5%	73 13.4%	100 9.0%	0 -	28 5.0%	34 6.4%	49 9.0%
(5) completely disagree	136 3.1%	131 3.0%	34 3.2%	31 5.7%	28 2.5%	0 -	17 3.1%	7 1.3%	14 2.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2170 49.9%	2198 50.5%	523 48.8%	196 36.2%	535 48.2%	0 -	374 67.6%	286 54.3%	285 52.2%
Middle Box (3)	1654 38.0%	1635 37.6%	413 38.5%	243 44.7%	447 40.3%	0 -	134 24.3%	200 38.0%	198 36.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	526 12.1%	516 11.9%	135 12.7%	104 19.1%	128 11.6%	0 -	45 8.1%	40 7.7%	63 11.6%
Mean	2,48	2,46	2,49 BEF	2,81 ACEFG	2,47 BEf	-	2,19 ABCFG	2,34 ABcE	2,44 BE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1249 22.3%	1248 22.3%	4 0.4%	5 0.9%	0 -	1230 100.0%	3 0.5%	2 0.3%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies should be regulated by law.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4341 77.6%	1066 99.2%	546 99.8%	1109 99.8%	0 -	554 99.6%	523 99.1%	544 98.7%
<b>New technologies should be regulated by laws.</b>									
(1) completely agree	872 20.1%	900 20.7%	220 20.6%	81 14.9%	216 19.4%	0 -	132 23.8%	102 19.6%	149 27.3%
(2) rather agree	1462 33.7%	1485 34.2%	336 31.5%	188 34.4%	314 28.3%	0 -	263 47.4%	154 29.4%	231 42.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1599 36.8%	1564 36.0%	380 35.6%	231 42.2%	452 40.7%	0 -	132 23.8%	233 44.6%	137 25.2%
(4) rather disagree	307 7.1%	293 6.8%	94 8.8%	39 7.1%	93 8.4%	0 -	22 3.9%	25 4.8%	21 3.9%
(5) completely disagree	103 2.4%	99 2.3%	37 3.5%	8 1.4%	35 3.2%	0 -	6 1.0%	8 1.6%	6 1.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2334 53.7%	2384 54.9%	556 52.1%	269 49.2%	530 47.8%	0 -	394 71.2%	256 48.9%	380 69.8%
Middle Box (3)	1599 36.8%	1564 36.0%	380 35.6%	231 42.2%	452 40.7%	0 -	132 23.8%	233 44.6%	137 25.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	410 9.4%	393 9.0%	131 12.3%	46 8.5%	128 11.5%	0 -	27 4.9%	34 6.4%	27 4.9%
Mean	2,38	2,36	2,43 EG	2,46 EG	2,47 EG	-	2,11 ABCF	2,39 EG	2,09 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	9 0.8%	1 0.2%	2 0.2%	1230 100.0%	2 0.4%	5 0.9%	7 1.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies interest me a lot.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4338 77.5%	1071 99.6%	541 99.0%	1106 99.6%	0 -	555 99.9%	523 99.1%	542 98.3%
<b>New technologies are very interesting to me.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1177 27.1%	1149 26.5%	304 28.4%	90 16.7%	262 23.7%	0 -	196 35.3%	129 24.6%	168 31.0%
(2) rather agree	1518 35.0%	1534 35.4%	309 28.9%	198 36.5%	340 30.7%	0 -	250 45.0%	199 38.1%	239 44.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1201 27.7%	1215 28.0%	325 30.3%	191 35.3%	359 32.4%	0 -	80 14.5%	144 27.5%	116 21.4%
(4) rather disagree	349 8.0%	345 8.0%	104 9.7%	50 9.2%	118 10.7%	0 -	22 3.9%	34 6.5%	17 3.0%
(5) completely disagree	94 2.2%	95 2.2%	29 2.7%	12 2.3%	27 2.4%	0 -	7 1.3%	17 3.3%	3 0.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2695 62.1%	2683 61.9%	613 57.2%	288 53.2%	602 54.4%	0 -	446 80.3%	328 62.7%	406 75.0%
Middle Box (3)	1201 27.7%	1215 28.0%	325 30.3%	191 35.3%	359 32.4%	0 -	80 14.5%	144 27.5%	116 21.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	443 10.2%	440 10.1%	133 12.4%	62 11.4%	145 13.1%	0 -	29 5.2%	51 9.8%	19 3.6%
Mean	2,23	2,24	2,29 BEG	2,44 AEFG	2,37 EFG	-	1,91 ABCF	2,26 BcEG	1,98 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1260 22.5%	1259 22.5%	4 0.4%	6 1.0%	5 0.4%	1230 100.0%	1 0.1%	5 0.9%	9 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies I use as often as possible.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4340 77.5%	1068 99.4%	543 99.2%	1106 99.6%	0 -	556 100.0%	523 99.1%	544 98.7%
<b>New technologies are used as often as possible by me.</b>									
(1) completely agree	799 18.4%	771 17.8%	185 17.3%	49 9.0%	153 13.8%	0 -	153 27.5%	83 15.9%	148 27.2%
(2) rather agree	1508 34.7%	1507 34.7%	312 29.2%	175 32.2%	318 28.7%	0 -	264 47.6%	186 35.6%	252 46.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1531 35.3%	1556 35.8%	411 38.4%	241 44.5%	464 41.9%	0 -	113 20.4%	201 38.4%	126 23.1%
(4) rather disagree	404 9.3%	404 9.3%	125 11.7%	64 11.9%	143 13.0%	0 -	18 3.2%	41 7.8%	12 2.3%
(5) completely disagree	99 2.3%	103 2.4%	35 3.3%	13 2.4%	29 2.6%	0 -	8 1.4%	13 2.4%	6 1.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2307 53.1%	2278 52.5%	497 46.6%	224 41.3%	471 42.5%	0 -	417 75.0%	269 51.5%	399 73.4%
Middle Box (3)	1531 35.3%	1556 35.8%	411 38.4%	241 44.5%	464 41.9%	0 -	113 20.4%	201 38.4%	126 23.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	503 11.6%	507 11.7%	160 15.0%	77 14.2%	172 15.6%	0 -	26 4.6%	53 10.1%	19 3.4%
Mean	2,42	2,44	2,54 bEG	2,66 aEFG	2,62 EFG	-	2,03 ABCF	2,45 BCEG	2,04 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1258 22.5%	1257 22.5%	7 0.6%	4 0.8%	4 0.4%	1230 100.0%	0 -	5 0.9%	7 1.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies make me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4330 77.3%	4326 77.3%	1064 99.0%	542 99.0%	1108 99.7%	0 -	552 99.4%	522 98.9%	538 97.7%
<b>New technologies make me feel uneasy.</b>									
(1) completely agree	251 5.8%	250 5.8%	51 4.8%	44 8.1%	70 6.3%	0 -	29 5.3%	38 7.2%	18 3.3%
(2) rather agree	616 14.2%	620 14.3%	105 9.9%	124 22.8%	142 12.8%	0 -	70 12.7%	120 23.0%	59 10.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1523 35.2%	1536 35.5%	397 37.3%	213 39.3%	423 38.2%	0 -	114 20.7%	209 40.0%	179 33.3%
(4) rather disagree	1244 28.7%	1246 28.8%	314 29.5%	131 24.1%	310 28.0%	0 -	196 35.5%	105 20.1%	190 35.3%
(5) completely disagree	696 16.1%	675 15.6%	196 18.4%	31 5.7%	162 14.6%	0 -	143 25.9%	51 9.7%	92 17.2%
Top Box (1+2)	867 20.0%	870 20.1%	156 14.7%	168 30.9%	213 19.2%	0 -	99 18.0%	158 30.2%	76 14.2%
Middle Box (3)	1523 35.2%	1536 35.5%	397 37.3%	213 39.3%	423 38.2%	0 -	114 20.7%	209 40.0%	179 33.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1940 44.8%	1921 44.4%	511 48.0%	161 29.8%	472 42.6%	0 -	339 61.3%	156 29.8%	283 52.5%
Mean	3,35	3,34	3,47 BCEF	2,96 ACEG	3,32 ABEFG	-	3,64 ABCF	3,02 ACEG	3,52 BCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1269 22.7%	1271 22.7%	11 1.0%	5 1.0%	3 0.3%	1230 100.0%	4 0.6%	6 1.1%	13 2.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies mainly benefit large companies.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4347 77.6%	4346 77.6%	1073 99.8%	544 99.5%	1110 100.0%	0 -	555 99.9%	523 99.0%	541 98.2%
<b>New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.</b>									
(1) completely agree	764 17.6%	776 17.8%	220 20.5%	72 13.3%	247 22.3%	0 -	96 17.3%	67 12.8%	74 13.7%
(2) rather agree	1382 31.8%	1377 31.7%	365 34.0%	176 32.3%	348 31.3%	0 -	214 38.6%	139 26.6%	136 25.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1690 38.9%	1686 38.8%	402 37.5%	231 42.5%	420 37.8%	0 -	206 37.2%	247 47.2%	179 33.1%
(4) rather disagree	398 9.2%	399 9.2%	56 5.2%	54 10.0%	72 6.5%	0 -	35 6.2%	57 11.0%	124 22.9%
(5) completely disagree	113 2.6%	110 2.5%	30 2.8%	11 2.0%	23 2.1%	0 -	4 0.8%	13 2.4%	28 5.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2146 49.4%	2152 49.5%	584 54.5%	248 45.5%	595 53.6%	0 -	310 55.8%	206 39.4%	210 38.8%
Middle Box (3)	1690 38.9%	1686 38.8%	402 37.5%	231 42.5%	420 37.8%	0 -	206 37.2%	247 47.2%	179 33.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	511 11.8%	508 11.7%	86 8.0%	65 12.0%	95 8.6%	0 -	39 7.0%	70 13.4%	152 28.1%
Mean	2,47	2,47	2,36 BFG	2,55 ACEG	2,35 BFG	-	2,35 BFG	2,64 ACEG	2,81 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1252 22.4%	1251 22.4%	2 0.2%	3 0.5%	1 0.0%	1230 100.0%	1 0.1%	5 1.0%	10 1.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Solar energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Solar energy</b>									
(1) very much	1307 29.9%	1325 30.3%	355 33.0%	257 47.0%	270 24.3%	0 -	192 34.5%	95 17.9%	156 28.3%
(2) rather much	1868 42.8%	1893 43.4%	487 45.3%	194 35.5%	534 48.1%	0 -	251 45.2%	220 41.7%	207 37.6%
(3) rather little	869 19.9%	843 19.3%	178 16.5%	74 13.5%	229 20.6%	0 -	86 15.4%	136 25.8%	141 25.5%
(4) very little	229 5.2%	214 4.9%	33 3.1%	16 3.0%	59 5.3%	0 -	17 3.0%	57 10.8%	32 5.7%
(5) nothing at all	95 2.2%	92 2.1%	22 2.0%	5 1.0%	18 1.6%	0 -	11 1.9%	21 3.9%	16 2.9%
Top Box (1+2)	3175 72.7%	3218 73.7%	842 78.4%	451 82.5%	804 72.4%	0 -	443 79.7%	315 59.6%	363 65.9%
Middle Box (3)	869 19.9%	843 19.3%	178 16.5%	74 13.5%	229 20.6%	0 -	86 15.4%	136 25.8%	141 25.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	324 7.4%	306 7.0%	55 5.1%	22 4.0%	77 7.0%	0 -	27 4.9%	77 14.7%	47 8.6%
Mean	2,07	2,05	1,96 BCFG	1,75 ACEFG	2,12 ABEF	-	1,93 BCFG	2,41 ABCEG	2,17 ABEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - IT and computer technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: IT and computer technology</b>									
(1) very much	1133 25.9%	1098 25.1%	281 26.2%	190 34.7%	194 17.4%	0 -	159 28.6%	116 22.0%	159 28.8%
(2) rather much	1787 40.9%	1819 41.7%	437 40.7%	214 39.1%	464 41.8%	0 -	277 49.7%	216 41.0%	211 38.3%
(3) rather little	1024 23.4%	1025 23.5%	257 23.9%	109 20.0%	322 29.0%	0 -	86 15.5%	118 22.4%	131 23.8%
(4) very little	298 6.8%	296 6.8%	68 6.3%	21 3.9%	97 8.7%	0 -	23 4.2%	54 10.2%	33 6.0%
(5) nothing at all	126 2.9%	130 3.0%	31 2.9%	12 2.3%	34 3.1%	0 -	11 2.1%	23 4.4%	17 3.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2920 66.8%	2917 66.8%	718 66.8%	404 73.8%	657 59.2%	0 -	435 78.3%	333 63.0%	370 67.1%
Middle Box (3)	1024 23.4%	1025 23.5%	257 23.9%	109 20.0%	322 29.0%	0 -	86 15.5%	118 22.4%	131 23.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	424 9.7%	425 9.7%	99 9.2%	34 6.2%	131 11.8%	0 -	35 6.2%	77 14.6%	50 9.1%
Mean	2,20	2,21	2,19 BCEF	2,00 ACFG	2,38 ABEG	-	2,01 ACFG	2,34 ABEG	2,16 BCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology</b>									
(1) very much	411 9.4%	408 9.3%	95 8.9%	62 11.3%	71 6.4%	0 -	66 11.8%	43 8.1%	71 12.9%
(2) rather much	1114 25.5%	1113 25.5%	266 24.8%	148 27.1%	264 23.7%	0 -	175 31.5%	154 29.2%	105 19.1%
(3) rather little	1638 37.5%	1650 37.8%	402 37.4%	207 37.9%	478 43.1%	0 -	197 35.5%	183 34.8%	181 32.9%
(4) very little	734 16.8%	726 16.6%	195 18.1%	80 14.6%	188 16.9%	0 -	65 11.8%	93 17.7%	105 19.0%
(5) nothing at all	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	116 10.8%	50 9.1%	110 9.9%	0 -	53 9.5%	54 10.1%	88 16.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1525 34.9%	1521 34.8%	362 33.6%	210 38.4%	335 30.1%	0 -	241 43.3%	197 37.4%	177 32.1%
Middle Box (3)	1638 37.5%	1650 37.8%	402 37.4%	207 37.9%	478 43.1%	0 -	197 35.5%	183 34.8%	181 32.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1205 27.6%	1197 27.4%	311 28.9%	130 23.7%	298 26.8%	0 -	118 21.3%	147 27.9%	193 35.0%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,97 bE	2,83 aCG	3,00 BE	-	2,76 ACfG	2,92 e	3,06 BE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology Groups</b>									
(1) high awareness	1525 34.9%	1521 34.8%	362 33.6%	210 38.4%	335 30.1%	0 -	241 43.3%	197 37.4%	177 32.1%
(2) low awareness	2372 54.3%	2376 54.4%	597 55.5%	287 52.5%	666 60.0%	0 -	262 47.2%	277 52.5%	286 51.9%
(3) no awareness	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	116 10.8%	50 9.1%	110 9.9%	0 -	53 9.5%	54 10.1%	88 16.0%
Top Box (1+2)	3897 89.2%	3896 89.2%	959 89.2%	497 90.9%	1000 90.1%	0 -	503 90.5%	474 89.9%	463 84.0%
Middle Box (3)	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	116 10.8%	50 9.1%	110 9.9%	0 -	53 9.5%	54 10.1%	88 16.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,76	1,76	1,77 Eg	1,71 CG	1,80 BEf	-	1,66 ACG	1,73 cG	1,84 aBEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nuclear energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nuclear energy</b>									
(1) very much	666 15.2%	683 15.6%	150 14.0%	193 35.3%	87 7.8%	0 -	97 17.5%	33 6.2%	123 22.3%
(2) rather much	1276 29.2%	1278 29.3%	266 24.7%	204 37.3%	316 28.5%	0 -	250 45.0%	95 18.0%	147 26.6%
(3) rather little	1452 33.2%	1469 33.6%	403 37.5%	118 21.5%	450 40.5%	0 -	143 25.7%	181 34.3%	175 31.7%
(4) very little	619 14.2%	598 13.7%	173 16.1%	26 4.7%	171 15.4%	0 -	43 7.7%	126 23.8%	60 10.9%
(5) nothing at all	355 8.1%	339 7.8%	83 7.7%	6 1.2%	87 7.8%	0 -	23 4.1%	93 17.7%	47 8.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1942 44.5%	1960 44.9%	416 38.7%	397 72.6%	403 36.3%	0 -	347 62.5%	128 24.2%	269 48.9%
Middle Box (3)	1452 33.2%	1469 33.6%	403 37.5%	118 21.5%	450 40.5%	0 -	143 25.7%	181 34.3%	175 31.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	974 22.3%	938 21.5%	256 23.8%	32 5.9%	258 23.2%	0 -	66 11.8%	219 41.5%	107 19.4%
Mean	2,71	2,69	2,79 BEFG	1,99 ACEFG	2,87 BEFG	-	2,36 ABCFG	3,29 ABCEG	2,57 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Robot technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Robot technology</b>									
(1) very much	642 14.7%	631 14.5%	139 12.9%	126 23.0%	108 9.7%	0 -	87 15.7%	68 13.0%	103 18.7%
(2) rather much	1586 36.3%	1590 36.4%	355 33.1%	206 37.6%	385 34.7%	0 -	256 46.0%	218 41.3%	171 31.0%
(3) rather little	1438 32.9%	1442 33.0%	384 35.7%	154 28.2%	429 38.6%	0 -	149 26.7%	151 28.6%	176 31.9%
(4) very little	465 10.6%	463 10.6%	125 11.6%	44 8.1%	128 11.5%	0 -	41 7.4%	58 11.1%	65 11.9%
(5) nothing at all	237 5.4%	241 5.5%	71 6.6%	17 3.1%	60 5.4%	0 -	23 4.2%	32 6.1%	36 6.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2228 51.0%	2222 50.9%	494 46.0%	331 60.5%	493 44.4%	0 -	343 61.7%	286 54.2%	274 49.7%
Middle Box (3)	1438 32.9%	1442 33.0%	384 35.7%	154 28.2%	429 38.6%	0 -	149 26.7%	151 28.6%	176 31.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	702 16.1%	704 16.1%	197 18.3%	61 11.2%	188 17.0%	0 -	65 11.6%	91 17.2%	102 18.5%
Mean	2,56	2,56	2,66 BE	2,31 ACFG	2,68 BEfg	-	2,38 ACFG	2,56 BcE	2,57 BcE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Wind energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Wind energy</b>									
(1) very much	1094 25.0%	1125 25.8%	288 26.8%	227 41.5%	252 22.7%	0 -	136 24.5%	89 16.9%	133 24.1%
(2) rather much	1783 40.8%	1811 41.5%	456 42.4%	201 36.7%	482 43.4%	0 -	259 46.6%	224 42.4%	189 34.3%
(3) rather little	1056 24.2%	1027 23.5%	238 22.2%	92 16.9%	288 25.9%	0 -	117 21.1%	132 25.0%	159 28.9%
(4) very little	295 6.8%	274 6.3%	61 5.6%	19 3.5%	68 6.2%	0 -	27 4.9%	53 10.1%	45 8.1%
(5) nothing at all	140 3.2%	131 3.0%	32 3.0%	7 1.4%	21 1.9%	0 -	16 2.9%	30 5.6%	26 4.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2877 65.9%	2936 67.2%	744 69.2%	428 78.3%	734 66.1%	0 -	395 71.1%	313 59.3%	321 58.3%
Middle Box (3)	1056 24.2%	1027 23.5%	238 22.2%	92 16.9%	288 25.9%	0 -	117 21.1%	132 25.0%	159 28.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	435 10.0%	405 9.3%	92 8.6%	27 4.9%	89 8.0%	0 -	43 7.8%	83 15.7%	71 12.8%
Mean	2,22	2,19	2,16 BFG	1,86 ACEFG	2,21 BFG	-	2,15 BFG	2,45 ABCE	2,35 ABCE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Genetic engineering


Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1075 100.0%	547 100.0%	1111 100.0%	0 -	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Genetic Engineering</b>									
(1) very much	645 14.8%	650 14.9%	186 17.3%	112 20.4%	132 11.9%	0 -	64 11.6%	77 14.6%	79 14.3%
(2) rather much	1476 33.8%	1498 34.3%	366 34.1%	213 38.9%	392 35.3%	0 -	179 32.2%	210 39.7%	138 25.0%
(3) rather little	1495 34.2%	1501 34.4%	373 34.7%	154 28.1%	428 38.5%	0 -	206 37.1%	148 28.1%	192 34.8%
(4) very little	496 11.4%	476 10.9%	103 9.6%	50 9.2%	114 10.3%	0 -	73 13.1%	59 11.1%	77 14.0%
(5) nothing at all	256 5.9%	243 5.6%	47 4.3%	19 3.4%	44 4.0%	0 -	34 6.0%	34 6.5%	66 11.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2121 48.6%	2148 49.2%	552 51.4%	325 59.4%	525 47.2%	0 -	244 43.8%	287 54.3%	216 39.3%
Middle Box (3)	1495 34.2%	1501 34.4%	373 34.7%	154 28.1%	428 38.5%	0 -	206 37.1%	148 28.1%	192 34.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	752 17.2%	719 16.5%	150 13.9%	69 12.6%	158 14.3%	0 -	106 19.1%	93 17.6%	143 25.9%
Mean	2,60	2,58	2,50 bcEG	2,36 aCEFG	2,59 aBeG	-	2,70 ABcG	2,55 BeG	2,84 ABCeF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1230 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Solar energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4025 71.9%	4042 72.2%	1017 94.6%	520 95.0%	1030 92.7%	0 -	526 94.6%	449 85.1%	500 90.8%
<b>Effects for the future: Solar energy</b>									
(1) very positive effect	1952 48.5%	1962 48.5%	574 56.4%	179 34.5%	435 42.3%	0 -	271 51.6%	173 38.4%	329 65.9%
(2)	636 15.8%	663 16.4%	170 16.7%	77 14.9%	191 18.6%	0 -	88 16.8%	78 17.3%	58 11.7%
(3)	535 13.3%	545 13.5%	126 12.4%	78 15.1%	147 14.3%	0 -	82 15.7%	72 16.0%	40 7.9%
(4)	285 7.1%	282 7.0%	50 4.9%	57 11.0%	76 7.3%	0 -	30 5.8%	44 9.8%	25 5.1%
(5)	181 4.5%	173 4.3%	15 1.5%	40 7.6%	44 4.3%	0 -	21 4.1%	35 7.7%	19 3.7%
(6)	323 8.0%	311 7.7%	69 6.8%	67 12.8%	111 10.8%	0 -	20 3.7%	26 5.7%	19 3.7%
(7)	51 1.3%	47 1.2%	9 0.9%	10 1.9%	11 1.1%	0 -	3 0.5%	14 3.1%	1 0.2%
(8)	30 0.7%	30 0.7%	2 0.2%	7 1.3%	7 0.6%	0 -	5 0.9%	6 1.3%	4 0.9%
(9)	18 0.4%	16 0.4%	0 -	3 0.7%	3 0.3%	0 -	5 0.9%	2 0.5%	2 0.5%
(10)	2 0.0%	2 0.0%	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(11) very negative effect	12 0.3%	10 0.3%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	4 0.4%	0 -	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	2 0.5%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	2,42	2,38	2,05 BCF	3,01 ACEfG	2,58 ABEG	-	2,18 BCFg	2,71 AbEG	1,92 BCeF
missing	1574 28.1%	1556 27.8%	58 5.4%	27 5.0%	81 7.3%	1230 100.0%	30 5.4%	79 14.9%	51 9.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - IT and computer technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3921 70.0%	3917 70.0%	971 90.3%	509 93.1%	974 87.7%	0 -	516 92.8%	451 85.4%	496 90.1%
<b>Effects for the future: IT and computer technology</b>									
(1) very positive effect	999 25.5%	1008 25.7%	252 26.0%	81 16.0%	223 22.9%	0 -	141 27.4%	92 20.4%	217 43.8%
(2)	666 17.0%	702 17.9%	170 17.5%	81 15.9%	173 17.8%	0 -	108 21.0%	77 17.0%	92 18.6%
(3)	733 18.7%	735 18.8%	194 20.0%	99 19.4%	178 18.2%	0 -	114 22.1%	87 19.2%	64 12.8%
(4)	489 12.5%	490 12.5%	115 11.9%	79 15.4%	119 12.2%	0 -	60 11.6%	68 15.0%	50 10.0%
(5)	312 8.0%	301 7.7%	51 5.2%	58 11.4%	70 7.2%	0 -	42 8.2%	53 11.8%	28 5.6%
(6)	487 12.4%	463 11.8%	127 13.0%	82 16.1%	164 16.8%	0 -	32 6.2%	33 7.3%	26 5.3%
(7)	114 2.9%	102 2.6%	29 2.9%	19 3.7%	14 1.4%	0 -	11 2.1%	21 4.8%	9 1.7%
(8)	54 1.4%	54 1.4%	11 1.1%	5 1.0%	23 2.3%	0 -	3 0.6%	7 1.6%	5 1.0%
(9)	30 0.8%	29 0.7%	12 1.3%	3 0.6%	7 0.7%	0 -	2 0.4%	3 0.8%	0 0.1%
(10)	8 0.2%	7 0.2%	3 0.3%	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.3%	1 0.2%	0 0.1%
(11) very negative effect	29 0.7%	28 0.7%	7 0.8%	2 0.4%	3 0.4%	0 -	1 0.2%	9 2.0%	5 1.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	3,28	3,23	3,25 BEfG	3,66 AcEG	3,39 bEG	-	2,87 ABCFG	3,51 aEG	2,52 ABCEf
missing	1678 30.0%	1680 30.0%	104 9.7%	38 6.9%	137 12.3%	1230 100.0%	40 7.2%	77 14.6%	55 9.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3143 56.1%	3149 56.3%	761 70.8%	413 75.4%	807 72.7%	0 -	436 78.4%	380 71.9%	352 63.9%
<b>Effects for the future: Nanotechnology</b>									
(1) very positive effect	674 21.4%	687 21.8%	156 20.5%	67 16.1%	140 17.4%	0 -	123 28.1%	70 18.6%	131 37.1%
(2)	418 13.3%	430 13.7%	89 11.7%	53 12.8%	101 12.5%	0 -	82 18.7%	46 12.1%	60 17.0%
(3)	509 16.2%	517 16.4%	129 17.0%	58 14.1%	122 15.1%	0 -	87 19.9%	64 16.7%	58 16.3%
(4)	374 11.9%	366 11.6%	82 10.8%	53 12.8%	96 11.9%	0 -	52 11.8%	53 13.9%	30 8.6%
(5)	290 9.2%	292 9.3%	58 7.6%	59 14.3%	56 7.0%	0 -	37 8.5%	57 15.0%	25 7.0%
(6)	666 21.2%	648 20.6%	197 25.8%	95 23.0%	232 28.7%	0 -	40 9.1%	52 13.7%	33 9.3%
(7)	89 2.8%	84 2.7%	17 2.2%	13 3.1%	18 2.2%	0 -	8 1.8%	23 6.0%	6 1.7%
(8)	48 1.5%	49 1.5%	14 1.8%	10 2.4%	12 1.5%	0 -	3 0.8%	4 1.1%	5 1.5%
(9)	28 0.9%	30 0.9%	6 0.8%	4 0.9%	11 1.4%	0 -	2 0.4%	5 1.4%	2 0.5%
(10)	9 0.3%	9 0.3%	1 0.2%	0 -	6 0.8%	0 -	2 0.3%	0 -	0 -
(11) very negative effect	38 1.2%	37 1.2%	12 1.6%	2 0.5%	13 1.6%	0 -	2 0.4%	5 1.4%	3 1.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	3,74	3,70	3,87 EG	4,00 EG	4,07 EG	-	3,00 ABCF	3,85 EG	2,84 ABCF
missing	2456 43.9%	2449 43.7%	314 29.2%	134 24.6%	303 27.3%	1230 100.0%	120 21.6%	148 28.1%	199 36.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3143 56.1%	3149 56.3%	761 70.8%	413 75.4%	807 72.7%	0 -	436 78.4%	380 71.9%	352 63.9%
<b>Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity</b>									
(1) enthusiastic	674 21.4%	687 21.8%	156 20.5%	67 16.1%	140 17.4%	0 -	123 28.1%	70 18.6%	131 37.1%
(2) positive	927 29.5%	947 30.1%	218 28.6%	111 26.9%	223 27.6%	0 -	168 38.7%	110 28.9%	117 33.4%
(3) rather positive	664 21.1%	658 20.9%	140 18.4%	112 27.0%	153 18.9%	0 -	89 20.4%	110 29.0%	55 15.5%
(4) neutral	666 21.2%	648 20.6%	197 25.8%	95 23.0%	232 28.7%	0 -	40 9.1%	52 13.7%	33 9.3%
(5) negative	212 6.7%	209 6.6%	50 6.6%	29 7.0%	60 7.4%	0 -	16 3.7%	37 9.9%	16 4.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	2,62	2,60	2,69 EG	2,78 EG	2,81 EG	-	2,22 ABCF	2,67 EG	2,11 ABCF
missing	2456 43.9%	2449 43.7%	314 29.2%	134 24.6%	303 27.3%	1230 100.0%	120 21.6%	148 28.1%	199 36.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nuclear energy**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3366 60.1%	3399 60.7%	812 75.6%	510 93.2%	846 76.2%	0 -	487 87.5%	306 58.1%	438 79.6%
<b>Effects for the future: Nuclear energy</b>									
(1) very positive effect	210 6.2%	213 6.3%	33 4.1%	33 6.6%	32 3.8%	0 -	40 8.2%	26 8.6%	48 11.0%
(2)	137 4.1%	141 4.2%	25 3.1%	21 4.0%	26 3.1%	0 -	24 4.9%	13 4.3%	32 7.4%
(3)	281 8.3%	295 8.7%	43 5.3%	42 8.2%	58 6.8%	0 -	66 13.5%	35 11.4%	51 11.7%
(4)	277 8.2%	285 8.4%	43 5.3%	50 9.9%	60 7.1%	0 -	50 10.3%	34 11.2%	47 10.7%
(5)	288 8.6%	292 8.6%	28 3.4%	60 11.8%	53 6.3%	0 -	60 12.3%	49 16.0%	42 9.7%
(6)	714 21.2%	729 21.4%	168 20.7%	121 23.8%	260 30.7%	0 -	66 13.7%	72 23.5%	42 9.5%
(7)	205 6.1%	205 6.0%	47 5.8%	39 7.7%	47 5.5%	0 -	33 6.7%	23 7.6%	17 3.8%
(8)	237 7.0%	223 6.6%	60 7.4%	46 9.0%	43 5.1%	0 -	28 5.8%	16 5.3%	30 6.8%
(9)	273 8.1%	272 8.0%	89 10.9%	32 6.4%	83 9.8%	0 -	28 5.8%	14 4.7%	26 5.9%
(10)	162 4.8%	164 4.8%	47 5.8%	15 2.9%	53 6.2%	0 -	24 4.9%	4 1.3%	22 5.1%
(11) very negative effect	582 17.3%	578 17.0%	229 28.2%	50 9.8%	131 15.4%	0 -	68 14.0%	19 6.1%	82 18.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	6,53	6,48	7,54 BCEFG	6,00 ACF	6,75 ABEFG	-	5,92 ACF	5,35 ABCEG	5,98 ACF
missing	2233 39.9%	2198 39.3%	263 24.4%	37 6.8%	265 23.8%	1230 100.0%	69 12.5%	221 41.9%	113 20.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Robot technology**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3646 65.1%	3642 65.1%	876 81.5%	479 87.6%	921 82.9%	0 -	488 87.9%	436 82.6%	441 80.1%
<b>Effects for the future: Robot technology</b>									
(1) very positive effect	550 15.1%	560 15.4%	92 10.5%	58 12.2%	127 13.7%	0 -	102 20.9%	59 13.6%	121 27.5%
(2)	438 12.0%	454 12.5%	96 10.9%	53 11.0%	115 12.5%	0 -	85 17.3%	43 9.8%	63 14.4%
(3)	615 16.9%	628 17.2%	152 17.3%	73 15.1%	152 16.5%	0 -	96 19.6%	74 17.1%	81 18.4%
(4)	488 13.4%	493 13.5%	109 12.4%	78 16.2%	110 11.9%	0 -	66 13.5%	73 16.8%	57 12.8%
(5)	383 10.5%	378 10.4%	75 8.5%	66 13.7%	85 9.2%	0 -	49 10.0%	55 12.6%	49 11.2%
(6)	691 19.0%	683 18.8%	218 24.9%	99 20.6%	212 23.1%	0 -	53 10.9%	62 14.3%	38 8.6%
(7)	165 4.5%	155 4.3%	41 4.6%	21 4.5%	37 4.0%	0 -	20 4.0%	29 6.6%	8 1.8%
(8)	130 3.6%	120 3.3%	39 4.4%	9 1.8%	38 4.2%	0 -	11 2.2%	16 3.8%	7 1.7%
(9)	79 2.2%	73 2.0%	22 2.5%	16 3.4%	19 2.1%	0 -	4 0.8%	9 2.2%	2 0.4%
(10)	30 0.8%	27 0.7%	7 0.8%	4 0.9%	7 0.8%	0 -	1 0.1%	5 1.2%	3 0.7%
(11) very negative effect	77 2.1%	72 2.0%	26 3.0%	3 0.6%	19 2.1%	0 -	3 0.7%	9 2.1%	12 2.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	4,21	4,14	4,57 bcEG	4,28 aEG	4,31 aEG	-	3,45 ABCF	4,31 EG	3,35 ABCF
missing	1953 34.9%	1955 34.9%	199 18.5%	68 12.4%	190 17.1%	1230 100.0%	68 12.1%	92 17.4%	110 19.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Wind energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3911 69.9%	3941 70.4%	980 91.1%	514 93.9%	1020 91.8%	0 -	509 91.6%	443 83.9%	475 86.3%
<b>Effects for the future: Wind energy</b>									
(1) very positive effect	1624 41.5%	1623 41.2%	484 49.4%	172 33.4%	348 34.1%	0 -	202 39.6%	156 35.3%	261 54.8%
(2)	686 17.5%	710 18.0%	183 18.7%	83 16.2%	201 19.7%	0 -	95 18.7%	82 18.6%	65 13.7%
(3)	567 14.5%	580 14.7%	126 12.8%	80 15.5%	160 15.7%	0 -	92 18.0%	71 16.1%	51 10.8%
(4)	357 9.1%	370 9.4%	74 7.6%	63 12.2%	92 9.0%	0 -	50 9.8%	41 9.3%	49 10.4%
(5)	187 4.8%	173 4.4%	26 2.7%	25 4.8%	41 4.1%	0 -	34 6.6%	31 7.0%	16 3.3%
(6)	362 9.3%	358 9.1%	75 7.7%	68 13.3%	133 13.1%	0 -	26 5.2%	34 7.7%	22 4.5%
(7)	54 1.4%	52 1.3%	1 0.1%	14 2.8%	15 1.5%	0 -	2 0.4%	15 3.4%	4 0.9%
(8)	24 0.6%	22 0.6%	1 0.1%	7 1.4%	5 0.5%	0 -	2 0.4%	3 0.7%	3 0.6%
(9)	21 0.5%	21 0.5%	3 0.3%	2 0.4%	6 0.6%	0 -	4 0.8%	4 1.0%	2 0.3%
(10)	10 0.3%	12 0.3%	4 0.4%	0 -	6 0.6%	0 -	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.2%
(11) very negative effect	19 0.5%	20 0.5%	1 0.1%	0 -	12 1.2%	0 -	1 0.3%	4 0.8%	1 0.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	2,63	2,62	2,25 BCEF	2,99 AEG	2,94 AEG	-	2,52 ABCFG	2,87 AEG	2,20 BCEF
missing	1688 30.1%	1657 29.6%	95 8.9%	33 6.1%	91 8.2%	1230 100.0%	46 8.4%	85 16.1%	76 13.7%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Genetic engineering

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3595 64.2%	3626 64.8%	923 85.9%	473 86.5%	946 85.2%	0 -	446 80.3%	434 82.2%	403 73.2%
<b>Effects for the future: Genetic Engineering</b>									
(1) very positive effect	442 12.3%	444 12.2%	71 7.7%	32 6.7%	55 5.9%	0 -	111 24.9%	55 12.6%	120 29.9%
(2)	297 8.3%	326 9.0%	62 6.7%	21 4.5%	65 6.8%	0 -	80 17.8%	36 8.4%	61 15.1%
(3)	423 11.8%	433 11.9%	74 8.0%	45 9.4%	99 10.4%	0 -	83 18.7%	66 15.1%	66 16.4%
(4)	365 10.2%	365 10.1%	58 6.3%	60 12.7%	76 8.0%	0 -	66 14.7%	54 12.5%	51 12.7%
(5)	347 9.7%	337 9.3%	59 6.4%	65 13.8%	59 6.3%	0 -	42 9.3%	73 16.9%	38 9.5%
(6)	766 21.3%	767 21.1%	218 23.6%	126 26.7%	273 28.9%	0 -	42 9.5%	69 16.0%	37 9.3%
(7)	214 6.0%	213 5.9%	59 6.3%	38 8.1%	69 7.3%	0 -	11 2.4%	31 7.1%	5 1.2%
(8)	193 5.4%	190 5.2%	64 6.9%	26 5.4%	67 7.1%	0 -	6 1.3%	17 3.9%	11 2.7%
(9)	176 4.9%	178 4.9%	76 8.2%	25 5.4%	55 5.8%	0 -	4 0.8%	13 3.1%	5 1.2%
(10)	96 2.7%	95 2.6%	37 4.0%	20 4.1%	30 3.2%	0 -	1 0.2%	4 1.0%	4 1.0%
(11) very negative effect	276 7.7%	279 7.7%	146 15.8%	14 3.0%	98 10.4%	0 -	2 0.3%	15 3.5%	4 1.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
Mean	5,21	5,18	6,31 BCEFG	5,48 ACEFG	5,93 ABEFG	-	3,19 ABCF	4,62 ABCEG	3,25 ABCF
missing	2004 35.8%	1972 35.2%	152 14.1%	74 13.5%	165 14.8%	1230 100.0%	110 19.7%	94 17.8%	148 26.8%

BACES




# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nanotechnology?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3134 56.0%	3141 56.1%	760 70.7%	410 74.9%	806 72.6%	0 -	437 78.6%	380 72.0%	349 63.3%
<b>Benefits of nanotechnology</b>									
(1) very great	788 25.1%	784 24.9%	160 21.0%	77 18.8%	191 23.7%	0 -	144 32.9%	92 24.2%	119 34.2%
(2) rather great	1135 36.2%	1142 36.3%	299 39.4%	112 27.4%	297 36.8%	0 -	182 41.5%	121 31.9%	131 37.6%
(3) moderate	1074 34.3%	1072 34.1%	257 33.9%	206 50.2%	275 34.1%	0 -	105 24.0%	148 39.1%	81 23.2%
(4) rather small	94 3.0%	101 3.2%	31 4.1%	12 3.0%	31 3.8%	0 -	4 1.0%	10 2.7%	12 3.4%
(5) very small	43 1.4%	43 1.4%	12 1.6%	2 0.5%	12 1.5%	0 -	3 0.6%	8 2.1%	6 1.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1923 61.4%	1925 61.3%	459 60.4%	189 46.2%	488 60.5%	0 -	325 74.5%	213 56.1%	251 71.8%
Middle Box (3)	1074 34.3%	1072 34.1%	257 33.9%	206 50.2%	275 34.1%	0 -	105 24.0%	148 39.1%	81 23.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	137 4.4%	144 4.6%	44 5.7%	14 3.5%	43 5.3%	0 -	7 1.6%	18 4.9%	17 5.0%
Mean	2,19	2,20	2,26 bEG	2,39 aCEG	2,23 BEG	-	1,95 ABCF	2,27 EG	2,00 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2465 44.0%	2456 43.9%	315 29.3%	137 25.1%	305 27.4%	1230 100.0%	119 21.4%	148 28.0%	202 36.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nanotechnology?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3130 55.9%	3136 56.0%	757 70.4%	413 75.5%	804 72.4%	0 -	435 78.2%	378 71.5%	350 63.5%
<b>Risks of nanotechnology</b>									
(1) very great	215 6.9%	219 7.0%	76 10.0%	20 4.8%	66 8.2%	0 -	14 3.2%	19 5.2%	25 7.1%
(2) rather great	519 16.6%	509 16.2%	136 18.0%	53 12.9%	151 18.8%	0 -	62 14.2%	56 14.8%	51 14.6%
(3) moderate	1765 56.4%	1772 56.5%	392 51.8%	268 64.9%	439 54.6%	0 -	244 56.1%	235 62.4%	194 55.4%
(4) rather small	512 16.4%	517 16.5%	126 16.7%	62 15.1%	125 15.6%	0 -	88 20.1%	51 13.6%	64 18.4%
(5) very small	119 3.8%	119 3.8%	26 3.5%	9 2.3%	23 2.9%	0 -	28 6.4%	16 4.1%	16 4.6%
Top Box (1+2)	734 23.5%	729 23.2%	212 28.1%	73 17.7%	217 27.0%	0 -	75 17.3%	75 19.9%	76 21.6%
Middle Box (3)	1765 56.4%	1772 56.5%	392 51.8%	268 64.9%	439 54.6%	0 -	244 56.1%	235 62.4%	194 55.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	631 20.2%	635 20.3%	152 20.1%	72 17.4%	148 18.5%	0 -	115 26.6%	67 17.7%	80 23.0%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,86 bEfg	2,97 acE	2,86 bEfg	-	3,12 ABCFg	2,97 acE	2,99 ace
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2469 44.1%	2461 44.0%	318 29.6%	134 24.5%	306 27.6%	1230 100.0%	121 21.8%	150 28.5%	201 36.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of genetic engineering?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1818 32.5%	1818 32.5%	458 42.6%	229 41.9%	453 40.8%	0 -	221 39.7%	252 47.7%	205 37.2%
<b>Benefits of genetic engineering</b>									
(1) very great	361 19.9%	362 19.9%	57 12.5%	32 14.0%	65 14.3%	0 -	72 32.5%	55 21.8%	82 39.8%
(2) rather great	550 30.3%	544 29.9%	115 25.0%	72 31.2%	116 25.5%	0 -	76 34.5%	101 40.1%	65 31.9%
(3) moderate	618 34.0%	606 33.4%	158 34.5%	83 36.3%	173 38.3%	0 -	65 29.7%	80 31.6%	47 22.8%
(4) rather small	174 9.6%	180 9.9%	68 14.9%	33 14.2%	54 12.0%	0 -	6 2.8%	12 4.7%	7 3.5%
(5) very small	115 6.3%	125 6.9%	60 13.1%	10 4.4%	45 9.9%	0 -	1 0.6%	5 1.8%	4 2.0%
Top Box (1+2)	911 50.1%	907 49.9%	172 37.5%	104 45.2%	180 39.8%	0 -	148 66.9%	156 61.9%	147 71.7%
Middle Box (3)	618 34.0%	606 33.4%	158 34.5%	83 36.3%	173 38.3%	0 -	65 29.7%	80 31.6%	47 22.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	289 15.9%	305 16.8%	128 28.0%	43 18.5%	100 22.0%	0 -	7 3.4%	16 6.5%	11 5.5%
Mean	2,52	2,54	2,91 BEFG	2,64 AEFG	2,78 EFG	-	2,05 ABCf	2,25 ABCeG	1,96 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3781 67.5%	3779 67.5%	616 57.4%	318 58.1%	657 59.2%	1230 100.0%	335 60.3%	276 52.3%	346 62.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of genetic engineering?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1821 32.5%	1822 32.6%	458 42.6%	229 42.0%	453 40.8%	0 -	221 39.7%	254 48.1%	206 37.4%
<b>Risks of genetic engineering</b>									
(1) very great	552 30.3%	576 31.6%	226 49.2%	63 27.5%	197 43.4%	0 -	23 10.3%	43 17.0%	25 12.0%
(2) rather great	517 28.4%	501 27.5%	123 26.8%	62 27.0%	135 29.8%	0 -	56 25.3%	72 28.4%	53 25.5%
(3) moderate	558 30.6%	550 30.2%	77 16.7%	80 34.9%	99 21.8%	0 -	96 43.5%	110 43.5%	88 42.7%
(4) rather small	149 8.2%	152 8.4%	24 5.2%	18 7.9%	22 4.9%	0 -	35 15.9%	20 8.0%	33 15.8%
(5) very small	45 2.5%	43 2.4%	9 2.0%	6 2.6%	1 0.1%	0 -	11 5.0%	8 3.1%	8 4.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1069 58.7%	1077 59.1%	349 76.0%	125 54.5%	332 73.2%	0 -	78 35.6%	116 45.5%	77 37.5%
Middle Box (3)	558 30.6%	550 30.2%	77 16.7%	80 34.9%	99 21.8%	0 -	96 43.5%	110 43.5%	88 42.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	194 10.7%	195 10.7%	33 7.2%	24 10.6%	23 5.0%	0 -	46 20.9%	28 11.1%	41 19.8%
Mean	2,24	2,22	1,84 BEFG	2,31 ACEfG	1,89 BEFG	-	2,80 ABCF	2,52 AbCEg	2,74 ABCf
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3778 67.5%	3775 67.4%	617 57.4%	318 58.0%	657 59.2%	1230 100.0%	335 60.3%	274 51.9%	345 62.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of IT and computer technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1997 35.7%	1978 35.3%	453 42.2%	265 48.4%	447 40.3%	0 -	290 52.2%	237 44.9%	284 51.6%
<b>Benefits of IT and computer technology</b>									
(1) very great	782 39.2%	770 38.9%	196 43.2%	79 29.7%	184 41.2%	0 -	100 34.5%	88 37.1%	123 43.2%
(2) rather great	810 40.6%	808 40.9%	177 39.1%	118 44.4%	183 40.9%	0 -	124 42.6%	95 40.2%	111 39.2%
(3) moderate	370 18.5%	367 18.6%	74 16.4%	65 24.5%	74 16.4%	0 -	63 21.6%	46 19.6%	45 15.8%
(4) rather small	24 1.2%	23 1.2%	4 1.0%	4 1.4%	4 0.8%	0 -	2 0.7%	5 2.3%	4 1.4%
(5) very small	11 0.6%	9 0.5%	1 0.3%	0 -	3 0.7%	0 -	2 0.6%	2 0.9%	1 0.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1592 79.7%	1578 79.8%	373 82.3%	196 74.1%	367 82.0%	0 -	224 77.1%	183 77.3%	234 82.4%
Middle Box (3)	370 18.5%	367 18.6%	74 16.4%	65 24.5%	74 16.4%	0 -	63 21.6%	46 19.6%	45 15.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	35 1.8%	32 1.6%	6 1.3%	4 1.4%	7 1.5%	0 -	4 1.3%	7 3.1%	5 1.8%
Mean	1,83	1,83	1,76 Bef	1,98 ACG	1,79 B	-	1,90 ag	1,90 a	1,77 Be
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3602 64.3%	3620 64.7%	621 57.8%	282 51.6%	663 59.7%	1230 100.0%	266 47.8%	291 55.1%	267 48.4%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of IT and computer technology?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1997 35.7%	1978 35.3%	452 42.0%	265 48.4%	452 40.7%	0 -	289 52.0%	238 45.1%	282 51.1%
<b>Risks of IT and computer technology</b>									
(1) very great	192 9.6%	185 9.3%	48 10.6%	17 6.6%	58 12.8%	0 -	15 5.2%	22 9.1%	25 8.8%
(2) rather great	408 20.4%	402 20.3%	103 22.8%	49 18.4%	88 19.4%	0 -	54 18.8%	54 22.6%	54 19.3%
(3) moderate	861 43.1%	865 43.7%	180 39.9%	125 47.2%	200 44.3%	0 -	131 45.1%	105 43.9%	124 44.0%
(4) rather small	390 19.5%	389 19.7%	88 19.4%	58 22.1%	85 18.7%	0 -	63 21.9%	39 16.3%	56 20.1%
(5) very small	146 7.3%	137 6.9%	33 7.3%	15 5.8%	21 4.7%	0 -	26 9.0%	19 8.1%	22 7.9%
Top Box (1+2)	600 30.0%	587 29.7%	151 33.4%	66 24.9%	146 32.3%	0 -	69 24.0%	76 31.7%	79 28.1%
Middle Box (3)	861 43.1%	865 43.7%	180 39.9%	125 47.2%	200 44.3%	0 -	131 45.1%	105 43.9%	124 44.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	536 26.8%	527 26.6%	121 26.8%	74 27.9%	106 23.4%	0 -	89 30.9%	58 24.4%	79 27.9%
Mean	2,94	2,95	2,90 E	3,02 c	2,83 bEg	-	3,11 ACf	2,92 e	2,99 c
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3602 64.3%	3619 64.7%	623 58.0%	282 51.6%	659 59.3%	1230 100.0%	267 48.0%	290 54.9%	269 48.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nuclear energy?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1601 28.6%	1631 29.1%	383 35.7%	255 46.7%	372 33.5%	0 -	251 45.1%	150 28.4%	220 39.9%
<b>Benefits of nuclear energy</b>									
(1) very great	223 13.9%	224 13.7%	45 11.7%	26 10.1%	67 17.9%	0 -	30 11.9%	25 16.9%	32 14.5%
(2) rather great	375 23.4%	386 23.7%	69 17.9%	64 25.1%	97 26.1%	0 -	67 26.8%	36 23.8%	54 24.4%
(3) moderate	624 39.0%	634 38.9%	153 40.0%	117 45.9%	141 37.9%	0 -	79 31.5%	70 46.6%	74 33.4%
(4) rather small	202 12.6%	204 12.5%	56 14.6%	34 13.3%	41 10.9%	0 -	30 12.0%	12 7.9%	31 14.3%
(5) very small	177 11.1%	182 11.2%	60 15.8%	14 5.6%	26 7.1%	0 -	45 17.8%	7 4.7%	29 13.3%
Top Box (1+2)	598 37.4%	610 37.4%	113 29.6%	90 35.2%	164 44.1%	0 -	97 38.6%	61 40.7%	86 38.9%
Middle Box (3)	624 39.0%	634 38.9%	153 40.0%	117 45.9%	141 37.9%	0 -	79 31.5%	70 46.6%	74 33.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	379 23.7%	386 23.7%	117 30.4%	48 18.9%	67 18.0%	0 -	75 29.8%	19 12.7%	61 27.6%
Mean	2,83	2,84	3,05 BCF	2,79 A	2,63 AEg	-	2,97 CF	2,60 AEg	2,87 cf
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3998 71.4%	3967 70.9%	692 64.3%	292 53.3%	739 66.5%	1230 100.0%	305 54.9%	378 71.6%	331 60.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nuclear energy?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1602 28.6%	1633 29.2%	383 35.7%	256 46.9%	372 33.5%	0 -	250 44.9%	150 28.4%	221 40.2%
<b>Risks of nuclear energy</b>									
(1) very great	879 54.9%	905 55.4%	274 71.5%	108 42.0%	202 54.3%	0 -	144 57.7%	52 34.5%	126 56.8%
(2) rather great	349 21.8%	357 21.9%	57 14.9%	72 28.2%	88 23.7%	0 -	54 21.5%	34 22.4%	52 23.7%
(3) moderate	302 18.9%	300 18.4%	39 10.2%	65 25.2%	68 18.3%	0 -	33 13.1%	56 37.4%	39 17.8%
(4) rather small	47 2.9%	47 2.9%	7 1.9%	10 3.9%	8 2.1%	0 -	12 4.8%	6 4.3%	4 1.7%
(5) very small	25 1.6%	23 1.4%	6 1.4%	2 0.8%	6 1.6%	0 -	7 2.9%	2 1.5%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1228 76.7%	1263 77.3%	331 86.4%	180 70.1%	290 78.0%	0 -	198 79.2%	85 56.8%	178 80.5%
Middle Box (3)	302 18.9%	300 18.4%	39 10.2%	65 25.2%	68 18.3%	0 -	33 13.1%	56 37.4%	39 17.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	72 4.5%	70 4.3%	13 3.4%	12 4.6%	14 3.6%	0 -	19 7.7%	9 5.8%	4 1.7%
Mean	1,75	1,73	1,47 BCEFg	1,93 ACeFG	1,73 ABF	-	1,74 AbF	2,16 AbCEG	1,64 aBF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3997 71.4%	3965 70.8%	692 64.3%	291 53.1%	738 66.5%	1230 100.0%	306 55.1%	378 71.6%	330 59.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of robot technology?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1863 33.3%	1852 33.1%	435 40.5%	257 47.0%	426 38.3%	0 -	250 44.9%	257 48.7%	227 41.2%
<b>Benefits of robot technology</b>									
(1) very great	555 29.8%	551 29.7%	125 28.7%	56 21.9%	133 31.2%	0 -	92 36.7%	83 32.1%	63 27.6%
(2) rather great	666 35.7%	677 36.5%	157 36.1%	88 34.1%	161 37.9%	0 -	97 38.9%	94 36.5%	80 35.1%
(3) moderate	552 29.6%	536 28.9%	122 28.1%	99 38.5%	114 26.8%	0 -	53 21.4%	71 27.5%	76 33.6%
(4) rather small	61 3.3%	62 3.4%	25 5.8%	11 4.4%	10 2.4%	0 -	5 1.9%	5 1.8%	7 2.9%
(5) very small	29 1.6%	26 1.4%	6 1.3%	3 1.2%	7 1.7%	0 -	3 1.1%	5 2.1%	2 0.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1221 65.5%	1227 66.3%	281 64.7%	144 56.0%	294 69.1%	0 -	189 75.6%	177 68.6%	142 62.7%
Middle Box (3)	552 29.6%	536 28.9%	122 28.1%	99 38.5%	114 26.8%	0 -	53 21.4%	71 27.5%	76 33.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	90 4.8%	89 4.8%	31 7.2%	14 5.6%	17 4.1%	0 -	7 3.0%	10 3.9%	8 3.7%
Mean	2,11	2,10	2,15 E	2,29 CEF	2,06 B	-	1,92 ABG	2,05 B	2,14 E
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3736 66.7%	3745 66.9%	640 59.5%	290 53.0%	685 61.7%	1230 100.0%	306 55.1%	271 51.3%	324 58.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of robot technology?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	1863 33.3%	1852 33.1%	434 40.4%	257 47.0%	427 38.4%	0 -	250 44.9%	257 48.7%	227 41.2%
<b>Risks of robot technology</b>									
(1) very great	210 11.3%	194 10.5%	69 15.9%	16 6.4%	45 10.4%	0 -	17 6.9%	25 9.7%	22 9.7%
(2) rather great	372 20.0%	354 19.1%	75 17.3%	39 15.1%	98 23.0%	0 -	40 15.9%	60 23.3%	42 18.6%
(3) moderate	808 43.4%	806 43.5%	196 45.1%	125 48.5%	170 39.8%	0 -	109 43.8%	103 40.2%	103 45.3%
(4) rather small	362 19.4%	380 20.5%	72 16.6%	65 25.3%	85 19.9%	0 -	61 24.4%	51 19.9%	46 20.3%
(5) very small	111 6.0%	118 6.4%	22 5.2%	12 4.6%	29 6.9%	0 -	22 9.0%	18 7.0%	14 6.1%
Top Box (1+2)	582 31.2%	548 29.6%	144 33.2%	55 21.5%	143 33.4%	0 -	57 22.9%	85 33.0%	64 28.3%
Middle Box (3)	808 43.4%	806 43.5%	196 45.1%	125 48.5%	170 39.8%	0 -	109 43.8%	103 40.2%	103 45.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	473 25.4%	498 26.9%	94 21.8%	77 29.9%	114 26.7%	0 -	83 33.3%	69 26.8%	60 26.4%
Mean	2,89	2,93	2,78 BE	3,07 Ac	2,90 bE	-	3,13 ACf	2,91 e	2,94
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3736 66.7%	3745 66.9%	641 59.6%	290 53.0%	684 61.6%	1230 100.0%	306 55.1%	271 51.3%	324 58.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of solar energy?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2175 38.8%	2170 38.8%	491 45.6%	292 53.3%	539 48.6%	0 -	304 54.6%	281 53.3%	263 47.8%
<b>Benefits of solar energy</b>									
(1) very great	1357 62.4%	1355 62.4%	377 76.8%	135 46.2%	329 60.9%	0 -	199 65.5%	139 49.4%	177 67.2%
(2) rather great	555 25.5%	561 25.9%	86 17.4%	99 33.8%	150 27.8%	0 -	82 26.9%	80 28.3%	66 25.0%
(3) moderate	213 9.8%	202 9.3%	22 4.6%	42 14.5%	47 8.8%	0 -	19 6.4%	56 19.8%	15 5.6%
(4) rather small	37 1.7%	39 1.8%	4 0.9%	14 4.6%	10 1.9%	0 -	3 1.0%	4 1.4%	5 1.8%
(5) very small	13 0.6%	13 0.6%	1 0.3%	2 0.8%	4 0.7%	0 -	1 0.3%	3 1.1%	1 0.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1912 87.9%	1916 88.3%	462 94.3%	233 80.0%	478 88.7%	0 -	280 92.4%	219 77.7%	243 92.2%
Middle Box (3)	213 9.8%	202 9.3%	22 4.6%	42 14.5%	47 8.8%	0 -	19 6.4%	56 19.8%	15 5.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	50 2.3%	52 2.4%	6 1.2%	16 5.5%	14 2.5%	0 -	4 1.2%	7 2.5%	6 2.2%
Mean	1,53	1,52	1,30 BCEFg	1,80 ACEG	1,54 ABF	-	1,44 ABF	1,76 ACEG	1,43 aBF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3424 61.2%	3427 61.2%	584 54.4%	255 46.7%	571 51.4%	1230 100.0%	252 45.4%	247 46.7%	288 52.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of solar energy?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2168 38.7%	2163 38.6%	490 45.6%	290 53.1%	539 48.5%	0 -	301 54.2%	281 53.3%	261 47.3%
<b>Risks of solar energy</b>									
(1) very great	58 2.7%	55 2.5%	6 1.2%	4 1.4%	15 2.8%	0 -	10 3.2%	11 3.9%	9 3.5%
(2) rather great	115 5.3%	105 4.8%	11 2.3%	14 4.9%	19 3.6%	0 -	30 9.9%	21 7.5%	9 3.4%
(3) moderate	491 22.6%	484 22.4%	72 14.7%	80 27.5%	126 23.5%	0 -	64 21.2%	82 29.3%	60 22.9%
(4) rather small	781 36.0%	791 36.6%	190 38.8%	117 40.3%	221 41.0%	0 -	86 28.6%	91 32.5%	85 32.7%
(5) very small	723 33.3%	728 33.7%	211 43.0%	75 25.9%	157 29.1%	0 -	112 37.1%	76 26.8%	98 37.5%
Top Box (1+2)	173 8.0%	159 7.4%	17 3.5%	18 6.4%	35 6.4%	0 -	39 13.1%	32 11.4%	18 6.8%
Middle Box (3)	491 22.6%	484 22.4%	72 14.7%	80 27.5%	126 23.5%	0 -	64 21.2%	82 29.3%	60 22.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1504 69.4%	1519 70.2%	401 81.9%	192 66.2%	378 70.1%	0 -	198 65.7%	167 59.3%	183 70.2%
Mean	3,92	3,94	4,20 BCEFG	3,84 A	3,90 AF	-	3,87 A	3,71 ACG	3,97 AF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3431 61.3%	3435 61.4%	585 54.4%	257 46.9%	572 51.5%	1230 100.0%	255 45.8%	247 46.7%	290 52.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of wind energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2041 36.5%	2053 36.7%	488 45.4%	287 52.5%	497 44.7%	0 -	285 51.2%	244 46.3%	252 45.8%
<b>Benefits of wind energy</b>									
(1) very great	1158 56.7%	1151 56.1%	298 61.1%	162 56.6%	243 49.0%	0 -	158 55.5%	127 51.8%	163 64.5%
(2) rather great	560 27.4%	579 28.2%	137 28.0%	80 27.9%	143 28.8%	0 -	89 31.4%	74 30.4%	55 21.9%
(3) moderate	252 12.3%	248 12.1%	36 7.5%	38 13.2%	81 16.3%	0 -	31 10.8%	34 14.0%	28 11.1%
(4) rather small	50 2.4%	52 2.5%	11 2.2%	6 2.1%	21 4.2%	0 -	6 2.0%	5 2.0%	4 1.6%
(5) very small	21 1.0%	23 1.1%	6 1.2%	1 0.3%	9 1.7%	0 -	1 0.3%	4 1.7%	2 0.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1718 84.2%	1730 84.3%	435 89.1%	242 84.5%	387 77.8%	0 -	247 86.9%	201 82.2%	218 86.4%
Middle Box (3)	252 12.3%	248 12.1%	36 7.5%	38 13.2%	81 16.3%	0 -	31 10.8%	34 14.0%	28 11.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	71 3.5%	75 3.7%	17 3.5%	7 2.3%	29 5.9%	0 -	7 2.3%	9 3.7%	6 2.5%
Mean	1,64	1,64	1,55 Cf	1,62 C	1,81 ABEG	-	1,60 C	1,71 ag	1,52 Cf
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3558 63.5%	3544 63.3%	587 54.6%	260 47.5%	614 55.3%	1230 100.0%	271 48.8%	284 53.7%	299 54.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of wind energy?**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2039 36.4%	2049 36.6%	490 45.6%	288 52.6%	495 44.6%	0 -	284 51.1%	243 46.0%	250 45.4%
<b>Risks of wind energy</b>									
(1) very great	61 3.0%	63 3.1%	19 3.9%	5 1.6%	16 3.3%	0 -	7 2.4%	7 2.9%	9 3.7%
(2) rather great	148 7.3%	152 7.4%	17 3.4%	16 5.6%	57 11.5%	0 -	22 7.7%	22 9.0%	19 7.5%
(3) moderate	546 26.8%	546 26.6%	96 19.7%	75 26.1%	170 34.3%	0 -	67 23.5%	80 32.9%	58 23.2%
(4) rather small	699 34.3%	705 34.4%	190 38.7%	118 41.1%	170 34.2%	0 -	84 29.7%	70 28.7%	74 29.6%
(5) very small	585 28.7%	584 28.5%	168 34.3%	73 25.5%	83 16.7%	0 -	105 36.8%	64 26.6%	90 36.1%
Top Box (1+2)	209 10.3%	215 10.5%	36 7.3%	21 7.2%	73 14.8%	0 -	28 10.0%	29 11.9%	28 11.2%
Middle Box (3)	546 26.8%	546 26.6%	96 19.7%	75 26.1%	170 34.3%	0 -	67 23.5%	80 32.9%	58 23.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1284 63.0%	1289 62.9%	358 73.1%	192 66.6%	252 50.9%	0 -	189 66.5%	134 55.2%	164 65.6%
Mean	3,78	3,78	3,96 CF	3,83 C	3,50 ABEfG	-	3,91 CF	3,67 AcEg	3,87 Cf
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3560 63.6%	3548 63.4%	585 54.4%	259 47.4%	615 55.4%	1230 100.0%	272 48.9%	285 54.0%	301 54.6%

BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Abs. Col %	Total	Country						
	N	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
<b>Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know?</b>								
Area of application known: Medicine	1566 49.4%	329 43.1%	143 34.3%	313 38.6%	0 -	358 81.8%	221 57.9%	202 56.4%
Area of application known: Paint and varnish	1180 37.2%	385 50.4%	49 11.8%	406 49.9%	0 -	128 29.1%	146 38.3%	66 18.6%
Area of application known: Textiles	1040 32.8%	301 39.4%	64 15.4%	306 37.6%	0 -	130 29.7%	133 35.0%	105 29.5%
Area of application known: Electronics	956 30.2%	0 -	181 43.4%	0 -	0 -	338 77.2%	201 52.8%	236 66.0%
Area of application known: Cosmetics	918 28.9%	262 34.3%	63 15.1%	280 34.5%	0 -	111 25.4%	126 33.2%	75 20.9%
Area of application known: Food	707 22.3%	174 22.8%	46 11.0%	149 18.3%	0 -	105 24.1%	126 33.1%	107 29.8%
Area of application known: Others	197 6.2%	88 11.6%	12 2.8%	69 8.4%	0 -	10 2.3%	9 2.3%	10 2.8%
BACES								



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Medicine

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Medicine</b>									
quoted	1550 49.0%	1566 49.4%	329 43.1%	143 34.3%	313 38.6%	0 -	358 81.8%	221 57.9%	202 56.4%
not quoted	1613 51.0%	1604 50.6%	434 56.9%	274 65.7%	499 61.4%	0 -	80 18.2%	160 42.1%	156 43.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Textiles

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Textiles</b>									
quoted	1029 32.5%	1040 32.8%	301 39.4%	64 15.4%	306 37.6%	0 -	130 29.7%	133 35.0%	105 29.5%
not quoted	2134 67.5%	2131 67.2%	463 60.6%	353 84.6%	507 62.4%	0 -	308 70.3%	247 65.0%	253 70.5%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Food

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Food</b>									
quoted	726 23.0%	707 22.3%	174 22.8%	46 11.0%	149 18.3%	0 -	105 24.1%	126 33.1%	107 29.8%
not quoted	2437 77.0%	2463 77.7%	589 77.2%	371 89.0%	664 81.7%	0 -	332 75.9%	255 66.9%	251 70.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Paint and varnish

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Paint and varnish</b>									
quoted	1154 36.5%	1180 37.2%	385 50.4%	49 11.8%	406 49.9%	0 -	128 29.1%	146 38.3%	66 18.6%
not quoted	2009 63.5%	1990 62.8%	379 49.6%	368 88.2%	407 50.1%	0 -	310 70.9%	235 61.7%	291 81.4%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Cosmetics

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Cosmetics</b>									
quoted	904 28.6%	918 28.9%	262 34.3%	63 15.1%	280 34.5%	0 -	111 25.4%	126 33.2%	75 20.9%
not quoted	2259 71.4%	2253 71.1%	502 65.7%	354 84.9%	532 65.5%	0 -	326 74.6%	255 66.8%	283 79.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Electronics

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Electronics</b>									
quoted	963 30.4%	956 30.2%	0 -	181 43.4%	0 -	0 -	338 77.2%	201 52.8%	236 66.0%
not quoted	2200 69.6%	2214 69.8%	764 100.0%	236 56.6%	813 100.0%	0 -	100 22.8%	180 47.2%	122 34.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Others:

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Others</b>									
quoted	190 6.0%	197 6.2%	88 11.6%	12 2.8%	69 8.4%	0 -	10 2.3%	9 2.3%	10 2.8%
not quoted	2973 94.0%	2973 93.8%	676 88.4%	405 97.2%	744 91.6%	0 -	428 97.7%	372 97.7%	348 97.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - I don't know any applications.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	764 100.0%	417 100.0%	813 100.0%	0 -	438 100.0%	381 100.0%	358 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: I do not know any applications</b>									
quoted	717 22.7%	717 22.6%	181 23.7%	163 39.0%	198 24.4%	0 -	32 7.4%	70 18.5%	72 20.1%
not quoted	2446 77.3%	2454 77.4%	583 76.3%	255 61.0%	615 75.6%	0 -	405 92.6%	310 81.5%	286 79.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>764</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>813</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>358</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Country						
Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
2.37	2.54	2.51	-	2.17	2.45	2.13
2.42	2.67	2.45	-	2.45	2.69	2.80
2.46	2.47	2.51	-	2.03	2.56	2.21
2.65	2.53	2.64	-	2.19	2.62	2.51
2.74	2.65	2.82	-	2.14	2.58	2.37
2.80	2.65	2.78	-	2.14	2.36	2.25
2.85	2.57	2.85	-	2.36	2.66	2.64
2.93	2.87	2.89	-	2.98	3.02	3.01
2.94	2.88	2.95	-	2.33	2.59	2.50
2.98	2.50	2.94	-	2.38	2.66	2.58
3.26	2.93	3.15	-	3.52	3.12	3.35
3.40	3.17	3.48	-	3.30	3.19	3.18



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3137 56.0%	750 69.8%	415 75.9%	806 72.6%	0 -	437 78.6%	379 71.8%	350 63.6%
<b>Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.</b>									
(1) completely agree	453 14.5%	458 14.6%	103 13.7%	41 9.8%	96 11.9%	0 -	100 22.8%	47 12.4%	72 20.4%
(2) rather agree	1183 37.8%	1206 38.4%	274 36.6%	153 36.9%	291 36.2%	0 -	234 53.6%	109 28.7%	144 41.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1300 41.6%	1285 40.9%	313 41.7%	208 50.1%	354 43.9%	0 -	92 21.1%	195 51.5%	123 35.0%
(4) rather disagree	143 4.6%	141 4.5%	43 5.7%	11 2.7%	45 5.6%	0 -	11 2.5%	20 5.2%	11 3.3%
(5) completely disagree	48 1.5%	48 1.5%	17 2.3%	2 0.5%	20 2.5%	0 -	0 -	8 2.1%	0 0.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1636 52.3%	1664 53.0%	377 50.3%	194 46.6%	387 48.1%	0 -	334 76.4%	156 41.1%	216 61.6%
Middle Box (3)	1300 41.6%	1285 40.9%	313 41.7%	208 50.1%	354 43.9%	0 -	92 21.1%	195 51.5%	123 35.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	191 6.1%	189 6.0%	60 8.0%	14 3.3%	65 8.0%	0 -	11 2.5%	28 7.3%	12 3.4%
Mean	2,41	2,40	2,46 EG	2,47 EG	2,51 EG	-	2,03 ABCFG	2,56 EG	2,21 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2472 44.2%	2460 44.0%	325 30.2%	132 24.1%	305 27.4%	1230 100.0%	119 21.4%	149 28.2%	201 36.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3125 55.8%	3134 56.0%	752 70.0%	414 75.7%	805 72.4%	0 -	432 77.7%	379 71.8%	351 63.8%
<b>Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.</b>									
(1) completely agree	403 12.9%	396 12.6%	90 11.9%	43 10.4%	90 11.2%	0 -	85 19.7%	46 12.3%	42 11.8%
(2) rather agree	997 31.9%	1001 32.0%	210 28.0%	134 32.3%	238 29.6%	0 -	207 47.8%	96 25.4%	116 33.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1439 46.0%	1441 46.0%	356 47.3%	215 52.0%	377 46.8%	0 -	119 27.6%	203 53.6%	171 48.8%
(4) rather disagree	208 6.7%	213 6.8%	68 9.0%	18 4.4%	72 8.9%	0 -	17 3.9%	20 5.3%	18 5.2%
(5) completely disagree	78 2.5%	82 2.6%	28 3.8%	4 1.0%	29 3.6%	0 -	4 1.0%	13 3.5%	4 1.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1400 44.8%	1397 44.6%	300 39.9%	177 42.7%	328 40.7%	0 -	292 67.6%	143 37.7%	158 44.9%
Middle Box (3)	1439 46.0%	1441 46.0%	356 47.3%	215 52.0%	377 46.8%	0 -	119 27.6%	203 53.6%	171 48.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	286 9.2%	295 9.4%	96 12.8%	22 5.4%	100 12.5%	0 -	21 4.8%	33 8.8%	22 6.3%
Mean	2,54	2,55	2,65 bEg	2,53 acE	2,64 bEg	-	2,19 ABCFG	2,62 E	2,51 acE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2474 44.2%	2464 44.0%	323 30.0%	133 24.3%	306 27.6%	1230 100.0%	124 22.3%	149 28.2%	200 36.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3139 56.1%	753 70.1%	413 75.4%	805 72.5%	0 -	437 78.6%	379 71.8%	351 63.7%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.</b>									
(1) completely agree	166 5.3%	157 5.0%	33 4.3%	16 3.8%	34 4.2%	0 -	33 7.5%	17 4.4%	25 7.0%
(2) rather agree	386 12.3%	378 12.1%	88 11.6%	50 12.0%	85 10.6%	0 -	66 15.1%	44 11.7%	46 13.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1351 43.2%	1342 42.8%	300 39.8%	233 56.6%	312 38.8%	0 -	143 32.7%	195 51.4%	158 45.1%
(4) rather disagree	788 25.2%	807 25.7%	211 28.1%	76 18.3%	208 25.9%	0 -	127 29.0%	98 25.8%	87 24.7%
(5) completely disagree	436 13.9%	455 14.5%	122 16.1%	38 9.2%	165 20.5%	0 -	69 15.8%	25 6.7%	36 10.2%
Top Box (1+2)	552 17.7%	535 17.0%	120 16.0%	66 15.9%	119 14.8%	0 -	99 22.6%	61 16.1%	70 20.0%
Middle Box (3)	1351 43.2%	1342 42.8%	300 39.8%	233 56.6%	312 38.8%	0 -	143 32.7%	195 51.4%	158 45.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1224 39.1%	1261 40.2%	333 44.2%	114 27.6%	374 46.4%	0 -	196 44.8%	123 32.5%	122 34.9%
Mean	3,30	3,33	3,40 BFG	3,17 AC	3,48 BEFG	-	3,30 C	3,19 AC	3,18 AC
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2472 44.2%	2459 43.9%	321 29.9%	134 24.6%	305 27.5%	1230 100.0%	119 21.4%	149 28.2%	200 36.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3116 55.7%	3127 55.9%	749 69.7%	415 75.8%	801 72.1%	0 -	434 78.1%	376 71.2%	351 63.8%
<b>Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>									
(1) completely agree	293 9.4%	296 9.5%	53 7.1%	53 12.7%	45 5.7%	0 -	60 13.8%	44 11.7%	41 11.6%
(2) rather agree	776 24.9%	786 25.1%	124 16.5%	123 29.7%	170 21.3%	0 -	190 43.8%	82 21.9%	96 27.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1593 51.1%	1586 50.7%	400 53.5%	219 52.8%	417 52.0%	0 -	147 33.8%	214 56.9%	189 53.9%
(4) rather disagree	349 11.2%	352 11.3%	126 16.9%	17 4.0%	127 15.9%	0 -	32 7.3%	31 8.2%	19 5.5%
(5) completely disagree	105 3.4%	106 3.4%	46 6.1%	3 0.8%	41 5.1%	0 -	5 1.2%	5 1.3%	6 1.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1069 34.3%	1082 34.6%	177 23.6%	176 42.4%	216 27.0%	0 -	250 57.6%	126 33.6%	137 39.0%
Middle Box (3)	1593 51.1%	1586 50.7%	400 53.5%	219 52.8%	417 52.0%	0 -	147 33.8%	214 56.9%	189 53.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	454 14.6%	458 14.7%	172 23.0%	20 4.8%	169 21.0%	0 -	37 8.5%	36 9.5%	25 7.1%
Mean	2,74	2,74	2,98 BEFG	2,50 ACeF	2,94 BEFG	-	2,38 AbCFG	2,66 ABCE	2,58 ACE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2483 44.3%	2471 44.1%	326 30.3%	132 24.2%	309 27.9%	1230 100.0%	122 21.9%	152 28.8%	200 36.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3129 55.9%	3138 56.1%	751 69.9%	414 75.7%	806 72.6%	0 -	436 78.5%	379 71.8%	351 63.8%
<b>Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.</b>									
(1) completely agree	319 10.2%	324 10.3%	57 7.5%	22 5.3%	60 7.4%	0 -	79 18.2%	49 12.8%	58 16.5%
(2) rather agree	773 24.7%	773 24.6%	150 20.0%	84 20.4%	157 19.5%	0 -	182 41.8%	95 25.1%	104 29.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1526 48.8%	1519 48.4%	377 50.3%	247 59.6%	403 50.0%	0 -	132 30.2%	208 55.0%	151 43.0%
(4) rather disagree	369 11.8%	377 12.0%	113 15.0%	42 10.2%	135 16.7%	0 -	36 8.3%	19 5.0%	32 9.2%
(5) completely disagree	142 4.5%	145 4.6%	54 7.2%	18 4.4%	51 6.3%	0 -	7 1.6%	8 2.2%	6 1.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1092 34.9%	1098 35.0%	207 27.5%	106 25.7%	217 26.9%	0 -	262 60.0%	144 37.9%	162 46.1%
Middle Box (3)	1526 48.8%	1519 48.4%	377 50.3%	247 59.6%	403 50.0%	0 -	132 30.2%	208 55.0%	151 43.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	511 16.3%	522 16.6%	167 22.2%	61 14.7%	186 23.1%	0 -	43 9.9%	27 7.1%	38 10.9%
Mean	2,76	2,76	2,94 EFG	2,88 EFG	2,95 EFG	-	2,33 ABCFg	2,59 ABCE	2,50 ABCe
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2470 44.1%	2459 43.9%	324 30.1%	133 24.3%	304 27.4%	1230 100.0%	120 21.5%	149 28.2%	200 36.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3118 55.7%	3128 55.9%	749 69.7%	415 75.8%	803 72.3%	0 -	435 78.3%	378 71.5%	348 63.1%
<b>Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.</b>									
(1) completely agree	165 5.3%	168 5.4%	43 5.7%	25 6.0%	52 6.4%	0 -	23 5.2%	16 4.2%	10 2.8%
(2) rather agree	441 14.1%	458 14.7%	103 13.7%	66 15.8%	127 15.8%	0 -	66 15.1%	61 16.0%	36 10.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1363 43.7%	1375 43.9%	305 40.7%	244 58.8%	352 43.8%	0 -	112 25.7%	192 50.9%	170 48.7%
(4) rather disagree	796 25.5%	783 25.0%	212 28.4%	73 17.7%	195 24.3%	0 -	133 30.7%	81 21.5%	88 25.2%
(5) completely disagree	353 11.3%	344 11.0%	86 11.5%	7 1.7%	77 9.6%	0 -	102 23.3%	28 7.4%	44 12.8%
Top Box (1+2)	606 19.4%	626 20.0%	146 19.4%	91 21.9%	179 22.3%	0 -	88 20.3%	77 20.3%	46 13.3%
Middle Box (3)	1363 43.7%	1375 43.9%	305 40.7%	244 58.8%	352 43.8%	0 -	112 25.7%	192 50.9%	170 48.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1149 36.9%	1127 36.0%	299 39.8%	80 19.3%	272 33.9%	0 -	235 54.0%	109 28.8%	132 38.0%
Mean	3,23	3,22	3,26 BcEf	2,93 ACEFG	3,15 aBEG	-	3,52 ABCFg	3,12 aBEG	3,35 BCeF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2481 44.3%	2470 44.1%	326 30.3%	132 24.2%	308 27.7%	1230 100.0%	121 21.7%	150 28.5%	203 36.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3120 55.7%	3130 55.9%	753 70.1%	415 75.8%	804 72.4%	0 -	434 78.1%	377 71.4%	347 62.9%
<b>Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.</b>									
(1) completely agree	304 9.7%	300 9.6%	53 7.0%	38 9.1%	55 6.9%	0 -	68 15.7%	45 11.9%	41 11.9%
(2) rather agree	786 25.2%	813 26.0%	162 21.4%	130 31.4%	178 22.2%	0 -	181 41.6%	79 21.1%	83 23.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1639 52.5%	1620 51.8%	408 54.1%	221 53.3%	434 54.0%	0 -	151 34.9%	217 57.5%	190 54.7%
(4) rather disagree	312 10.0%	319 10.2%	107 14.1%	23 5.4%	105 13.0%	0 -	28 6.4%	31 8.2%	26 7.6%
(5) completely disagree	79 2.5%	77 2.5%	25 3.3%	3 0.7%	32 4.0%	0 -	6 1.4%	5 1.4%	7 1.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1090 34.9%	1113 35.6%	214 28.4%	168 40.6%	233 29.1%	0 -	249 57.3%	125 33.0%	124 35.8%
Middle Box (3)	1639 52.5%	1620 51.8%	408 54.1%	221 53.3%	434 54.0%	0 -	151 34.9%	217 57.5%	190 54.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	391 12.5%	396 12.7%	131 17.4%	25 6.1%	136 17.0%	0 -	34 7.8%	36 9.5%	33 9.5%
Mean	2,70	2,70	2,85 BEFG	2,57 ACE	2,85 BEFG	-	2,36 ABCFG	2,66 ACE	2,64 ACE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2479 44.3%	2468 44.1%	321 29.9%	133 24.2%	307 27.6%	1230 100.0%	122 21.9%	151 28.6%	204 37.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3125 55.8%	3135 56.0%	753 70.1%	415 75.9%	803 72.3%	0 -	437 78.6%	376 71.3%	350 63.5%
<b>Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.</b>									
(1) completely agree	219 7.0%	228 7.3%	64 8.5%	17 4.1%	74 9.2%	0 -	36 8.3%	17 4.6%	20 5.7%
(2) rather agree	580 18.6%	581 18.5%	134 17.8%	80 19.2%	153 19.1%	0 -	90 20.6%	57 15.1%	67 19.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1620 51.8%	1621 51.7%	377 50.1%	268 64.6%	396 49.3%	0 -	189 43.2%	219 58.1%	173 49.4%
(4) rather disagree	570 18.2%	568 18.1%	148 19.6%	42 10.1%	149 18.5%	0 -	91 20.8%	69 18.3%	70 19.9%
(5) completely disagree	136 4.4%	136 4.4%	30 4.0%	8 2.0%	32 4.0%	0 -	31 7.1%	15 3.9%	20 5.7%
Top Box (1+2)	799 25.6%	809 25.8%	198 26.3%	97 23.3%	227 28.3%	0 -	126 28.9%	74 19.7%	87 24.9%
Middle Box (3)	1620 51.8%	1621 51.7%	377 50.1%	268 64.6%	396 49.3%	0 -	189 43.2%	219 58.1%	173 49.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	706 22.6%	704 22.5%	178 23.6%	50 12.1%	181 22.5%	0 -	122 27.9%	84 22.2%	90 25.7%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,93	2,87 Fg	2,89 fg	-	2,98	3,02 Bc	3,01 bc
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2474 44.2%	2462 44.0%	322 29.9%	132 24.1%	308 27.7%	1230 100.0%	119 21.4%	152 28.7%	201 36.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3123 55.8%	3134 56.0%	751 69.8%	413 75.5%	806 72.6%	0 -	437 78.6%	376 71.3%	351 63.8%
<b>Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.</b>									
(1) completely agree	447 14.3%	439 14.0%	107 14.2%	43 10.4%	89 11.1%	0 -	103 23.6%	43 11.3%	54 15.5%
(2) rather agree	948 30.4%	965 30.8%	193 25.7%	123 29.7%	187 23.2%	0 -	196 44.8%	124 33.1%	143 40.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1238 39.6%	1246 39.8%	284 37.8%	196 47.5%	359 44.5%	0 -	111 25.4%	165 43.9%	132 37.4%
(4) rather disagree	365 11.7%	362 11.5%	123 16.3%	38 9.3%	123 15.2%	0 -	26 6.1%	35 9.4%	16 4.7%
(5) completely disagree	125 4.0%	122 3.9%	45 5.9%	13 3.1%	48 6.0%	0 -	1 0.2%	9 2.3%	6 1.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1395 44.7%	1404 44.8%	300 39.9%	166 40.1%	276 34.2%	0 -	299 68.4%	167 44.4%	197 56.0%
Middle Box (3)	1238 39.6%	1246 39.8%	284 37.8%	196 47.5%	359 44.5%	0 -	111 25.4%	165 43.9%	132 37.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	490 15.7%	484 15.4%	167 22.3%	51 12.4%	171 21.2%	0 -	27 6.2%	44 11.7%	23 6.5%
Mean	2,61	2,61	2,74 EifG	2,65 CEG	2,82 BEFG	-	2,14 ABCFG	2,58 aCEG	2,37 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2476 44.2%	2463 44.0%	324 30.2%	134 24.5%	305 27.4%	1230 100.0%	119 21.4%	151 28.7%	200 36.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3120 55.7%	3128 55.9%	750 69.7%	412 75.3%	808 72.7%	0 -	434 78.1%	376 71.2%	349 63.3%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.</b>									
(1) completely agree	423 13.6%	452 14.4%	142 19.0%	35 8.6%	138 17.1%	0 -	66 15.2%	38 10.1%	33 9.3%
(2) rather agree	894 28.7%	891 28.5%	215 28.7%	101 24.4%	255 31.6%	0 -	160 36.8%	83 22.0%	78 22.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1510 48.4%	1500 47.9%	338 45.1%	245 59.6%	353 43.7%	0 -	163 37.6%	222 59.0%	178 51.1%
(4) rather disagree	222 7.1%	214 6.9%	43 5.7%	25 6.1%	42 5.2%	0 -	36 8.3%	24 6.3%	45 12.8%
(5) completely disagree	71 2.3%	71 2.3%	11 1.5%	6 1.4%	20 2.5%	0 -	9 2.2%	10 2.6%	15 4.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1317 42.2%	1343 42.9%	357 47.6%	136 33.0%	393 48.6%	0 -	226 52.0%	121 32.1%	111 31.8%
Middle Box (3)	1510 48.4%	1500 47.9%	338 45.1%	245 59.6%	353 43.7%	0 -	163 37.6%	222 59.0%	178 51.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	293 9.4%	286 9.1%	54 7.2%	31 7.4%	62 7.7%	0 -	45 10.4%	33 8.9%	60 17.1%
Mean	2,56	2,54	2,42 BFG	2,67 ACEg	2,45 BFG	-	2,45 BFG	2,69 ACE	2,80 AbCE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2479 44.3%	2469 44.1%	325 30.3%	135 24.7%	303 27.3%	1230 100.0%	122 21.9%	152 28.8%	202 36.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3122 55.8%	3134 56.0%	750 69.8%	415 75.9%	805 72.5%	0 -	436 78.4%	377 71.3%	351 63.7%
<b>Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.</b>									
(1) completely agree	392 12.6%	393 12.6%	64 8.6%	35 8.3%	66 8.2%	0 -	87 19.9%	71 18.7%	72 20.4%
(2) rather agree	969 31.0%	987 31.5%	185 24.6%	108 25.9%	206 25.6%	0 -	222 50.9%	126 33.4%	141 40.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1419 45.5%	1417 45.2%	370 49.4%	245 59.0%	411 51.1%	0 -	110 25.1%	161 42.7%	119 34.0%
(4) rather disagree	254 8.1%	249 7.9%	98 13.1%	24 5.9%	83 10.4%	0 -	16 3.7%	12 3.3%	15 4.2%
(5) completely disagree	88 2.8%	88 2.8%	33 4.4%	4 0.9%	39 4.8%	0 -	2 0.4%	7 1.8%	4 1.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1361 43.6%	1380 44.0%	249 33.2%	142 34.2%	271 33.7%	0 -	309 70.8%	196 52.1%	213 60.7%
Middle Box (3)	1419 45.5%	1417 45.2%	370 49.4%	245 59.0%	411 51.1%	0 -	110 25.1%	161 42.7%	119 34.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	342 11.0%	337 10.8%	131 17.4%	28 6.8%	122 15.2%	0 -	18 4.0%	19 5.1%	19 5.3%
Mean	2,58	2,57	2,80 BEFG	2,65 AcEFG	2,78 bEFG	-	2,14 ABCFg	2,36 ABCE	2,25 ABCe
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2477 44.2%	2463 44.0%	325 30.2%	132 24.1%	305 27.5%	1230 100.0%	120 21.6%	151 28.7%	200 36.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3136 56.0%	753 70.1%	415 75.9%	802 72.2%	0 -	437 78.6%	379 71.7%	350 63.5%
<b>Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.</b>									
(1) completely agree	632 20.2%	669 21.3%	192 25.5%	55 13.3%	160 20.0%	0 -	93 21.3%	74 19.5%	95 27.1%
(2) rather agree	924 29.5%	931 29.7%	188 25.0%	113 27.2%	206 25.7%	0 -	206 47.2%	91 24.0%	127 36.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1277 40.8%	1261 40.2%	294 39.0%	218 52.5%	332 41.4%	0 -	111 25.3%	189 49.9%	117 33.5%
(4) rather disagree	224 7.2%	212 6.8%	59 7.8%	28 6.7%	73 9.1%	0 -	23 5.2%	20 5.3%	10 2.8%
(5) completely disagree	70 2.2%	63 2.0%	20 2.7%	1 0.3%	31 3.9%	0 -	4 1.0%	5 1.3%	1 0.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1556 49.8%	1600 51.0%	380 50.5%	168 40.5%	366 45.6%	0 -	299 68.5%	165 43.5%	222 63.4%
Middle Box (3)	1277 40.8%	1261 40.2%	294 39.0%	218 52.5%	332 41.4%	0 -	111 25.3%	189 49.9%	117 33.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	294 9.4%	275 8.8%	79 10.5%	29 7.1%	104 13.0%	0 -	27 6.2%	25 6.6%	11 3.1%
Mean	2,42	2,38	2,37 BCEG	2,54 AEG	2,51 AEG	-	2,17 ABCF	2,45 EG	2,13 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2472 44.2%	2461 44.0%	322 29.9%	132 24.1%	309 27.8%	1230 100.0%	119 21.4%	149 28.3%	201 36.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How many old historical buildings are there in your city or community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4266 76.2%	4250 75.9%	1039 96.7%	536 97.9%	1080 97.2%	0 -	544 97.9%	512 96.9%	539 97.9%
<b>Historical buildings</b>									
a great many	976 22.9%	984 23.2%	294 28.3%	79 14.8%	181 16.8%	0 -	140 25.8%	67 13.1%	223 41.3%
rather many	1312 30.8%	1298 30.5%	273 26.2%	142 26.4%	386 35.7%	0 -	142 26.0%	195 38.0%	161 29.9%
rather few	1777 41.7%	1765 41.5%	408 39.3%	283 52.8%	466 43.2%	0 -	242 44.5%	222 43.4%	143 26.5%
no buildings at all	201 4.7%	204 4.8%	65 6.2%	32 6.0%	47 4.3%	0 -	20 3.7%	28 5.4%	12 2.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1333 23.8%	1347 24.1%	36 3.3%	11 2.1%	31 2.8%	1230 100.0%	11 2.1%	16 3.1%	11 2.1%

BACES





# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.

New technologies are useful for monument protection.

The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.

Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.

The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.

Monument protection is an important social duty.

Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.

Monument protection should be supported by public finances.

Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.

Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.


In our country enough is done for monument protection.

The regulations for monument protection are too strict.

Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.

Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.

Country						
Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
-	2.44	-	2.30	2.56	2.38	2.24
-	2.22	-	2.15	1.77	2.30	2.01
1.62	1.95	1.83	1.74	1.48	1.99	1.73
1.72	2.27	1.92	1.93	1.70	2.04	1.92
1.86	2.01	2.00	-	1.55	2.01	1.78
1.97	2.18	2.12	-	1.53	2.16	1.85
2.13	2.14	2.23	-	1.82	2.29	2.04
2.15	2.38	2.17	2.05	1.81	2.08	2.12
2.38	2.52	2.50	-	2.89	1.98	2.23
2.54	2.85	2.45	2.19	2.42	2.58	2.84
2.70	2.77	2.85	-	3.29	2.80	3.01
2.91	2.78	2.81	2.90	2.69	2.85	2.89
2.95	2.50	2.96	2.73	2.01	2.34	1.96
3.06	2.84	3.03	-	3.18	2.82	3.05



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4326 77.3%	4329 77.3%	1065 99.1%	539 98.5%	1106 99.6%	0 -	555 99.8%	522 98.9%	541 98.2%
<b>Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.</b>									
(1) completely agree	829 19.2%	854 19.7%	194 18.2%	56 10.3%	203 18.3%	0 -	78 14.1%	195 37.3%	129 23.8%
(2) rather agree	1442 33.3%	1467 33.9%	402 37.7%	204 37.9%	334 30.2%	0 -	150 27.0%	165 31.5%	212 39.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1498 34.6%	1466 33.9%	368 34.5%	232 43.1%	420 38.0%	0 -	143 25.7%	147 28.1%	155 28.6%
(4) rather disagree	404 9.3%	390 9.0%	70 6.5%	36 6.8%	116 10.5%	0 -	121 21.8%	11 2.0%	37 6.9%
(5) completely disagree	153 3.5%	151 3.5%	32 3.0%	10 1.9%	33 3.0%	0 -	63 11.3%	5 1.0%	8 1.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2271 52.5%	2322 53.6%	596 55.9%	260 48.2%	537 48.5%	0 -	228 41.2%	360 68.8%	341 63.0%
Middle Box (3)	1498 34.6%	1466 33.9%	368 34.5%	232 43.1%	420 38.0%	0 -	143 25.7%	147 28.1%	155 28.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	557 12.9%	541 12.5%	101 9.5%	47 8.6%	149 13.5%	0 -	184 33.1%	16 3.0%	45 8.3%
Mean	2,45	2,43	2,38 BCEFG	2,52 AEFG	2,50 AEFG	-	2,89 ABCFG	1,98 ABCEG	2,23 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1273 22.7%	1269 22.7%	9 0.9%	8 1.5%	5 0.4%	1230 100.0%	1 0.2%	6 1.1%	10 1.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? In our country enough is done for monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4323 77.2%	4325 77.3%	1067 99.3%	543 99.2%	1104 99.4%	0 -	553 99.4%	521 98.6%	538 97.7%
<b>In our country enough is done for monument protection.</b>									
(1) completely agree	406 9.4%	398 9.2%	114 10.7%	31 5.7%	114 10.3%	0 -	51 9.2%	50 9.6%	39 7.2%
(2) rather agree	1068 24.7%	1061 24.5%	299 28.0%	169 31.2%	243 22.0%	0 -	96 17.4%	135 25.8%	119 22.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1841 42.6%	1823 42.2%	480 45.0%	255 47.1%	493 44.6%	0 -	149 27.0%	233 44.7%	214 39.7%
(4) rather disagree	751 17.4%	778 18.0%	137 12.8%	71 13.0%	201 18.2%	0 -	158 28.7%	79 15.2%	132 24.6%
(5) completely disagree	257 5.9%	265 6.1%	38 3.5%	16 3.0%	54 4.9%	0 -	98 17.8%	25 4.7%	34 6.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1474 34.1%	1459 33.7%	413 38.7%	200 36.9%	357 32.3%	0 -	147 26.6%	184 35.4%	158 29.4%
Middle Box (3)	1841 42.6%	1823 42.2%	480 45.0%	255 47.1%	493 44.6%	0 -	149 27.0%	233 44.7%	214 39.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1008 23.3%	1043 24.1%	174 16.3%	87 16.1%	255 23.1%	0 -	257 46.5%	104 19.9%	166 30.9%
Mean	2,86	2,87	2,70 CEG	2,77 EG	2,85 AEG	-	3,29 ABCFG	2,80 EG	3,01 ABCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1276 22.8%	1272 22.7%	8 0.7%	4 0.8%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	3 0.6%	7 1.4%	13 2.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4326 77.3%	4330 77.4%	1064 98.9%	544 99.4%	1106 99.6%	0 -	556 100.0%	521 98.7%	540 98.0%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1722 39.8%	1789 41.3%	448 42.1%	182 33.4%	405 36.6%	0 -	321 57.7%	198 38.1%	235 43.6%
(2) rather agree	1491 34.5%	1492 34.5%	370 34.8%	203 37.3%	387 35.0%	0 -	174 31.4%	155 29.7%	203 37.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	922 21.3%	875 20.2%	204 19.2%	134 24.7%	248 22.4%	0 -	54 9.7%	144 27.6%	91 16.8%
(4) rather disagree	125 2.9%	111 2.6%	28 2.6%	20 3.6%	43 3.9%	0 -	3 0.5%	14 2.6%	4 0.8%
(5) completely disagree	66 1.5%	62 1.4%	14 1.3%	5 0.9%	23 2.1%	0 -	4 0.7%	10 1.9%	6 1.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3213 74.3%	3281 75.8%	818 76.9%	385 70.7%	792 71.6%	0 -	495 89.1%	353 67.8%	438 81.2%
Middle Box (3)	922 21.3%	875 20.2%	204 19.2%	134 24.7%	248 22.4%	0 -	54 9.7%	144 27.6%	91 16.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	191 4.4%	174 4.0%	41 3.9%	25 4.6%	66 6.0%	0 -	7 1.2%	24 4.6%	11 2.0%
Mean	1,92	1,88	1,86 BCEF	2,01 AEG	2,00 AEG	-	1,55 ABCFG	2,01 AEG	1,78 BCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1273 22.7%	1267 22.6%	11 1.1%	3 0.6%	5 0.4%	1230 100.0%	0 -	7 1.3%	11 2.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5554 99.2%	5556 99.3%	1065 99.1%	543 99.2%	1105 99.5%	1227 99.8%	555 99.9%	521 98.7%	540 98.0%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.</b>									
(1) completely agree	2573 46.3%	2626 47.3%	586 55.1%	185 34.1%	486 44.0%	574 46.8%	357 64.3%	187 35.9%	250 46.3%
(2) rather agree	1867 33.6%	1873 33.7%	323 30.3%	221 40.8%	383 34.7%	422 34.4%	138 24.9%	182 34.9%	204 37.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	966 17.4%	918 16.5%	141 13.2%	120 22.1%	192 17.4%	211 17.2%	53 9.6%	129 24.7%	72 13.3%
(4) rather disagree	94 1.7%	85 1.5%	9 0.8%	12 2.2%	21 1.9%	12 0.9%	3 0.5%	18 3.5%	11 2.0%
(5) completely disagree	54 1.0%	53 0.9%	6 0.6%	4 0.7%	22 2.0%	8 0.7%	4 0.6%	5 1.0%	3 0.6%
Top Box (1+2)	4440 79.9%	4500 81.0%	909 85.4%	407 74.9%	869 78.7%	997 81.2%	495 89.2%	369 70.8%	454 84.1%
Middle Box (3)	966 17.4%	918 16.5%	141 13.2%	120 22.1%	192 17.4%	211 17.2%	53 9.6%	129 24.7%	72 13.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	148 2.7%	138 2.5%	15 1.4%	16 3.0%	43 3.9%	20 1.6%	7 1.2%	23 4.5%	14 2.6%
Mean	1,77	1,75	1,62 BCDEFG	1,95 AcDEG	1,83 AbdEFg	1,74 ABcEF	1,48 ABCDFG	1,99 ACDEG	1,73 ABcEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	45 0.8%	41 0.7%	10 0.9%	4 0.8%	6 0.5%	3 0.2%	1 0.1%	7 1.3%	11 2.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection is an important social duty.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4323 77.2%	4325 77.3%	1063 98.9%	542 99.2%	1105 99.5%	0 -	554 99.7%	522 98.9%	539 97.8%
<b>Monument protection is an important social duty.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1516 35.1%	1590 36.8%	412 38.7%	127 23.3%	349 31.6%	0 -	333 60.0%	153 29.4%	217 40.2%
(2) rather agree	1512 35.0%	1505 34.8%	339 31.9%	227 41.9%	386 34.9%	0 -	164 29.5%	172 32.9%	217 40.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1047 24.2%	996 23.0%	252 23.7%	164 30.2%	280 25.4%	0 -	49 8.8%	167 31.9%	84 15.6%
(4) rather disagree	177 4.1%	167 3.9%	48 4.5%	15 2.9%	62 5.6%	0 -	7 1.2%	22 4.3%	13 2.4%
(5) completely disagree	71 1.6%	67 1.6%	12 1.2%	9 1.6%	27 2.5%	0 -	2 0.4%	8 1.4%	9 1.7%
Top Box (1+2)	3028 70.0%	3095 71.6%	751 70.6%	354 65.3%	735 66.5%	0 -	497 89.5%	325 62.3%	433 80.4%
Middle Box (3)	1047 24.2%	996 23.0%	252 23.7%	164 30.2%	280 25.4%	0 -	49 8.8%	167 31.9%	84 15.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	248 5.7%	235 5.4%	60 5.7%	24 4.5%	89 8.1%	0 -	9 1.6%	30 5.8%	22 4.0%
Mean	2,02	1,99	1,97 BCEFg	2,18 AEG	2,12 AEG	-	1,53 ABCFG	2,16 AEG	1,85 aBCEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1276 22.8%	1272 22.7%	12 1.1%	5 0.8%	6 0.5%	1230 100.0%	1 0.3%	6 1.1%	12 2.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5554 99.2%	5557 99.3%	1068 99.4%	540 98.8%	1101 99.1%	1228 99.9%	555 99.9%	523 99.1%	541 98.3%
<b>Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1061 19.1%	1068 19.2%	157 14.7%	77 14.3%	152 13.8%	210 17.1%	181 32.6%	114 21.9%	176 32.6%
(2) rather agree	1521 27.4%	1532 27.6%	199 18.6%	189 34.9%	199 18.1%	309 25.1%	223 40.2%	174 33.3%	239 44.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1893 34.1%	1866 33.6%	380 35.6%	216 40.0%	419 38.0%	432 35.1%	123 22.2%	191 36.6%	106 19.5%
(4) rather disagree	666 12.0%	668 12.0%	202 19.0%	42 7.8%	207 18.8%	154 12.5%	21 3.8%	29 5.5%	12 2.2%
(5) completely disagree	413 7.4%	423 7.6%	130 12.2%	16 3.1%	124 11.2%	124 10.1%	6 1.2%	14 2.7%	8 1.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2582 46.5%	2600 46.8%	356 33.3%	266 49.2%	351 31.9%	519 42.2%	404 72.8%	289 55.2%	415 76.7%
Middle Box (3)	1893 34.1%	1866 33.6%	380 35.6%	216 40.0%	419 38.0%	432 35.1%	123 22.2%	191 36.6%	106 19.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1079 19.4%	1091 19.6%	332 31.1%	58 10.8%	331 30.1%	278 22.6%	28 5.0%	43 8.3%	20 3.7%
Mean	2,61	2,61	2,95 BDEFG	2,50 ACDEFG	2,96 BDEFG	2,73 ABCEFG	2,01 ABCDF	2,34 ABCDEG	1,96 ABCDF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	45 0.8%	40 0.7%	7 0.6%	7 1.2%	10 0.9%	2 0.1%	1 0.1%	5 0.9%	10 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4331 77.4%	4333 77.4%	1069 99.4%	543 99.3%	1105 99.5%	0 -	554 99.7%	523 99.0%	539 97.8%
<b>Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1195 27.6%	1284 29.6%	331 30.9%	133 24.5%	290 26.2%	0 -	228 41.1%	128 24.4%	175 32.5%
(2) rather agree	1547 35.7%	1565 36.1%	357 33.4%	231 42.5%	391 35.4%	0 -	223 40.2%	165 31.6%	198 36.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1289 29.8%	1212 28.0%	309 28.9%	156 28.7%	332 30.0%	0 -	85 15.4%	189 36.1%	141 26.2%
(4) rather disagree	226 5.2%	201 4.6%	52 4.9%	18 3.3%	66 6.0%	0 -	14 2.6%	30 5.8%	20 3.7%
(5) completely disagree	74 1.7%	70 1.6%	20 1.8%	5 1.0%	26 2.4%	0 -	4 0.7%	11 2.0%	5 0.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2742 63.3%	2849 65.8%	688 64.4%	364 67.0%	681 61.6%	0 -	450 81.3%	293 56.0%	373 69.2%
Middle Box (3)	1289 29.8%	1212 28.0%	309 28.9%	156 28.7%	332 30.0%	0 -	85 15.4%	189 36.1%	141 26.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	300 6.9%	271 6.3%	72 6.7%	23 4.2%	93 8.4%	0 -	18 3.3%	41 7.8%	25 4.6%
Mean	2,18 b	2,12 a	2,13 cEF	2,14 EF	2,23 aEG	-	1,82 ABCFG	2,29 ABEG	2,04 CEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1268 22.6%	1264 22.6%	6 0.6%	4 0.7%	5 0.5%	1230 100.0%	2 0.3%	5 1.0%	12 2.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4314 77.0%	4316 77.1%	1066 99.2%	541 98.9%	1102 99.2%	0 -	549 98.8%	520 98.5%	538 97.7%
<b>Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.</b>									
(1) completely agree	372 8.6%	386 8.9%	98 9.2%	40 7.3%	117 10.6%	0 -	45 8.3%	44 8.5%	42 7.8%
(2) rather agree	795 18.4%	784 18.2%	186 17.4%	118 21.8%	160 14.6%	0 -	116 21.1%	104 20.1%	99 18.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2000 46.4%	1980 45.9%	469 44.0%	296 54.7%	498 45.2%	0 -	181 33.0%	298 57.3%	238 44.2%
(4) rather disagree	731 16.9%	729 16.9%	179 16.8%	64 11.8%	222 20.2%	0 -	109 19.8%	48 9.2%	108 20.0%
(5) completely disagree	416 9.6%	437 10.1%	134 12.6%	24 4.4%	105 9.5%	0 -	98 17.9%	26 4.9%	51 9.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1167 27.1%	1169 27.1%	283 26.6%	157 29.1%	277 25.1%	0 -	161 29.4%	148 28.6%	142 26.3%
Middle Box (3)	2000 46.4%	1980 45.9%	469 44.0%	296 54.7%	498 45.2%	0 -	181 33.0%	298 57.3%	238 44.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1147 26.6%	1166 27.0%	313 29.4%	88 16.2%	327 29.7%	0 -	207 37.6%	73 14.1%	158 29.5%
Mean	3,01	3,01	3,06 BF	2,84 ACEG	3,03 BeF	-	3,18 BcF	2,82 ACEG	3,05 BF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1285 23.0%	1281 22.9%	9 0.8%	6 1.1%	9 0.8%	1230 100.0%	7 1.2%	8 1.5%	13 2.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5539 98.9%	5539 99.0%	1062 98.8%	540 98.7%	1100 99.0%	1226 99.7%	553 99.5%	520 98.5%	538 97.6%
<b>Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1022 18.5%	1039 18.8%	192 18.1%	38 7.1%	218 19.8%	341 27.8%	106 19.1%	79 15.2%	66 12.2%
(2) rather agree	1647 29.7%	1662 30.0%	289 27.2%	141 26.2%	324 29.4%	429 35.0%	203 36.6%	138 26.5%	140 26.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2131 38.5%	2091 37.7%	434 40.9%	250 46.3%	434 39.4%	369 30.1%	173 31.3%	242 46.5%	189 35.2%
(4) rather disagree	550 9.9%	556 10.0%	112 10.5%	86 15.9%	94 8.6%	64 5.3%	51 9.3%	49 9.3%	99 18.5%
(5) completely disagree	189 3.4%	191 3.4%	35 3.3%	25 4.6%	30 2.7%	23 1.9%	20 3.6%	14 2.6%	44 8.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2669 48.2%	2701 48.8%	481 45.3%	180 33.3%	541 49.2%	769 62.8%	308 55.8%	217 41.6%	206 38.2%
Middle Box (3)	2131 38.5%	2091 37.7%	434 40.9%	250 46.3%	434 39.4%	369 30.1%	173 31.3%	242 46.5%	189 35.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	739 13.3%	747 13.5%	147 13.9%	111 20.5%	125 11.3%	88 7.2%	71 12.9%	62 11.9%	143 26.6%
Mean	2,50	2,49	2,54 BcDeG	2,85 ACDEF	2,45 aBdFG	2,19 ABCFG	2,42 aBDFG	2,58 BcDEG	2,84 ACDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	60 1.1%	58 1.0%	13 1.2%	7 1.3%	11 1.0%	4 0.3%	3 0.5%	8 1.5%	13 2.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5544 99.0%	5545 99.1%	1067 99.2%	541 98.8%	1106 99.6%	1224 99.5%	551 99.1%	518 98.1%	539 97.8%
<b>Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.</b>									
(1) completely agree	2041 36.8%	2160 38.9%	520 48.8%	123 22.8%	441 39.9%	451 36.9%	255 46.3%	172 33.3%	196 36.3%
(2) rather agree	2006 36.2%	2008 36.2%	364 34.1%	194 36.0%	383 34.6%	447 36.5%	222 40.3%	179 34.6%	220 40.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1265 22.8%	1165 21.0%	155 14.5%	185 34.2%	229 20.7%	289 23.6%	64 11.6%	145 28.0%	99 18.3%
(4) rather disagree	170 3.1%	153 2.8%	16 1.5%	30 5.6%	31 2.8%	32 2.6%	5 1.0%	17 3.2%	22 4.0%
(5) completely disagree	62 1.1%	59 1.1%	11 1.1%	8 1.4%	22 2.0%	4 0.4%	5 0.8%	5 1.0%	3 0.6%
Top Box (1+2)	4047 73.0%	4168 75.2%	884 82.9%	318 58.8%	824 74.5%	898 73.4%	477 86.6%	351 67.9%	415 77.1%
Middle Box (3)	1265 22.8%	1165 21.0%	155 14.5%	185 34.2%	229 20.7%	289 23.6%	64 11.6%	145 28.0%	99 18.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	232 4.2%	212 3.8%	27 2.6%	38 7.0%	53 4.8%	37 3.0%	10 1.8%	22 4.2%	25 4.6%
Mean	1,95 B	1,91 A	1,72 BCDFG	2,27 ACDEFG	1,92 ABef	1,93 ABef	1,70 BCDFG	2,04 ABcdEg	1,92 ABef
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	55 1.0%	53 0.9%	8 0.8%	6 1.2%	5 0.4%	6 0.5%	5 0.9%	10 1.9%	12 2.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The regulations for monument protection are too strict.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5542 99.0%	5541 99.0%	1066 99.1%	541 98.9%	1104 99.4%	1225 99.6%	551 99.1%	519 98.2%	536 97.2%
<b>The regulations for monument protection are too strict.</b>									
(1) completely agree	623 11.2%	623 11.2%	149 14.0%	43 8.0%	163 14.8%	142 11.6%	58 10.6%	34 6.6%	34 6.3%
(2) rather agree	1124 20.3%	1137 20.5%	171 16.1%	114 21.1%	199 18.1%	262 21.4%	172 31.1%	118 22.8%	101 18.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2637 47.6%	2591 46.8%	458 43.0%	316 58.3%	488 44.2%	513 41.9%	232 42.2%	275 53.0%	309 57.7%
(4) rather disagree	842 15.2%	852 15.4%	207 19.4%	53 9.8%	190 17.2%	197 16.1%	59 10.7%	72 13.8%	75 13.9%
(5) completely disagree	316 5.7%	338 6.1%	81 7.6%	15 2.7%	64 5.8%	111 9.0%	30 5.4%	20 3.8%	17 3.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1747 31.5%	1760 31.8%	320 30.0%	158 29.1%	362 32.8%	403 32.9%	230 41.7%	152 29.4%	135 25.2%
Middle Box (3)	2637 47.6%	2591 46.8%	458 43.0%	316 58.3%	488 44.2%	513 41.9%	232 42.2%	275 53.0%	309 57.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1158 20.9%	1190 21.5%	288 27.0%	68 12.5%	254 23.0%	308 25.1%	89 16.1%	91 17.6%	92 17.2%
Mean	2,84	2,85	2,91 bcE	2,78 adg	2,81 ae	2,90 bE	2,69 AcDFG	2,85 E	2,89 bE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	57 1.0%	57 1.0%	9 0.9%	6 1.1%	7 0.6%	5 0.4%	5 0.9%	9 1.8%	15 2.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection should be supported by public finances.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	5545 99.0%	5540 99.0%	1061 98.7%	542 99.1%	1101 99.1%	1229 99.9%	552 99.3%	520 98.4%	536 97.3%
<b>Monument protection should be supported by public finances.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1709 30.8%	1791 32.3%	338 31.9%	94 17.3%	341 31.0%	429 34.9%	243 44.0%	172 33.1%	174 32.5%
(2) rather agree	1840 33.2%	1865 33.7%	342 32.2%	205 37.9%	364 33.0%	412 33.5%	202 36.6%	164 31.5%	177 33.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1591 28.7%	1505 27.2%	299 28.2%	199 36.6%	303 27.5%	315 25.6%	84 15.2%	160 30.9%	146 27.2%
(4) rather disagree	248 4.5%	231 4.2%	48 4.6%	30 5.6%	51 4.6%	46 3.7%	14 2.4%	17 3.3%	25 4.7%
(5) completely disagree	157 2.8%	149 2.7%	34 3.2%	14 2.6%	43 3.9%	28 2.3%	9 1.7%	7 1.3%	14 2.6%
Top Box (1+2)	3549 64.0%	3656 66.0%	680 64.1%	299 55.2%	705 64.0%	841 68.4%	445 80.6%	336 64.6%	351 65.4%
Middle Box (3)	1591 28.7%	1505 27.2%	299 28.2%	199 36.6%	303 27.5%	315 25.6%	84 15.2%	160 30.9%	146 27.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	405 7.3%	380 6.9%	82 7.7%	44 8.2%	93 8.5%	74 6.0%	23 4.2%	24 4.5%	40 7.4%
Mean	2,15 b	2,11 a	2,15 BdE	2,38 ACDEFG	2,17 BDE	2,05 aBCE	1,81 ABCDFG	2,08 BE	2,12 BE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	54 1.0%	57 1.0%	14 1.3%	5 0.9%	10 0.9%	1 0.1%	4 0.7%	8 1.6%	15 2.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? New technologies are useful for monument protection.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3376 60.3%	3377 60.3%	0 -	541 98.9%	0 -	1228 99.8%	553 99.5%	517 98.0%	537 97.5%
<b>New technologies are useful for monument protection.</b>									
(1) completely agree	918 27.2%	949 28.1%	0 -	125 23.0%	0 -	337 27.4%	223 40.4%	104 20.0%	160 29.8%
(2) rather agree	1276 37.8%	1301 38.5%	0 -	208 38.4%	0 -	436 35.5%	247 44.7%	177 34.3%	232 43.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1042 30.9%	998 29.6%	0 -	181 33.5%	0 -	401 32.6%	72 13.0%	215 41.5%	130 24.2%
(4) rather disagree	104 3.1%	93 2.8%	0 -	21 4.0%	0 -	36 2.9%	7 1.2%	19 3.6%	10 1.9%
(5) completely disagree	36 1.1%	35 1.0%	0 -	6 1.1%	0 -	18 1.4%	4 0.8%	3 0.6%	4 0.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2194 65.0%	2250 66.6%	0 -	333 61.5%	0 -	773 63.0%	470 85.1%	281 54.3%	393 73.1%
Middle Box (3)	1042 30.9%	998 29.6%	0 -	181 33.5%	0 -	401 32.6%	72 13.0%	215 41.5%	130 24.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	140 4.1%	129 3.8%	0 -	27 5.0%	0 -	54 4.4%	11 2.0%	22 4.2%	15 2.8%
Mean	2,13	2,10	-	2,22 EG	-	2,15 EFG	1,77 BDFG	2,30 DEG	2,01 BDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2223 39.7%	2221 39.7%	1075 100.0%	6 1.1%	1111 100.0%	2 0.2%	3 0.5%	11 2.0%	14 2.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3380 60.4%	3380 60.4%	0 -	542 99.1%	0 -	1227 99.7%	552 99.3%	521 98.7%	539 97.7%
<b>We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.</b>									
(1) completely agree	661 19.6%	690 20.4%	0 -	96 17.8%	0 -	313 25.5%	76 13.7%	87 16.7%	119 22.0%
(2) rather agree	1114 33.0%	1135 33.6%	0 -	180 33.3%	0 -	385 31.4%	182 33.0%	176 33.8%	211 39.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1295 38.3%	1251 37.0%	0 -	210 38.8%	0 -	408 33.2%	220 39.8%	236 45.4%	177 32.8%
(4) rather disagree	240 7.1%	237 7.0%	0 -	43 7.9%	0 -	90 7.3%	61 11.0%	18 3.5%	25 4.6%
(5) completely disagree	70 2.1%	68 2.0%	0 -	12 2.3%	0 -	31 2.5%	14 2.6%	3 0.6%	7 1.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1775 52.5%	1825 54.0%	0 -	277 51.0%	0 -	698 56.9%	257 46.6%	263 50.5%	330 61.2%
Middle Box (3)	1295 38.3%	1251 37.0%	0 -	210 38.8%	0 -	408 33.2%	220 39.8%	236 45.4%	177 32.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	310 9.2%	305 9.0%	0 -	55 10.2%	0 -	121 9.8%	75 13.6%	22 4.2%	32 6.0%
Mean	2,39	2,37	-	2,44 DeG	-	2,30 BE	2,56 bDFG	2,38 Eg	2,24 BEf
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2219 39.6%	2217 39.6%	1075 100.0%	5 0.9%	1111 100.0%	3 0.3%	4 0.7%	7 1.3%	12 2.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3405 60.8%	3406 60.8%	0 -	546 99.8%	0 -	1229 99.9%	556 100.0%	527 99.9%	548 99.4%
<b>On historic buildings I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1068 31.4%	1095 32.2%	0 -	151 27.8%	0 -	214 17.4%	307 55.1%	110 20.9%	314 57.3%
(2) rather agree	789 23.2%	800 23.5%	0 -	167 30.6%	0 -	281 22.8%	137 24.7%	125 23.8%	91 16.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	947 27.8%	923 27.1%	0 -	153 28.1%	0 -	396 32.2%	71 12.7%	219 41.6%	84 15.3%
(4) rather disagree	314 9.2%	320 9.4%	0 -	39 7.2%	0 -	199 16.2%	21 3.8%	42 8.0%	18 3.4%
(5) completely disagree	287 8.4%	267 7.8%	0 -	35 6.4%	0 -	141 11.4%	20 3.7%	30 5.7%	41 7.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1857 54.5%	1896 55.7%	0 -	318 58.3%	0 -	494 40.2%	444 79.8%	236 44.7%	404 73.8%
Middle Box (3)	947 27.8%	923 27.1%	0 -	153 28.1%	0 -	396 32.2%	71 12.7%	219 41.6%	84 15.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	601 17.7%	587 17.2%	0 -	74 13.5%	0 -	339 27.6%	42 7.5%	72 13.7%	60 10.9%
Mean	2,40	2,37	-	2,34 DEFG	-	2,81 BEFG	1,76 BDF	2,54 BDEG	1,87 BDF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2194 39.2%	2192 39.2%	1075 100.0%	1 0.2%	1111 100.0%	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.1%	3 0.6%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find damages on the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3394 60.6%	0 -	545 99.6%	0 -	1226 99.7%	555 99.8%	522 99.0%	547 99.2%
<b>On historic buildings I find damages on the facade unappealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1248 36.8%	1293 38.1%	0 -	174 31.9%	0 -	344 28.0%	320 57.7%	117 22.3%	339 62.0%
(2) rather agree	886 26.1%	892 26.3%	0 -	178 32.7%	0 -	355 28.9%	126 22.8%	150 28.7%	83 15.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	857 25.3%	830 24.4%	0 -	142 26.0%	0 -	347 28.3%	70 12.5%	195 37.3%	77 14.0%
(4) rather disagree	219 6.5%	210 6.2%	0 -	32 6.0%	0 -	99 8.1%	21 3.9%	37 7.1%	20 3.6%
(5) completely disagree	183 5.4%	170 5.0%	0 -	19 3.5%	0 -	81 6.6%	17 3.1%	24 4.6%	29 5.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2134 62.9%	2185 64.4%	0 -	352 64.6%	0 -	699 57.0%	446 80.5%	267 51.0%	421 77.1%
Middle Box (3)	857 25.3%	830 24.4%	0 -	142 26.0%	0 -	347 28.3%	70 12.5%	195 37.3%	77 14.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	402 11.8%	380 11.2%	0 -	52 9.5%	0 -	180 14.7%	39 7.0%	61 11.7%	48 8.8%
Mean	2,18	2,14	-	2,17 DEFG	-	2,36 BEG	1,72 BDF	2,43 BEG	1,75 BDF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2206 39.4%	2203 39.4%	1075 100.0%	2 0.4%	1111 100.0%	4 0.3%	1 0.2%	6 1.0%	4 0.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3394 60.6%	3395 60.6%	0 -	543 99.3%	0 -	1229 99.9%	554 99.7%	524 99.3%	544 98.8%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1247 36.7%	1304 38.4%	0 -	183 33.8%	0 -	372 30.2%	314 56.7%	181 34.5%	253 46.5%
(2) rather agree	943 27.8%	940 27.7%	0 -	165 30.4%	0 -	345 28.1%	154 27.8%	150 28.5%	126 23.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	774 22.8%	727 21.4%	0 -	145 26.7%	0 -	298 24.3%	60 10.8%	141 26.9%	83 15.3%
(4) rather disagree	250 7.4%	252 7.4%	0 -	38 6.9%	0 -	144 11.7%	13 2.3%	27 5.2%	30 5.6%
(5) completely disagree	180 5.3%	171 5.1%	0 -	12 2.2%	0 -	70 5.7%	13 2.4%	25 4.9%	51 9.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2190 64.5%	2244 66.1%	0 -	349 64.2%	0 -	717 58.3%	469 84.6%	331 63.0%	380 69.8%
Middle Box (3)	774 22.8%	727 21.4%	0 -	145 26.7%	0 -	298 24.3%	60 10.8%	141 26.9%	83 15.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	430 12.7%	424 12.5%	0 -	50 9.1%	0 -	214 17.4%	26 4.6%	53 10.1%	81 15.0%
Mean	2,17	2,13	-	2,13 DE	-	2,35 BEFG	1,66 BDFG	2,17 DE	2,08 DE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2205 39.4%	2203 39.4%	1075 100.0%	4 0.7%	1111 100.0%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%	4 0.7%	7 1.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3387 60.5%	3387 60.5%	0 -	542 99.0%	0 -	1227 99.8%	553 99.4%	523 99.0%	543 98.5%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1241 36.6%	1287 38.0%	0 -	208 38.4%	0 -	349 28.4%	310 56.0%	148 28.3%	273 50.2%
(2) rather agree	1018 30.1%	1010 29.8%	0 -	182 33.6%	0 -	381 31.1%	160 29.0%	161 30.9%	125 23.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	787 23.2%	755 22.3%	0 -	123 22.8%	0 -	308 25.1%	61 11.0%	169 32.4%	94 17.3%
(4) rather disagree	220 6.5%	216 6.4%	0 -	18 3.4%	0 -	129 10.5%	13 2.4%	31 5.9%	25 4.6%
(5) completely disagree	121 3.6%	119 3.5%	0 -	10 1.9%	0 -	61 4.9%	9 1.6%	13 2.6%	26 4.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2259 66.7%	2297 67.8%	0 -	390 72.0%	0 -	730 59.5%	470 85.0%	309 59.2%	398 73.2%
Middle Box (3)	787 23.2%	755 22.3%	0 -	123 22.8%	0 -	308 25.1%	61 11.0%	169 32.4%	94 17.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	341 10.1%	336 9.9%	0 -	28 5.2%	0 -	190 15.5%	22 4.0%	44 8.5%	51 9.5%
Mean	2,10	2,08	-	1,97 DEF	-	2,32 BEG	1,65 BDFG	2,24 BEG	1,91 DEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2212 39.5%	2210 39.5%	1075 100.0%	5 1.0%	1111 100.0%	3 0.2%	3 0.6%	5 1.0%	8 1.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3385 60.5%	0 -	542 99.0%	0 -	1229 99.9%	552 99.3%	522 98.9%	541 98.1%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1432 42.3%	1499 44.3%	0 -	213 39.3%	0 -	518 42.2%	309 56.0%	180 34.6%	279 51.5%
(2) rather agree	1045 30.9%	1032 30.5%	0 -	174 32.2%	0 -	389 31.7%	160 29.1%	159 30.4%	149 27.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	725 21.4%	679 20.1%	0 -	128 23.6%	0 -	243 19.8%	63 11.5%	156 29.9%	89 16.5%
(4) rather disagree	117 3.5%	109 3.2%	0 -	18 3.3%	0 -	48 3.9%	13 2.3%	19 3.7%	11 2.1%
(5) completely disagree	67 2.0%	65 1.9%	0 -	9 1.6%	0 -	30 2.5%	7 1.2%	8 1.5%	12 2.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2477 73.2%	2531 74.8%	0 -	387 71.5%	0 -	907 73.8%	469 85.0%	339 65.0%	428 79.1%
Middle Box (3)	725 21.4%	679 20.1%	0 -	128 23.6%	0 -	243 19.8%	63 11.5%	156 29.9%	89 16.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	184 5.4%	175 5.2%	0 -	27 4.9%	0 -	78 6.4%	19 3.5%	27 5.2%	23 4.3%
Mean	1,92	1,88	-	1,96 EG	-	1,93 EFG	1,64 BDFg	2,07 DEG	1,76 BDeF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2213 39.5%	2212 39.5%	1075 100.0%	5 1.0%	1111 100.0%	1 0.1%	4 0.7%	6 1.1%	10 1.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the cultural value of the building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3388 60.5%	0 -	546 99.8%	0 -	1226 99.7%	551 99.1%	525 99.4%	541 98.1%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the cultural value of the building.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1178 34.8%	1242 36.7%	0 -	193 35.4%	0 -	349 28.5%	291 52.9%	158 30.2%	250 46.3%
(2) rather agree	889 26.3%	880 26.0%	0 -	157 28.8%	0 -	303 24.7%	145 26.3%	149 28.4%	126 23.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	905 26.7%	863 25.5%	0 -	142 26.1%	0 -	350 28.5%	85 15.5%	175 33.3%	110 20.4%
(4) rather disagree	255 7.5%	250 7.4%	0 -	38 7.0%	0 -	140 11.4%	17 3.1%	27 5.2%	28 5.3%
(5) completely disagree	159 4.7%	152 4.5%	0 -	14 2.6%	0 -	84 6.9%	13 2.3%	15 2.9%	26 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2067 61.0%	2123 62.7%	0 -	351 64.3%	0 -	652 53.2%	436 79.2%	307 58.6%	376 69.6%
Middle Box (3)	905 26.7%	863 25.5%	0 -	142 26.1%	0 -	350 28.5%	85 15.5%	175 33.3%	110 20.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	414 12.2%	403 11.9%	0 -	52 9.6%	0 -	224 18.3%	30 5.4%	42 8.1%	54 10.1%
Mean	2,21	2,17	-	2,12 DEg	-	2,43 BEFG	1,76 BDFG	2,22 DEG	1,99 bDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2213 39.5%	2209 39.5%	1075 100.0%	1 0.2%	1111 100.0%	4 0.3%	5 0.9%	3 0.6%	10 1.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The cleaned facade of the historic building looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3387 60.5%	3386 60.5%	0 -	544 99.5%	0 -	1226 99.7%	551 99.1%	524 99.2%	541 98.2%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.</b>									
(1) completely agree	518 15.3%	509 15.0%	0 -	46 8.5%	0 -	238 19.4%	67 12.2%	63 12.1%	95 17.5%
(2) rather agree	724 21.4%	700 20.7%	0 -	104 19.1%	0 -	274 22.4%	101 18.4%	102 19.4%	120 22.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1083 32.0%	1058 31.2%	0 -	204 37.4%	0 -	389 31.7%	120 21.8%	210 40.0%	135 25.0%
(4) rather disagree	575 17.0%	588 17.4%	0 -	106 19.5%	0 -	184 15.0%	126 22.8%	96 18.3%	76 14.1%
(5) completely disagree	487 14.4%	530 15.7%	0 -	85 15.5%	0 -	141 11.5%	137 24.8%	53 10.2%	115 21.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1242 36.7%	1210 35.7%	0 -	150 27.6%	0 -	512 41.8%	168 30.6%	165 31.5%	214 39.6%
Middle Box (3)	1083 32.0%	1058 31.2%	0 -	204 37.4%	0 -	389 31.7%	120 21.8%	210 40.0%	135 25.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1062 31.4%	1119 33.0%	0 -	191 35.0%	0 -	325 26.5%	262 47.6%	149 28.5%	191 35.4%
Mean	2,94	2,98	-	3,14 DeF	-	2,77 BEFG	3,30 bDFG	2,95 BDE	2,99 DE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2212 39.5%	2211 39.5%	1075 100.0%	3 0.5%	1111 100.0%	3 0.3%	5 0.9%	4 0.8%	10 1.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3391 60.6%	3391 60.6%	0 -	544 99.5%	0 -	1226 99.7%	553 99.5%	524 99.2%	543 98.6%
<b>The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1890 55.7%	1929 56.9%	0 -	239 43.9%	0 -	766 62.5%	362 65.4%	207 39.4%	356 65.5%
(2) rather agree	873 25.7%	864 25.5%	0 -	169 31.0%	0 -	298 24.3%	137 24.8%	147 28.1%	112 20.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	537 15.8%	511 15.1%	0 -	115 21.2%	0 -	147 11.9%	46 8.3%	143 27.3%	60 11.1%
(4) rather disagree	62 1.8%	58 1.7%	0 -	15 2.8%	0 -	11 0.9%	6 1.0%	19 3.7%	6 1.2%
(5) completely disagree	29 0.9%	29 0.9%	0 -	6 1.1%	0 -	5 0.4%	2 0.4%	8 1.5%	8 1.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2763 81.5%	2793 82.4%	0 -	408 74.9%	0 -	1064 86.8%	499 90.2%	354 67.6%	468 86.2%
Middle Box (3)	537 15.8%	511 15.1%	0 -	115 21.2%	0 -	147 11.9%	46 8.3%	143 27.3%	60 11.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	91 2.7%	87 2.6%	0 -	21 3.9%	0 -	16 1.3%	8 1.4%	27 5.2%	14 2.7%
Mean	1,66	1,64	-	1,86 DEIG	-	1,52 BF	1,46 BF	2,00 bDEG	1,52 BF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2208 39.4%	2207 39.4%	1075 100.0%	3 0.5%	1111 100.0%	3 0.3%	3 0.5%	4 0.8%	8 1.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3390 60.5%	3391 60.6%	0 -	543 99.3%	0 -	1229 100.0%	553 99.4%	525 99.4%	541 98.1%
<b>Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.</b>									
(1) completely agree	690 20.4%	715 21.1%	0 -	158 29.0%	0 -	250 20.3%	104 18.8%	89 16.9%	115 21.3%
(2) rather agree	1074 31.7%	1096 32.3%	0 -	211 38.9%	0 -	403 32.8%	182 33.0%	165 31.4%	134 24.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1161 34.2%	1117 32.9%	0 -	139 25.5%	0 -	434 35.3%	166 30.1%	199 37.9%	179 33.2%
(4) rather disagree	300 8.8%	296 8.7%	0 -	26 4.8%	0 -	95 7.7%	65 11.7%	50 9.6%	60 11.0%
(5) completely disagree	165 4.9%	166 4.9%	0 -	10 1.8%	0 -	47 3.9%	35 6.4%	22 4.2%	52 9.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1764 52.0%	1811 53.4%	0 -	369 67.9%	0 -	653 53.1%	286 51.8%	254 48.3%	250 46.2%
Middle Box (3)	1161 34.2%	1117 32.9%	0 -	139 25.5%	0 -	434 35.3%	166 30.1%	199 37.9%	179 33.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	465 13.7%	463 13.6%	0 -	36 6.6%	0 -	143 11.6%	100 18.1%	73 13.8%	112 20.6%
Mean	2,46	2,44	-	2,11 DEFG	-	2,42 BelG	2,54 Bd	2,53 Bd	2,63 BD
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2209 39.5%	2207 39.4%	1075 100.0%	4 0.7%	1111 100.0%	1 0.0%	3 0.6%	3 0.6%	10 1.9%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3381 60.4%	3381 60.4%	0 -	537 98.1%	0 -	1228 99.9%	554 99.6%	525 99.4%	538 97.7%
<b>Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.</b>									
(1) completely agree	780 23.1%	815 24.1%	0 -	151 28.2%	0 -	174 14.2%	189 34.2%	149 28.4%	151 28.0%
(2) rather agree	930 27.5%	942 27.9%	0 -	180 33.5%	0 -	276 22.5%	179 32.4%	157 29.9%	150 27.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1034 30.6%	994 29.4%	0 -	155 29.0%	0 -	395 32.1%	121 21.8%	183 34.9%	140 26.1%
(4) rather disagree	377 11.2%	375 11.1%	0 -	36 6.6%	0 -	229 18.6%	36 6.4%	24 4.6%	51 9.4%
(5) completely disagree	260 7.7%	255 7.6%	0 -	14 2.7%	0 -	154 12.6%	29 5.2%	11 2.2%	47 8.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1710 50.6%	1757 52.0%	0 -	331 61.7%	0 -	451 36.7%	369 66.6%	306 58.3%	301 55.8%
Middle Box (3)	1034 30.6%	994 29.4%	0 -	155 29.0%	0 -	395 32.1%	121 21.8%	183 34.9%	140 26.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	637 18.8%	630 18.6%	0 -	50 9.3%	0 -	383 31.2%	64 11.6%	36 6.8%	98 18.1%
Mean	2,53	2,50	-	2,22 DG	-	2,93 BEFG	2,16 DG	2,22 DG	2,43 BDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2218 39.6%	2216 39.6%	1075 100.0%	10 1.9%	1111 100.0%	2 0.1%	2 0.4%	3 0.6%	13 2.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3392 60.6%	3393 60.6%	0 -	546 99.7%	0 -	1228 99.9%	554 99.7%	525 99.4%	540 98.1%
<b>Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.</b>									
(1) completely agree	496 14.6%	484 14.3%	0 -	49 9.1%	0 -	239 19.4%	59 10.6%	61 11.7%	76 14.1%
(2) rather agree	822 24.2%	809 23.8%	0 -	132 24.1%	0 -	333 27.1%	115 20.8%	109 20.8%	120 22.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1167 34.4%	1143 33.7%	0 -	209 38.2%	0 -	413 33.6%	141 25.5%	213 40.6%	167 30.9%
(4) rather disagree	554 16.3%	574 16.9%	0 -	110 20.2%	0 -	149 12.1%	128 23.1%	94 18.0%	94 17.3%
(5) completely disagree	353 10.4%	383 11.3%	0 -	46 8.4%	0 -	95 7.7%	111 20.1%	47 8.9%	84 15.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1318 38.9%	1293 38.1%	0 -	181 33.2%	0 -	572 46.6%	174 31.3%	171 32.5%	196 36.3%
Middle Box (3)	1167 34.4%	1143 33.7%	0 -	209 38.2%	0 -	413 33.6%	141 25.5%	213 40.6%	167 30.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	907 26.7%	957 28.2%	0 -	156 28.6%	0 -	243 19.8%	239 43.2%	141 26.9%	178 32.9%
Mean	2,84	2,87	-	2,95 DE	-	2,62 BEFG	3,21 BDFG	2,92 DE	2,98 DE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2207 39.4%	2204 39.4%	1075 100.0%	1 0.3%	1111 100.0%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%	3 0.6%	11 1.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find the soiling of sculptures unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3399 60.7%	3400 60.7%	0 -	546 99.8%	0 -	1228 99.9%	554 99.6%	527 99.7%	545 99.0%
<b>On historic buildings I find the soiling of sculptures unappealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	931 27.4%	970 28.5%	0 -	151 27.8%	0 -	178 14.5%	278 50.3%	122 23.1%	240 44.0%
(2) rather agree	784 23.1%	790 23.2%	0 -	150 27.5%	0 -	237 19.3%	153 27.6%	146 27.8%	104 19.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	966 28.4%	941 27.7%	0 -	170 31.2%	0 -	385 31.3%	77 13.9%	199 37.8%	110 20.2%
(4) rather disagree	369 10.9%	369 10.8%	0 -	46 8.4%	0 -	212 17.3%	26 4.7%	40 7.6%	44 8.1%
(5) completely disagree	349 10.3%	330 9.7%	0 -	28 5.1%	0 -	216 17.6%	19 3.5%	20 3.7%	48 8.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1715 50.5%	1760 51.8%	0 -	302 55.3%	0 -	415 33.8%	431 77.9%	268 50.9%	343 63.0%
Middle Box (3)	966 28.4%	941 27.7%	0 -	170 31.2%	0 -	385 31.3%	77 13.9%	199 37.8%	110 20.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	718 21.1%	699 20.6%	0 -	74 13.6%	0 -	428 34.8%	45 8.2%	60 11.4%	92 16.8%
Mean	2,54	2,50	-	2,36 DEg	-	3,04 BEFG	1,84 BDFG	2,41 DEG	2,19 bDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2200 39.3%	2198 39.3%	1075 100.0%	1 0.2%	1111 100.0%	2 0.1%	2 0.4%	1 0.3%	6 1.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On historic buildings I find damaged sculptures unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3390 60.6%	0 -	544 99.4%	0 -	1227 99.7%	552 99.4%	525 99.5%	542 98.4%
<b>On historic buildings I find damaged sculptures unappealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1025 30.2%	1082 31.9%	0 -	163 30.0%	0 -	287 23.4%	255 46.2%	124 23.7%	252 46.5%
(2) rather agree	953 28.1%	966 28.5%	0 -	179 32.9%	0 -	355 29.0%	161 29.1%	157 29.9%	115 21.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	918 27.1%	877 25.9%	0 -	151 27.7%	0 -	332 27.0%	96 17.4%	191 36.4%	108 19.8%
(4) rather disagree	274 8.1%	253 7.5%	0 -	31 5.7%	0 -	136 11.1%	20 3.6%	34 6.5%	32 5.9%
(5) completely disagree	223 6.6%	212 6.3%	0 -	20 3.6%	0 -	117 9.6%	20 3.7%	19 3.5%	36 6.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1978 58.3%	2048 60.4%	0 -	342 62.9%	0 -	642 52.3%	416 75.3%	281 53.6%	367 67.6%
Middle Box (3)	918 27.1%	877 25.9%	0 -	151 27.7%	0 -	332 27.0%	96 17.4%	191 36.4%	108 19.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	497 14.6%	465 13.7%	0 -	51 9.4%	0 -	253 20.7%	40 7.3%	53 10.1%	68 12.5%
Mean	2,33	2,28	-	2,20 DEfg	-	2,55 BEFG	1,89 BDFg	2,36 bDEG	2,05 bDeF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2206 39.4%	2207 39.4%	1075 100.0%	3 0.6%	1111 100.0%	3 0.3%	3 0.6%	3 0.5%	9 1.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The new sculptures look more attractive than the aged sculptures.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3383 60.4%	3382 60.4%	0 -	543 99.3%	0 -	1221 99.3%	552 99.4%	524 99.3%	541 98.2%
<b>The cleaned sculptures look more attractive than the sculptures that were not cleaned.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1082 32.0%	1118 33.0%	0 -	186 34.3%	0 -	262 21.4%	282 51.1%	156 29.8%	231 42.6%
(2) rather agree	939 27.8%	953 28.2%	0 -	182 33.4%	0 -	324 26.5%	166 30.0%	151 28.9%	131 24.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	882 26.1%	839 24.8%	0 -	133 24.4%	0 -	353 28.9%	81 14.7%	164 31.2%	109 20.1%
(4) rather disagree	269 8.0%	262 7.7%	0 -	31 5.8%	0 -	141 11.6%	15 2.7%	35 6.8%	39 7.2%
(5) completely disagree	211 6.2%	210 6.2%	0 -	11 2.0%	0 -	141 11.6%	8 1.5%	18 3.3%	32 5.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2021 59.7%	2071 61.2%	0 -	368 67.8%	0 -	586 48.0%	448 81.1%	308 58.7%	361 66.8%
Middle Box (3)	882 26.1%	839 24.8%	0 -	133 24.4%	0 -	353 28.9%	81 14.7%	164 31.2%	109 20.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	480 14.2%	472 14.0%	0 -	42 7.8%	0 -	282 23.1%	23 4.2%	53 10.1%	71 13.1%
Mean	2,29	2,26	-	2,08 DEF	-	2,65 BEFG	1,73 BDFG	2,25 BDEg	2,10 DEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2216 39.6%	2215 39.6%	1075 100.0%	4 0.7%	1111 100.0%	9 0.7%	3 0.6%	4 0.7%	10 1.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### The contrast between the new and the aged sculptures is irritating.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3383 60.4%	0 -	544 99.5%	0 -	1224 99.5%	552 99.4%	523 99.0%	540 97.9%

### The contrast between the cleaned and the uncleaned sculptures is irritating.

(1) completely agree	1019 30.1%	1016 30.0%	0 -	156 28.6%	0 -	386 31.6%	177 32.1%	79 15.2%	217 40.3%
(2) rather agree	965 28.5%	956 28.2%	0 -	169 31.1%	0 -	356 29.1%	161 29.2%	138 26.4%	131 24.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	987 29.1%	980 29.0%	0 -	168 30.9%	0 -	310 25.3%	150 27.2%	218 41.6%	134 24.8%
(4) rather disagree	224 6.6%	231 6.8%	0 -	34 6.2%	0 -	84 6.8%	33 6.0%	60 11.5%	20 3.8%
(5) completely disagree	191 5.6%	201 5.9%	0 -	18 3.2%	0 -	88 7.2%	31 5.5%	28 5.4%	37 6.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1984 58.6%	1971 58.3%	0 -	325 59.7%	0 -	742 60.7%	338 61.2%	217 41.5%	348 64.6%
Middle Box (3)	987 29.1%	980 29.0%	0 -	168 30.9%	0 -	310 25.3%	150 27.2%	218 41.6%	134 24.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	415 12.3%	431 12.8%	0 -	51 9.4%	0 -	171 14.0%	64 11.5%	88 16.8%	57 10.6%
Mean	2,29	2,30	-	2,24 F	-	2,29 FG	2,24 F	2,66 BDEG	2,13 DF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2213 39.5%	2214 39.6%	1075 100.0%	3 0.5%	1111 100.0%	6 0.5%	3 0.6%	5 1.0%	11 2.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### The aged sculptures look more authentic.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3379 60.4%	3379 60.4%	0 -	541 99.0%	0 -	1226 99.7%	549 98.7%	524 99.3%	538 97.7%

### The sculptures that were not yet cleaned look more authentic.

(1) completely agree	557 16.5%	537 15.9%	0 -	53 9.9%	0 -	272 22.2%	76 13.8%	49 9.4%	86 16.0%
(2) rather agree	838 24.8%	828 24.5%	0 -	138 25.4%	0 -	333 27.1%	107 19.5%	112 21.3%	139 25.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1160 34.3%	1142 33.8%	0 -	221 40.7%	0 -	394 32.1%	159 28.9%	214 40.8%	156 29.0%
(4) rather disagree	480 14.2%	489 14.5%	0 -	73 13.5%	0 -	136 11.1%	104 19.0%	98 18.8%	77 14.3%
(5) completely disagree	344 10.2%	383 11.3%	0 -	57 10.5%	0 -	92 7.5%	104 18.9%	51 9.8%	80 14.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1395 41.3%	1365 40.4%	0 -	191 35.3%	0 -	605 49.3%	183 33.3%	161 30.7%	226 41.9%
Middle Box (3)	1160 34.3%	1142 33.8%	0 -	221 40.7%	0 -	394 32.1%	159 28.9%	214 40.8%	156 29.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	824 24.4%	872 25.8%	0 -	130 24.0%	0 -	228 18.6%	208 37.8%	150 28.6%	157 29.1%
Mean	2,77	2,81	-	2,89 DE	-	2,55 BEFG	3,10 BDG	2,98 D	2,86 DE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2220 39.6%	2218 39.6%	1075 100.0%	6 1.0%	1111 100.0%	4 0.3%	7 1.3%	4 0.7%	13 2.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3376 60.3%	3378 60.4%	0 -	544 99.5%	0 -	1221 99.2%	552 99.3%	522 98.9%	540 97.9%
<b>On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).</b>									
(1) completely agree	267 7.9%	272 8.0%	0 -	39 7.1%	0 -	85 6.9%	55 10.0%	43 8.3%	50 9.2%
(2) rather agree	495 14.7%	483 14.3%	0 -	103 19.0%	0 -	137 11.2%	100 18.2%	78 14.9%	64 11.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1113 33.0%	1103 32.7%	0 -	190 34.8%	0 -	390 31.9%	144 26.1%	235 45.0%	145 26.8%
(4) rather disagree	666 19.7%	666 19.7%	0 -	107 19.7%	0 -	274 22.4%	98 17.8%	97 18.6%	90 16.7%
(5) completely disagree	835 24.7%	854 25.3%	0 -	106 19.4%	0 -	335 27.5%	154 27.9%	68 13.1%	191 35.4%
Top Box (1+2)	762 22.6%	754 22.3%	0 -	142 26.1%	0 -	222 18.2%	155 28.2%	121 23.2%	114 21.1%
Middle Box (3)	1113 33.0%	1103 32.7%	0 -	190 34.8%	0 -	390 31.9%	144 26.1%	235 45.0%	145 26.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1501 44.5%	1521 45.0%	0 -	213 39.1%	0 -	609 49.9%	252 45.7%	166 31.7%	281 52.0%
Mean	3,39	3,40	-	3,25 DG	-	3,52 BEF	3,36 DFG	3,13 DEG	3,57 BEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2223 39.7%	2219 39.6%	1075 100.0%	3 0.5%	1111 100.0%	9 0.8%	4 0.7%	6 1.1%	11 2.1%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3387 60.5%	0 -	544 99.4%	0 -	1228 99.9%	552 99.3%	522 98.9%	541 98.1%
<b>Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1186 35.0%	1261 37.2%	0 -	159 29.2%	0 -	517 42.1%	252 45.6%	137 26.3%	197 36.5%
(2) rather agree	1039 30.7%	1038 30.7%	0 -	186 34.2%	0 -	373 30.3%	181 32.8%	149 28.6%	149 27.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	952 28.1%	899 26.6%	0 -	168 30.9%	0 -	283 23.0%	100 18.2%	205 39.3%	143 26.5%
(4) rather disagree	142 4.2%	124 3.7%	0 -	23 4.3%	0 -	36 2.9%	15 2.7%	25 4.8%	24 4.5%
(5) completely disagree	67 2.0%	64 1.9%	0 -	8 1.4%	0 -	20 1.7%	4 0.7%	5 1.0%	27 4.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2225 65.7%	2299 67.9%	0 -	345 63.4%	0 -	889 72.4%	433 78.4%	286 54.8%	347 64.1%
Middle Box (3)	952 28.1%	899 26.6%	0 -	168 30.9%	0 -	283 23.0%	100 18.2%	205 39.3%	143 26.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	209 6.2%	188 5.5%	0 -	31 5.7%	0 -	57 4.6%	19 3.4%	31 5.9%	51 9.4%
Mean	2,07 b	2,02 a	-	2,15 DEf	-	1,92 BeFG	1,80 BdFG	2,26 bDE	2,14 DE
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2213 39.5%	2211 39.5%	1075 100.0%	3 0.6%	1111 100.0%	2 0.1%	4 0.7%	6 1.1%	10 1.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On modern monuments I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3392 60.6%	3392 60.6%	0 -	544 99.5%	0 -	1226 99.7%	554 99.6%	526 99.6%	542 98.4%
<b>On modern monuments I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1257 37.1%	1301 38.3%	0 -	164 30.2%	0 -	391 31.8%	330 59.6%	99 18.7%	318 58.6%
(2) rather agree	790 23.3%	795 23.4%	0 -	142 26.0%	0 -	303 24.7%	117 21.1%	134 25.5%	100 18.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	864 25.5%	839 24.7%	0 -	180 33.1%	0 -	291 23.7%	76 13.7%	212 40.4%	80 14.8%
(4) rather disagree	226 6.7%	209 6.2%	0 -	36 6.7%	0 -	86 7.0%	17 3.0%	54 10.3%	16 2.9%
(5) completely disagree	255 7.5%	248 7.3%	0 -	22 4.0%	0 -	156 12.7%	15 2.7%	27 5.1%	28 5.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2047 60.3%	2096 61.8%	0 -	306 56.2%	0 -	693 56.5%	447 80.6%	232 44.2%	418 77.0%
Middle Box (3)	864 25.5%	839 24.7%	0 -	180 33.1%	0 -	291 23.7%	76 13.7%	212 40.4%	80 14.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	481 14.2%	457 13.5%	0 -	58 10.7%	0 -	243 19.8%	31 5.6%	81 15.4%	44 8.2%
Mean	2,24	2,21	-	2,28 dEFG	-	2,44 bEiG	1,68 BDF	2,58 BdEG	1,78 BDF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2207 39.4%	2205 39.4%	1075 100.0%	3 0.5%	1111 100.0%	3 0.3%	2 0.4%	2 0.4%	9 1.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### On modern monuments I find damages on the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3393 60.6%	0 -	545 99.6%	0 -	1228 99.9%	555 99.8%	522 98.9%	542 98.4%
<b>On modern monuments I find damages on the facade unappealing.</b>									
(1) completely agree	1340 39.5%	1394 41.1%	0 -	180 33.0%	0 -	457 37.2%	324 58.3%	106 20.2%	328 60.5%
(2) rather agree	824 24.3%	825 24.3%	0 -	139 25.6%	0 -	344 28.0%	119 21.4%	121 23.3%	101 18.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	834 24.6%	803 23.7%	0 -	172 31.6%	0 -	252 20.5%	78 14.0%	227 43.4%	75 13.8%
(4) rather disagree	172 5.1%	155 4.6%	0 -	30 5.5%	0 -	53 4.3%	15 2.7%	41 7.8%	16 3.0%
(5) completely disagree	223 6.6%	215 6.3%	0 -	23 4.3%	0 -	123 10.0%	19 3.5%	28 5.4%	22 4.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2164 63.8%	2219 65.4%	0 -	319 58.6%	0 -	801 65.2%	443 79.8%	227 43.5%	429 79.1%
Middle Box (3)	834 24.6%	803 23.7%	0 -	172 31.6%	0 -	252 20.5%	78 14.0%	227 43.4%	75 13.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	395 11.6%	370 10.9%	0 -	53 9.8%	0 -	176 14.3%	34 6.2%	69 13.1%	38 7.0%
Mean	2,15	2,11	-	2,22 EFG	-	2,22 EFG	1,72 BDF	2,55 BDEG	1,71 BDF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2206 39.4%	2205 39.4%	1075 100.0%	2 0.4%	1111 100.0%	2 0.1%	1 0.2%	6 1.1%	9 1.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Modern monuments are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3396 60.7%	3396 60.7%	0 -	543 99.3%	0 -	1230 100.0%	555 99.8%	526 99.6%	543 98.5%
<b>Modern monuments are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.</b>									
(1) completely agree	762 22.4%	763 22.5%	0 -	107 19.6%	0 -	278 22.6%	123 22.1%	85 16.2%	171 31.4%
(2) rather agree	941 27.7%	934 27.5%	0 -	155 28.5%	0 -	331 26.9%	152 27.5%	152 29.0%	144 26.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1026 30.2%	1029 30.3%	0 -	176 32.4%	0 -	364 29.6%	155 27.9%	205 39.1%	129 23.8%
(4) rather disagree	461 13.6%	468 13.8%	0 -	76 14.0%	0 -	190 15.5%	89 16.0%	59 11.2%	54 9.9%
(5) completely disagree	206 6.1%	202 5.9%	0 -	30 5.5%	0 -	67 5.4%	36 6.5%	23 4.5%	45 8.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1703 50.1%	1698 50.0%	0 -	261 48.1%	0 -	608 49.5%	275 49.6%	238 45.2%	315 58.0%
Middle Box (3)	1026 30.2%	1029 30.3%	0 -	176 32.4%	0 -	364 29.6%	155 27.9%	205 39.1%	129 23.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	667 19.6%	670 19.7%	0 -	106 19.5%	0 -	257 20.9%	125 22.5%	83 15.7%	99 18.2%
Mean	2,53	2,53	-	2,57 G	-	2,54 G	2,57 G	2,59 G	2,37 BDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2203 39.3%	2201 39.3%	1075 100.0%	4 0.7%	1111 100.0%	0 -	1 0.2%	2 0.4%	8 1.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Modern monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3395 60.6%	3395 60.7%	0 -	544 99.4%	0 -	1229 99.9%	556 100.0%	524 99.3%	542 98.3%
<b>Modern monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.</b>									
(1) completely agree	989 29.1%	1000 29.4%	0 -	131 24.1%	0 -	264 21.5%	254 45.8%	136 25.9%	214 39.5%
(2) rather agree	1088 32.0%	1076 31.7%	0 -	192 35.3%	0 -	403 32.8%	161 28.9%	165 31.4%	156 28.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	932 27.5%	921 27.1%	0 -	170 31.3%	0 -	369 30.0%	96 17.3%	176 33.5%	110 20.3%
(4) rather disagree	217 6.4%	217 6.4%	0 -	35 6.4%	0 -	102 8.3%	20 3.5%	34 6.4%	27 5.0%
(5) completely disagree	169 5.0%	181 5.3%	0 -	15 2.8%	0 -	91 7.4%	24 4.4%	15 2.8%	35 6.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2077 61.2%	2076 61.1%	0 -	323 59.5%	0 -	667 54.3%	415 74.7%	301 57.3%	370 68.2%
Middle Box (3)	932 27.5%	921 27.1%	0 -	170 31.3%	0 -	369 30.0%	96 17.3%	176 33.5%	110 20.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	386 11.4%	398 11.7%	0 -	50 9.2%	0 -	193 15.7%	44 7.9%	48 9.2%	62 11.5%
Mean	2,26	2,26	-	2,29 DEG	-	2,47 BEFG	1,92 BDFG	2,29 DEG	2,10 BDEF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2204 39.4%	2202 39.3%	1075 100.0%	3 0.6%	1111 100.0%	1 0.1%	0 -	4 0.7%	9 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Modern monuments should always look 'as good as new'.

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	3390 60.5%	3390 60.6%	0 -	542 99.0%	0 -	1229 99.9%	555 99.8%	524 99.3%	541 98.1%
<b>Modern monuments should always look 'as good as new'.</b>									
(1) completely agree	783 23.1%	766 22.6%	0 -	91 16.9%	0 -	215 17.5%	195 35.2%	59 11.2%	206 38.0%
(2) rather agree	992 29.3%	1003 29.6%	0 -	176 32.4%	0 -	339 27.6%	209 37.7%	120 22.9%	159 29.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1157 34.1%	1147 33.8%	0 -	190 35.1%	0 -	446 36.3%	120 21.6%	259 49.4%	132 24.4%
(4) rather disagree	274 8.1%	278 8.2%	0 -	58 10.7%	0 -	121 9.9%	17 3.1%	55 10.6%	26 4.8%
(5) completely disagree	184 5.4%	196 5.8%	0 -	27 5.0%	0 -	107 8.7%	13 2.4%	31 5.9%	18 3.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1775 52.4%	1769 52.2%	0 -	267 49.3%	0 -	554 45.1%	404 72.9%	179 34.1%	365 67.5%
Middle Box (3)	1157 34.1%	1147 33.8%	0 -	190 35.1%	0 -	446 36.3%	120 21.6%	259 49.4%	132 24.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	458 13.5%	474 14.0%	0 -	85 15.7%	0 -	228 18.6%	30 5.5%	86 16.4%	44 8.1%
Mean	2,43	2,45	-	2,55 EFG	-	2,65 EfG	2,00 BDF	2,77 BdEG	2,06 BDF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2209 39.5%	2207 39.4%	1075 100.0%	5 1.0%	1111 100.0%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	4 0.7%	10 1.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you visit historic monuments in your leisure time or on weekends

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4318 77.1%	4316 77.1%	1065 99.1%	543 99.3%	1104 99.4%	0 -	552 99.2%	524 99.3%	528 95.9%
<b>Visiting monuments: On the weekend or in your leisure time</b>									
(1) almost always	162 3.8%	161 3.7%	30 2.8%	23 4.2%	11 1.0%	0 -	32 5.8%	14 2.7%	51 9.6%
(2) frequently	610 14.1%	623 14.4%	142 13.3%	96 17.7%	120 10.9%	0 -	127 22.9%	41 7.8%	97 18.4%
(3) occasionally	1594 36.9%	1618 37.5%	410 38.5%	171 31.5%	398 36.0%	0 -	241 43.7%	195 37.2%	203 38.5%
(4) rarely	1138 26.4%	1119 25.9%	261 24.5%	172 31.7%	319 28.9%	0 -	106 19.3%	162 30.9%	99 18.8%
(5) almost never	814 18.9%	795 18.4%	223 20.9%	81 14.9%	256 23.2%	0 -	46 8.3%	112 21.5%	77 14.7%
Top Box (1+2)	772 17.9%	783 18.1%	171 16.1%	119 22.0%	131 11.9%	0 -	158 28.7%	55 10.5%	148 28.0%
Middle Box (3)	1594 36.9%	1618 37.5%	410 38.5%	171 31.5%	398 36.0%	0 -	241 43.7%	195 37.2%	203 38.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1952 45.2%	1914 44.4%	484 45.4%	253 46.5%	574 52.1%	0 -	152 27.6%	275 52.4%	177 33.5%
Mean	3,42	3,41	3,47 bCEfG	3,35 aCEFG	3,62 ABEG	-	3,01 ABCF	3,61 aBEG	3,10 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1281 22.9%	1281 22.9%	10 0.9%	4 0.7%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	4 0.8%	4 0.7%	23 4.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you visit historic monuments during vacations?

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	4333 77.4%	4333 77.4%	1070 99.5%	543 99.2%	1100 99.1%	0 -	552 99.3%	525 99.5%	543 98.5%
<b>Visiting monuments: During vacations</b>									
(1) almost always	750 17.3%	745 17.2%	213 19.9%	80 14.7%	145 13.1%	0 -	107 19.5%	57 10.8%	143 26.3%
(2) frequently	1355 31.3%	1357 31.3%	337 31.5%	167 30.8%	314 28.6%	0 -	214 38.7%	149 28.4%	176 32.4%
(3) occasionally	1278 29.5%	1289 29.7%	309 28.9%	163 29.9%	347 31.5%	0 -	158 28.6%	190 36.2%	123 22.6%
(4) rarely	497 11.5%	489 11.3%	106 9.9%	77 14.1%	137 12.5%	0 -	43 7.7%	70 13.3%	57 10.5%
(5) almost never	453 10.5%	453 10.5%	105 9.8%	57 10.5%	158 14.3%	0 -	30 5.5%	59 11.3%	44 8.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2105 48.6%	2102 48.5%	550 51.4%	247 45.4%	459 41.7%	0 -	321 58.2%	206 39.3%	319 58.7%
Middle Box (3)	1278 29.5%	1289 29.7%	309 28.9%	163 29.9%	347 31.5%	0 -	158 28.6%	190 36.2%	123 22.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	950 21.9%	943 21.8%	211 19.7%	134 24.6%	295 26.8%	0 -	73 13.2%	129 24.6%	101 18.7%
Mean	2,66	2,67	2,58 BCEFG	2,75 AEG	2,86 AEG	-	2,41 ABCF	2,86 AEG	2,42 ABCF
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	1266 22.6%	1264 22.6%	5 0.5%	4 0.8%	10 0.9%	1230 100.0%	4 0.7%	3 0.5%	8 1.5%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**How often do you visit a historic monument in your leisure time, on weekends or during vacations? - On other occasions:**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2626 46.9%	2747 49.1%	642 59.7%	377 69.0%	665 59.9%	0 -	385 69.2%	359 68.0%	319 57.9%
<b>Visiting monuments: On other occasions</b>									
(1) almost always	119 4.5%	119 4.3%	40 6.3%	10 2.7%	23 3.4%	0 -	13 3.3%	9 2.5%	24 7.6%
(2) frequently	269 10.2%	278 10.1%	67 10.4%	36 9.4%	56 8.3%	0 -	48 12.5%	33 9.1%	40 12.4%
(3) occasionally	695 26.5%	720 26.2%	140 21.8%	129 34.2%	124 18.7%	0 -	146 38.0%	110 30.5%	71 22.3%
(4) rarely	473 18.0%	504 18.3%	105 16.4%	82 21.8%	110 16.6%	0 -	75 19.6%	76 21.3%	54 17.0%
(5) almost never	1070 40.7%	1126 41.0%	290 45.1%	120 31.9%	352 53.0%	0 -	102 26.6%	131 36.6%	130 40.8%
Top Box (1+2)	388 14.8%	397 14.5%	107 16.7%	46 12.1%	78 11.8%	0 -	61 15.8%	42 11.6%	64 20.0%
Middle Box (3)	695 26.5%	720 26.2%	140 21.8%	129 34.2%	124 18.7%	0 -	146 38.0%	110 30.5%	71 22.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1543 58.8%	1630 59.3%	395 61.5%	203 53.7%	462 69.6%	0 -	178 46.2%	208 57.9%	184 57.7%
Mean	3,80	3,82	3,84 CE	3,71 Ce	4,07 ABEFG	-	3,54 AbCF	3,80 CE	3,71 C
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	2973 53.1%	2850 50.9%	433 40.3%	170 31.0%	446 40.1%	1230 100.0%	171 30.8%	169 32.0%	232 42.1%


BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Country						
n=5597	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Good condition of the monument	2.06	-	2.18	-	-	-	-
Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument	2.11	-	2.19	-	-	-	-
Professional guided tours	2.12	-	2.23	-	-	-	-
Meaningful information flyers	2.17	-	2.25	-	-	-	-
Repair of damages accrued by the age of the monument	2.22	-	2.30	-	-	-	-
Inviting surroundings	2.22	-	2.36	-	-	-	-
Clean external fronts	2.28	-	2.44	-	-	-	-
Free guided tours	2.35	-	2.30	-	-	-	-
'Day of the open monument'	2.54	-	2.34	-	-	-	-
Restaurants in the near proximity	2.73	-	2.86	-	-	-	-
Limited number of visitors	2.79	-	2.84	-	-	-	-
Souvenir booths	3.54	-	3.51	-	-	-	-



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Free guided tours

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2166 38.7%	1065 99.1%	0 -	1101 99.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Free guided tours</b>									
(1) very important	555 25.6%	551 25.5%	271 25.4%	0 -	281 25.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	659 30.5%	651 30.1%	300 28.2%	0 -	351 31.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	706 32.6%	720 33.3%	365 34.3%	0 -	355 32.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	191 8.8%	193 8.9%	104 9.8%	0 -	89 8.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	53 2.4%	50 2.3%	25 2.3%	0 -	25 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1214 56.1%	1202 55.5%	571 53.6%	0 -	632 57.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	706 32.6%	720 33.3%	365 34.3%	0 -	355 32.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	244 11.3%	243 11.2%	129 12.1%	0 -	114 10.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,32	2,33	2,35	-	2,30	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3435 61.4%	3432 61.3%	10 0.9%	547 100.0%	10 0.9%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Meaningful information flyers

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2169 38.7%	2170 38.8%	1066 99.2%	0 -	1104 99.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Meaningful information flyers</b>									
(1) very important	516 23.8%	539 24.8%	274 25.6%	0 -	265 24.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	869 40.1%	903 41.6%	463 43.5%	0 -	440 39.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	555 25.6%	521 24.0%	233 21.9%	0 -	288 26.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	158 7.3%	143 6.6%	67 6.3%	0 -	75 6.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	71 3.3%	65 3.0%	29 2.7%	0 -	36 3.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1385 63.9%	1442 66.4%	737 69.1%	0 -	705 63.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	555 25.6%	521 24.0%	233 21.9%	0 -	288 26.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	229 10.6%	207 9.6%	96 9.0%	0 -	111 10.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,26	2,21	2,17 c	-	2,25 a	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3430 61.3%	3427 61.2%	8 0.8%	547 100.0%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Good condition of the monument

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2167 38.7%	2168 38.7%	1064 99.0%	0 -	1104 99.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Good condition of the monument</b>									
(1) very important	565 26.1%	605 27.9%	324 30.4%	0 -	282 25.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	880 40.6%	889 41.0%	441 41.4%	0 -	448 40.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	560 25.8%	530 24.4%	236 22.2%	0 -	293 26.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	112 5.2%	98 4.5%	42 4.0%	0 -	56 5.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	50 2.3%	46 2.1%	21 2.0%	0 -	25 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1445 66.7%	1494 68.9%	764 71.8%	0 -	730 66.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	560 25.8%	530 24.4%	236 22.2%	0 -	293 26.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	162 7.5%	144 6.6%	63 6.0%	0 -	81 7.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,17	2,12	2,06 C	-	2,18 A	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3432 61.3%	3429 61.3%	11 1.0%	547 100.0%	7 0.6%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Souvenir booths

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2164 38.7%	1064 99.0%	0 -	1100 99.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Souvenir booths</b>									
(1) very important	114 5.3%	109 5.0%	53 5.0%	0 -	56 5.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	278 12.8%	263 12.2%	144 13.5%	0 -	119 10.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	669 30.9%	648 29.9%	297 27.9%	0 -	351 31.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	632 29.2%	669 30.9%	312 29.3%	0 -	357 32.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	471 21.8%	475 22.0%	258 24.3%	0 -	217 19.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	392 18.1%	372 17.2%	197 18.5%	0 -	175 15.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	669 30.9%	648 29.9%	297 27.9%	0 -	351 31.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	1103 51.0%	1144 52.9%	570 53.6%	0 -	574 52.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	3,49	3,53	3,54	-	3,51	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3435 61.4%	3433 61.3%	11 1.0%	547 100.0%	11 0.9%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Professionally guided tours**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2168 38.7%	2170 38.8%	1067 99.2%	0 -	1103 99.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Professional guided tours</b>									
(1) very important	581 26.8%	623 28.7%	324 30.3%	0 -	300 27.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	775 35.7%	784 36.1%	398 37.3%	0 -	386 35.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	591 27.3%	565 26.0%	253 23.7%	0 -	312 28.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	166 7.7%	147 6.8%	73 6.8%	0 -	74 6.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	55 2.5%	51 2.3%	20 1.8%	0 -	31 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1356 62.5%	1407 64.8%	722 67.7%	0 -	685 62.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	591 27.3%	565 26.0%	253 23.7%	0 -	312 28.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	221 10.2%	198 9.1%	92 8.6%	0 -	105 9.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,23	2,18	2,12 c	-	2,23 a	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3431 61.3%	3427 61.2%	8 0.8%	547 100.0%	7 0.7%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Restaurants in the near surrounding

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2161 38.6%	2164 38.7%	1065 99.1%	0 -	1099 98.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Restaurants in the near proximity</b>									
(1) very important	262 12.1%	253 11.7%	142 13.3%	0 -	111 10.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	604 28.0%	603 27.9%	325 30.5%	0 -	278 25.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	774 35.8%	788 36.4%	341 32.0%	0 -	448 40.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	379 17.5%	376 17.4%	193 18.2%	0 -	182 16.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	142 6.6%	144 6.7%	64 6.0%	0 -	80 7.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	866 40.1%	856 39.5%	467 43.8%	0 -	389 35.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	774 35.8%	788 36.4%	341 32.0%	0 -	448 40.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	521 24.1%	520 24.0%	258 24.2%	0 -	262 23.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,78	2,79	2,73 C	-	2,86 A	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3438 61.4%	3434 61.3%	10 0.9%	547 100.0%	12 1.1%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Clean facades

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2165 38.7%	1062 98.8%	0 -	1103 99.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Clean external fronts</b>									
(1) very important	416 19.2%	440 20.3%	236 22.3%	0 -	204 18.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	784 36.2%	822 38.0%	426 40.1%	0 -	396 35.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	678 31.3%	642 29.7%	294 27.7%	0 -	348 31.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	218 10.1%	200 9.3%	81 7.6%	0 -	120 10.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	68 3.1%	61 2.8%	25 2.4%	0 -	35 3.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1200 55.5%	1262 58.3%	662 62.4%	0 -	599 54.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	678 31.3%	642 29.7%	294 27.7%	0 -	348 31.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	286 13.2%	261 12.1%	106 10.0%	0 -	155 14.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,42	2,36	2,28 C	-	2,44 A	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3435 61.4%	3432 61.3%	13 1.2%	547 100.0%	8 0.7%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Repair of damages caused by the age of the monument**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2169 38.7%	1065 99.1%	0 -	1103 99.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Repair of damages accrued by the age of the monument</b>									
(1) very important	484 22.3%	542 25.0%	287 26.9%	0 -	255 23.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	783 36.1%	796 36.7%	385 36.2%	0 -	411 37.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	658 30.4%	612 28.2%	293 27.5%	0 -	320 29.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	171 7.9%	159 7.3%	73 6.9%	0 -	86 7.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	70 3.2%	59 2.7%	27 2.5%	0 -	32 2.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1267 58.5%	1338 61.7%	672 63.1%	0 -	666 60.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	658 30.4%	612 28.2%	293 27.5%	0 -	320 29.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	241 11.1%	218 10.1%	100 9.4%	0 -	118 10.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,34 b	2,26 a	2,22	-	2,30	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3433 61.3%	3429 61.3%	9 0.9%	547 100.0%	7 0.7%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Reconstruction of the original condition of the monument**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2169 38.8%	1068 99.3%	0 -	1101 99.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument</b>									
(1) very important	565 26.1%	596 27.5%	305 28.6%	0 -	291 26.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	821 37.9%	844 38.9%	424 39.7%	0 -	421 38.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	613 28.3%	579 26.7%	271 25.4%	0 -	308 27.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	113 5.2%	101 4.7%	49 4.6%	0 -	52 4.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	54 2.5%	49 2.2%	19 1.8%	0 -	30 2.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1386 64.0%	1441 66.4%	729 68.3%	0 -	712 64.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	613 28.3%	579 26.7%	271 25.4%	0 -	308 27.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	167 7.7%	150 6.9%	68 6.3%	0 -	82 7.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,20	2,15	2,11	-	2,19	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3433 61.3%	3428 61.2%	7 0.7%	547 100.0%	9 0.8%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Limited number of visitors**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2169 38.7%	2171 38.8%	1068 99.4%	0 -	1103 99.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Limited number of visitors</b>									
(1) very important	222 10.2%	223 10.3%	121 11.3%	0 -	102 9.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	522 24.1%	525 24.2%	269 25.1%	0 -	257 23.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	961 44.3%	959 44.2%	445 41.7%	0 -	514 46.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	352 16.2%	354 16.3%	179 16.8%	0 -	175 15.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	112 5.2%	110 5.1%	55 5.1%	0 -	55 5.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	744 34.3%	748 34.5%	389 36.4%	0 -	359 32.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	961 44.3%	959 44.2%	445 41.7%	0 -	514 46.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	464 21.4%	464 21.4%	234 21.9%	0 -	230 20.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,82	2,82	2,79	-	2,84	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3430 61.3%	3426 61.2%	7 0.6%	547 100.0%	8 0.7%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - 'Day of the open monument'

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2162 38.6%	2164 38.7%	1062 98.8%	0 -	1102 99.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>'Day of the open monument'</b>									
(1) very important	532 24.6%	545 25.2%	244 23.0%	0 -	301 27.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	594 27.5%	621 28.7%	301 28.4%	0 -	320 29.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	651 30.1%	628 29.0%	303 28.5%	0 -	325 29.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	256 11.8%	247 11.4%	130 12.3%	0 -	117 10.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	129 6.0%	123 5.7%	83 7.8%	0 -	39 3.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1126 52.1%	1166 53.9%	545 51.3%	0 -	621 56.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	651 30.1%	628 29.0%	303 28.5%	0 -	325 29.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	385 17.8%	370 17.1%	214 20.1%	0 -	156 14.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,47	2,44	2,54 C	-	2,34 A	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3437 61.4%	3433 61.3%	13 1.2%	547 100.0%	8 0.8%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Inviting surrounding**

Abs. Col %	Total		Country						
	unweighted	weighted	Austria	Belgium	Germany	Germany2	Italy	Norway	Spain
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2167 38.7%	1063 98.9%	0 -	1103 99.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Inviting surroundings</b>									
(1) very important	447 20.6%	445 20.5%	233 21.9%	0 -	213 19.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather important	901 41.6%	912 42.1%	473 44.5%	0 -	439 39.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(3) neither important nor unimportant	610 28.2%	600 27.7%	269 25.3%	0 -	332 30.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(4) rather unimportant	154 7.1%	155 7.1%	69 6.5%	0 -	85 7.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) very unimportant	54 2.5%	55 2.5%	20 1.8%	0 -	35 3.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1348 62.2%	1357 62.7%	706 66.4%	0 -	652 59.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Middle Box (3)	610 28.2%	600 27.7%	269 25.3%	0 -	332 30.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	208 9.6%	209 9.6%	89 8.4%	0 -	120 10.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,29	2,29	2,22 C	-	2,36 A	-	-	-	-
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1075</b>	<b>547</b>	<b>1111</b>	<b>1230</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>528</b>	<b>551</b>
missing	3433 61.3%	3431 61.3%	11 1.1%	547 100.0%	7 0.7%	1230 100.0%	556 100.0%	528 100.0%	551 100.0%

BACES



# **NANO-Cathedral**

## **Public Survey in Six European Countries**

### **Content Public III**

Socio – Demographic .....	1
Issue – Importance .....	13
New Technologies .....	31
Nanotechnologies .....	96
Monument Protection .....	122
Visual Perception of Monuments .....	141
Tourism .....	164





# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Country																		
Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
Country																		
Austria	1075 19.2%	1075 19.2%	297 27.5%	285 21.7%	165 21.8%	276 31.2%	45 14.8%	362 23.8%	597 25.1%	116 24.7%	156 22.7%	218 23.0%	140 21.3%	197 30.4%	50 23.9%	341 29.4%	624 23.3%	106 21.1%
Belgium	547 9.8%	547 9.8%	69 6.4%	151 11.5%	129 17.0%	149 16.8%	49 15.9%	210 13.8%	287 12.1%	50 10.6%	67 9.7%	111 11.7%	112 16.9%	95 14.6%	29 13.8%	108 9.3%	348 13.0%	86 17.1%
Germany	1111 19.8%	1111 19.8%	228 21.1%	335 25.5%	192 25.3%	294 33.1%	58 18.9%	335 22.0%	666 28.0%	110 23.4%	140 20.4%	223 23.5%	153 23.2%	232 35.7%	60 28.8%	220 18.9%	734 27.4%	152 30.1%
Germany2	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Italy	556 9.9%	556 9.9%	171 15.9%	199 15.1%	85 11.2%	47 5.3%	53 17.2%	241 15.8%	262 11.0%	53 11.2%	123 17.8%	168 17.8%	89 13.5%	40 6.1%	16 7.7%	254 21.9%	289 10.8%	13 2.7%
Norway	528 9.4%	528 9.4%	99 9.2%	168 12.8%	107 14.0%	80 9.0%	73 23.6%	197 13.0%	277 11.7%	54 11.4%	70 10.3%	110 11.6%	110 16.7%	52 8.0%	37 17.9%	71 6.1%	337 12.5%	119 23.6%
Spain	551 9.8%	551 9.8%	216 20.0%	178 13.6%	81 10.7%	41 4.6%	30 9.7%	177 11.6%	286 12.0%	88 18.7%	131 19.0%	117 12.4%	55 8.3%	33 5.1%	16 7.8%	167 14.3%	350 13.1%	27 5.4%
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Gender																		
Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
Gender																		
male	2765 49.4%	2742 49.0%	627 58.1%	724 55.0%	326 42.9%	311 35.0%	146 47.5%	887 58.3%	1099 46.2%	155 32.9%	443 64.5%	537 56.7%	307 46.7%	257 39.7%	89 42.7%	566 48.7%	1301 48.5%	264 52.4%
female	2834 50.6%	2855 51.0%	453 41.9%	593 45.0%	433 57.1%	576 65.0%	161 52.5%	634 41.7%	1277 53.8%	316 67.1%	244 35.5%	410 43.3%	351 53.3%	391 60.3%	120 57.3%	595 51.3%	1380 51.5%	240 47.6%
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES																		



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Age Group

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Age Group</b>																		
18 - 25 years	1019 18.2%	643 11.5%	156 14.4%	141 10.7%	85 11.2%	89 10.1%	35 11.4%	168 11.0%	280 11.8%	59 12.6%	78 11.3%	87 9.2%	70 10.6%	90 13.9%	26 12.3%	129 11.1%	305 11.4%	72 14.2%
26 - 35 years	1123 20.1%	869 15.5%	180 16.7%	198 15.0%	116 15.2%	143 16.1%	52 17.1%	256 16.8%	375 15.8%	61 13.0%	86 12.5%	162 17.1%	118 18.0%	107 16.6%	41 19.8%	182 15.7%	439 16.4%	69 13.8%
36 - 45 years	1093 19.5%	1021 18.2%	214 19.8%	228 17.3%	142 18.7%	160 18.0%	61 19.7%	283 18.6%	443 18.6%	81 17.2%	121 17.7%	151 16.0%	134 20.3%	134 20.7%	43 20.5%	207 17.9%	517 19.3%	81 16.1%
46 - 60 years	1305 23.3%	1465 26.2%	255 23.6%	347 26.4%	211 27.9%	239 27.0%	72 23.4%	392 25.8%	605 25.5%	133 28.2%	204 29.7%	255 26.9%	170 25.8%	153 23.7%	41 19.7%	296 25.5%	684 25.5%	142 28.2%
61+ years	1059 18.9%	1599 28.6%	276 25.5%	403 30.6%	205 27.0%	255 28.8%	87 28.4%	421 27.7%	672 28.3%	137 29.1%	198 28.8%	292 30.9%	167 25.3%	163 25.2%	58 27.7%	346 29.8%	736 27.5%	139 27.7%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Educational level

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5599 100.0%	5597 100.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Education level</b>																		
low education	1360 24.3%	1408 25.2%	265 24.6%	246 18.6%	139 18.3%	264 29.8%	68 22.0%	262 17.2%	575 24.2%	150 31.8%	144 21.0%	160 16.9%	121 18.4%	171 26.4%	43 20.4%	274 23.6%	589 22.0%	117 23.2%
medium education	2040 36.4%	2029 36.2%	398 36.8%	485 36.8%	294 38.8%	312 35.3%	113 36.7%	559 36.8%	840 35.4%	208 44.2%	235 34.3%	357 37.6%	240 36.5%	231 35.6%	79 37.8%	472 40.7%	949 35.4%	175 34.7%
high education	2199 39.3%	2161 38.6%	417 38.6%	586 44.5%	326 43.0%	310 35.0%	127 41.2%	699 46.0%	961 40.4%	113 23.9%	307 44.8%	430 45.4%	297 45.1%	246 37.9%	87 41.8%	414 35.7%	1143 42.6%	212 42.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

What is your current marital status?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4337 77.5%	4340 77.5%	1067 98.8%	1312 99.7%	757 99.7%	883 99.7%	305 99.4%	1508 99.2%	2363 99.5%	469 99.6%	679 98.9%	944 99.6%	655 99.6%	646 99.6%	208 99.6%	1151 99.2%	2668 99.5%	501 99.6%
<b>Marital status</b>																		
single	1885 43.5%	1514 34.9%	400 37.5%	414 31.5%	278 36.8%	315 35.6%	103 33.7%	496 32.9%	854 36.2%	163 34.7%	226 33.3%	289 30.7%	235 35.9%	244 37.7%	74 35.6%	381 33.1%	959 36.0%	169 33.7%
married	1923 44.3%	2166 49.9%	539 50.5%	694 52.9%	366 48.4%	386 43.7%	172 56.2%	787 52.2%	1152 48.8%	227 48.3%	356 52.4%	529 56.1%	318 48.6%	281 43.6%	109 52.5%	602 52.3%	1307 49.0%	244 48.6%
divorced	421 9.7%	514 11.8%	100 9.3%	161 12.3%	84 11.1%	141 16.0%	26 8.4%	183 12.1%	277 11.7%	54 11.5%	82 12.1%	101 10.7%	75 11.5%	96 14.9%	18 8.5%	130 11.3%	316 11.9%	66 13.2%
widowed	108 2.5%	147 3.4%	28 2.7%	44 3.3%	28 3.7%	41 4.7%	5 1.7%	41 2.7%	80 3.4%	26 5.5%	15 2.2%	24 2.5%	26 4.0%	24 3.8%	7 3.4%	38 3.3%	86 3.2%	23 4.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	1262 22.5%	1257 22.5%	13 1.2%	5 0.3%	2 0.3%	3 0.3%	2 0.6%	13 0.8%	12 0.5%	2 0.4%	8 1.1%	4 0.4%	3 0.4%	2 0.4%	1 0.4%	10 0.8%	13 0.5%	2 0.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Are you currently employed?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5577 99.6%	5580 99.7%	1074 99.4%	1314 99.8%	757 99.8%	884 99.8%	306 99.7%	1513 99.5%	2370 99.8%	470 99.7%	681 99.2%	946 99.9%	656 99.7%	646 99.8%	209 100.0%	1157 99.6%	2673 99.7%	502 99.7%
<b>Employment status</b>																		
fulltime employment	2430 43.6%	2277 40.8%	441 41.0%	579 44.1%	312 41.2%	320 36.2%	120 39.1%	679 44.9%	947 40.0%	156 33.1%	302 44.4%	397 42.0%	303 46.1%	253 39.1%	89 42.4%	448 38.8%	1127 42.2%	198 39.4%
part-time employment	725 13.0%	695 12.5%	120 11.2%	143 10.9%	88 11.6%	131 14.8%	39 12.7%	167 11.1%	291 12.3%	65 13.9%	60 8.7%	107 11.3%	76 11.5%	89 13.8%	35 16.8%	141 12.2%	326 12.2%	55 11.0%
in training (student/retraining)	534 9.6%	365 6.5%	84 7.9%	90 6.9%	54 7.1%	40 4.6%	20 6.5%	100 6.6%	168 7.1%	22 4.6%	45 6.6%	63 6.7%	39 5.9%	47 7.2%	13 6.4%	66 5.7%	176 6.6%	48 9.5%
currently unemployed	532 9.5%	495 8.9%	123 11.4%	97 7.4%	73 9.6%	86 9.8%	27 8.9%	137 9.0%	208 8.8%	63 13.4%	70 10.2%	79 8.3%	53 8.1%	55 8.5%	16 7.9%	122 10.6%	252 9.4%	31 6.2%
retiree, pensioner	945 16.9%	1341 24.0%	239 22.2%	321 24.4%	182 24.0%	223 25.2%	72 23.7%	343 22.7%	579 24.5%	117 24.9%	162 23.7%	248 26.3%	132 20.2%	147 22.7%	46 21.9%	287 24.8%	623 23.3%	124 24.6%
not employed (housewife/ housemen)	411 7.4%	406 7.3%	67 6.3%	83 6.3%	49 6.5%	84 9.5%	28 9.1%	86 5.7%	177 7.5%	47 9.9%	43 6.3%	52 5.5%	54 8.2%	56 8.6%	10 4.6%	93 8.0%	170 6.3%	47 9.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	22 0.4%	18 0.3%	6 0.6%	3 0.2%	2 0.2%	2 0.2%	1 0.3%	8 0.5%	6 0.2%	1 0.3%	6 0.8%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%	2 0.2%	0 -	4 0.4%	9 0.3%	1 0.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you normally go to church?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4345 77.6%	1075 99.5%	1310 99.5%	755 99.5%	884 99.7%	306 99.8%	1513 99.5%	2362 99.4%	470 99.7%	679 98.8%	945 99.8%	655 99.6%	645 99.5%	209 100.0%	1156 99.6%	2668 99.5%	501 99.5%
<b>Church visit frequency</b>																		
Never	1999 46.0%	1955 45.0%	481 44.8%	564 43.1%	333 44.1%	439 49.7%	129 42.0%	652 43.1%	1084 45.9%	219 46.5%	312 45.9%	405 42.8%	277 42.3%	304 47.1%	101 48.3%	470 40.6%	1202 45.0%	271 54.2%
Once a year	939 21.6%	924 21.3%	220 20.4%	286 21.9%	167 22.1%	181 20.4%	70 22.9%	321 21.2%	500 21.2%	103 21.9%	131 19.3%	196 20.7%	150 22.9%	136 21.1%	43 20.7%	226 19.5%	575 21.5%	121 24.2%
Several times a year	946 21.8%	983 22.6%	252 23.5%	310 23.6%	162 21.4%	198 22.5%	56 18.2%	353 23.3%	527 22.3%	102 21.8%	163 24.0%	224 23.7%	144 21.9%	144 22.3%	47 22.3%	306 26.5%	600 22.5%	74 14.8%
Once a month	138 3.2%	136 3.1%	30 2.7%	41 3.1%	20 2.6%	22 2.5%	23 7.5%	64 4.2%	68 2.9%	4 0.9%	23 3.4%	40 4.3%	29 4.3%	13 2.0%	10 4.7%	44 3.8%	84 3.2%	8 1.5%
Two to three times a month	133 3.1%	139 3.2%	30 2.8%	47 3.6%	31 4.1%	19 2.1%	12 4.0%	49 3.2%	77 3.3%	13 2.8%	19 2.8%	32 3.4%	20 3.1%	19 2.9%	4 2.0%	41 3.6%	87 3.3%	11 2.2%
Once or several times a week	190 4.4%	208 4.8%	62 5.7%	62 4.7%	43 5.7%	25 2.8%	16 5.4%	75 4.9%	105 4.4%	28 6.0%	31 4.6%	49 5.1%	36 5.5%	30 4.6%	4 2.0%	70 6.0%	121 4.5%	16 3.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	1254 22.4%	1252 22.4%	6 0.5%	7 0.5%	4 0.5%	3 0.3%	1 0.2%	8 0.5%	13 0.6%	1 0.3%	8 1.2%	2 0.2%	3 0.4%	3 0.5%	0 -	5 0.4%	13 0.5%	3 0.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How many people live in your community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5575 99.6%	5576 99.6%	1078 99.8%	1313 99.7%	756 99.6%	880 99.3%	306 99.8%	1511 99.3%	2368 99.7%	469 99.5%	682 99.2%	947 100.0%	653 99.3%	644 99.4%	208 99.6%	1157 99.7%	2669 99.5%	501 99.5%
<b>Number of habitants in the community</b>																		
under 5.000	1014 18.2%	1000 17.9%	195 18.1%	228 17.4%	128 16.9%	187 21.2%	61 19.8%	260 17.2%	441 18.6%	101 21.5%	118 17.3%	141 14.9%	117 18.0%	139 21.6%	42 20.1%	212 18.3%	504 18.9%	83 16.6%
5.001-25.000	1510 27.1%	1479 26.5%	279 25.9%	333 25.3%	225 29.8%	261 29.6%	83 27.1%	412 27.3%	631 26.7%	139 29.7%	166 24.4%	262 27.7%	192 29.4%	187 29.0%	44 21.0%	284 24.5%	739 27.7%	152 30.4%
25.001-100.000	1185 21.3%	1197 21.5%	236 21.9%	263 20.0%	151 20.0%	175 19.9%	72 23.6%	332 22.0%	477 20.1%	91 19.5%	156 22.8%	207 21.9%	143 21.8%	107 16.7%	51 24.4%	250 21.6%	532 19.9%	116 23.1%
100.001-500.000	795 14.3%	811 14.5%	151 14.0%	210 16.0%	103 13.7%	115 13.1%	41 13.5%	208 13.8%	358 15.1%	56 12.0%	104 15.2%	154 16.3%	99 15.1%	76 11.8%	26 12.7%	162 14.0%	381 14.3%	74 14.8%
over 500.000	1071 19.2%	1089 19.5%	218 20.2%	279 21.3%	149 19.7%	142 16.2%	49 16.1%	299 19.8%	460 19.4%	81 17.3%	138 20.2%	182 19.2%	103 15.8%	135 20.9%	45 21.9%	250 21.6%	512 19.2%	76 15.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	24 0.4%	21 0.4%	2 0.2%	3 0.3%	3 0.4%	6 0.7%	1 0.2%	10 0.7%	8 0.3%	2 0.5%	5 0.8%	0 -	5 0.7%	4 0.6%	1 0.4%	3 0.3%	13 0.5%	3 0.5%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How strongly connected do you feel to your community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4463 79.7%	4464 79.8%	850 78.6%	975 74.1%	564 74.3%	590 66.6%	248 80.9%	1178 77.5%	1702 71.6%	360 76.4%	543 79.1%	722 76.3%	502 76.3%	414 63.9%	149 71.2%	938 80.8%	1939 72.3%	350 69.6%
<b>Connection to the community</b>																		
(1) very strong	969 21.7%	1017 22.8%	258 30.4%	242 24.8%	95 16.8%	116 19.7%	45 17.9%	306 26.0%	360 21.2%	93 25.8%	174 31.9%	184 25.5%	93 18.6%	71 17.2%	26 17.5%	308 32.8%	393 20.3%	55 15.7%
(2) rather strong	1415 31.7%	1444 32.3%	275 32.4%	369 37.9%	207 36.7%	150 25.3%	77 31.1%	423 35.9%	563 33.1%	97 26.8%	166 30.6%	269 37.2%	190 38.0%	128 31.0%	43 29.0%	266 28.4%	720 37.1%	92 26.4%
(3) partly	1322 29.6%	1290 28.9%	189 22.2%	238 24.4%	174 30.8%	239 40.4%	74 29.6%	286 24.2%	525 30.9%	105 29.3%	120 22.0%	174 24.1%	140 28.0%	163 39.5%	55 37.2%	233 24.9%	567 29.2%	113 32.3%
(4) rather weak	500 11.2%	477 10.7%	84 9.9%	93 9.5%	73 13.0%	57 9.6%	29 11.6%	111 9.4%	191 11.2%	34 9.4%	55 10.0%	68 9.4%	54 10.8%	37 9.0%	18 11.8%	94 10.1%	180 9.3%	61 17.5%
(5) very weak	257 5.8%	236 5.3%	44 5.1%	33 3.4%	16 2.8%	29 5.0%	24 9.8%	53 4.5%	63 3.7%	31 8.6%	29 5.4%	27 3.7%	23 4.7%	13 3.2%	7 4.5%	36 3.9%	80 4.1%	29 8.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2384 53.4%	2461 55.1%	533 62.8%	611 62.7%	301 53.4%	266 45.0%	122 49.0%	729 61.9%	923 54.2%	189 52.6%	340 62.6%	453 62.7%	284 56.6%	200 48.3%	69 46.5%	574 61.2%	1113 57.4%	147 42.0%
Middle Box (3)	1322 29.6%	1290 28.9%	189 22.2%	238 24.4%	174 30.8%	239 40.4%	74 29.6%	286 24.2%	525 30.9%	105 29.3%	120 22.0%	174 24.1%	140 28.0%	163 39.5%	55 37.2%	233 24.9%	567 29.2%	113 32.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	757 17.0%	713 16.0%	127 15.0%	126 12.9%	89 15.7%	86 14.6%	53 21.4%	163 13.9%	254 14.9%	65 18.1%	84 15.4%	95 13.1%	78 15.5%	51 12.3%	24 16.3%	130 13.9%	259 13.4%	90 25.6%
Mean	2,48	2,43	2,27 CDE	2,29 CDE	2,48 ABe	2,55 AB	2,64 ABc	2,30 BC	2,43 A	2,48 A	2,26 CDE	2,29 CDE	2,45 AB	2,50 AB	2,57 AB	2,24 BC	2,40 AC	2,76 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1136 20.3%	1133 20.2%	231 21.4%	341 25.9%	195 25.7%	296 33.4%	59 19.1%	343 22.5%	674 28.4%	111 23.6%	144 20.9%	225 23.7%	156 23.7%	234 36.1%	60 28.8%	223 19.2%	743 27.7%	153 30.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How strongly connected do you feel to your federal state?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4462 79.7%	4464 79.8%	847 78.4%	976 74.1%	562 74.0%	590 66.6%	248 80.9%	1178 77.5%	1699 71.5%	358 76.0%	543 79.1%	723 76.3%	499 75.9%	414 63.9%	149 71.2%	935 80.5%	1939 72.3%	350 69.6%
<b>Connection to the country or your federal state</b>																		
(1) very strong	973 21.8%	987 22.1%	247 29.1%	207 21.2%	86 15.4%	117 19.8%	29 11.8%	281 23.8%	321 18.9%	88 24.4%	161 29.7%	148 20.4%	82 16.4%	78 18.9%	20 13.3%	310 33.2%	326 16.8%	51 14.6%
(2) rather strong	1500 33.6%	1532 34.3%	278 32.8%	387 39.6%	205 36.5%	137 23.2%	91 36.6%	415 35.2%	581 34.2%	109 30.5%	169 31.2%	268 37.1%	179 35.9%	129 31.2%	55 37.1%	289 30.9%	714 36.8%	97 27.6%
(3) partly	1287 28.8%	1274 28.5%	211 24.9%	235 24.1%	175 31.1%	242 41.0%	73 29.4%	304 25.8%	536 31.6%	95 26.5%	133 24.4%	196 27.2%	152 30.5%	158 38.2%	50 33.4%	223 23.9%	606 31.3%	104 29.7%
(4) rather weak	467 10.5%	448 10.0%	68 8.0%	109 11.1%	76 13.6%	69 11.6%	29 11.6%	116 9.8%	192 11.3%	42 11.8%	52 9.6%	77 10.7%	60 11.9%	36 8.6%	15 10.1%	75 8.1%	205 10.6%	70 20.1%
(5) very weak	235 5.3%	222 5.0%	44 5.1%	38 3.9%	19 3.4%	26 4.3%	27 10.7%	62 5.3%	69 4.0%	25 6.9%	28 5.1%	33 4.6%	26 5.3%	12 3.0%	9 6.1%	37 4.0%	88 4.5%	28 8.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2473 55.4%	2519 56.4%	525 61.9%	594 60.8%	292 51.9%	254 43.0%	120 48.3%	696 59.1%	902 53.1%	197 54.9%	331 60.9%	416 57.6%	261 52.3%	207 50.1%	75 50.3%	599 64.1%	1040 53.6%	148 42.2%
Middle Box (3)	1287 28.8%	1274 28.5%	211 24.9%	235 24.1%	175 31.1%	242 41.0%	73 29.4%	304 25.8%	536 31.6%	95 26.5%	133 24.4%	196 27.2%	152 30.5%	158 38.2%	50 33.4%	223 23.9%	606 31.3%	104 29.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	702 15.7%	671 15.0%	112 13.2%	147 15.1%	96 17.0%	94 16.0%	55 22.3%	178 15.1%	261 15.4%	67 18.6%	80 14.7%	110 15.3%	86 17.2%	48 11.7%	24 16.3%	113 12.0%	293 15.1%	99 28.2%
Mean	2,44	2,41	2,27 CDE	2,37 CDE	2,53 ABe	2,57 AB	2,73 ABc	2,37 b	2,47 a	2,46	2,29 bCdE	2,42 a	2,54 A	2,46 a	2,59 A	2,19 BC	2,49 AC	2,79 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1137 20.3%	1133 20.2%	233 21.6%	340 25.9%	197 26.0%	296 33.4%	59 19.1%	343 22.5%	676 28.5%	113 24.0%	144 20.9%	225 23.7%	159 24.1%	234 36.1%	60 28.8%	226 19.5%	742 27.7%	153 30.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How strongly connected do you feel to your country?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4462 79.7%	4462 79.7%	847 78.4%	977 74.2%	564 74.3%	591 66.7%	247 80.5%	1180 77.6%	1699 71.5%	359 76.1%	542 78.9%	723 76.3%	503 76.4%	414 64.0%	149 71.2%	936 80.6%	1941 72.4%	350 69.6%
<b>Connection to [country]</b>																		
(1) very strong	1291 28.9%	1342 30.1%	344 40.6%	336 34.4%	135 24.0%	171 29.0%	56 22.7%	417 35.3%	519 30.6%	111 31.1%	220 40.6%	241 33.4%	136 27.0%	115 27.8%	46 30.8%	394 42.1%	551 28.4%	100 28.6%
(2) rather strong	1478 33.1%	1495 33.5%	260 30.7%	355 36.3%	216 38.3%	148 25.0%	84 34.0%	412 34.9%	556 32.7%	96 26.8%	172 31.6%	259 35.8%	183 36.5%	126 30.3%	46 31.1%	268 28.6%	690 35.6%	103 29.5%
(3) partly	1098 24.6%	1053 23.6%	142 16.8%	172 17.6%	139 24.6%	195 33.1%	60 24.3%	215 18.2%	409 24.1%	87 24.3%	83 15.3%	141 19.5%	121 24.1%	133 32.2%	31 21.0%	143 15.3%	483 24.9%	84 23.9%
(4) rather weak	363 8.1%	346 7.7%	50 5.9%	72 7.3%	54 9.6%	47 7.9%	24 9.6%	70 6.0%	140 8.2%	36 10.0%	31 5.6%	46 6.3%	45 8.9%	29 7.0%	14 9.4%	77 8.2%	140 7.2%	29 8.2%
(5) very weak	232 5.2%	226 5.1%	51 6.0%	43 4.4%	20 3.5%	30 5.1%	23 9.2%	65 5.5%	76 4.4%	28 7.8%	37 6.8%	36 5.0%	18 3.5%	11 2.7%	11 7.6%	54 5.8%	78 4.0%	35 9.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2769 62.1%	2838 63.6%	604 71.4%	691 70.7%	351 62.3%	319 54.0%	140 56.8%	830 70.3%	1075 63.3%	208 57.9%	392 72.3%	500 69.2%	319 63.5%	241 58.2%	92 61.9%	662 70.7%	1241 63.9%	203 58.0%
Middle Box (3)	1098 24.6%	1053 23.6%	142 16.8%	172 17.6%	139 24.6%	195 33.1%	60 24.3%	215 18.2%	409 24.1%	87 24.3%	83 15.3%	141 19.5%	121 24.1%	133 32.2%	31 21.0%	143 15.3%	483 24.9%	84 23.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	595 13.3%	571 12.8%	100 11.8%	114 11.7%	74 13.1%	77 12.9%	47 18.9%	136 11.5%	215 12.7%	64 17.8%	68 12.5%	82 11.3%	62 12.4%	40 9.7%	25 17.1%	131 14.0%	217 11.2%	63 18.0%
Mean	2,28	2,24	2,06 CDE	2,11 CDE	2,30 ABe	2,35 AB	2,49 ABc	2,11 BC	2,23 Ac	2,37 Ab	2,06 CDe	2,14	2,25 A	2,26 A	2,32 a	2,07 BC	2,23 AC	2,41 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1137 20.3%	1135 20.3%	234 21.6%	340 25.8%	195 25.7%	295 33.3%	60 19.5%	340 22.4%	677 28.5%	113 23.9%	145 21.1%	225 23.7%	155 23.6%	233 36.0%	60 28.8%	225 19.4%	740 27.6%	153 30.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


### How strongly connected do you feel to Europe?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4453 79.5%	4456 79.6%	846 78.3%	975 74.0%	561 73.9%	590 66.6%	246 80.0%	1176 77.3%	1696 71.4%	358 75.9%	541 78.8%	721 76.1%	500 76.0%	413 63.7%	149 71.2%	936 80.6%	1934 72.1%	350 69.5%
<b>Connection to Europe</b>																		
(1) very strong	605 13.6%	624 14.0%	173 20.5%	126 12.9%	57 10.2%	63 10.6%	19 7.5%	217 18.4%	186 10.9%	38 10.7%	122 22.5%	114 15.8%	53 10.6%	42 10.2%	17 11.5%	159 17.0%	244 12.6%	36 10.4%
(2) rather strong	1194 26.8%	1220 27.4%	240 28.4%	302 30.9%	152 27.0%	106 18.0%	57 23.0%	334 28.4%	455 26.8%	70 19.5%	156 28.8%	215 29.8%	141 28.2%	86 20.9%	36 24.0%	225 24.1%	560 28.9%	72 20.6%
(3) partly	1531 34.4%	1486 33.3%	240 28.4%	297 30.4%	208 37.0%	243 41.2%	80 32.7%	318 27.0%	628 37.0%	123 34.3%	140 25.8%	214 29.6%	171 34.1%	171 41.3%	48 32.5%	284 30.4%	675 34.9%	107 30.7%
(4) rather weak	632 14.2%	634 14.2%	87 10.3%	155 15.9%	85 15.2%	100 17.0%	37 15.0%	172 14.6%	237 14.0%	57 16.0%	59 11.0%	102 14.2%	73 14.6%	72 17.5%	20 13.4%	121 12.9%	267 13.8%	78 22.4%
(5) very weak	491 11.0%	493 11.1%	106 12.5%	96 9.8%	59 10.5%	78 13.2%	53 21.8%	135 11.5%	191 11.2%	69 19.4%	65 12.0%	76 10.6%	63 12.6%	42 10.1%	28 18.6%	146 15.7%	189 9.8%	55 15.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1799 40.4%	1844 41.4%	413 48.8%	427 43.8%	209 37.3%	169 28.6%	75 30.5%	551 46.8%	640 37.8%	108 30.2%	278 51.3%	329 45.6%	194 38.8%	128 31.1%	53 35.5%	384 41.1%	804 41.6%	109 31.1%
Middle Box (3)	1531 34.4%	1486 33.3%	240 28.4%	297 30.4%	208 37.0%	243 41.2%	80 32.7%	318 27.0%	628 37.0%	123 34.3%	140 25.8%	214 29.6%	171 34.1%	171 41.3%	48 32.5%	284 30.4%	675 34.9%	107 30.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1123 25.2%	1126 25.3%	193 22.8%	251 25.7%	144 25.7%	178 30.2%	90 36.8%	307 26.1%	428 25.2%	127 35.4%	124 22.9%	179 24.8%	136 27.1%	114 27.6%	48 32.0%	267 28.6%	456 23.6%	134 38.2%
Mean	2,82	2,81	2,66 bCDE	2,79 aDE	2,89 AdE	3,04 ABc	3,20 ABC	2,72 BC	2,88 AC	3,14 AB	2,61 CDE	2,74 cDE	2,90 Ab	2,96 AB	3,04 AB	2,86 C	2,79 C	3,13 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1146 20.5%	1141 20.4%	234 21.7%	342 26.0%	198 26.1%	296 33.4%	61 20.0%	345 22.7%	679 28.6%	114 24.1%	145 21.2%	226 23.9%	158 24.0%	235 36.3%	60 28.8%	225 19.4%	747 27.9%	154 30.5%


BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Reduction of social differences

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4342 77.6%	1075 99.5%	1311 99.6%	754 99.3%	882 99.6%	304 99.0%	1516 99.7%	2355 99.2%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	941 99.3%	654 99.4%	644 99.4%	207 99.4%	1160 99.9%	2671 99.6%	503 100.0%
<b>Reduction of social differences</b>																		
(1) very important	1858 42.8%	1895 43.7%	566 52.6%	568 43.3%	296 39.3%	350 39.7%	103 33.8%	717 47.3%	967 41.1%	211 44.8%	361 52.5%	418 44.4%	248 38.0%	240 37.3%	100 48.3%	684 59.0%	1022 38.3%	187 37.2%
(2) important	1464 33.7%	1458 33.6%	329 30.6%	478 36.5%	264 35.0%	285 32.3%	101 33.2%	483 31.9%	838 35.6%	137 29.2%	205 29.9%	338 35.9%	241 36.8%	227 35.2%	49 23.7%	312 26.9%	995 37.3%	149 29.5%
(3) rather important	779 17.9%	752 17.3%	127 11.8%	202 15.4%	159 21.1%	206 23.3%	58 18.9%	242 16.0%	428 18.2%	83 17.5%	85 12.3%	147 15.6%	137 21.0%	146 22.6%	39 18.7%	121 10.4%	529 19.8%	102 20.3%
(4) less important	184 4.2%	178 4.1%	41 3.9%	50 3.8%	28 3.7%	22 2.5%	36 11.7%	62 4.1%	92 3.9%	24 5.0%	29 4.2%	31 3.3%	28 4.3%	20 3.1%	11 5.5%	34 2.9%	101 3.8%	41 8.2%
(5) unimportant	60 1.4%	58 1.3%	12 1.2%	12 0.9%	7 1.0%	19 2.2%	7 2.3%	12 0.8%	30 1.3%	16 3.4%	7 1.1%	7 0.7%	0 -	11 1.7%	8 3.7%	10 0.8%	25 0.9%	24 4.7%
Top Box (1+2)	3322 76.5%	3354 77.2%	894 83.2%	1046 79.8%	560 74.3%	635 72.0%	204 67.1%	1200 79.2%	1805 76.6%	349 74.0%	566 82.4%	756 80.3%	489 74.8%	467 72.5%	149 72.0%	996 85.9%	2016 75.5%	336 66.8%
Middle Box (3)	779 17.9%	752 17.3%	127 11.8%	202 15.4%	159 21.1%	206 23.3%	58 18.9%	242 16.0%	428 18.2%	83 17.5%	85 12.3%	147 15.6%	137 21.0%	146 22.6%	39 18.7%	121 10.4%	529 19.8%	102 20.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	244 5.6%	236 5.4%	54 5.0%	63 4.8%	35 4.7%	42 4.7%	43 14.0%	74 4.9%	122 5.2%	40 8.5%	36 5.3%	38 4.0%	28 4.3%	31 4.8%	19 9.3%	43 3.7%	126 4.7%	65 12.9%
Mean	1,88	1,86	1,70 BCDE	1,83 ACDE	1,92 AbE	1,95 ABE	2,15 ABCD	1,79 BC	1,89 A	1,93 A	1,71 CDE	1,80 CD	1,92 AB	1,97 AB	1,93 A	1,60 BC	1,92 AC	2,14 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1254 22.4%	1255 22.4%	5 0.5%	6 0.4%	5 0.7%	4 0.4%	3 1.0%	5 0.3%	20 0.8%	0 -	0 -	6 0.7%	4 0.6%	4 0.6%	1 0.6%	1 0.1%	11 0.4%	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Fighting poverty

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4336 77.5%	1075 99.5%	1310 99.5%	752 99.1%	878 99.1%	305 99.2%	1514 99.5%	2357 99.2%	465 98.8%	686 99.8%	946 99.9%	652 99.1%	640 98.8%	207 99.4%	1155 99.5%	2672 99.6%	503 99.8%
<b>Fighting poverty</b>																		
(1) very important	2263 52.2%	2285 52.7%	670 62.3%	651 49.7%	358 47.6%	462 52.6%	132 43.3%	815 53.8%	1196 50.7%	275 59.1%	423 61.7%	488 51.6%	290 44.4%	304 47.5%	113 54.4%	832 72.0%	1227 45.9%	225 44.7%
(2) important	1358 31.3%	1361 31.4%	275 25.5%	459 35.0%	278 36.9%	247 28.1%	102 33.3%	469 31.0%	764 32.4%	127 27.3%	179 26.0%	339 35.8%	229 35.2%	206 32.1%	49 23.5%	239 20.7%	966 36.1%	152 30.3%
(3) rather important	575 13.3%	553 12.8%	97 9.0%	165 12.6%	95 12.7%	144 16.5%	50 16.5%	181 11.9%	325 13.8%	48 10.3%	62 9.1%	97 10.3%	116 17.8%	111 17.4%	30 14.4%	63 5.5%	418 15.6%	73 14.4%
(4) less important	105 2.4%	99 2.3%	24 2.2%	26 2.0%	15 2.0%	20 2.3%	14 4.7%	36 2.4%	57 2.4%	6 1.3%	12 1.8%	17 1.8%	14 2.2%	16 2.5%	12 5.8%	13 1.1%	51 1.9%	34 6.7%
(5) unimportant	38 0.9%	38 0.9%	10 0.9%	10 0.7%	7 0.9%	5 0.5%	6 2.1%	13 0.9%	16 0.7%	9 1.9%	9 1.4%	5 0.5%	3 0.5%	3 0.5%	4 1.9%	8 0.7%	10 0.4%	19 3.8%
Top Box (1+2)	3621 83.5%	3646 84.1%	945 87.8%	1109 84.7%	635 84.4%	708 80.7%	234 76.7%	1284 84.8%	1960 83.1%	402 86.5%	602 87.8%	827 87.4%	519 79.6%	510 79.6%	162 77.9%	1071 92.7%	2193 82.1%	377 75.0%
Middle Box (3)	575 13.3%	553 12.8%	97 9.0%	165 12.6%	95 12.7%	144 16.5%	50 16.5%	181 11.9%	325 13.8%	48 10.3%	62 9.1%	97 10.3%	116 17.8%	111 17.4%	30 14.4%	63 5.5%	418 15.6%	73 14.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	143 3.3%	137 3.2%	34 3.1%	36 2.7%	22 2.9%	25 2.8%	21 6.8%	49 3.2%	73 3.1%	15 3.2%	22 3.2%	22 2.4%	17 2.7%	19 3.0%	16 7.7%	21 1.8%	61 2.3%	53 10.6%
Mean	1,69	1,67	1,54 BCDE	1,69 AE	1,72 AE	1,70 AE	1,89 ABCD	1,65	1,70 c	1,59 b	1,55 bCDE	1,64 aCDe	1,79 AB	1,76 AB	1,77 Ab	1,38 BC	1,75 AC	1,95 AB
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missings	1260 22.5%	1261 22.5%	5 0.5%	6 0.5%	7 0.9%	8 0.9%	3 0.8%	7 0.5%	18 0.8%	6 1.2%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	6 0.9%	8 1.2%	1 0.6%	6 0.5%	10 0.4%	1 0.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Investments in education and research

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4343 77.6%	1073 99.3%	1314 99.8%	752 99.1%	882 99.6%	306 99.6%	1511 99.3%	2363 99.5%	469 99.5%	684 99.7%	943 99.6%	654 99.4%	645 99.5%	207 99.4%	1158 99.8%	2674 99.7%	503 100.0%
<b>Investments in education and research</b>																		
(1) very important	2223 51.2%	2222 51.2%	749 69.8%	700 53.3%	315 41.8%	343 38.9%	104 34.1%	890 58.9%	1116 47.2%	215 45.9%	507 74.1%	527 55.9%	276 42.2%	257 39.8%	87 42.1%	856 73.9%	1174 43.9%	187 37.1%
(2) important	1419 32.7%	1425 32.8%	245 22.8%	467 35.5%	302 40.1%	293 33.2%	115 37.5%	452 29.9%	824 34.9%	149 31.7%	134 19.6%	323 34.2%	266 40.6%	223 34.6%	68 32.6%	236 20.4%	1025 38.3%	163 32.5%
(3) rather important	582 13.4%	581 13.4%	62 5.8%	132 10.0%	120 16.0%	205 23.3%	60 19.7%	146 9.7%	359 15.2%	76 16.2%	34 5.0%	84 8.9%	103 15.8%	142 22.1%	42 20.3%	50 4.3%	420 15.7%	109 21.6%
(4) less important	95 2.2%	90 2.1%	14 1.3%	13 1.0%	15 2.1%	28 3.2%	19 6.2%	17 1.2%	53 2.2%	19 4.1%	7 1.0%	9 0.9%	9 1.4%	16 2.5%	9 4.1%	14 1.2%	46 1.7%	30 6.0%
(5) unimportant	26 0.6%	26 0.6%	3 0.3%	2 0.2%	0 -	13 1.5%	8 2.5%	5 0.3%	11 0.5%	10 2.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	0 -	6 1.0%	2 0.8%	3 0.2%	9 0.3%	14 2.8%
Top Box (1+2)	3642 83.8%	3647 84.0%	994 92.6%	1167 88.8%	617 82.0%	636 72.0%	219 71.6%	1343 88.9%	1940 82.1%	364 77.6%	641 93.7%	849 90.1%	542 82.8%	480 74.4%	155 74.7%	1092 94.2%	2199 82.2%	350 69.5%
Middle Box (3)	582 13.4%	581 13.4%	62 5.8%	132 10.0%	120 16.0%	205 23.3%	60 19.7%	146 9.7%	359 15.2%	76 16.2%	34 5.0%	84 8.9%	103 15.8%	142 22.1%	42 20.3%	50 4.3%	420 15.7%	109 21.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	121 2.8%	116 2.7%	17 1.6%	15 1.2%	15 2.1%	41 4.7%	27 8.7%	22 1.5%	65 2.7%	29 6.2%	9 1.4%	9 1.0%	9 1.4%	23 3.5%	10 5.0%	16 1.4%	55 2.0%	45 8.9%
Mean	1,68	1,68	1,39 BCDE	1,59 ACDE	1,78 ABDE	1,95 ABC	2,06 ABC	1,54 BC	1,74 Ac	1,85 Ab	1,34 BCDE	1,55 ACDE	1,76 ABD	1,90 ABC	1,89 AB	1,33 BC	1,76 AC	2,05 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1254 22.4%	1254 22.4%	8 0.7%	3 0.2%	7 0.9%	4 0.4%	1 0.4%	10 0.7%	12 0.5%	2 0.5%	2 0.3%	4 0.4%	4 0.6%	3 0.5%	1 0.6%	3 0.2%	8 0.3%	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Development of new technologies

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4336 77.4%	4334 77.4%	1077 99.7%	1309 99.4%	750 98.8%	876 98.9%	306 99.6%	1513 99.5%	2354 99.1%	467 99.2%	685 99.8%	942 99.5%	650 98.8%	644 99.3%	207 99.4%	1157 99.7%	2671 99.6%	498 98.8%
<b>Development of new technologies</b>																		
(1) very important	1382 31.9%	1419 32.8%	633 58.8%	453 34.6%	145 19.3%	135 15.4%	45 14.8%	663 43.8%	658 28.0%	98 21.0%	405 59.1%	361 38.3%	159 24.5%	130 20.2%	50 24.3%	647 55.9%	656 24.6%	113 22.6%
(2) important	1584 36.5%	1605 37.0%	331 30.7%	585 44.7%	319 42.5%	280 32.0%	86 28.0%	553 36.5%	897 38.1%	156 33.3%	215 31.4%	410 43.6%	285 43.9%	227 35.2%	56 27.0%	330 28.5%	1134 42.5%	140 28.2%
(3) rather important	1043 24.1%	1009 23.3%	91 8.5%	228 17.4%	236 31.5%	341 38.9%	110 36.1%	240 15.9%	629 26.7%	139 29.6%	52 7.5%	144 15.3%	176 27.1%	227 35.3%	76 36.7%	140 12.1%	717 26.8%	150 30.2%
(4) less important	268 6.2%	245 5.6%	16 1.5%	37 2.8%	46 6.2%	100 11.4%	43 14.2%	46 3.0%	145 6.1%	54 11.6%	8 1.2%	23 2.4%	28 4.3%	48 7.5%	19 9.3%	32 2.8%	139 5.2%	71 14.2%
(5) unimportant	59 1.4%	56 1.3%	5 0.5%	5 0.4%	4 0.5%	21 2.4%	21 7.0%	11 0.7%	24 1.0%	21 4.4%	5 0.7%	4 0.4%	2 0.2%	11 1.7%	6 2.7%	8 0.7%	24 0.9%	24 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2966 68.4%	3024 69.8%	964 89.5%	1038 79.3%	464 61.9%	415 47.4%	131 42.8%	1215 80.3%	1555 66.1%	254 54.3%	621 90.6%	772 81.9%	444 68.4%	357 55.4%	106 51.3%	977 84.5%	1790 67.0%	253 50.8%
Middle Box (3)	1043 24.1%	1009 23.3%	91 8.5%	228 17.4%	236 31.5%	341 38.9%	110 36.1%	240 15.9%	629 26.7%	139 29.6%	52 7.5%	144 15.3%	176 27.1%	227 35.3%	76 36.7%	140 12.1%	717 26.8%	150 30.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	327 7.5%	301 6.9%	21 2.0%	42 3.2%	50 6.7%	121 13.8%	65 21.1%	57 3.8%	169 7.2%	75 16.1%	13 1.9%	26 2.8%	30 4.5%	60 9.3%	25 12.0%	40 3.4%	164 6.1%	95 19.0%
Mean	2,09	2,06	1,54 BCDE	1,90 ACDE	2,26 ABDE	2,53 ABCE	2,71 ABCD	1,80 BC	2,14 AC	2,45 AB	1,53 BCDE	1,83 ACDE	2,12 ABDE	2,35 ABC	2,39 ABC	1,64 BC	2,15 AC	2,50 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1263 22.6%	1264 22.6%	3 0.3%	8 0.6%	9 1.2%	10 1.1%	1 0.4%	8 0.5%	22 0.9%	4 0.8%	1 0.2%	5 0.5%	8 1.2%	4 0.7%	1 0.6%	4 0.3%	11 0.4%	6 1.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Conservation of cultural goods and monuments

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4348 77.7%	4346 77.6%	1077 99.7%	1313 99.7%	752 99.1%	883 99.6%	305 99.3%	1515 99.6%	2361 99.4%	470 99.8%	686 99.8%	946 99.9%	654 99.3%	643 99.3%	207 99.4%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Conservation of cultural goods and monuments</b>																		
(1) very important	1160 26.7%	1161 26.7%	425 39.4%	325 24.8%	156 20.7%	185 21.0%	61 19.9%	495 32.7%	541 22.9%	126 26.7%	274 40.0%	270 28.5%	141 21.5%	150 23.3%	46 22.2%	1161 100.0%	0 -	0 -
(2) important	1500 34.5%	1502 34.6%	345 32.1%	534 40.7%	259 34.4%	263 29.8%	96 31.5%	524 34.6%	839 35.5%	139 29.6%	223 32.5%	368 38.9%	235 35.9%	191 29.7%	74 35.9%	0 -	1502 56.0%	0 -
(3) rather important	1180 27.1%	1180 27.2%	201 18.6%	323 24.6%	241 32.1%	316 35.8%	97 31.7%	340 22.5%	716 30.3%	124 26.3%	123 18.0%	214 22.6%	208 31.8%	229 35.6%	67 32.5%	0 -	1180 44.0%	0 -
(4) less important	421 9.7%	422 9.7%	84 7.8%	115 8.8%	90 12.0%	92 10.4%	40 13.2%	134 8.9%	227 9.6%	61 12.9%	52 7.6%	86 9.0%	64 9.8%	57 8.9%	17 8.3%	0 -	0 -	422 83.8%
(5) unimportant	87 2.0%	82 1.9%	22 2.1%	16 1.2%	6 0.8%	27 3.1%	11 3.7%	22 1.4%	39 1.6%	21 4.5%	13 1.9%	9 0.9%	7 1.0%	16 2.5%	2 1.1%	0 -	0 -	82 16.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2660 61.2%	2662 61.3%	770 71.5%	860 65.5%	415 55.2%	448 50.7%	157 51.4%	1019 67.2%	1379 58.4%	264 56.3%	497 72.5%	638 67.4%	376 57.5%	340 52.9%	121 58.1%	1161 100.0%	1502 56.0%	0 -
Middle Box (3)	1180 27.1%	1180 27.2%	201 18.6%	323 24.6%	241 32.1%	316 35.8%	97 31.7%	340 22.5%	716 30.3%	124 26.3%	123 18.0%	214 22.6%	208 31.8%	229 35.6%	67 32.5%	0 -	1180 44.0%	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	508 11.7%	503 11.6%	106 9.9%	130 9.9%	96 12.8%	119 13.5%	51 16.9%	156 10.3%	266 11.3%	82 17.4%	65 9.5%	94 10.0%	71 10.8%	74 11.4%	19 9.4%	0 -	0 -	503 100.0%
Mean	2,26	2,25	2,01 BCDE	2,21 ACDE	2,38 AB	2,45 AB	2,49 AB	2,12 BC	2,32 A	2,39 A	1,99 BCDE	2,15 ACDe	2,33 AB	2,38 AB	2,30 Ab	1,00 BC	2,44 AC	4,16 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1251 22.3%	1251 22.4%	4 0.3%	4 0.3%	7 0.9%	3 0.4%	2 0.7%	6 0.4%	14 0.6%	1 0.2%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	4 0.7%	5 0.7%	1 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Development of the infrastructure

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4338 77.5%	1072 99.2%	1311 99.5%	754 99.3%	883 99.7%	303 98.7%	1512 99.5%	2358 99.3%	468 99.3%	684 99.5%	944 99.7%	654 99.4%	642 99.1%	206 98.8%	1157 99.6%	2672 99.6%	503 99.9%
<b>Development of the infrastructure</b>																		
(1) very important	1171 27.0%	1201 27.7%	459 42.8%	363 27.7%	139 18.5%	165 18.6%	66 21.7%	521 34.4%	579 24.6%	101 21.7%	318 46.6%	290 30.8%	133 20.3%	115 17.9%	50 24.3%	558 48.3%	550 20.6%	91 18.0%
(2) important	1744 40.2%	1777 41.0%	405 37.8%	625 47.7%	316 41.9%	323 36.6%	104 34.3%	632 41.8%	968 41.1%	177 37.8%	248 36.3%	456 48.3%	295 45.0%	243 37.8%	64 30.9%	404 34.9%	1229 46.0%	141 28.1%
(3) rather important	1114 25.7%	1074 24.8%	169 15.7%	256 19.5%	237 31.4%	311 35.3%	99 32.8%	292 19.3%	641 27.2%	141 30.2%	96 14.0%	165 17.5%	184 28.1%	234 36.5%	71 34.5%	153 13.2%	757 28.3%	164 32.6%
(4) less important	273 6.3%	249 5.7%	33 3.1%	59 4.5%	56 7.4%	72 8.2%	28 9.3%	57 3.8%	155 6.6%	37 8.0%	16 2.4%	30 3.2%	39 6.0%	41 6.4%	18 8.6%	37 3.2%	123 4.6%	87 17.4%
(5) unimportant	39 0.9%	37 0.9%	6 0.6%	8 0.6%	6 0.8%	11 1.3%	6 2.0%	11 0.7%	15 0.6%	11 2.4%	5 0.8%	3 0.3%	3 0.5%	9 1.3%	4 1.8%	5 0.4%	12 0.5%	20 3.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2915 67.2%	2978 68.7%	864 80.6%	987 75.3%	455 60.4%	488 55.3%	170 55.9%	1153 76.2%	1547 65.6%	278 59.5%	566 82.8%	746 79.1%	427 65.3%	358 55.8%	114 55.1%	962 83.2%	1779 66.6%	232 46.1%
Middle Box (3)	1114 25.7%	1074 24.8%	169 15.7%	256 19.5%	237 31.4%	311 35.3%	99 32.8%	292 19.3%	641 27.2%	141 30.2%	96 14.0%	165 17.5%	184 28.1%	234 36.5%	71 34.5%	153 13.2%	757 28.3%	164 32.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	312 7.2%	286 6.6%	39 3.7%	67 5.1%	62 8.2%	84 9.5%	34 11.3%	68 4.5%	170 7.2%	48 10.4%	22 3.2%	32 3.4%	43 6.5%	50 7.7%	21 10.4%	42 3.6%	135 5.1%	107 21.3%
Mean	2,14	2,11	1,81 BCDE	2,03 ACDE	2,30 AB	2,37 AB	2,36 AB	1,95 BC	2,18 AC	2,32 AB	1,74 BCDE	1,94 ACDE	2,21 ABD	2,35 ABC	2,33 AB	1,73 BC	2,18 AC	2,61 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1258 22.5%	1259 22.5%	9 0.8%	6 0.5%	5 0.7%	3 0.3%	4 1.3%	8 0.5%	17 0.7%	4 0.7%	3 0.5%	3 0.3%	4 0.6%	6 0.9%	2 1.2%	4 0.4%	10 0.4%	1 0.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Increase of international trade

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4335 77.4%	1075 99.5%	1307 99.3%	753 99.3%	877 99.0%	306 99.6%	1513 99.5%	2353 99.1%	469 99.5%	686 99.9%	942 99.4%	653 99.2%	644 99.3%	206 98.8%	1158 99.8%	2670 99.6%	500 99.4%
<b>Increase of international trade</b>																		
(1) very important	839 19.3%	842 19.4%	362 33.7%	244 18.7%	80 10.6%	114 13.0%	33 10.9%	364 24.1%	408 17.3%	70 14.8%	229 33.3%	207 22.0%	89 13.7%	97 15.1%	33 15.9%	426 36.8%	356 13.3%	56 11.1%
(2) important	1629 37.5%	1679 38.7%	415 38.6%	572 43.7%	318 42.2%	275 31.3%	96 31.5%	615 40.6%	887 37.7%	177 37.8%	279 40.7%	415 44.0%	261 39.9%	203 31.6%	60 28.9%	408 35.3%	1126 42.2%	146 29.1%
(3) rather important	1371 31.6%	1344 31.0%	234 21.8%	375 28.7%	267 35.4%	352 40.1%	113 36.9%	385 25.5%	811 34.5%	148 31.5%	143 20.8%	249 26.4%	235 36.0%	270 42.0%	75 36.2%	232 20.0%	940 35.2%	170 34.1%
(4) less important	394 9.1%	366 8.4%	50 4.6%	94 7.2%	76 10.1%	104 11.9%	40 13.2%	109 7.2%	203 8.6%	53 11.4%	23 3.4%	57 6.1%	58 8.9%	55 8.6%	29 14.0%	74 6.4%	197 7.4%	94 18.7%
(5) unimportant	106 2.4%	104 2.4%	14 1.3%	23 1.7%	13 1.7%	31 3.6%	23 7.5%	39 2.6%	44 1.9%	21 4.5%	12 1.8%	15 1.5%	9 1.4%	17 2.7%	10 5.0%	18 1.6%	51 1.9%	35 7.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2468 56.9%	2521 58.2%	777 72.3%	816 62.4%	398 52.8%	389 44.4%	130 42.4%	979 64.7%	1295 55.0%	247 52.6%	508 74.0%	621 66.0%	350 53.6%	300 46.7%	92 44.8%	834 72.0%	1482 55.5%	201 40.2%
Middle Box (3)	1371 31.6%	1344 31.0%	234 21.8%	375 28.7%	267 35.4%	352 40.1%	113 36.9%	385 25.5%	811 34.5%	148 31.5%	143 20.8%	249 26.4%	235 36.0%	270 42.0%	75 36.2%	232 20.0%	940 35.2%	170 34.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	500 11.5%	470 10.8%	64 5.9%	116 8.9%	89 11.8%	136 15.5%	63 20.7%	148 9.8%	248 10.5%	74 15.8%	36 5.2%	72 7.6%	67 10.3%	73 11.3%	39 19.0%	92 7.9%	248 9.3%	129 25.7%
Mean	2,38	2,36	2,01 BCDE	2,30 ACDE	2,50 ABdE	2,62 ABce	2,75 ABCd	2,24 BC	2,40 AC	2,53 AB	2,00 BCDE	2,21 ACDE	2,44 ABe	2,52 AB	2,63 ABC	2,01 BC	2,42 AC	2,81 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1260 22.5%	1262 22.6%	5 0.5%	9 0.7%	6 0.7%	9 1.0%	1 0.4%	8 0.5%	22 0.9%	2 0.5%	1 0.1%	6 0.6%	5 0.8%	4 0.7%	3 1.2%	3 0.2%	12 0.4%	3 0.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Acceleration of the ecological change

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4340 77.5%	1075 99.5%	1312 99.6%	749 98.7%	883 99.6%	305 99.3%	1514 99.6%	2357 99.2%	469 99.5%	685 99.7%	946 99.9%	651 99.0%	645 99.5%	207 99.4%	1157 99.7%	2674 99.7%	502 99.8%
<b>Acceleration of the ecological change</b>																		
(1) very important	1192 27.4%	1181 27.2%	415 38.6%	345 26.3%	172 23.0%	163 18.4%	78 25.7%	486 32.1%	586 24.9%	108 23.1%	301 44.0%	250 26.5%	145 22.3%	144 22.4%	58 27.8%	546 47.2%	555 20.8%	77 15.4%
(2) important	1495 34.4%	1517 35.0%	349 32.5%	529 40.3%	279 37.2%	270 30.6%	85 27.9%	538 35.6%	832 35.3%	146 31.2%	209 30.5%	392 41.4%	248 38.1%	209 32.5%	65 31.4%	350 30.3%	1059 39.6%	107 21.3%
(3) rather important	1218 28.0%	1202 27.7%	224 20.9%	319 24.4%	226 30.1%	343 38.9%	88 28.8%	344 22.7%	712 30.2%	146 31.1%	115 16.8%	231 24.4%	197 30.2%	236 36.6%	48 23.1%	193 16.7%	820 30.7%	186 37.1%
(4) less important	343 7.9%	343 7.9%	66 6.1%	97 7.4%	56 7.5%	83 9.4%	39 12.7%	115 7.6%	181 7.7%	48 10.2%	44 6.4%	56 6.0%	50 7.7%	46 7.1%	28 13.3%	55 4.8%	187 7.0%	100 20.0%
(5) unimportant	95 2.2%	97 2.2%	20 1.9%	21 1.6%	17 2.2%	24 2.7%	15 4.9%	32 2.1%	44 1.9%	21 4.5%	16 2.3%	16 1.7%	11 1.6%	9 1.4%	9 4.4%	13 1.1%	53 2.0%	31 6.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2687 61.9%	2697 62.2%	764 71.1%	874 66.6%	451 60.2%	433 49.0%	163 53.6%	1024 67.6%	1419 60.2%	254 54.2%	510 74.5%	642 67.9%	394 60.4%	354 54.8%	123 59.2%	896 77.4%	1614 60.4%	185 36.8%
Middle Box (3)	1218 28.0%	1202 27.7%	224 20.9%	319 24.4%	226 30.1%	343 38.9%	88 28.8%	344 22.7%	712 30.2%	146 31.1%	115 16.8%	231 24.4%	197 30.2%	236 36.6%	48 23.1%	193 16.7%	820 30.7%	186 37.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	438 10.1%	441 10.2%	86 8.0%	119 9.0%	73 9.7%	107 12.1%	54 17.6%	146 9.7%	225 9.6%	69 14.7%	59 8.7%	72 7.6%	61 9.3%	55 8.6%	37 17.7%	68 5.9%	240 9.0%	132 26.2%
Mean	2,23	2,23	2,00 BCDE	2,18 ACDe	2,29 AbDe	2,47 ABC	2,43 ABc	2,12 BC	2,26 AC	2,42 AB	1,92 BCDE	2,15 ACDE	2,28 AB	2,33 AB	2,35 AB	1,82 BC	2,30 AC	2,80 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1256 22.4%	1258 22.5%	6 0.5%	5 0.4%	10 1.3%	3 0.4%	2 0.7%	6 0.4%	19 0.8%	2 0.5%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	7 1.0%	3 0.5%	1 0.6%	4 0.3%	8 0.3%	1 0.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Strengthening the European Union

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4342 77.5%	4340 77.5%	1074 99.4%	1315 99.9%	752 99.0%	879 99.2%	304 99.1%	1512 99.4%	2358 99.3%	470 99.8%	687 100.0%	943 99.6%	652 99.1%	642 99.1%	206 98.8%	1159 99.8%	2674 99.7%	500 99.3%
<b>Strengthening the European Union</b>																		
(1) very important	869 20.0%	890 20.5%	331 30.8%	263 20.0%	115 15.3%	132 15.0%	42 13.7%	373 24.7%	439 18.6%	77 16.5%	231 33.6%	190 20.1%	108 16.6%	105 16.4%	44 21.2%	409 35.3%	412 15.4%	67 13.3%
(2) important	1276 29.4%	1273 29.3%	297 27.6%	439 33.4%	215 28.6%	242 27.6%	78 25.7%	435 28.8%	705 29.9%	133 28.3%	198 28.8%	322 34.1%	202 31.0%	163 25.3%	33 16.0%	274 23.6%	910 34.0%	88 17.7%
(3) rather important	1233 28.4%	1205 27.8%	244 22.7%	329 25.0%	262 34.8%	289 32.9%	79 25.9%	359 23.7%	708 30.0%	139 29.5%	129 18.8%	244 25.8%	210 32.1%	224 34.8%	56 27.4%	213 18.4%	846 31.6%	146 29.2%
(4) less important	628 14.5%	621 14.3%	112 10.4%	190 14.4%	121 16.1%	129 14.7%	67 22.1%	207 13.7%	342 14.5%	72 15.3%	81 11.8%	128 13.5%	91 14.0%	95 14.7%	37 17.8%	153 13.2%	335 12.5%	132 26.3%
(5) unimportant	336 7.7%	351 8.1%	91 8.5%	94 7.2%	39 5.3%	86 9.8%	38 12.6%	139 9.2%	164 7.0%	49 10.3%	48 7.0%	60 6.4%	41 6.4%	56 8.7%	36 17.6%	110 9.5%	172 6.4%	67 13.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2145 49.4%	2163 49.8%	628 58.4%	703 53.4%	330 43.8%	374 42.6%	120 39.4%	808 53.4%	1144 48.5%	211 44.8%	429 62.4%	512 54.3%	310 47.5%	268 41.7%	77 37.3%	683 58.9%	1321 49.4%	155 31.0%
Middle Box (3)	1233 28.4%	1205 27.8%	244 22.7%	329 25.0%	262 34.8%	289 32.9%	79 25.9%	359 23.7%	708 30.0%	139 29.5%	129 18.8%	244 25.8%	210 32.1%	224 34.8%	56 27.4%	213 18.4%	846 31.6%	146 29.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	964 22.2%	972 22.4%	203 18.9%	284 21.6%	160 21.3%	216 24.5%	106 34.7%	345 22.8%	506 21.5%	121 25.7%	129 18.8%	188 19.9%	133 20.3%	151 23.5%	73 35.3%	263 22.7%	507 19.0%	199 39.8%
Mean	2,61	2,60	2,38 BCDE	2,55 AcDE	2,67 AbE	2,77 ABc	2,94 ABCd	2,54 C	2,61 c	2,75 Ab	2,30 BCDE	2,52 ADE	2,63 AE	2,74 ABc	2,94 ABCd	2,38 BC	2,61 AC	3,09 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1257 22.5%	1257 22.5%	6 0.6%	1 0.1%	7 1.0%	7 0.8%	3 0.9%	9 0.6%	17 0.7%	1 0.2%	0 -	4 0.4%	6 0.9%	6 0.9%	3 1.2%	2 0.2%	7 0.3%	4 0.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Integration of migrants and refugees

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4336 77.4%	4332 77.4%	1072 99.2%	1309 99.4%	751 98.9%	881 99.4%	304 98.9%	1509 99.2%	2355 99.1%	468 99.3%	680 99.0%	944 99.6%	650 98.8%	644 99.4%	207 99.4%	1155 99.5%	2673 99.7%	499 99.2%
<b>Integration of migrants and refugees</b>																		
(1) very important	1078 24.9%	1048 24.2%	332 31.0%	299 22.9%	168 22.4%	178 20.2%	69 22.6%	417 27.6%	533 22.6%	98 21.0%	219 32.2%	256 27.1%	143 22.1%	131 20.3%	43 20.6%	374 32.4%	565 21.1%	106 21.3%
(2) important	1316 30.4%	1328 30.7%	279 26.0%	480 36.7%	256 34.0%	225 25.5%	84 27.5%	457 30.3%	747 31.7%	125 26.6%	202 29.7%	287 30.4%	234 36.0%	174 27.0%	52 25.2%	287 24.9%	928 34.7%	112 22.4%
(3) rather important	960 22.1%	968 22.3%	196 18.3%	274 20.9%	185 24.6%	239 27.1%	72 23.6%	311 20.6%	555 23.6%	101 21.5%	112 16.5%	216 22.9%	154 23.7%	175 27.2%	48 23.3%	213 18.4%	650 24.3%	104 20.9%
(4) less important	502 11.6%	508 11.7%	123 11.5%	151 11.6%	78 10.4%	111 12.6%	42 14.0%	166 11.0%	276 11.7%	66 14.1%	81 11.9%	108 11.5%	70 10.8%	79 12.3%	21 10.1%	118 10.2%	297 11.1%	93 18.7%
(5) unimportant	480 11.1%	480 11.1%	142 13.2%	104 8.0%	64 8.5%	128 14.5%	37 12.3%	158 10.5%	244 10.4%	78 16.7%	66 9.7%	77 8.1%	49 7.5%	85 13.2%	43 20.7%	163 14.1%	234 8.7%	83 16.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2394 55.2%	2376 54.9%	611 57.0%	779 59.5%	424 56.4%	403 45.7%	152 50.1%	873 57.9%	1280 54.4%	223 47.7%	421 61.9%	543 57.5%	377 58.0%	305 47.3%	95 45.8%	661 57.2%	1493 55.9%	218 43.7%
Middle Box (3)	960 22.1%	968 22.3%	196 18.3%	274 20.9%	185 24.6%	239 27.1%	72 23.6%	311 20.6%	555 23.6%	101 21.5%	112 16.5%	216 22.9%	154 23.7%	175 27.2%	48 23.3%	213 18.4%	650 24.3%	104 20.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	982 22.6%	988 22.8%	265 24.7%	256 19.5%	142 18.9%	239 27.1%	80 26.3%	324 21.5%	520 22.1%	144 30.8%	147 21.6%	185 19.6%	119 18.3%	164 25.5%	64 30.9%	281 24.3%	530 19.8%	177 35.4%
Mean	2,54	2,55	2,50 D	2,45 DE	2,49 De	2,76 ABC	2,66 Bc	2,47 bC	2,55 aC	2,79 AB	2,37 DE	2,43 DE	2,46 DE	2,71 ABC	2,85 ABC	2,49 C	2,52 C	2,87 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1263 22.6%	1265 22.6%	9 0.8%	8 0.6%	8 1.1%	5 0.6%	3 1.1%	12 0.8%	21 0.9%	3 0.7%	7 1.0%	4 0.4%	8 1.2%	4 0.6%	1 0.6%	6 0.5%	9 0.3%	4 0.8%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Regulating migration

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4339 77.5%	1077 99.6%	1309 99.4%	754 99.3%	878 99.1%	305 99.3%	1511 99.4%	2358 99.3%	470 99.8%	683 99.4%	945 99.8%	655 99.5%	640 98.9%	207 99.4%	1159 99.9%	2672 99.7%	502 99.6%
<b>Regulating migration</b>																		
(1) very important	786 18.1%	796 18.3%	264 24.6%	236 18.0%	130 17.2%	107 12.2%	52 17.1%	335 22.2%	378 16.0%	83 17.7%	188 27.5%	186 19.7%	98 15.0%	79 12.3%	29 14.2%	323 27.8%	394 14.7%	78 15.6%
(2) important	911 21.0%	896 20.6%	193 17.9%	304 23.2%	169 22.4%	153 17.5%	75 24.5%	317 21.0%	482 20.4%	97 20.7%	123 18.1%	232 24.6%	160 24.4%	104 16.2%	40 19.1%	183 15.8%	629 23.5%	82 16.4%
(3) rather important	933 21.5%	915 21.1%	195 18.1%	284 21.7%	176 23.3%	195 22.1%	63 20.8%	301 19.9%	534 22.6%	81 17.2%	127 18.6%	211 22.3%	158 24.2%	155 24.2%	49 23.8%	174 15.0%	640 23.9%	101 20.2%
(4) less important	856 19.7%	867 20.0%	193 17.9%	255 19.5%	173 23.0%	196 22.3%	49 16.1%	263 17.4%	512 21.7%	92 19.6%	111 16.2%	169 17.9%	126 19.3%	148 23.2%	37 17.7%	180 15.6%	569 21.3%	115 22.8%
(5) unimportant	855 19.7%	865 19.9%	232 21.5%	230 17.6%	107 14.2%	227 25.9%	66 21.5%	296 19.6%	452 19.2%	117 24.8%	134 19.6%	148 15.6%	112 17.1%	155 24.2%	52 25.1%	300 25.9%	440 16.5%	125 24.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1697 39.1%	1692 39.0%	457 42.5%	540 41.3%	298 39.5%	261 29.7%	127 41.6%	652 43.1%	860 36.5%	180 38.3%	311 45.6%	418 44.2%	258 39.4%	182 28.5%	69 33.3%	505 43.6%	1023 38.3%	161 32.0%
Middle Box (3)	933 21.5%	915 21.1%	195 18.1%	284 21.7%	176 23.3%	195 22.1%	63 20.8%	301 19.9%	534 22.6%	81 17.2%	127 18.6%	211 22.3%	158 24.2%	155 24.2%	49 23.8%	174 15.0%	640 23.9%	101 20.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1711 39.4%	1731 39.9%	424 39.4%	485 37.0%	280 37.2%	423 48.2%	115 37.6%	559 37.0%	964 40.9%	209 44.4%	245 35.9%	316 33.5%	238 36.4%	303 47.3%	89 42.9%	480 41.4%	1009 37.8%	240 47.8%
Mean	3,02	3,02	2,94 D	2,95 D	2,95 D	3,32 ABCE	3,01 D	2,91 BC	3,08 A	3,13 A	2,82 cDE	2,85 cDE	2,99 abDe	3,31 ABC	3,20 ABc	2,96 C	3,01 C	3,25 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1258 22.5%	1258 22.5%	4 0.4%	8 0.6%	5 0.7%	8 0.9%	2 0.7%	10 0.6%	18 0.7%	1 0.2%	4 0.6%	2 0.2%	3 0.5%	7 1.1%	1 0.6%	2 0.1%	9 0.3%	2 0.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Strengthening the national identity

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4341 77.6%	1075 99.5%	1311 99.6%	753 99.2%	881 99.5%	305 99.2%	1514 99.6%	2360 99.3%	467 99.1%	685 99.7%	943 99.6%	654 99.4%	645 99.6%	207 99.4%	1157 99.7%	2676 99.8%	501 99.5%
<b>Strengthening the national identity</b>																		
(1) very important	1166 26.8%	1216 28.0%	409 38.1%	348 26.5%	172 22.8%	218 24.8%	56 18.5%	469 30.9%	627 26.6%	120 25.7%	261 38.2%	251 26.6%	167 25.6%	150 23.3%	56 27.1%	614 53.1%	517 19.3%	82 16.4%
(2) important	1256 28.9%	1262 29.1%	276 25.6%	395 30.1%	229 30.5%	257 29.2%	105 34.3%	412 27.2%	704 29.8%	146 31.2%	173 25.3%	284 30.1%	175 26.7%	195 30.2%	55 26.4%	291 25.2%	868 32.4%	100 20.0%
(3) rather important	1134 26.1%	1107 25.5%	214 19.9%	333 25.4%	207 27.5%	271 30.7%	79 25.8%	350 23.1%	625 26.5%	133 28.4%	135 19.7%	226 24.0%	191 29.1%	204 31.7%	58 28.2%	145 12.5%	831 31.0%	132 26.3%
(4) less important	558 12.8%	537 12.4%	118 11.0%	173 13.2%	107 14.3%	97 11.0%	43 14.0%	205 13.6%	290 12.3%	41 8.9%	80 11.7%	134 14.2%	91 13.9%	70 10.8%	26 12.4%	73 6.3%	342 12.8%	121 24.2%
(5) unimportant	229 5.3%	219 5.0%	58 5.4%	63 4.8%	37 4.9%	39 4.4%	22 7.3%	79 5.2%	113 4.8%	27 5.8%	35 5.1%	48 5.1%	31 4.7%	26 4.0%	13 6.0%	34 3.0%	119 4.4%	66 13.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2422 55.8%	2478 57.1%	685 63.7%	742 56.6%	401 53.3%	475 53.9%	161 52.9%	881 58.2%	1331 56.4%	266 56.9%	435 63.5%	534 56.7%	342 52.3%	345 53.5%	111 53.4%	905 78.2%	1385 51.8%	182 36.3%
Middle Box (3)	1134 26.1%	1107 25.5%	214 19.9%	333 25.4%	207 27.5%	271 30.7%	79 25.8%	350 23.1%	625 26.5%	133 28.4%	135 19.7%	226 24.0%	191 29.1%	204 31.7%	58 28.2%	145 12.5%	831 31.0%	132 26.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	787 18.1%	756 17.4%	176 16.3%	236 18.0%	144 19.2%	135 15.4%	65 21.3%	284 18.8%	403 17.1%	69 14.7%	115 16.8%	183 19.3%	122 18.6%	95 14.8%	38 18.4%	107 9.3%	460 17.2%	187 37.4%
Mean	2,41	2,37	2,20 BCDE	2,40 Ae	2,48 A	2,41 Ae	2,57 Abd	2,35	2,39	2,38	2,20 BCDe	2,41 A	2,45 A	2,42 A	2,44 a	1,81 BC	2,51 AC	2,98 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	6 0.5%	5 0.4%	6 0.8%	5 0.5%	2 0.8%	6 0.4%	16 0.7%	4 0.9%	2 0.3%	4 0.4%	4 0.6%	3 0.4%	1 0.6%	4 0.3%	5 0.2%	3 0.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Supporting families

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4345 77.6%	4340 77.5%	1077 99.7%	1309 99.4%	751 99.0%	883 99.6%	304 99.1%	1514 99.6%	2357 99.2%	469 99.5%	685 99.8%	942 99.5%	651 98.9%	646 99.7%	207 99.4%	1159 99.8%	2672 99.7%	502 99.7%
<b>Supporting families</b>																		
(1) very important	1994 45.9%	1978 45.6%	648 60.2%	558 42.6%	284 37.8%	378 42.8%	102 33.6%	710 46.9%	1020 43.3%	247 52.7%	385 56.2%	435 46.1%	229 35.1%	266 41.1%	93 45.0%	778 67.2%	1023 38.3%	174 34.6%
(2) important	1444 33.2%	1456 33.5%	296 27.5%	490 37.4%	300 39.9%	266 30.2%	98 32.1%	511 33.8%	809 34.3%	135 28.8%	218 31.8%	337 35.7%	256 39.4%	203 31.5%	50 24.0%	263 22.7%	1024 38.3%	167 33.2%
(3) rather important	722 16.6%	721 16.6%	102 9.4%	205 15.7%	138 18.4%	194 22.0%	80 26.2%	227 15.0%	428 18.1%	66 14.1%	59 8.7%	138 14.7%	140 21.5%	147 22.8%	44 21.2%	87 7.5%	527 19.7%	105 20.8%
(4) less important	148 3.4%	150 3.5%	21 2.0%	48 3.7%	28 3.7%	38 4.3%	15 4.9%	56 3.7%	82 3.5%	13 2.7%	16 2.4%	27 2.9%	23 3.6%	24 3.8%	18 8.5%	24 2.1%	84 3.1%	41 8.1%
(5) unimportant	37 0.9%	36 0.8%	10 0.9%	8 0.6%	2 0.2%	6 0.7%	10 3.2%	10 0.6%	18 0.8%	8 1.7%	6 0.9%	6 0.6%	2 0.4%	5 0.8%	3 1.3%	6 0.5%	14 0.5%	16 3.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3438 79.1%	3433 79.1%	944 87.7%	1048 80.0%	583 77.6%	644 73.0%	200 65.7%	1222 80.7%	1830 77.6%	382 81.5%	603 88.0%	772 81.9%	485 74.5%	469 72.6%	143 69.0%	1041 89.9%	2047 76.6%	340 67.8%
Middle Box (3)	722 16.6%	721 16.6%	102 9.4%	205 15.7%	138 18.4%	194 22.0%	80 26.2%	227 15.0%	428 18.1%	66 14.1%	59 8.7%	138 14.7%	140 21.5%	147 22.8%	44 21.2%	87 7.5%	527 19.7%	105 20.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	185 4.3%	186 4.3%	31 2.9%	56 4.3%	30 3.9%	45 5.1%	25 8.1%	65 4.3%	100 4.2%	20 4.4%	23 3.3%	33 3.4%	26 4.0%	29 4.5%	20 9.8%	30 2.6%	98 3.7%	57 11.4%
Mean	1,80	1,80	1,56 BCDE	1,82 AdE	1,89 AE	1,90 AbE	2,12 ABCD	1,77 b	1,84 aC	1,72 B	1,60 BCDE	1,76 ACDE	1,95 AB	1,92 AB	1,97 AB	1,46 BC	1,89 AC	2,12 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1254 22.4%	1257 22.5%	4 0.3%	8 0.6%	8 1.0%	4 0.4%	3 0.9%	7 0.4%	18 0.8%	2 0.5%	1 0.2%	5 0.5%	7 1.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.6%	2 0.2%	9 0.3%	1 0.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country? - Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4336 77.5%	1078 99.8%	1309 99.4%	752 99.0%	877 98.9%	304 99.0%	1515 99.6%	2353 99.1%	468 99.4%	685 99.7%	945 99.8%	652 99.1%	642 99.2%	204 97.9%	1156 99.6%	2672 99.7%	502 99.7%
<b>Measures to counteract against the consequences of the demographic change</b>																		
(1) very important	806 18.6%	782 18.0%	316 29.4%	214 16.4%	94 12.6%	109 12.5%	41 13.5%	326 21.5%	370 15.7%	86 18.4%	203 29.6%	171 18.1%	93 14.3%	76 11.8%	40 19.5%	381 33.0%	336 12.6%	64 12.7%
(2) important	1416 32.6%	1439 33.2%	333 30.9%	516 39.4%	262 34.8%	239 27.3%	86 28.4%	541 35.7%	761 32.3%	138 29.4%	227 33.2%	369 39.0%	223 34.2%	191 29.7%	55 27.0%	347 30.0%	974 36.5%	117 23.3%
(3) rather important	1356 31.3%	1359 31.3%	267 24.7%	382 29.2%	271 36.1%	322 36.7%	112 36.9%	422 27.9%	800 34.0%	137 29.3%	156 22.8%	276 29.2%	239 36.7%	254 39.5%	68 33.3%	259 22.4%	924 34.6%	175 34.8%
(4) less important	539 12.4%	518 12.0%	102 9.4%	147 11.2%	100 13.3%	127 14.5%	42 13.7%	165 10.9%	297 12.6%	56 12.0%	69 10.0%	91 9.6%	75 11.6%	85 13.3%	30 14.7%	101 8.8%	319 11.9%	95 19.0%
(5) unimportant	222 5.1%	238 5.5%	60 5.6%	50 3.8%	25 3.3%	79 9.1%	23 7.5%	61 4.0%	126 5.3%	51 10.9%	30 4.4%	39 4.1%	21 3.2%	37 5.7%	11 5.6%	67 5.8%	120 4.5%	51 10.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2222 51.2%	2221 51.2%	650 60.3%	730 55.7%	356 47.4%	348 39.7%	127 41.8%	867 57.2%	1131 48.0%	224 47.8%	430 62.8%	540 57.1%	316 48.6%	266 41.5%	95 46.5%	728 63.0%	1310 49.0%	181 36.0%
Middle Box (3)	1356 31.3%	1359 31.3%	267 24.7%	382 29.2%	271 36.1%	322 36.7%	112 36.9%	422 27.9%	800 34.0%	137 29.3%	156 22.8%	276 29.2%	239 36.7%	254 39.5%	68 33.3%	259 22.4%	924 34.6%	175 34.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	761 17.5%	756 17.4%	162 15.0%	197 15.0%	124 16.6%	206 23.5%	65 21.3%	226 14.9%	423 18.0%	107 22.9%	99 14.4%	130 13.7%	96 14.8%	122 19.0%	41 20.3%	168 14.6%	438 16.4%	146 29.2%
Mean	2,53	2,54	2,31 BCDE	2,47 ACDE	2,60 ABDe	2,80 ABC	2,74 ABc	2,40 BC	2,60 A	2,68 A	2,26 BCDE	2,43 AcDe	2,55 AbD	2,72 ABC	2,60 Ab	2,24 BC	2,59 AC	2,91 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1260 22.5%	1261 22.5%	2 0.2%	7 0.6%	7 1.0%	9 1.1%	3 1.0%	6 0.4%	22 0.9%	3 0.6%	2 0.3%	2 0.2%	6 0.9%	5 0.8%	4 2.1%	5 0.4%	9 0.3%	1 0.3%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about new technologies?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4352 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1511 99.3%	2368 99.7%	471 100.0%	679 98.8%	947 100.0%	656 99.7%	645 99.6%	208 99.5%	1151 99.2%	2675 99.8%	503 100.0%
<b>Personal feeling towards new technologies</b>																		
(1) very positive	1119 25.7%	1081 24.8%	1081 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	550 36.4%	454 19.2%	77 16.3%	380 56.0%	252 26.6%	93 14.2%	107 16.6%	27 13.0%	425 36.9%	546 20.4%	106 21.1%
(2)	558 12.8%	574 13.2%	0 -	574 43.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	251 16.6%	292 12.4%	30 6.5%	95 14.0%	209 22.1%	90 13.7%	55 8.6%	14 6.8%	163 14.1%	358 13.4%	52 10.3%
(3)	741 17.0%	743 17.1%	0 -	743 56.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	253 16.7%	428 18.1%	62 13.1%	89 13.1%	211 22.3%	136 20.8%	95 14.8%	27 13.0%	162 14.1%	499 18.7%	79 15.6%
(4)	453 10.4%	456 10.5%	0 -	0 -	456 60.1%	0 -	0 -	134 8.8%	285 12.0%	38 8.1%	34 4.9%	106 11.2%	98 14.9%	64 9.9%	33 15.7%	85 7.4%	312 11.7%	57 11.2%
(5)	299 6.9%	303 7.0%	0 -	0 -	303 39.9%	0 -	0 -	83 5.5%	183 7.7%	36 7.6%	19 2.8%	51 5.4%	79 12.1%	44 6.8%	18 8.6%	71 6.1%	188 7.0%	40 7.8%
(6)	872 20.0%	886 20.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	886 100.0%	0 -	159 10.5%	567 23.9%	160 34.1%	44 6.4%	80 8.5%	111 16.8%	232 36.0%	52 25.2%	185 16.1%	579 21.6%	119 23.7%
(7)	120 2.8%	115 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	115 37.5%	29 1.9%	67 2.8%	19 4.0%	7 1.0%	13 1.4%	22 3.4%	20 3.0%	17 8.1%	21 1.8%	77 2.9%	16 3.2%
(8)	93 2.1%	93 2.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	93 30.2%	23 1.5%	51 2.2%	18 3.9%	4 0.6%	14 1.4%	19 2.9%	12 1.9%	9 4.2%	10 0.8%	66 2.5%	16 3.2%
(9)	55 1.3%	53 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	53 17.3%	19 1.2%	23 1.0%	11 2.4%	6 0.9%	9 0.9%	6 0.9%	10 1.6%	5 2.3%	20 1.8%	26 1.0%	7 1.4%
(10)	14 0.3%	17 0.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	17 5.4%	5 0.3%	8 0.4%	3 0.7%	1 0.2%	2 0.2%	0 -	3 0.5%	3 1.4%	2 0.2%	12 0.4%	2 0.4%
(11) very negative	28 0.6%	30 0.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	30 9.6%	5 0.3%	9 0.4%	16 3.4%	0 -	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	3 1.7%	7 0.6%	13 0.5%	9 1.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	3,57	3,59	1,00	2,56	4,40	6,00	8,19	2,90	3,82	4,68	2,17	2,92	3,83	4,27	4,66	3,09	3,73	4,01
missing	1247 22.3%	1248 22.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 0.7%	8 0.3%	0 -	8 1.2%	0 -	2 0.3%	3 0.4%	1 0.5%	9 0.8%	7 0.2%	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards new technologies in your country?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4359 77.9%	4358 77.9%	1079 99.8%	1315 99.9%	758 99.8%	885 99.9%	306 99.7%	1516 99.7%	2370 99.8%	471 100.0%	684 99.6%	947 100.0%	656 99.7%	647 99.8%	209 100.0%	1159 99.9%	2677 99.8%	502 99.7%
<b>Population's feeling towards new technologies</b>																		
(1) very positive	332 7.6%	322 7.4%	229 21.3%	44 3.3%	9 1.2%	29 3.3%	8 2.5%	138 9.1%	144 6.1%	40 8.5%	104 15.2%	51 5.3%	27 4.1%	36 5.6%	12 5.5%	149 12.9%	145 5.4%	26 5.2%
(2)	308 7.1%	311 7.1%	109 10.1%	161 12.3%	26 3.4%	8 0.9%	6 1.8%	141 9.3%	148 6.2%	22 4.8%	64 9.3%	112 11.8%	34 5.1%	34 5.2%	14 6.6%	117 10.1%	170 6.4%	24 4.8%
(3)	682 15.6%	679 15.6%	192 17.8%	322 24.5%	85 11.2%	63 7.1%	17 5.4%	264 17.4%	358 15.1%	58 12.2%	120 17.5%	191 20.2%	112 17.0%	83 12.8%	24 11.5%	162 14.0%	457 17.1%	57 11.3%
(4)	782 17.9%	770 17.7%	176 16.3%	275 20.9%	185 24.4%	112 12.6%	22 7.0%	278 18.3%	426 18.0%	67 14.2%	115 16.8%	193 20.4%	125 19.1%	89 13.8%	30 14.3%	187 16.1%	485 18.1%	95 18.9%
(5)	611 14.0%	616 14.1%	94 8.7%	193 14.7%	217 28.6%	81 9.1%	30 9.9%	202 13.3%	355 15.0%	60 12.7%	88 12.8%	136 14.4%	124 19.0%	78 12.1%	24 11.4%	141 12.2%	406 15.2%	66 13.1%
(6)	1069 24.5%	1089 25.0%	175 16.2%	203 15.4%	133 17.5%	506 57.1%	71 23.1%	292 19.3%	645 27.2%	151 32.1%	117 17.1%	150 15.8%	133 20.3%	263 40.7%	60 28.8%	269 23.2%	670 25.0%	148 29.4%
(7)	283 6.5%	276 6.3%	51 4.8%	72 5.5%	50 6.7%	39 4.4%	61 20.0%	94 6.2%	151 6.4%	31 6.5%	33 4.9%	59 6.2%	52 7.9%	29 4.4%	26 12.3%	53 4.6%	184 6.9%	37 7.5%
(8)	169 3.9%	175 4.0%	28 2.6%	31 2.3%	36 4.8%	35 3.9%	46 15.0%	70 4.6%	86 3.6%	19 4.1%	30 4.4%	34 3.6%	31 4.6%	25 3.8%	8 3.7%	44 3.8%	103 3.9%	24 4.7%
(9)	80 1.8%	77 1.8%	16 1.5%	9 0.7%	14 1.9%	11 1.2%	25 8.1%	30 2.0%	37 1.6%	9 2.0%	9 1.3%	18 1.9%	13 2.1%	7 1.0%	8 4.0%	22 1.9%	44 1.6%	11 2.2%
(10)	15 0.3%	14 0.3%	1 0.1%	4 0.3%	1 0.2%	2 0.2%	7 2.1%	4 0.3%	9 0.4%	2 0.4%	1 0.1%	3 0.3%	5 0.8%	2 0.3%	1 0.5%	8 0.7%	3 0.1%	4 0.8%
(11) very negative	28 0.6%	28 0.6%	7 0.7%	2 0.1%	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	15 5.0%	4 0.2%	12 0.5%	12 2.6%	4 0.6%	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	3 1.5%	8 0.7%	10 0.4%	10 2.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	4,61	4,62	3,76	4,19	4,93	5,41	6,48	4,39	4,71	4,96	4,09	4,31	4,78	4,90	5,12	4,32	4,67	5,07
missing	1240 22.1%	1240 22.1%	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	1 0.3%	4 0.3%	5 0.2%	0 -	3 0.4%	0 -	2 0.3%	1 0.2%	0 -	2 0.1%	5 0.2%	1 0.3%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about new technologies? - Groups

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4352 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1511 99.3%	2368 99.7%	471 100.0%	679 98.8%	947 100.0%	656 99.7%	645 99.6%	208 99.5%	1151 99.2%	2675 99.8%	503 100.0%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity</b>																		
(1) enthusiastic	1119 25.7%	1081 24.8%	1081 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	550 36.4%	454 19.2%	77 16.3%	380 56.0%	252 26.6%	93 14.2%	107 16.6%	27 13.0%	425 36.9%	546 20.4%	106 21.1%
(2) positive	1299 29.8%	1317 30.3%	0 -	1317 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	504 33.3%	721 30.4%	92 19.6%	184 27.1%	420 44.3%	227 34.6%	151 23.4%	41 19.8%	325 28.2%	857 32.1%	130 25.9%
(3) rather positive	752 17.3%	759 17.5%	0 -	0 -	759 100.0%	0 -	0 -	217 14.4%	468 19.8%	74 15.7%	53 7.8%	157 16.5%	177 26.9%	108 16.8%	50 24.3%	156 13.5%	500 18.7%	96 19.1%
(4) neutral	872 20.0%	886 20.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	886 100.0%	0 -	159 10.5%	567 23.9%	160 34.1%	44 6.4%	80 8.5%	111 16.8%	232 36.0%	52 25.2%	185 16.1%	579 21.6%	119 23.7%
(5) negative	310 7.1%	307 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	307 100.0%	81 5.4%	159 6.7%	68 14.4%	18 2.6%	38 4.1%	49 7.4%	47 7.3%	37 17.6%	61 5.3%	193 7.2%	51 10.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	2,53	2,55	1,00	2,00	3,00	4,00	5,00	2,15	2,69	3,11	1,73	2,19	2,69	2,94	3,15	2,25	2,63	2,76
missing	1247 22.3%	1248 22.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 0.7%	8 0.3%	0 -	8 1.2%	0 -	2 0.3%	3 0.4%	1 0.5%	9 0.8%	7 0.2%	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards new technologies in your country? - Groups


Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4359 77.9%	4358 77.9%	1079 99.8%	1315 99.9%	758 99.8%	885 99.9%	306 99.7%	1516 99.7%	2370 99.8%	471 100.0%	684 99.6%	947 100.0%	656 99.7%	647 99.8%	209 100.0%	1159 99.9%	2677 99.8%	502 99.7%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity - Society</b>																		
(1) enthusiastic	332 7.6%	322 7.4%	229 21.3%	44 3.3%	9 1.2%	29 3.3%	8 2.5%	138 9.1%	144 6.1%	40 8.5%	104 15.2%	51 5.3%	27 4.1%	36 5.6%	12 5.5%	149 12.9%	145 5.4%	26 5.2%
(2) positive	990 22.7%	991 22.7%	302 28.0%	483 36.7%	110 14.6%	71 8.0%	22 7.2%	405 26.7%	506 21.3%	80 17.0%	184 26.8%	303 32.0%	145 22.2%	117 18.1%	38 18.1%	279 24.1%	627 23.4%	81 16.1%
(3) rather positive	1393 32.0%	1386 31.8%	270 25.0%	468 35.6%	402 53.0%	193 21.8%	52 16.9%	479 31.6%	780 32.9%	126 26.8%	202 29.6%	329 34.8%	250 38.1%	168 25.9%	54 25.6%	328 28.3%	891 33.3%	161 32.0%
(4) neutral	1069 24.5%	1089 25.0%	175 16.2%	203 15.4%	133 17.5%	506 57.1%	71 23.1%	292 19.3%	645 27.2%	151 32.1%	117 17.1%	150 15.8%	133 20.3%	263 40.7%	60 28.8%	269 23.2%	670 25.0%	148 29.4%
(5) negative	575 13.2%	570 13.1%	103 9.6%	118 8.9%	104 13.7%	87 9.8%	154 50.3%	202 13.3%	295 12.4%	73 15.6%	77 11.3%	115 12.1%	101 15.5%	63 9.7%	46 21.9%	133 11.5%	344 12.8%	86 17.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	3,13	3,14	2,65	2,90	3,28	3,62	4,11	3,01	3,19	3,29	2,83	2,97	3,21	3,31	3,43	2,96	3,16	3,37
missing	1240 22.1%	1240 22.1%	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	1 0.3%	4 0.3%	5 0.2%	0 -	3 0.4%	0 -	2 0.3%	1 0.2%	0 -	2 0.1%	5 0.2%	1 0.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


n=5597



BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies are important to solve current problems.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4344 77.6%	4344 77.6%	1076 99.6%	1313 99.7%	756 99.6%	880 99.3%	303 98.7%	1516 99.7%	2363 99.5%	465 98.7%	686 99.8%	946 99.9%	656 99.6%	646 99.7%	206 98.9%	1158 99.7%	2674 99.7%	499 99.1%
<b>New technologies are important to solve present problems.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	986 22.7%	1006 23.2%	504 46.8%	298 22.7%	102 13.4%	80 9.0%	15 5.1%	493 32.5%	444 18.8%	69 14.8%	353 51.6%	213 22.5%	112 17.1%	81 12.5%	29 13.9%	406 35.1%	489 18.3%	108 21.7%
(2) rather agree	1718 39.5%	1722 39.6%	401 37.3%	697 53.1%	322 42.6%	212 24.1%	85 28.2%	626 41.3%	936 39.6%	159 34.3%	229 33.4%	505 53.4%	303 46.3%	199 30.8%	57 27.6%	433 37.4%	1132 42.3%	153 30.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1316 30.3%	1296 29.8%	139 13.0%	263 20.0%	280 37.0%	475 54.1%	136 44.7%	310 20.4%	812 34.3%	174 37.5%	90 13.1%	190 20.0%	197 30.1%	318 49.3%	76 36.8%	246 21.3%	864 32.3%	181 36.2%
(4) rather disagree	247 5.7%	243 5.6%	26 2.4%	45 3.4%	40 5.3%	85 9.7%	45 14.9%	64 4.2%	140 5.9%	39 8.4%	12 1.8%	33 3.5%	36 5.5%	39 6.0%	26 12.8%	56 4.9%	143 5.4%	43 8.6%
(5) completely disagree	77 1.8%	77 1.8%	6 0.5%	10 0.7%	12 1.6%	27 3.1%	22 7.1%	22 1.5%	31 1.3%	23 5.0%	1 0.1%	5 0.6%	7 1.0%	9 1.3%	18 8.8%	16 1.4%	46 1.7%	14 2.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2704 62.2%	2728 62.8%	905 84.1%	996 75.8%	423 56.0%	291 33.1%	101 33.2%	1120 73.8%	1380 58.4%	228 49.0%	582 84.9%	718 75.9%	415 63.4%	280 43.3%	86 41.6%	839 72.5%	1620 60.6%	261 52.4%
Middle Box (3)	1316 30.3%	1296 29.8%	139 13.0%	263 20.0%	280 37.0%	475 54.1%	136 44.7%	310 20.4%	812 34.3%	174 37.5%	90 13.1%	190 20.0%	197 30.1%	318 49.3%	76 36.8%	246 21.3%	864 32.3%	181 36.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	324 7.5%	320 7.4%	31 2.9%	55 4.2%	52 6.9%	113 12.8%	67 22.1%	87 5.7%	171 7.2%	62 13.4%	13 1.9%	39 4.1%	43 6.5%	48 7.4%	45 21.6%	72 6.3%	189 7.1%	57 11.4%
Mean	2,24	2,23	1,72 BCDE	2,06 ACDE	2,39 ABDE	2,74 ABCE	2,91 ABCD	2,01 BC	2,31 AC	2,55 AB	1,66 BCDE	2,06 ACDE	2,27 ABDE	2,53 ABCE	2,75 ABCD	2,00 BC	2,30 Ac	2,40 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1255 22.4%	1253 22.4%	4 0.4%	3 0.3%	3 0.4%	7 0.7%	4 1.3%	5 0.3%	13 0.5%	6 1.3%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	2 0.4%	2 0.3%	2 1.1%	3 0.3%	8 0.3%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies have a positive impact on the economic development.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4348 77.7%	4348 77.7%	1077 99.7%	1313 99.8%	754 99.4%	884 99.8%	302 98.5%	1517 99.8%	2362 99.4%	468 99.3%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	655 99.6%	642 99.1%	207 99.4%	1159 99.8%	2670 99.6%	503 99.8%
<b>New technologies have a positive effect on the economic development.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1243 28.6%	1262 29.0%	629 58.3%	395 30.0%	103 13.7%	108 12.3%	18 5.9%	584 38.5%	589 24.9%	89 19.0%	412 60.0%	298 31.4%	128 19.5%	119 18.6%	30 14.5%	508 43.9%	631 23.6%	121 24.0%
(2) rather agree	1814 41.7%	1819 41.8%	331 30.7%	705 53.7%	391 51.8%	276 31.2%	112 37.0%	629 41.4%	1013 42.9%	177 37.9%	213 31.0%	482 50.9%	321 49.0%	234 36.4%	80 38.6%	418 36.1%	1212 45.4%	180 35.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1108 25.5%	1092 25.1%	105 9.7%	189 14.4%	227 30.1%	442 50.0%	126 41.6%	268 17.6%	673 28.5%	151 32.3%	60 8.7%	151 15.9%	189 28.9%	263 40.9%	67 32.1%	195 16.8%	730 27.3%	163 32.5%
(4) rather disagree	139 3.2%	133 3.1%	12 1.1%	22 1.7%	27 3.5%	44 4.9%	29 9.7%	27 1.8%	76 3.2%	30 6.4%	0 0.1%	15 1.6%	16 2.5%	22 3.5%	22 10.6%	26 2.2%	80 3.0%	27 5.3%
(5) completely disagree	44 1.0%	42 1.0%	1 0.1%	3 0.2%	6 0.8%	14 1.6%	17 5.8%	10 0.6%	11 0.5%	21 4.4%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	4 0.6%	9 4.3%	12 1.0%	17 0.6%	12 2.4%
Top Box (1+2)	3057 70.3%	3081 70.9%	960 89.1%	1100 83.7%	494 65.5%	384 43.5%	130 42.9%	1213 79.9%	1602 67.8%	266 56.9%	625 91.0%	780 82.4%	449 68.4%	353 55.0%	110 53.0%	927 80.0%	1843 69.0%	300 59.7%
Middle Box (3)	1108 25.5%	1092 25.1%	105 9.7%	189 14.4%	227 30.1%	442 50.0%	126 41.6%	268 17.6%	673 28.5%	151 32.3%	60 8.7%	151 15.9%	189 28.9%	263 40.9%	67 32.1%	195 16.8%	730 27.3%	163 32.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	183 4.2%	175 4.0%	13 1.2%	25 1.9%	33 4.4%	58 6.6%	47 15.5%	37 2.4%	88 3.7%	51 10.9%	2 0.3%	16 1.7%	18 2.7%	26 4.0%	31 14.9%	37 3.2%	97 3.6%	39 7.8%
Mean	2,06	2,05	1,54 BCDE	1,88 ACDE	2,26 ABDE	2,52 ABCE	2,73 ABCD	1,85 BC	2,11 AC	2,39 AB	1,49 BCDE	1,88 ACDE	2,15 ABDE	2,31 ABCE	2,52 ABCD	1,80 BC	2,12 AC	2,27 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1251 22.3%	1250 22.3%	3 0.3%	3 0.2%	5 0.6%	2 0.2%	5 1.5%	3 0.2%	13 0.6%	3 0.7%	0 -	0 -	3 0.4%	6 0.9%	1 0.6%	2 0.2%	12 0.4%	1 0.2%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies help to protect the environment and to reverse environmental damages.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1075 99.5%	1314 99.8%	756 99.6%	884 99.7%	305 99.2%	1515 99.6%	2366 99.6%	468 99.3%	683 99.5%	947 100.0%	656 99.7%	646 99.6%	207 99.4%	1156 99.6%	2675 99.7%	502 99.8%
<b>New technologies help to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	875 20.1%	893 20.5%	431 40.1%	258 19.7%	92 12.1%	90 10.2%	16 5.3%	425 28.0%	401 17.0%	66 14.2%	305 44.6%	197 20.8%	109 16.6%	74 11.5%	21 10.3%	372 32.1%	439 16.4%	80 15.9%
(2) rather agree	1450 33.3%	1469 33.8%	350 32.6%	583 44.4%	270 35.7%	188 21.3%	75 24.6%	567 37.4%	786 33.2%	116 24.8%	209 30.6%	435 45.9%	247 37.7%	184 28.5%	51 24.7%	347 30.1%	980 36.6%	137 27.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1567 36.0%	1545 35.5%	244 22.7%	390 29.7%	301 39.8%	472 53.5%	134 44.1%	418 27.6%	938 39.7%	188 40.3%	141 20.6%	259 27.4%	241 36.7%	334 51.8%	79 38.3%	323 27.9%	1015 38.0%	202 40.2%
(4) rather disagree	342 7.9%	331 7.6%	35 3.2%	66 5.0%	81 10.7%	99 11.3%	47 15.4%	75 4.9%	194 8.2%	62 13.2%	23 3.4%	41 4.4%	49 7.5%	44 6.8%	37 18.0%	87 7.5%	185 6.9%	58 11.6%
(5) completely disagree	116 2.7%	111 2.5%	16 1.5%	17 1.3%	12 1.6%	33 3.8%	32 10.6%	30 2.0%	46 1.9%	35 7.5%	6 0.8%	15 1.6%	10 1.6%	9 1.5%	18 8.7%	27 2.4%	56 2.1%	26 5.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2325 53.4%	2362 54.3%	781 72.6%	841 64.0%	362 47.8%	278 31.5%	91 29.9%	992 65.5%	1188 50.2%	182 39.0%	514 75.2%	632 66.7%	356 54.3%	258 40.0%	73 35.1%	719 62.2%	1419 53.1%	217 43.1%
Middle Box (3)	1567 36.0%	1545 35.5%	244 22.7%	390 29.7%	301 39.8%	472 53.5%	134 44.1%	418 27.6%	938 39.7%	188 40.3%	141 20.6%	259 27.4%	241 36.7%	334 51.8%	79 38.3%	323 27.9%	1015 38.0%	202 40.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	458 10.5%	442 10.2%	51 4.7%	83 6.3%	93 12.3%	133 15.0%	79 26.0%	105 6.9%	240 10.1%	97 20.7%	29 4.2%	56 5.9%	59 9.0%	53 8.3%	55 26.7%	114 9.9%	240 9.0%	84 16.7%
Mean	2,40	2,38	1,94 BCDE	2,24 ACDE	2,54 ABDE	2,77 ABCE	3,01 ABCD	2,15 BC	2,45 AC	2,75 AB	1,85 BCDE	2,20 ACDE	2,40 ABDE	2,58 ABCE	2,90 ABCD	2,18 BC	2,42 AC	2,63 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1249 22.3%	1249 22.3%	6 0.5%	3 0.2%	3 0.4%	3 0.3%	2 0.8%	6 0.4%	9 0.4%	3 0.7%	3 0.5%	0 -	2 0.3%	2 0.4%	1 0.6%	5 0.4%	7 0.3%	1 0.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4348 77.7%	1077 99.6%	1313 99.7%	756 99.6%	882 99.6%	303 98.8%	1513 99.5%	2364 99.5%	470 99.8%	684 99.6%	946 99.9%	654 99.3%	647 99.9%	207 99.0%	1158 99.7%	2673 99.7%	502 99.8%
<b>New technologies create more jobs than they endanger.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	620 14.3%	637 14.7%	329 30.6%	171 13.0%	60 7.9%	64 7.3%	10 3.3%	311 20.5%	276 11.7%	50 10.6%	222 32.5%	141 14.9%	74 11.4%	57 8.8%	16 7.8%	280 24.2%	296 11.1%	60 12.0%
(2) rather agree	1135 26.1%	1168 26.9%	310 28.8%	459 35.0%	186 24.6%	137 15.5%	71 23.3%	457 30.2%	612 25.9%	99 21.1%	190 27.8%	315 33.3%	192 29.4%	146 22.6%	38 18.4%	299 25.9%	755 28.2%	109 21.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1700 39.1%	1681 38.7%	312 29.0%	486 37.0%	322 42.6%	457 51.8%	99 32.6%	507 33.5%	994 42.0%	180 38.3%	189 27.6%	347 36.7%	267 40.9%	328 50.6%	76 36.6%	358 30.9%	1118 41.8%	198 39.5%
(4) rather disagree	660 15.2%	633 14.6%	96 8.9%	159 12.1%	157 20.7%	151 17.1%	70 23.0%	176 11.6%	373 15.8%	84 17.8%	62 9.0%	118 12.4%	101 15.5%	87 13.5%	46 22.1%	149 12.9%	389 14.6%	92 18.3%
(5) completely disagree	235 5.4%	228 5.3%	30 2.7%	37 2.8%	32 4.2%	73 8.3%	54 17.8%	62 4.1%	108 4.6%	58 12.3%	21 3.1%	25 2.6%	19 2.9%	29 4.4%	31 15.1%	71 6.2%	115 4.3%	42 8.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1755 40.3%	1805 41.5%	640 59.4%	631 48.0%	245 32.5%	201 22.8%	81 26.6%	768 50.7%	889 37.6%	149 31.6%	412 60.3%	456 48.2%	266 40.7%	204 31.5%	54 26.2%	579 50.0%	1050 39.3%	170 33.8%
Middle Box (3)	1700 39.1%	1681 38.7%	312 29.0%	486 37.0%	322 42.6%	457 51.8%	99 32.6%	507 33.5%	994 42.0%	180 38.3%	189 27.6%	347 36.7%	267 40.9%	328 50.6%	76 36.6%	358 30.9%	1118 41.8%	198 39.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	895 20.6%	862 19.8%	125 11.6%	197 15.0%	188 24.9%	224 25.4%	124 40.8%	239 15.8%	482 20.4%	141 30.1%	83 12.1%	143 15.1%	120 18.4%	116 17.9%	77 37.2%	221 19.1%	504 18.9%	134 26.7%
Mean	2,71	2,69	2,24 BCDE	2,57 ACDE	2,89 ABDE	3,04 ABCE	3,29 ABCD	2,49 BC	2,76 AC	3,00 AB	2,23 BCDE	2,55 ACDE	2,69 ABdE	2,82 ABcE	3,18 ABCD	2,51 BC	2,73 AC	2,89 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1249 22.3%	1250 22.3%	4 0.4%	3 0.3%	3 0.4%	4 0.4%	4 1.2%	7 0.5%	11 0.5%	1 0.2%	3 0.4%	1 0.1%	4 0.7%	1 0.1%	2 1.0%	3 0.3%	9 0.3%	1 0.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies are connected with health risks.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4342 77.6%	1076 99.6%	1314 99.8%	750 98.8%	881 99.4%	305 99.2%	1518 99.8%	2358 99.2%	466 98.9%	686 99.8%	944 99.7%	654 99.3%	646 99.7%	206 98.8%	1156 99.6%	2669 99.5%	502 99.7%
<b>New technologies are connected with health risks.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	326 7.5%	316 7.3%	115 10.7%	68 5.2%	48 6.4%	50 5.6%	34 11.0%	136 9.0%	140 5.9%	41 8.7%	80 11.7%	55 5.8%	30 4.5%	37 5.7%	29 14.2%	145 12.5%	142 5.3%	27 5.4%
(2) rather agree	892 20.5%	864 19.9%	176 16.4%	267 20.4%	180 24.0%	152 17.3%	84 27.7%	300 19.8%	466 19.8%	98 21.0%	95 13.9%	195 20.7%	165 25.3%	122 19.0%	49 23.6%	231 20.0%	529 19.8%	100 20.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2006 46.2%	2033 46.8%	389 36.2%	597 45.5%	368 49.0%	539 61.2%	132 43.2%	647 42.6%	1176 49.9%	210 45.0%	256 37.3%	411 43.5%	333 50.9%	376 58.2%	94 45.4%	451 39.0%	1346 50.4%	228 45.5%
(4) rather disagree	913 21.0%	924 21.3%	275 25.5%	334 25.4%	142 18.9%	123 14.0%	48 15.9%	335 22.1%	499 21.2%	90 19.3%	183 26.6%	240 25.4%	115 17.6%	96 14.9%	28 13.4%	254 22.0%	554 20.8%	115 22.9%
(5) completely disagree	206 4.7%	204 4.7%	121 11.2%	47 3.5%	13 1.7%	16 1.9%	7 2.2%	100 6.6%	76 3.2%	28 6.0%	72 10.5%	43 4.5%	11 1.6%	15 2.3%	7 3.4%	76 6.5%	97 3.6%	31 6.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1218 28.0%	1180 27.2%	292 27.1%	336 25.6%	228 30.4%	202 22.9%	118 38.7%	436 28.7%	606 25.7%	138 29.7%	175 25.6%	250 26.5%	195 29.8%	159 24.6%	78 37.8%	376 32.5%	671 25.2%	127 25.4%
Middle Box (3)	2006 46.2%	2033 46.8%	389 36.2%	597 45.5%	368 49.0%	539 61.2%	132 43.2%	647 42.6%	1176 49.9%	210 45.0%	256 37.3%	411 43.5%	333 50.9%	376 58.2%	94 45.4%	451 39.0%	1346 50.4%	228 45.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1119 25.8%	1128 26.0%	395 36.7%	381 29.0%	155 20.6%	140 15.9%	55 18.1%	435 28.7%	575 24.4%	118 25.3%	255 37.1%	283 30.0%	126 19.3%	111 17.1%	35 16.8%	330 28.5%	651 24.4%	146 29.1%
Mean	2,95	2,96	3,10 bCDE	3,02 aCDE	2,86 ABe	2,89 ABE	2,71 ABcD	2,98	2,96	2,93	3,10 CDE	3,02 CDE	2,87 ABE	2,89 ABE	2,68 ABCD	2,90 bC	2,98 a	3,05 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	5 0.4%	3 0.2%	9 1.2%	5 0.6%	2 0.8%	3 0.2%	18 0.8%	5 1.1%	1 0.2%	3 0.3%	4 0.7%	2 0.3%	3 1.2%	5 0.4%	13 0.5%	2 0.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4350 77.7%	4349 77.7%	1079 99.9%	1315 99.9%	755 99.4%	880 99.3%	304 99.1%	1518 99.8%	2366 99.6%	466 98.9%	685 99.7%	947 99.9%	656 99.7%	647 99.9%	207 99.4%	1159 99.8%	2674 99.7%	503 99.9%
<b>New technologies should be supported by public finances.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	764 17.6%	793 18.2%	413 38.2%	236 18.0%	63 8.4%	68 7.7%	10 3.4%	358 23.6%	373 15.8%	62 13.2%	263 38.5%	183 19.4%	75 11.5%	78 12.1%	18 8.8%	339 29.2%	372 13.9%	81 16.2%
(2) rather agree	1406 32.3%	1406 32.3%	357 33.1%	550 41.8%	251 33.3%	170 19.4%	71 23.2%	528 34.8%	751 31.7%	127 27.2%	201 29.3%	402 42.5%	244 37.3%	171 26.5%	46 22.3%	362 31.2%	905 33.8%	135 26.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1654 38.0%	1635 37.6%	250 23.2%	416 31.6%	343 45.4%	489 55.5%	133 43.7%	480 31.6%	972 41.1%	183 39.2%	160 23.4%	290 30.7%	274 41.8%	331 51.2%	92 44.5%	336 29.0%	1101 41.2%	193 38.3%
(4) rather disagree	390 9.0%	385 8.9%	44 4.1%	93 7.0%	79 10.5%	109 12.4%	58 19.0%	110 7.3%	213 9.0%	62 13.3%	40 5.8%	53 5.6%	49 7.4%	57 8.8%	39 18.7%	91 7.9%	233 8.7%	59 11.7%
(5) completely disagree	136 3.1%	131 3.0%	16 1.5%	20 1.5%	18 2.4%	44 5.0%	32 10.6%	41 2.7%	57 2.4%	33 7.1%	21 3.1%	18 1.9%	14 2.1%	9 1.4%	12 5.7%	31 2.7%	64 2.4%	35 6.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2170 49.9%	2198 50.5%	769 71.3%	786 59.8%	315 41.7%	238 27.0%	81 26.6%	886 58.4%	1124 47.5%	188 40.4%	464 67.8%	585 61.8%	320 48.7%	250 38.6%	65 31.1%	700 60.4%	1276 47.7%	217 43.1%
Middle Box (3)	1654 38.0%	1635 37.6%	250 23.2%	416 31.6%	343 45.4%	489 55.5%	133 43.7%	480 31.6%	972 41.1%	183 39.2%	160 23.4%	290 30.7%	274 41.8%	331 51.2%	92 44.5%	336 29.0%	1101 41.2%	193 38.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	526 12.1%	516 11.9%	60 5.5%	113 8.6%	97 12.9%	154 17.4%	90 29.6%	152 10.0%	269 11.4%	95 20.4%	61 8.9%	71 7.5%	62 9.5%	66 10.2%	51 24.4%	123 10.6%	297 11.1%	94 18.6%
Mean	2,48	2,46	1,97 BCDE	2,32 ACDE	2,65 ABDE	2,88 ABCE	3,10 ABCD	2,31 BC	2,50 AC	2,74 AB	2,06 BCDE	2,28 ACDE	2,51 ABdE	2,61 ABcE	2,90 ABCD	2,24 BC	2,52 AC	2,66 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1249 22.3%	1248 22.3%	1 0.1%	2 0.1%	4 0.6%	6 0.7%	3 0.9%	3 0.2%	10 0.4%	5 1.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	1 0.6%	2 0.2%	8 0.3%	1 0.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies should be regulated by law.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4343 77.6%	4341 77.6%	1078 99.7%	1310 99.5%	755 99.4%	879 99.2%	305 99.2%	1516 99.7%	2359 99.3%	466 98.9%	682 99.3%	943 99.6%	655 99.6%	646 99.7%	207 99.4%	1155 99.5%	2670 99.6%	501 99.6%
<b>New technologies should be regulated by laws.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	872 20.1%	900 20.7%	304 28.2%	292 22.3%	112 14.8%	129 14.7%	58 19.1%	360 23.8%	452 19.2%	87 18.7%	226 33.2%	195 20.7%	108 16.5%	104 16.1%	38 18.2%	362 31.3%	467 17.5%	70 13.9%
(2) rather agree	1462 33.7%	1485 34.2%	329 30.5%	517 39.5%	303 40.1%	230 26.1%	104 34.1%	543 35.8%	791 33.5%	151 32.3%	214 31.3%	393 41.6%	253 38.7%	170 26.4%	56 27.2%	394 34.1%	946 35.4%	140 27.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1599 36.8%	1564 36.0%	322 29.9%	395 30.1%	285 37.8%	442 50.3%	115 37.7%	467 30.8%	921 39.0%	177 38.0%	176 25.8%	282 29.9%	252 38.4%	315 48.8%	84 40.5%	297 25.7%	1050 39.3%	210 41.8%
(4) rather disagree	307 7.1%	293 6.8%	81 7.5%	86 6.5%	44 5.8%	63 7.2%	18 5.9%	102 6.7%	161 6.8%	30 6.5%	42 6.1%	62 6.6%	34 5.1%	48 7.4%	16 7.9%	69 6.0%	170 6.4%	54 10.7%
(5) completely disagree	103 2.4%	99 2.3%	42 3.9%	20 1.5%	11 1.5%	15 1.7%	10 3.2%	44 2.9%	34 1.4%	21 4.5%	24 3.5%	11 1.2%	9 1.3%	9 1.4%	13 6.2%	34 2.9%	37 1.4%	28 5.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2334 53.7%	2384 54.9%	633 58.8%	809 61.8%	414 54.9%	359 40.8%	162 53.2%	903 59.6%	1243 52.7%	238 51.0%	440 64.5%	588 62.3%	361 55.1%	274 42.5%	94 45.4%	756 65.4%	1413 52.9%	210 41.8%
Middle Box (3)	1599 36.8%	1564 36.0%	322 29.9%	395 30.1%	285 37.8%	442 50.3%	115 37.7%	467 30.8%	921 39.0%	177 38.0%	176 25.8%	282 29.9%	252 38.4%	315 48.8%	84 40.5%	297 25.7%	1050 39.3%	210 41.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	410 9.4%	393 9.0%	123 11.4%	106 8.1%	55 7.3%	78 8.9%	28 9.1%	146 9.6%	195 8.3%	51 11.0%	66 9.7%	73 7.7%	42 6.4%	56 8.7%	29 14.1%	102 8.9%	207 7.8%	82 16.3%
Mean	2,38	2,36	2,28 cD	2,25 CDe	2,39 aBD	2,55 ABCe	2,40 bd	2,29 BC	2,38 A	2,46 A	2,16 bCDE	2,26 acDE	2,36 AbDE	2,52 ABC	2,57 ABC	2,15 BC	2,39 AC	2,66 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1256 22.4%	1256 22.4%	3 0.3%	7 0.5%	4 0.6%	7 0.8%	2 0.8%	5 0.3%	16 0.7%	5 1.1%	5 0.7%	4 0.4%	3 0.4%	2 0.3%	1 0.6%	6 0.5%	12 0.4%	2 0.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies interest me a lot.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4339 77.5%	4338 77.5%	1077 99.7%	1311 99.5%	751 99.0%	880 99.3%	303 98.8%	1512 99.4%	2360 99.3%	466 99.0%	683 99.5%	945 99.8%	655 99.6%	645 99.6%	206 98.7%	1154 99.4%	2667 99.5%	503 99.9%
<b>New technologies are very interesting to me.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1177 27.1%	1149 26.5%	705 65.4%	329 25.1%	60 8.0%	36 4.1%	11 3.5%	615 40.7%	473 20.1%	61 13.0%	410 60.0%	291 30.8%	125 19.0%	93 14.4%	35 17.2%	451 39.0%	586 22.0%	110 21.9%
(2) rather agree	1518 35.0%	1534 35.4%	290 27.0%	719 54.8%	318 42.3%	138 15.7%	67 22.2%	551 36.4%	848 35.9%	135 29.0%	199 29.2%	442 46.7%	265 40.4%	188 29.2%	59 28.6%	370 32.1%	1008 37.8%	151 30.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1201 27.7%	1215 28.0%	68 6.3%	241 18.4%	301 40.1%	505 57.4%	96 31.7%	255 16.9%	787 33.4%	172 37.0%	59 8.7%	166 17.6%	211 32.1%	286 44.3%	72 34.8%	247 21.4%	805 30.2%	157 31.2%
(4) rather disagree	349 8.0%	345 8.0%	9 0.9%	17 1.3%	68 9.0%	168 19.1%	82 27.0%	71 4.7%	209 8.8%	65 13.9%	14 2.0%	39 4.1%	45 6.9%	65 10.0%	27 13.1%	67 5.8%	212 8.0%	65 13.0%
(5) completely disagree	94 2.2%	95 2.2%	4 0.4%	5 0.4%	4 0.6%	33 3.8%	48 15.7%	19 1.3%	43 1.8%	33 7.2%	0 0.1%	7 0.8%	10 1.5%	13 2.0%	13 6.3%	19 1.7%	56 2.1%	20 4.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2695 62.1%	2683 61.9%	995 92.4%	1048 79.9%	378 50.4%	174 19.8%	78 25.7%	1166 77.1%	1321 56.0%	196 42.0%	609 89.2%	733 77.6%	390 59.5%	281 43.6%	94 45.8%	821 71.1%	1594 59.8%	261 51.8%
Middle Box (3)	1201 27.7%	1215 28.0%	68 6.3%	241 18.4%	301 40.1%	505 57.4%	96 31.7%	255 16.9%	787 33.4%	172 37.0%	59 8.7%	166 17.6%	211 32.1%	286 44.3%	72 34.8%	247 21.4%	805 30.2%	157 31.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	443 10.2%	440 10.1%	14 1.3%	22 1.7%	72 9.5%	201 22.8%	129 42.7%	90 6.0%	251 10.6%	98 21.1%	14 2.1%	46 4.9%	55 8.4%	78 12.0%	40 19.4%	86 7.5%	268 10.1%	85 17.0%
Mean	2,23	2,24	1,44 BCDE	1,97 ACDE	2,52 ABDE	3,03 ABCE	3,29 ABCD	1,89 BC	2,36 AC	2,73 AB	1,53 BCDE	1,97 ACDE	2,31 ABDE	2,56 ABC	2,63 ABC	1,99 BC	2,30 AC	2,47 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1260 22.5%	1259 22.5%	4 0.3%	6 0.5%	8 1.0%	6 0.7%	4 1.2%	9 0.6%	16 0.7%	5 1.0%	4 0.5%	2 0.2%	3 0.4%	3 0.4%	3 1.3%	7 0.6%	14 0.5%	1 0.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies I use as often as possible.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4341 77.5%	4340 77.5%	1079 99.9%	1312 99.6%	750 98.9%	881 99.4%	303 98.5%	1518 99.8%	2355 99.2%	467 99.1%	685 99.7%	942 99.5%	657 99.9%	645 99.5%	205 98.4%	1158 99.8%	2670 99.6%	501 99.5%
<b>New technologies are used as often as possible by me.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	799 18.4%	771 17.8%	511 47.4%	172 13.1%	32 4.3%	35 3.9%	15 5.1%	415 27.4%	298 12.7%	57 12.2%	291 42.5%	188 20.0%	71 10.9%	50 7.8%	24 11.6%	348 30.0%	356 13.4%	67 13.4%
(2) rather agree	1508 34.7%	1507 34.7%	413 38.2%	669 51.0%	252 33.6%	112 12.7%	57 18.7%	575 37.9%	815 34.6%	117 25.0%	245 35.7%	416 44.2%	251 38.2%	189 29.3%	53 26.0%	382 33.0%	972 36.4%	145 28.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1531 35.3%	1556 35.8%	130 12.0%	423 32.3%	363 48.3%	531 60.3%	106 35.0%	418 27.5%	958 40.7%	180 38.5%	118 17.2%	278 29.5%	271 41.3%	334 51.8%	78 38.0%	320 27.7%	1044 39.1%	189 37.8%
(4) rather disagree	404 9.3%	404 9.3%	21 1.9%	44 3.4%	98 13.0%	166 18.8%	73 24.2%	92 6.1%	237 10.1%	75 16.0%	27 4.0%	48 5.1%	51 7.8%	59 9.2%	39 18.8%	83 7.2%	239 8.9%	82 16.3%
(5) completely disagree	99 2.3%	103 2.4%	5 0.4%	3 0.3%	5 0.7%	37 4.2%	51 17.0%	18 1.2%	46 2.0%	39 8.3%	4 0.6%	11 1.2%	12 1.9%	13 2.0%	11 5.6%	25 2.2%	59 2.2%	18 3.6%
Top Box (1+2)	2307 53.1%	2278 52.5%	924 85.6%	841 64.1%	284 37.9%	147 16.7%	72 23.9%	990 65.2%	1114 47.3%	174 37.2%	536 78.2%	605 64.2%	323 49.1%	239 37.1%	77 37.6%	729 63.0%	1328 49.8%	212 42.2%
Middle Box (3)	1531 35.3%	1556 35.8%	130 12.0%	423 32.3%	363 48.3%	531 60.3%	106 35.0%	418 27.5%	958 40.7%	180 38.5%	118 17.2%	278 29.5%	271 41.3%	334 51.8%	78 38.0%	320 27.7%	1044 39.1%	189 37.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	503 11.6%	507 11.7%	26 2.4%	48 3.6%	103 13.7%	203 23.1%	124 41.1%	110 7.2%	284 12.0%	113 24.2%	31 4.6%	59 6.3%	63 9.7%	72 11.1%	50 24.3%	108 9.4%	298 11.2%	100 19.9%
Mean	2,42	2,44	1,70 BCDE	2,27 ACDE	2,72 ABDE	3,07 ABCE	3,29 ABCD	2,16 BC	2,54 AC	2,83 AB	1,84 BCDE	2,23 ACDE	2,52 ABDE	2,68 ABC	2,81 ABC	2,19 BC	2,50 AC	2,68 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1258 22.5%	1257 22.5%	1 0.1%	5 0.4%	9 1.1%	6 0.6%	5 1.5%	2 0.2%	20 0.8%	4 0.9%	2 0.3%	5 0.5%	1 0.1%	3 0.5%	3 1.6%	3 0.2%	12 0.4%	3 0.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies make me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4330 77.3%	4326 77.3%	1071 99.1%	1308 99.4%	749 98.7%	878 99.1%	304 98.9%	1507 99.1%	2355 99.1%	464 98.6%	679 98.9%	942 99.4%	654 99.3%	643 99.3%	206 98.5%	1144 98.6%	2668 99.5%	501 99.5%
<b>New technologies make me feel uneasy.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	251 5.8%	250 5.8%	85 8.0%	43 3.3%	23 3.0%	48 5.5%	50 16.5%	113 7.5%	107 4.5%	31 6.6%	67 9.8%	43 4.6%	27 4.1%	28 4.4%	24 11.8%	103 9.0%	112 4.2%	33 6.5%
(2) rather agree	616 14.2%	620 14.3%	90 8.4%	180 13.8%	117 15.6%	143 16.3%	88 29.0%	231 15.4%	335 14.2%	53 11.5%	71 10.4%	129 13.7%	129 19.7%	86 13.3%	43 20.9%	148 13.0%	395 14.8%	75 15.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1523 35.2%	1536 35.5%	185 17.3%	391 29.9%	346 46.2%	498 56.7%	111 36.4%	403 26.8%	942 40.0%	190 41.0%	127 18.7%	260 27.6%	256 39.2%	335 52.1%	83 40.3%	345 30.1%	1006 37.7%	179 35.8%
(4) rather disagree	1244 28.7%	1246 28.8%	324 30.3%	518 39.6%	213 28.4%	141 16.0%	44 14.4%	432 28.7%	691 29.3%	123 26.5%	188 27.6%	357 37.9%	182 27.8%	145 22.5%	38 18.3%	318 27.8%	796 29.8%	128 25.5%
(5) completely disagree	696 16.1%	675 15.6%	386 36.0%	177 13.5%	51 6.8%	48 5.5%	11 3.7%	327 21.7%	281 11.9%	67 14.4%	227 33.5%	153 16.2%	60 9.1%	50 7.7%	18 8.8%	230 20.1%	357 13.4%	86 17.1%
Top Box (1+2)	867 20.0%	870 20.1%	176 16.4%	223 17.0%	140 18.6%	191 21.8%	138 45.5%	344 22.8%	442 18.8%	84 18.1%	138 20.2%	172 18.3%	156 23.8%	114 17.7%	67 32.6%	251 22.0%	508 19.0%	108 21.6%
Middle Box (3)	1523 35.2%	1536 35.5%	185 17.3%	391 29.9%	346 46.2%	498 56.7%	111 36.4%	403 26.8%	942 40.0%	190 41.0%	127 18.7%	260 27.6%	256 39.2%	335 52.1%	83 40.3%	345 30.1%	1006 37.7%	179 35.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1940 44.8%	1921 44.4%	710 66.3%	695 53.1%	264 35.2%	189 21.5%	55 18.1%	760 50.4%	971 41.2%	190 41.0%	415 61.1%	510 54.2%	241 36.9%	194 30.2%	56 27.1%	548 47.9%	1153 43.2%	213 42.6%
Mean	3,35	3,34	3,78 BCDE	3,46 ACDE	3,20 ABDE	3,00 ABCE	2,60 ABCD	3,42 B	3,30 A	3,31	3,64 BCDE	3,48 ACDE	3,18 ABE	3,16 ABE	2,91 ABCD	3,37	3,33	3,32
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1269 22.7%	1271 22.7%	10 0.9%	8 0.6%	10 1.3%	8 0.9%	3 1.1%	14 0.9%	21 0.9%	7 1.4%	7 1.1%	6 0.6%	4 0.7%	5 0.7%	3 1.5%	17 1.4%	14 0.5%	2 0.5%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


To what extent do you agree with the following statement? New technologies mainly benefit large companies.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4347 77.6%	4346 77.6%	1074 99.4%	1313 99.7%	755 99.4%	884 99.7%	305 99.2%	1515 99.6%	2363 99.5%	468 99.3%	686 99.8%	944 99.7%	654 99.3%	647 99.8%	207 99.4%	1157 99.6%	2673 99.7%	503 100.0%
<b>New technologies are mainly useful for large companies.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	764 17.6%	776 17.8%	228 21.2%	187 14.2%	108 14.4%	186 21.0%	60 19.8%	296 19.5%	375 15.8%	105 22.5%	167 24.3%	137 14.5%	87 13.4%	109 16.9%	49 23.6%	281 24.3%	392 14.7%	99 19.7%
(2) rather agree	1382 31.8%	1377 31.7%	279 26.0%	448 34.1%	300 39.7%	249 28.1%	100 33.0%	463 30.6%	790 33.4%	123 26.3%	164 24.0%	331 35.0%	242 37.1%	180 27.8%	69 33.0%	352 30.4%	873 32.7%	148 29.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1690 38.9%	1686 38.8%	367 34.2%	517 39.4%	274 36.3%	405 45.9%	114 37.6%	545 36.0%	964 40.8%	176 37.6%	221 32.2%	368 39.0%	270 41.3%	307 47.4%	68 33.0%	390 33.7%	1111 41.6%	181 35.9%
(4) rather disagree	398 9.2%	399 9.2%	150 13.9%	131 10.0%	65 8.6%	30 3.4%	21 6.9%	160 10.6%	198 8.4%	40 8.6%	91 13.3%	97 10.2%	47 7.2%	38 5.9%	14 6.7%	94 8.1%	249 9.3%	54 10.8%
(5) completely disagree	113 2.6%	110 2.5%	50 4.7%	30 2.3%	8 1.0%	14 1.5%	8 2.7%	50 3.3%	36 1.5%	23 4.9%	43 6.2%	12 1.2%	7 1.0%	12 1.9%	8 3.7%	40 3.5%	49 1.8%	21 4.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2146 49.4%	2152 49.5%	507 47.2%	635 48.3%	408 54.1%	434 49.1%	161 52.8%	759 50.1%	1165 49.3%	228 48.9%	331 48.2%	468 49.6%	330 50.5%	289 44.7%	117 56.6%	633 54.7%	1265 47.3%	247 49.1%
Middle Box (3)	1690 38.9%	1686 38.8%	367 34.2%	517 39.4%	274 36.3%	405 45.9%	114 37.6%	545 36.0%	964 40.8%	176 37.6%	221 32.2%	368 39.0%	270 41.3%	307 47.4%	68 33.0%	390 33.7%	1111 41.6%	181 35.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	511 11.8%	508 11.7%	200 18.6%	161 12.3%	72 9.6%	44 5.0%	29 9.6%	211 13.9%	234 9.9%	63 13.6%	134 19.6%	108 11.5%	54 8.2%	51 7.8%	22 10.4%	134 11.6%	298 11.1%	75 14.9%
Mean	2,47	2,47	2,55 CDe	2,52 cDe	2,42 Ab	2,36 AB	2,40 ab	2,48	2,46	2,47	2,53 e	2,49 e	2,45	2,48	2,34 ab	2,36 Bc	2,51 A	2,50 a
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1252 22.4%	1251 22.4%	6 0.6%	4 0.3%	4 0.6%	3 0.3%	2 0.8%	6 0.4%	12 0.5%	3 0.7%	1 0.2%	3 0.3%	4 0.7%	1 0.2%	1 0.6%	4 0.4%	9 0.3%	0 -

BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Solar energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Solar energy</b>																		
(1) very much	1307 29.9%	1325 30.3%	509 47.1%	399 30.3%	183 24.1%	165 18.6%	57 18.7%	762 50.1%	488 20.5%	76 16.1%	363 52.8%	330 34.8%	195 29.7%	160 24.7%	61 29.0%	503 43.3%	683 25.5%	134 26.7%
(2) rather much	1868 42.8%	1893 43.4%	375 34.7%	674 51.2%	368 48.5%	350 39.5%	121 39.3%	645 42.4%	1073 45.2%	175 37.1%	240 35.0%	477 50.4%	323 49.1%	274 42.3%	85 40.9%	439 37.8%	1231 45.9%	217 43.0%
(3) rather little	869 19.9%	843 19.3%	145 13.4%	206 15.6%	156 20.6%	259 29.2%	78 25.2%	99 6.5%	657 27.7%	87 18.5%	78 11.3%	130 13.8%	129 19.7%	204 31.5%	54 26.0%	159 13.7%	579 21.6%	95 18.9%
(4) very little	229 5.2%	214 4.9%	34 3.2%	32 2.4%	40 5.3%	83 9.4%	23 7.6%	12 0.8%	146 6.2%	55 11.8%	4 0.6%	9 1.0%	9 1.4%	7 1.1%	7 3.4%	44 3.8%	135 5.0%	34 6.8%
(5) nothing at all	95 2.2%	92 2.1%	17 1.6%	6 0.4%	11 1.5%	30 3.3%	28 9.1%	3 0.2%	11 0.5%	78 16.6%	2 0.3%	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	3 0.4%	2 0.7%	16 1.4%	53 2.0%	23 4.6%
Top Box (1+2)	3175 72.7%	3218 73.7%	884 81.8%	1073 81.5%	551 72.6%	515 58.1%	178 58.0%	1407 92.5%	1561 65.7%	250 53.2%	603 87.8%	807 85.2%	519 78.8%	434 67.1%	146 69.9%	942 81.1%	1915 71.4%	351 69.7%
Middle Box (3)	869 19.9%	843 19.3%	145 13.4%	206 15.6%	156 20.6%	259 29.2%	78 25.2%	99 6.5%	657 27.7%	87 18.5%	78 11.3%	130 13.8%	129 19.7%	204 31.5%	54 26.0%	159 13.7%	579 21.6%	95 18.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	324 7.4%	306 7.0%	51 4.8%	38 2.9%	52 6.8%	113 12.7%	52 16.8%	15 1.0%	158 6.6%	134 28.4%	6 0.9%	10 1.1%	10 1.5%	9 1.5%	9 4.1%	60 5.2%	187 7.0%	57 11.4%
Mean	2,07	2,05	1,77 BCDE	1,91 ACDE	2,12 ABDE	2,39 ABC	2,49 ABC	1,59 BC	2,21 AC	2,76 AB	1,61 BCDE	1,81 ACDE	1,93 ABDe	2,10 ABC	2,06 ABc	1,82 BC	2,12 A	2,20 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - IT and computer technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: IT and computer technology</b>																		
(1) very much	1133 25.9%	1098 25.1%	516 47.7%	322 24.4%	119 15.6%	108 12.2%	25 8.1%	662 43.5%	384 16.2%	52 11.1%	335 48.8%	291 30.7%	147 22.3%	124 19.2%	46 21.9%	399 34.4%	573 21.4%	122 24.3%
(2) rather much	1787 40.9%	1819 41.7%	395 36.6%	664 50.4%	359 47.3%	281 31.7%	113 36.9%	699 46.0%	993 41.8%	127 27.0%	249 36.3%	487 51.4%	324 49.2%	269 41.6%	86 41.3%	471 40.6%	1149 42.8%	193 38.3%
(3) rather little	1024 23.4%	1025 23.5%	127 11.7%	257 19.5%	210 27.7%	330 37.2%	99 32.4%	135 8.9%	783 33.0%	107 22.6%	96 14.0%	150 15.8%	168 25.6%	239 36.8%	63 30.1%	209 18.0%	687 25.6%	118 23.4%
(4) very little	298 6.8%	296 6.8%	35 3.2%	57 4.4%	54 7.2%	111 12.5%	37 12.0%	21 1.4%	198 8.4%	76 16.1%	5 0.7%	18 1.9%	17 2.5%	14 2.1%	14 6.7%	57 4.9%	203 7.6%	34 6.8%
(5) nothing at all	126 2.9%	130 3.0%	8 0.7%	16 1.2%	17 2.2%	56 6.4%	33 10.7%	3 0.2%	17 0.7%	109 23.2%	1 0.2%	2 0.2%	3 0.4%	2 0.3%	0 -	25 2.1%	69 2.6%	36 7.2%
Top Box (1+2)	2920 66.8%	2917 66.8%	911 84.3%	985 74.8%	478 62.9%	389 43.9%	138 45.0%	1361 89.5%	1377 58.0%	180 38.1%	584 85.1%	778 82.1%	471 71.5%	394 60.7%	132 63.2%	871 75.0%	1722 64.2%	315 62.6%
Middle Box (3)	1024 23.4%	1025 23.5%	127 11.7%	257 19.5%	210 27.7%	330 37.2%	99 32.4%	135 8.9%	783 33.0%	107 22.6%	96 14.0%	150 15.8%	168 25.6%	239 36.8%	63 30.1%	209 18.0%	687 25.6%	118 23.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	424 9.7%	425 9.7%	43 3.9%	74 5.6%	71 9.4%	167 18.9%	70 22.6%	25 1.6%	216 9.1%	185 39.2%	6 0.9%	20 2.1%	19 2.9%	16 2.4%	14 6.7%	82 7.0%	272 10.2%	70 14.0%
Mean	2,20	2,21	1,73 BCDE	2,08 ACDE	2,33 ABDE	2,69 ABC	2,80 ABC	1,69 BC	2,36 AC	3,13 AB	1,67 BCDE	1,89 ACDE	2,09 ABD	2,23 ABC	2,22 AB	2,00 BC	2,27 A	2,34 A
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology</b>																		
(1) very much	411 9.4%	408 9.3%	225 20.8%	94 7.2%	34 4.4%	36 4.1%	13 4.4%	408 26.8%	0 -	0 -	213 31.1%	113 12.0%	38 5.8%	22 3.3%	19 8.9%	171 14.7%	194 7.2%	40 7.9%
(2) rather much	1114 25.5%	1113 25.5%	325 30.1%	410 31.1%	183 24.1%	123 13.9%	68 22.0%	1113 73.2%	0 -	0 -	275 40.0%	402 42.4%	224 34.0%	128 19.8%	76 36.6%	324 27.9%	670 25.0%	116 23.1%
(3) rather little	1638 37.5%	1650 37.8%	317 29.4%	522 39.6%	332 43.8%	365 41.2%	108 35.1%	0 -	1650 69.4%	0 -	199 28.9%	432 45.6%	396 60.2%	498 76.9%	114 54.6%	389 33.5%	1081 40.3%	170 33.8%
(4) very little	734 16.8%	726 16.6%	136 12.6%	199 15.1%	135 17.8%	202 22.8%	51 16.5%	0 -	726 30.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	151 13.0%	474 17.7%	95 19.0%
(5) nothing at all	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	77 7.1%	92 7.0%	74 9.8%	160 18.1%	68 22.0%	0 -	0 -	471 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	126 10.8%	263 9.8%	82 16.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1525 34.9%	1521 34.8%	550 50.9%	504 38.3%	217 28.6%	159 17.9%	81 26.3%	1521 100.0%	0 -	0 -	488 71.1%	515 54.4%	262 39.8%	150 23.1%	95 45.4%	495 42.6%	864 32.2%	156 31.0%
Middle Box (3)	1638 37.5%	1650 37.8%	317 29.4%	522 39.6%	332 43.8%	365 41.2%	108 35.1%	0 -	1650 69.4%	0 -	199 28.9%	432 45.6%	396 60.2%	498 76.9%	114 54.6%	389 33.5%	1081 40.3%	170 33.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1205 27.6%	1197 27.4%	213 19.7%	291 22.1%	210 27.6%	362 40.9%	118 38.6%	0 -	726 30.6%	471 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	277 23.9%	736 27.5%	177 35.2%
Mean	2,94	2,94	2,55 BCDE	2,84 ACDE	3,04 ABDE	3,37 ABC	3,30 ABC	1,73 BC	3,31 AC	5,00 AB	1,98 BCDE	2,34 ACDe	2,54 ABD	2,74 ABCE	2,46 AbD	2,77 BC	2,98 AC	3,12 AB
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology Groups</b>																		
(1) high awareness	1525 34.9%	1521 34.8%	550 50.9%	504 38.3%	217 28.6%	159 17.9%	81 26.3%	1521 100.0%	0 -	0 -	488 71.1%	515 54.4%	262 39.8%	150 23.1%	95 45.4%	495 42.6%	864 32.2%	156 31.0%
(2) low awareness	2372 54.3%	2376 54.4%	454 42.0%	721 54.7%	468 61.6%	567 64.0%	159 51.6%	0 -	2376 100.0%	0 -	199 28.9%	432 45.6%	396 60.2%	498 76.9%	114 54.6%	541 46.6%	1555 58.0%	266 52.8%
(3) no awareness	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	77 7.1%	92 7.0%	74 9.8%	160 18.1%	68 22.0%	0 -	0 -	471 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	126 10.8%	263 9.8%	82 16.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3897 89.2%	3896 89.2%	1004 92.9%	1224 93.0%	685 90.2%	726 81.9%	239 78.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1035 89.2%	2419 90.2%	422 83.8%
Middle Box (3)	471 10.8%	471 10.8%	77 7.1%	92 7.0%	74 9.8%	160 18.1%	68 22.0%	0 -	0 -	471 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	126 10.8%	263 9.8%	82 16.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,76	1,76	1,56 BCDE	1,69 ACDE	1,81 ABDE	2,00 ABC	1,96 ABC	1,00 BC	2,00 AC	3,00 AB	1,29 BCDE	1,46 ACDe	1,60 ABD	1,77 ABCE	1,55 AbD	1,68 BC	1,78 Ac	1,85 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Nuclear energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nuclear energy</b>																		
(1) very much	666 15.2%	683 15.6%	275 25.4%	194 14.7%	100 13.1%	81 9.1%	27 8.9%	447 29.4%	197 8.3%	39 8.3%	217 31.6%	171 18.1%	91 13.9%	73 11.2%	41 19.9%	280 24.1%	336 12.5%	65 12.9%
(2) rather much	1276 29.2%	1278 29.3%	297 27.5%	457 34.7%	227 29.9%	198 22.3%	91 29.8%	612 40.3%	589 24.8%	76 16.2%	210 30.6%	364 38.4%	228 34.6%	184 28.4%	67 32.2%	360 31.0%	788 29.4%	127 25.2%
(3) rather little	1452 33.2%	1469 33.6%	315 29.2%	434 33.0%	281 37.1%	349 39.4%	87 28.3%	341 22.4%	1057 44.5%	71 15.0%	195 28.5%	306 32.3%	267 40.6%	336 51.9%	79 38.0%	321 27.7%	982 36.6%	154 30.5%
(4) very little	619 14.2%	598 13.7%	115 10.6%	174 13.2%	105 13.8%	152 17.1%	52 16.9%	90 5.9%	441 18.6%	67 14.2%	49 7.1%	80 8.5%	63 9.5%	44 6.8%	15 7.1%	124 10.7%	382 14.2%	90 17.9%
(5) nothing at all	355 8.1%	339 7.8%	78 7.3%	57 4.4%	46 6.1%	108 12.1%	50 16.1%	30 2.0%	92 3.9%	218 46.2%	15 2.2%	26 2.8%	9 1.3%	11 1.7%	6 2.8%	75 6.5%	194 7.2%	68 13.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1942 44.5%	1960 44.9%	572 53.0%	651 49.5%	327 43.1%	278 31.4%	119 38.7%	1059 69.6%	786 33.1%	116 24.5%	428 62.3%	535 56.5%	319 48.5%	256 39.6%	109 52.1%	640 55.1%	1124 41.9%	192 38.1%
Middle Box (3)	1452 33.2%	1469 33.6%	315 29.2%	434 33.0%	281 37.1%	349 39.4%	87 28.3%	341 22.4%	1057 44.5%	71 15.0%	195 28.5%	306 32.3%	267 40.6%	336 51.9%	79 38.0%	321 27.7%	982 36.6%	154 30.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	974 22.3%	938 21.5%	193 17.9%	231 17.6%	151 19.9%	259 29.3%	101 33.0%	121 7.9%	533 22.4%	285 60.4%	64 9.3%	107 11.3%	71 10.8%	55 8.5%	21 10.0%	200 17.2%	575 21.5%	158 31.4%
Mean	2,71	2,69	2,47 bCDE	2,58 acDE	2,70 AbDE	3,01 ABC	3,02 ABC	2,11 BC	2,85 AC	3,74 AB	2,18 BCDE	2,40 AcD	2,50 Abd	2,59 ABcE	2,41 AD	2,44 BC	2,74 AC	2,94 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Robot technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Robot technology</b>																		
(1) very much	642 14.7%	631 14.5%	302 27.9%	164 12.5%	76 10.0%	59 6.6%	26 8.4%	457 30.1%	147 6.2%	27 5.7%	240 34.9%	157 16.5%	76 11.6%	81 12.5%	23 11.2%	256 22.1%	310 11.5%	63 12.5%
(2) rather much	1586 36.3%	1590 36.4%	414 38.3%	598 45.4%	262 34.5%	208 23.4%	105 34.1%	810 53.3%	707 29.8%	72 15.4%	276 40.2%	496 52.4%	305 46.4%	206 31.8%	69 32.8%	437 37.6%	980 36.5%	166 33.0%
(3) rather little	1438 32.9%	1442 33.0%	262 24.2%	421 31.9%	290 38.2%	373 42.1%	93 30.4%	216 14.2%	1129 47.5%	98 20.7%	151 21.9%	254 26.8%	245 37.3%	335 51.6%	96 46.2%	312 26.8%	951 35.5%	171 34.1%
(4) very little	465 10.6%	463 10.6%	71 6.6%	105 8.0%	97 12.7%	147 16.6%	38 12.2%	31 2.0%	346 14.6%	86 18.2%	18 2.6%	37 3.9%	25 3.9%	23 3.6%	17 7.9%	106 9.1%	295 11.0%	59 11.7%
(5) nothing at all	237 5.4%	241 5.5%	32 2.9%	29 2.2%	35 4.6%	99 11.2%	46 14.9%	6 0.4%	46 1.9%	189 40.0%	2 0.3%	4 0.4%	6 0.9%	3 0.5%	4 1.8%	50 4.3%	146 5.4%	44 8.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2228 51.0%	2222 50.9%	716 66.2%	762 57.9%	338 44.5%	266 30.1%	131 42.5%	1268 83.4%	855 36.0%	99 21.0%	516 75.1%	653 68.9%	382 58.0%	287 44.3%	92 44.1%	693 59.7%	1290 48.1%	229 45.5%
Middle Box (3)	1438 32.9%	1442 33.0%	262 24.2%	421 31.9%	290 38.2%	373 42.1%	93 30.4%	216 14.2%	1129 47.5%	98 20.7%	151 21.9%	254 26.8%	245 37.3%	335 51.6%	96 46.2%	312 26.8%	951 35.5%	171 34.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	702 16.1%	704 16.1%	103 9.5%	134 10.2%	131 17.3%	247 27.8%	83 27.1%	37 2.4%	392 16.5%	274 58.3%	20 2.9%	41 4.3%	31 4.7%	26 4.1%	20 9.7%	156 13.4%	440 16.4%	103 20.5%
Mean	2,56	2,56	2,18 BCDE	2,42 ACDE	2,67 ABDE	3,02 ABC	2,91 ABC	1,89 BC	2,76 AC	3,72 AB	1,93 BCDE	2,19 ACDE	2,36 ABDE	2,48 ABC	2,56 ABC	2,36 BC	2,62 A	2,71 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Wind energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Wind energy</b>																		
(1) very much	1094 25.0%	1125 25.8%	437 40.5%	335 25.4%	157 20.7%	149 16.8%	42 13.5%	661 43.4%	390 16.4%	74 15.8%	309 44.9%	286 30.2%	153 23.2%	151 23.2%	46 22.1%	417 35.9%	585 21.8%	120 23.9%
(2) rather much	1783 40.8%	1811 41.5%	382 35.3%	649 49.3%	354 46.6%	300 33.9%	116 37.8%	701 46.1%	976 41.1%	133 28.3%	271 39.5%	472 49.8%	323 49.1%	243 37.4%	90 43.0%	464 39.9%	1135 42.3%	206 40.9%
(3) rather little	1056 24.2%	1027 23.5%	188 17.4%	273 20.7%	182 24.0%	298 33.6%	85 27.7%	131 8.6%	796 33.5%	100 21.2%	94 13.8%	172 18.2%	166 25.2%	237 36.5%	59 28.4%	197 17.0%	712 26.6%	109 21.7%
(4) very little	295 6.8%	274 6.3%	49 4.5%	44 3.3%	47 6.2%	95 10.7%	37 12.1%	18 1.2%	194 8.2%	61 13.0%	9 1.4%	15 1.6%	11 1.7%	16 2.5%	7 3.5%	55 4.8%	179 6.7%	37 7.4%
(5) nothing at all	140 3.2%	131 3.0%	25 2.3%	16 1.2%	19 2.5%	44 5.0%	27 8.8%	10 0.6%	19 0.8%	103 21.8%	3 0.5%	2 0.2%	5 0.7%	2 0.3%	6 3.0%	28 2.4%	71 2.6%	31 6.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2877 65.9%	2936 67.2%	819 75.8%	984 74.7%	511 67.3%	449 50.7%	158 51.3%	1362 89.5%	1367 57.5%	208 44.1%	580 84.4%	758 80.0%	476 72.4%	393 60.7%	136 65.1%	880 75.8%	1720 64.1%	326 64.8%
Middle Box (3)	1056 24.2%	1027 23.5%	188 17.4%	273 20.7%	182 24.0%	298 33.6%	85 27.7%	131 8.6%	796 33.5%	100 21.2%	94 13.8%	172 18.2%	166 25.2%	237 36.5%	59 28.4%	197 17.0%	712 26.6%	109 21.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	435 10.0%	405 9.3%	74 6.8%	60 4.5%	66 8.7%	139 15.7%	64 21.0%	28 1.8%	213 9.0%	164 34.8%	12 1.8%	17 1.8%	16 2.4%	18 2.8%	14 6.5%	84 7.2%	250 9.3%	68 13.5%
Mean	2,22	2,19	1,93 BCDE	2,06 ACDE	2,23 ABDE	2,53 ABC	2,65 ABC	1,69 BC	2,36 AC	2,97 AB	1,73 BCDE	1,92 ACDE	2,08 ABDe	2,19 ABC	2,22 ABc	1,98 BC	2,26 A	2,31 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


### How much did you hear or read about the following technologies? - Genetic engineering

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4368 78.0%	4367 78.0%	1081 100.0%	1317 100.0%	759 100.0%	886 100.0%	307 100.0%	1521 100.0%	2376 100.0%	471 100.0%	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	1161 100.0%	2682 100.0%	503 100.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Genetic Engineering</b>																		
(1) very much	645 14.8%	650 14.9%	275 25.5%	161 12.2%	95 12.5%	88 10.0%	27 8.9%	464 30.5%	152 6.4%	35 7.4%	220 32.1%	158 16.7%	94 14.3%	72 11.1%	30 14.5%	261 22.5%	315 11.8%	71 14.1%
(2) rather much	1476 33.8%	1498 34.3%	335 31.0%	529 40.2%	283 37.3%	234 26.4%	112 36.3%	733 48.2%	687 28.9%	78 16.5%	245 35.6%	436 46.1%	268 40.8%	208 32.2%	76 36.4%	385 33.2%	941 35.1%	169 33.6%
(3) rather little	1495 34.2%	1501 34.4%	335 31.0%	447 33.9%	271 35.8%	359 40.5%	82 26.8%	257 16.9%	1134 47.8%	109 23.2%	183 26.7%	296 31.3%	253 38.4%	327 50.5%	86 41.0%	343 29.6%	990 36.9%	157 31.2%
(4) very little	496 11.4%	476 10.9%	80 7.4%	146 11.1%	72 9.5%	125 14.2%	50 16.4%	54 3.5%	335 14.1%	88 18.7%	31 4.6%	48 5.0%	35 5.4%	31 4.8%	11 5.3%	110 9.5%	296 11.0%	66 13.2%
(5) nothing at all	256 5.9%	243 5.6%	56 5.2%	34 2.6%	38 4.9%	80 9.0%	36 11.6%	13 0.9%	68 2.9%	161 34.2%	7 1.1%	9 1.0%	7 1.1%	9 1.4%	6 2.8%	61 5.3%	139 5.2%	40 7.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2121 48.6%	2148 49.2%	610 56.5%	690 52.4%	378 49.8%	322 36.3%	139 45.3%	1197 78.7%	839 35.3%	113 23.9%	465 67.7%	594 62.8%	362 55.1%	281 43.3%	106 50.9%	646 55.7%	1257 46.9%	240 47.7%
Middle Box (3)	1495 34.2%	1501 34.4%	335 31.0%	447 33.9%	271 35.8%	359 40.5%	82 26.8%	257 16.9%	1134 47.8%	109 23.2%	183 26.7%	296 31.3%	253 38.4%	327 50.5%	86 41.0%	343 29.6%	990 36.9%	157 31.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	752 17.2%	719 16.5%	136 12.5%	180 13.6%	110 14.5%	205 23.1%	86 28.0%	67 4.4%	403 16.9%	249 52.9%	39 5.6%	57 6.0%	43 6.5%	40 6.2%	17 8.1%	171 14.8%	436 16.2%	106 21.0%
Mean	2,60	2,58	2,36 BCDE	2,52 ADE	2,57 ADE	2,86 ABC	2,85 ABC	1,96 BC	2,78 AC	3,56 AB	2,07 BCDE	2,28 AcDE	2,38 AbD	2,53 ABC	2,45 AB	2,42 BC	2,63 A	2,67 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1231 22.0%	1230 22.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

	Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity				
	(1) enthusiastic	(2) positive	(3) rather positive	(4) neutral	(5) negative
Effects for the future: Nanotechnology	1.00	2.55	4.44	6.00	8.37
Effects for the future: Solar energy	1.27	2.00	2.68	3.49	3.80
Effects for the future: Wind energy	1.62	2.29	2.83	3.61	4.26
Effects for the future: IT and computer technology	1.69	2.65	3.65	4.48	5.24
Effects for the future: Robot technology	2.35	3.46	4.59	5.45	6.43
Effects for the future: Genetic Engineering	3.30	4.48	5.44	6.35	7.65
Effects for the future: Nuclear energy	5.61	5.97	6.57	7.14	8.22




BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Solar energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4025 71.9%	4042 72.2%	1024 94.8%	1274 96.8%	704 92.8%	768 86.6%	255 83.0%	1501 98.7%	2204 92.8%	337 71.5%	680 99.0%	936 98.8%	647 98.4%	634 97.8%	200 95.9%	1093 94.2%	2484 92.6%	445 88.4%
<b>Effects for the future: Solar energy</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	1952 48.5%	1962 48.5%	739 72.2%	606 47.6%	241 34.3%	274 35.7%	92 36.2%	817 54.4%	956 43.4%	189 56.0%	588 86.5%	419 44.8%	227 35.1%	195 30.8%	64 31.8%	728 66.6%	1041 41.9%	188 42.2%
(2)	636 15.8%	663 16.4%	108 10.5%	298 23.4%	133 18.8%	90 11.7%	34 13.2%	247 16.4%	372 16.9%	44 13.2%	46 6.7%	257 27.5%	112 17.3%	72 11.4%	21 10.5%	148 13.5%	449 18.1%	64 14.3%
(3)	535 13.3%	545 13.5%	81 7.9%	182 14.3%	133 18.8%	101 13.2%	46 17.9%	193 12.9%	308 14.0%	43 12.9%	28 4.2%	178 19.0%	100 15.4%	81 12.7%	31 15.4%	91 8.4%	377 15.2%	75 16.9%
(4)	285 7.1%	282 7.0%	35 3.4%	82 6.5%	84 11.9%	60 7.9%	21 8.2%	89 5.9%	171 7.7%	23 6.7%	4 0.5%	41 4.3%	102 15.8%	46 7.2%	13 6.5%	44 4.0%	193 7.8%	41 9.3%
(5)	181 4.5%	173 4.3%	15 1.5%	46 3.6%	50 7.1%	44 5.8%	17 6.6%	58 3.9%	106 4.8%	9 2.7%	5 0.8%	19 2.0%	78 12.0%	23 3.6%	12 6.0%	24 2.2%	128 5.2%	20 4.5%
(6)	323 8.0%	311 7.7%	34 3.3%	42 3.3%	36 5.2%	173 22.5%	24 9.3%	58 3.9%	236 10.7%	17 4.9%	7 1.0%	13 1.4%	16 2.5%	199 31.4%	18 8.8%	41 3.7%	223 9.0%	43 9.7%
(7)	51 1.3%	47 1.2%	1 0.1%	10 0.8%	14 2.0%	12 1.5%	9 3.5%	17 1.1%	26 1.2%	4 1.1%	0 -	6 0.6%	8 1.2%	9 1.4%	17 8.5%	8 0.8%	29 1.2%	8 1.9%
(8)	30 0.7%	30 0.7%	3 0.3%	2 0.2%	8 1.2%	6 0.8%	9 3.5%	13 0.9%	15 0.7%	2 0.6%	2 0.3%	3 0.3%	4 0.6%	4 0.6%	12 6.2%	8 0.7%	19 0.7%	3 0.8%
(9)	18 0.4%	16 0.4%	4 0.3%	5 0.4%	5 0.6%	1 0.1%	2 1.0%	5 0.4%	7 0.3%	4 1.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 0.7%	5 2.5%	0 -	15 0.6%	1 0.2%
(10)	2 0.0%	2 0.0%	1 0.1%	0 -	0 -	1 0.2%	0 -	1 0.0%	0 -	1 0.4%	0 -	1 0.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 0.0%	1 0.2%
(11) very negative effect	12 0.3%	10 0.3%	3 0.3%	1 0.0%	0 -	5 0.6%	2 0.8%	3 0.2%	6 0.3%	1 0.4%	1 0.1%	0 -	1 0.1%	1 0.1%	7 3.7%	2 0.2%	9 0.3%	0 -
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
Mean	2,42	2,38	1,69	2,12	2,72	3,21	3,07	2,13	2,58	2,21	1,27	2,00	2,68	3,49	3,80	1,81	2,58	2,62
missing	1574 28.1%	1556 27.8%	56 5.2%	42 3.2%	55 7.2%	118 13.4%	52 17.0%	20 1.3%	172 7.2%	134 28.5%	7 1.0%	11 1.2%	11 1.6%	14 2.2%	9 4.1%	68 5.8%	198 7.4%	58 11.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - IT and computer technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3921 70.0%	3917 70.0%	1031 95.5%	1239 94.1%	681 89.7%	712 80.3%	238 77.4%	1488 97.8%	2144 90.3%	285 60.6%	678 98.7%	926 97.7%	639 97.1%	632 97.6%	193 92.6%	1073 92.4%	2395 89.3%	431 85.5%
<b>Effects for the future: IT and computer technology</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	999 25.5%	1008 25.7%	579 56.1%	249 20.1%	69 10.2%	92 12.9%	13 5.5%	494 33.2%	438 20.4%	75 26.4%	453 66.8%	185 20.0%	75 11.8%	77 12.2%	18 9.1%	419 39.1%	491 20.5%	94 21.8%
(2)	666 17.0%	702 17.9%	173 16.8%	361 29.1%	91 13.4%	54 7.6%	21 9.0%	297 20.0%	362 16.9%	42 14.8%	104 15.3%	294 31.8%	97 15.2%	59 9.4%	16 8.0%	197 18.3%	439 18.4%	64 14.8%
(3)	733 18.7%	735 18.8%	142 13.7%	305 24.6%	157 23.0%	96 13.5%	33 13.8%	275 18.5%	409 19.1%	51 17.8%	72 10.6%	278 30.1%	122 19.1%	75 11.9%	26 13.6%	167 15.6%	483 20.2%	82 19.1%
(4)	489 12.5%	490 12.5%	50 4.8%	160 12.9%	153 22.4%	89 12.5%	35 14.9%	146 9.8%	297 13.8%	47 16.3%	25 3.7%	84 9.1%	160 25.1%	65 10.2%	18 9.1%	100 9.3%	330 13.8%	58 13.6%
(5)	312 8.0%	301 7.7%	23 2.3%	69 5.5%	96 14.2%	82 11.6%	30 12.6%	93 6.3%	190 8.8%	18 6.5%	7 1.0%	36 3.9%	105 16.5%	54 8.5%	24 12.4%	63 5.9%	197 8.2%	38 8.8%
(6)	487 12.4%	463 11.8%	50 4.8%	61 4.9%	71 10.5%	233 32.7%	47 19.7%	95 6.4%	334 15.6%	34 12.0%	5 0.7%	27 2.9%	45 7.1%	265 41.9%	30 15.4%	83 7.7%	313 13.1%	63 14.7%
(7)	114 2.9%	102 2.6%	7 0.7%	11 0.9%	28 4.2%	29 4.1%	26 10.8%	39 2.6%	56 2.6%	7 2.4%	5 0.8%	9 1.0%	20 3.1%	19 3.0%	26 13.7%	14 1.3%	73 3.0%	14 3.3%
(8)	54 1.4%	54 1.4%	3 0.3%	10 0.8%	8 1.2%	17 2.4%	15 6.3%	21 1.4%	28 1.3%	5 1.6%	1 0.1%	8 0.8%	9 1.4%	10 1.6%	15 7.8%	17 1.6%	27 1.1%	10 2.2%
(9)	30 0.8%	29 0.7%	3 0.3%	7 0.6%	3 0.5%	10 1.4%	5 2.0%	9 0.6%	17 0.8%	2 0.6%	3 0.4%	2 0.2%	1 0.1%	2 0.4%	10 5.3%	5 0.5%	19 0.8%	4 1.0%
(10)	8 0.2%	7 0.2%	1 0.1%	2 0.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.2%	1 0.6%	1 0.1%	5 0.2%	1 0.4%	0 -	0 -	1 0.2%	2 0.3%	1 0.3%	0 -	6 0.3%	1 0.3%
(11) very negative effect	29 0.7%	28 0.7%	1 0.1%	4 0.3%	1 0.1%	9 1.2%	12 4.9%	16 1.1%	8 0.4%	4 1.2%	3 0.5%	2 0.3%	3 0.4%	3 0.5%	10 5.3%	8 0.8%	17 0.7%	3 0.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	3,28	3,23	2,02	2,84	3,77	4,48	5,09	2,86	3,47	3,31	1,69	2,65	3,65	4,48	5,24	2,70	3,40	3,54
missing	1678 30.0%	1680 30.0%	49 4.5%	77 5.9%	78 10.3%	174 19.7%	70 22.6%	33 2.2%	231 9.7%	186 39.4%	9 1.3%	22 2.3%	19 2.9%	16 2.4%	16 7.4%	88 7.6%	287 10.7%	73 14.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3143 56.1%	3149 56.3%	860 79.6%	1022 77.7%	545 71.8%	519 58.6%	189 61.4%	1510 99.3%	1639 69.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	880 75.8%	1932 72.1%	323 64.2%
<b>Effects for the future: Nanotechnology</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	674 21.4%	687 21.8%	380 44.3%	184 18.0%	53 9.7%	44 8.4%	18 9.5%	488 32.3%	199 12.1%	0 -	687 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	274 31.1%	346 17.9%	65 20.2%
(2)	418 13.3%	430 13.7%	137 15.9%	197 19.3%	54 10.0%	23 4.5%	19 10.2%	260 17.2%	171 10.4%	0 -	0 -	430 45.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	125 14.2%	265 13.7%	40 12.4%
(3)	509 16.2%	517 16.4%	115 13.4%	223 21.8%	102 18.8%	57 11.0%	19 10.1%	256 16.9%	261 15.9%	0 -	0 -	517 54.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	145 16.4%	317 16.4%	54 16.7%
(4)	374 11.9%	366 11.6%	50 5.8%	140 13.7%	95 17.4%	56 10.8%	25 13.0%	149 9.9%	217 13.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	366 55.6%	0 -	0 -	85 9.6%	247 12.8%	33 10.3%
(5)	290 9.2%	292 9.3%	44 5.1%	87 8.5%	82 15.1%	54 10.5%	24 12.8%	113 7.5%	179 10.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	292 44.4%	0 -	0 -	56 6.4%	196 10.1%	37 11.5%
(6)	666 21.2%	648 20.6%	107 12.4%	151 14.7%	108 19.8%	232 44.7%	47 24.9%	150 9.9%	498 30.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	648 100.0%	0 -	150 17.0%	420 21.7%	74 22.8%
(7)	89 2.8%	84 2.7%	10 1.1%	12 1.1%	29 5.3%	17 3.2%	17 9.1%	34 2.2%	50 3.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	84 40.2%	0 -	9 1.1%	68 3.5%	5 1.6%
(8)	48 1.5%	49 1.5%	4 0.5%	9 0.9%	14 2.6%	16 3.1%	4 1.9%	22 1.5%	27 1.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	49 23.3%	0 -	11 1.3%	30 1.5%	8 2.3%
(9)	28 0.9%	30 0.9%	5 0.6%	4 0.4%	6 1.0%	8 1.5%	7 3.8%	14 0.9%	15 0.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	30 14.1%	0 -	10 1.2%	15 0.8%	4 1.1%
(10)	9 0.3%	9 0.3%	1 0.1%	5 0.4%	0 -	2 0.4%	2 0.9%	5 0.3%	4 0.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 4.5%	0 -	3 0.3%	7 0.3%	0 -
(11) very negative effect	38 1.2%	37 1.2%	7 0.9%	12 1.1%	2 0.3%	9 1.8%	7 3.7%	20 1.3%	18 1.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	37 17.9%	0 -	13 1.4%	22 1.1%	3 0.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	3,74	3,70	2,67	3,43	4,21	5,00	4,89	3,07	4,28	-	1,00	2,55	4,44	6,00	8,37	3,28	3,87	3,81
missing	2456 43.9%	2449 43.7%	221 20.4%	294 22.3%	214 28.2%	367 41.4%	118 38.6%	11 0.7%	737 31.0%	471 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	281 24.2%	749 27.9%	180 35.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nanotechnology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3143 56.1%	3149 56.3%	860 79.6%	1022 77.7%	545 71.8%	519 58.6%	189 61.4%	1510 99.3%	1639 69.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	880 75.8%	1932 72.1%	323 64.2%
<b>Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity</b>																		
(1) enthusiastic	674 21.4%	687 21.8%	380 44.3%	184 18.0%	53 9.7%	44 8.4%	18 9.5%	488 32.3%	199 12.1%	0 -	687 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	274 31.1%	346 17.9%	65 20.2%
(2) positive	927 29.5%	947 30.1%	252 29.3%	420 41.1%	157 28.8%	80 15.5%	38 20.3%	515 34.1%	432 26.4%	0 -	0 -	947 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	270 30.6%	582 30.1%	94 29.1%
(3) rather positive	664 21.1%	658 20.9%	93 10.9%	227 22.2%	177 32.4%	111 21.3%	49 25.8%	262 17.3%	396 24.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	658 100.0%	0 -	0 -	141 16.0%	442 22.9%	71 21.8%
(4) neutral	666 21.2%	648 20.6%	107 12.4%	151 14.7%	108 19.8%	232 44.7%	47 24.9%	150 9.9%	498 30.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	648 100.0%	0 -	150 17.0%	420 21.7%	74 22.8%
(5) negative	212 6.7%	209 6.6%	27 3.1%	41 4.0%	50 9.3%	52 10.1%	37 19.4%	95 6.3%	114 6.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	209 100.0%	0 -	46 5.2%	142 7.3%	19 6.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	2,62	2,60	2,01	2,46	2,90	3,33	3,24	2,24	2,94	-	1,00	2,00	3,00	4,00	5,00	2,35	2,70	2,65
missing	2456 43.9%	2449 43.7%	221 20.4%	294 22.3%	214 28.2%	367 41.4%	118 38.6%	11 0.7%	737 31.0%	471 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	281 24.2%	749 27.9%	180 35.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Nuclear energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3366 60.1%	3399 60.7%	880 81.5%	1078 81.9%	601 79.2%	620 70.0%	206 67.0%	1390 91.4%	1824 76.8%	186 39.4%	619 90.2%	836 88.3%	584 88.8%	589 90.9%	187 89.5%	949 81.7%	2093 78.1%	343 68.1%
<b>Effects for the future: Nuclear energy</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	210 6.2%	213 6.3%	129 14.7%	40 3.8%	21 3.6%	19 3.1%	3 1.3%	121 8.7%	79 4.3%	13 6.9%	136 22.0%	31 3.7%	4 0.8%	3 0.5%	5 2.6%	101 10.7%	91 4.3%	21 6.1%
(2)	137 4.1%	141 4.2%	51 5.8%	61 5.6%	15 2.4%	9 1.5%	5 2.3%	81 5.8%	56 3.1%	4 2.1%	35 5.7%	72 8.6%	7 1.2%	4 0.6%	0 -	45 4.7%	90 4.3%	6 1.7%
(3)	281 8.3%	295 8.7%	91 10.4%	112 10.3%	43 7.1%	35 5.7%	14 6.9%	141 10.2%	136 7.4%	18 9.6%	55 8.9%	129 15.5%	41 7.1%	16 2.7%	6 3.4%	97 10.3%	180 8.6%	18 5.3%
(4)	277 8.2%	285 8.4%	60 6.8%	104 9.6%	63 10.5%	44 7.2%	11 5.2%	127 9.2%	142 7.8%	16 8.6%	47 7.6%	86 10.3%	86 14.7%	14 2.4%	7 3.9%	60 6.3%	192 9.2%	32 9.4%
(5)	288 8.6%	292 8.6%	52 6.0%	109 10.1%	62 10.3%	41 6.6%	26 12.6%	129 9.3%	151 8.3%	12 6.5%	36 5.8%	73 8.7%	102 17.4%	27 4.7%	7 3.7%	85 8.9%	178 8.5%	26 7.7%
(6)	714 21.2%	729 21.4%	145 16.4%	179 16.6%	133 22.1%	221 35.6%	47 22.7%	231 16.6%	462 25.3%	36 19.3%	89 14.3%	120 14.3%	103 17.6%	293 49.7%	24 12.6%	158 16.6%	482 23.0%	85 24.8%
(7)	205 6.1%	205 6.0%	43 4.8%	69 6.4%	44 7.3%	34 5.4%	16 7.7%	83 6.0%	115 6.3%	8 4.2%	20 3.3%	55 6.6%	43 7.4%	31 5.2%	22 11.6%	54 5.7%	130 6.2%	20 5.7%
(8)	237 7.0%	223 6.6%	44 5.0%	81 7.5%	45 7.5%	38 6.2%	15 7.1%	75 5.4%	132 7.2%	16 8.4%	25 4.0%	57 6.8%	40 6.9%	35 5.9%	24 12.6%	45 4.8%	151 7.2%	27 7.9%
(9)	273 8.1%	272 8.0%	61 7.0%	102 9.4%	57 9.6%	39 6.2%	13 6.5%	101 7.3%	157 8.6%	14 7.6%	29 4.7%	70 8.4%	48 8.2%	50 8.6%	17 9.3%	66 7.0%	177 8.5%	29 8.4%
(10)	162 4.8%	164 4.8%	36 4.1%	55 5.1%	37 6.1%	21 3.3%	14 7.0%	58 4.2%	96 5.3%	10 5.2%	18 2.9%	36 4.3%	32 5.6%	30 5.1%	18 9.6%	56 5.9%	95 4.5%	13 3.9%
(11) very negative effect	582 17.3%	578 17.0%	167 19.0%	167 15.5%	82 13.6%	119 19.2%	43 20.8%	241 17.3%	298 16.3%	40 21.5%	128 20.7%	107 12.8%	77 13.2%	86 14.6%	57 30.7%	182 19.2%	328 15.7%	66 19.2%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	6,53	6,48	6,00	6,46	6,63	6,87	7,14	6,17	6,69	6,77	5,61	5,97	6,57	7,14	8,22	6,31	6,51	6,79
missing	2233 39.9%	2198 39.3%	200 18.5%	238 18.1%	158 20.8%	266 30.0%	101 33.0%	131 8.6%	552 23.2%	285 60.6%	68 9.8%	111 11.7%	74 11.2%	59 9.1%	22 10.5%	212 18.3%	589 21.9%	160 31.9%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Robot technology

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3646 65.1%	3642 65.1%	974 90.1%	1177 89.4%	620 81.7%	635 71.7%	224 72.9%	1478 97.2%	1970 82.9%	194 41.2%	667 97.1%	905 95.6%	623 94.7%	621 95.9%	188 90.3%	1000 86.1%	2231 83.2%	396 78.7%
<b>Effects for the future: Robot technology</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	550 15.1%	560 15.4%	360 37.0%	131 11.1%	28 4.5%	33 5.2%	5 2.2%	314 21.3%	220 11.2%	25 13.0%	348 52.2%	79 8.8%	21 3.4%	31 5.0%	9 5.0%	248 24.8%	261 11.7%	50 12.7%
(2)	438 12.0%	454 12.5%	144 14.8%	211 17.9%	53 8.5%	29 4.5%	17 7.4%	231 15.7%	201 10.2%	22 11.1%	98 14.7%	219 24.2%	52 8.3%	23 3.6%	5 2.6%	131 13.1%	289 13.0%	33 8.4%
(3)	615 16.9%	628 17.2%	171 17.6%	263 22.3%	105 16.9%	63 9.9%	24 10.7%	265 18.0%	335 17.0%	27 13.9%	93 13.9%	275 30.4%	95 15.3%	54 8.7%	8 4.2%	163 16.3%	394 17.7%	68 17.2%
(4)	488 13.4%	493 13.5%	95 9.7%	180 15.3%	118 19.0%	78 12.2%	20 9.0%	203 13.7%	264 13.4%	25 13.1%	37 5.5%	137 15.1%	153 24.6%	50 8.0%	20 10.8%	100 10.0%	335 15.0%	57 14.4%
(5)	383 10.5%	378 10.4%	53 5.5%	130 11.0%	112 18.0%	57 8.9%	27 11.9%	130 8.8%	221 11.2%	27 13.7%	20 2.9%	67 7.4%	138 22.2%	53 8.5%	17 9.2%	79 7.9%	253 11.4%	45 11.3%
(6)	691 19.0%	683 18.8%	93 9.6%	172 14.6%	121 19.5%	250 39.4%	45 20.0%	177 12.0%	471 23.9%	35 17.9%	46 6.9%	69 7.6%	81 13.0%	318 51.1%	48 25.5%	156 15.6%	445 20.0%	76 19.3%
(7)	165 4.5%	155 4.3%	17 1.8%	29 2.5%	41 6.7%	37 5.8%	30 13.6%	55 3.7%	90 4.6%	10 5.2%	8 1.3%	16 1.8%	39 6.2%	37 5.9%	20 10.8%	31 3.1%	101 4.5%	18 4.6%
(8)	130 3.6%	120 3.3%	15 1.5%	27 2.3%	20 3.3%	37 5.8%	21 9.5%	38 2.5%	73 3.7%	9 4.8%	6 0.9%	19 2.1%	19 3.1%	26 4.1%	19 9.8%	37 3.7%	68 3.0%	16 3.9%
(9)	79 2.2%	73 2.0%	12 1.2%	19 1.6%	12 2.0%	20 3.1%	9 4.1%	28 1.9%	40 2.0%	4 2.2%	3 0.5%	15 1.7%	12 1.9%	13 2.1%	15 7.7%	25 2.5%	34 1.5%	14 3.4%
(10)	30 0.8%	27 0.7%	6 0.6%	4 0.4%	3 0.5%	7 1.1%	7 3.1%	12 0.8%	12 0.6%	4 1.8%	3 0.4%	4 0.4%	5 0.7%	2 0.3%	7 3.8%	7 0.7%	12 0.6%	7 1.7%
(11) very negative effect	77 2.1%	72 2.0%	8 0.9%	11 1.0%	8 1.2%	25 4.0%	19 8.6%	24 1.6%	42 2.1%	6 3.2%	6 0.9%	5 0.6%	8 1.2%	17 2.7%	20 10.5%	23 2.3%	37 1.7%	12 3.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	4,21	4,14	2,94	3,82	4,64	5,44	5,98	3,67	4,45	4,54	2,35	3,46	4,59	5,45	6,43	3,78	4,21	4,56
missing	1953 34.9%	1955 34.9%	107 9.9%	140 10.6%	139 18.3%	251 28.3%	83 27.1%	43 2.8%	406 17.1%	277 58.8%	20 2.9%	42 4.4%	35 5.3%	26 4.1%	20 9.7%	161 13.9%	450 16.8%	107 21.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Wind energy

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3911 69.9%	3941 70.4%	1004 92.9%	1254 95.3%	686 90.4%	740 83.5%	242 78.8%	1486 97.7%	2149 90.4%	306 65.0%	671 97.7%	929 98.1%	642 97.6%	628 96.9%	194 93.0%	1070 92.2%	2423 90.3%	431 85.6%
<b>Effects for the future: Wind energy</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	1624 41.5%	1623 41.2%	618 61.5%	492 39.3%	208 30.3%	228 30.8%	69 28.5%	695 46.8%	776 36.1%	152 49.6%	510 76.0%	330 35.6%	185 28.8%	175 27.8%	47 24.0%	600 56.1%	857 35.4%	164 38.2%
(2)	686 17.5%	710 18.0%	158 15.7%	300 23.9%	128 18.7%	86 11.6%	37 15.2%	265 17.9%	393 18.3%	52 16.8%	64 9.5%	275 29.6%	122 19.0%	79 12.5%	18 9.5%	173 16.2%	470 19.4%	65 15.1%
(3)	567 14.5%	580 14.7%	83 8.2%	227 18.1%	126 18.3%	103 13.9%	42 17.5%	218 14.7%	329 15.3%	33 10.8%	44 6.5%	186 20.1%	112 17.5%	74 11.7%	24 12.5%	120 11.2%	380 15.7%	78 18.2%
(4)	357 9.1%	370 9.4%	65 6.4%	99 7.9%	101 14.7%	75 10.1%	30 12.5%	118 7.9%	224 10.4%	28 9.1%	18 2.7%	74 8.0%	119 18.6%	53 8.5%	26 13.3%	64 6.0%	270 11.1%	34 7.8%
(5)	187 4.8%	173 4.4%	16 1.6%	40 3.2%	56 8.2%	48 6.5%	14 5.7%	55 3.7%	106 4.9%	12 3.8%	5 0.7%	24 2.6%	70 10.9%	24 3.8%	12 6.3%	27 2.6%	125 5.2%	19 4.4%
(6)	362 9.3%	358 9.1%	47 4.6%	62 4.9%	48 7.1%	172 23.2%	27 11.0%	87 5.8%	251 11.7%	21 6.8%	20 3.0%	23 2.5%	21 3.3%	201 32.0%	26 13.2%	60 5.6%	245 10.1%	48 11.1%
(7)	54 1.4%	52 1.3%	6 0.6%	13 1.0%	11 1.7%	12 1.6%	10 4.2%	18 1.2%	32 1.5%	2 0.6%	2 0.3%	6 0.6%	5 0.8%	14 2.2%	17 8.7%	2 0.2%	36 1.5%	12 2.8%
(8)	24 0.6%	22 0.6%	2 0.2%	7 0.5%	4 0.6%	5 0.6%	4 1.8%	7 0.5%	14 0.6%	1 0.3%	1 0.1%	2 0.2%	6 0.9%	2 0.3%	8 4.0%	5 0.5%	15 0.6%	1 0.3%
(9)	21 0.5%	21 0.5%	3 0.3%	9 0.7%	2 0.3%	3 0.4%	4 1.5%	9 0.6%	8 0.4%	3 1.1%	3 0.4%	4 0.4%	0 -	3 0.4%	5 2.4%	4 0.3%	13 0.5%	5 1.2%
(10)	10 0.3%	12 0.3%	2 0.2%	2 0.2%	0 -	4 0.5%	2 0.9%	5 0.3%	5 0.2%	2 0.7%	2 0.3%	3 0.3%	0 -	3 0.5%	1 0.8%	7 0.7%	4 0.2%	1 0.2%
(11) very negative effect	19 0.5%	20 0.5%	6 0.6%	3 0.3%	2 0.3%	5 0.7%	3 1.2%	8 0.5%	11 0.5%	1 0.3%	3 0.5%	1 0.1%	1 0.2%	1 0.1%	10 5.4%	8 0.7%	8 0.3%	3 0.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	2,63	2,62	1,96	2,41	2,87	3,41	3,36	2,39	2,82	2,39	1,62	2,29	2,83	3,61	4,26	2,15	2,78	2,86
missing	1688 30.1%	1657 29.6%	76 7.1%	62 4.7%	73 9.6%	146 16.5%	65 21.2%	35 2.3%	227 9.6%	165 35.0%	16 2.3%	18 1.9%	16 2.4%	20 3.1%	15 7.0%	91 7.8%	259 9.7%	72 14.4%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future? - Genetic engineering

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3595 64.2%	3626 64.8%	940 87.0%	1133 86.0%	647 85.2%	671 75.8%	221 72.0%	1446 95.1%	1960 82.5%	220 46.7%	648 94.4%	888 93.7%	614 93.3%	604 93.3%	192 91.9%	985 84.8%	2232 83.2%	396 78.7%
<b>Effects for the future: Genetic Engineering</b>																		
(1) very positive effect	442 12.3%	444 12.2%	271 28.8%	99 8.8%	29 4.5%	35 5.3%	8 3.7%	270 18.7%	149 7.6%	25 11.2%	286 44.1%	47 5.3%	17 2.8%	20 3.3%	7 3.6%	195 19.9%	204 9.1%	43 10.9%
(2)	297 8.3%	326 9.0%	103 11.0%	147 13.0%	39 6.1%	25 3.7%	11 5.0%	156 10.8%	158 8.1%	12 5.4%	72 11.1%	173 19.4%	26 4.2%	19 3.1%	2 1.1%	105 10.6%	196 8.8%	25 6.3%
(3)	423 11.8%	433 11.9%	116 12.3%	185 16.4%	68 10.5%	42 6.2%	21 9.6%	185 12.8%	225 11.5%	23 10.4%	68 10.5%	193 21.7%	71 11.5%	31 5.1%	5 2.8%	109 11.1%	279 12.5%	45 11.4%
(4)	365 10.2%	365 10.1%	73 7.8%	147 13.0%	74 11.5%	41 6.0%	28 12.4%	156 10.8%	189 9.6%	20 8.9%	36 5.5%	126 14.2%	109 17.7%	21 3.4%	11 5.9%	96 9.7%	222 9.9%	47 12.0%
(5)	347 9.7%	337 9.3%	62 6.6%	112 9.9%	91 14.1%	49 7.2%	21 9.3%	136 9.4%	190 9.7%	11 4.9%	30 4.6%	81 9.2%	129 21.0%	38 6.3%	15 7.9%	73 7.4%	234 10.5%	27 6.7%
(6)	766 21.3%	767 21.1%	142 15.1%	189 16.7%	134 20.7%	255 38.0%	42 19.1%	206 14.2%	507 25.9%	53 24.2%	73 11.3%	96 10.9%	108 17.5%	298 49.4%	27 13.9%	169 17.1%	498 22.3%	96 24.3%
(7)	214 6.0%	213 5.9%	36 3.8%	60 5.3%	56 8.7%	44 6.6%	17 7.5%	64 4.5%	136 6.9%	13 5.8%	15 2.3%	46 5.1%	40 6.5%	39 6.5%	22 11.6%	35 3.6%	151 6.7%	26 6.4%
(8)	193 5.4%	190 5.2%	33 3.5%	55 4.8%	50 7.7%	35 5.2%	17 7.6%	73 5.0%	106 5.4%	12 5.2%	16 2.5%	34 3.8%	50 8.2%	28 4.6%	22 11.7%	44 4.5%	123 5.5%	21 5.4%
(9)	176 4.9%	178 4.9%	32 3.4%	42 3.7%	44 6.8%	44 6.6%	15 6.6%	56 3.9%	106 5.4%	16 7.1%	15 2.4%	32 3.6%	24 4.0%	36 6.0%	18 9.2%	39 4.0%	119 5.3%	18 4.5%
(10)	96 2.7%	95 2.6%	14 1.4%	30 2.6%	19 3.0%	17 2.5%	15 6.6%	29 2.0%	55 2.8%	11 5.2%	6 0.9%	16 1.9%	13 2.2%	16 2.7%	14 7.3%	25 2.6%	56 2.5%	12 3.2%
(11) very negative effect	276 7.7%	279 7.7%	59 6.3%	66 5.8%	41 6.4%	85 12.7%	28 12.7%	115 8.0%	139 7.1%	25 11.5%	32 4.9%	43 4.8%	27 4.5%	58 9.6%	48 24.8%	94 9.5%	150 6.7%	35 8.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
Mean	5,21	5,18	4,11	4,85	5,73	6,31	6,31	4,68	5,47	5,84	3,30	4,48	5,44	6,35	7,65	4,80	5,29	5,44
missing	2004 35.8%	1972 35.2%	140 13.0%	184 14.0%	112 14.8%	215 24.2%	86 28.0%	75 4.9%	416 17.5%	251 53.3%	39 5.6%	59 6.3%	44 6.7%	44 6.7%	17 8.1%	176 15.2%	450 16.8%	107 21.3%

BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

### Groups: Technology Affinity

(1) enthusiastic (2) positive (3) rather positive (4) neutral (5) negative


Benefits of solar energy	1.30	1.42	1.59	1.76	1.89
Benefits of IT and computer technology	1.40	1.69	1.99	2.33	2.44
Benefits of wind energy	1.42	1.58	1.69	1.88	1.91
Benefits of robot technology	1.74	1.97	2.27	2.54	2.71
Benefits of nanotechnology	1.78	2.13	2.39	2.65	2.64
Benefits of genetic engineering	2.16	2.43	2.61	3.04	2.87
Benefits of nuclear energy	2.58	2.81	2.88	3.05	3.17



BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

### Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity


	(1) enthusiastic	(2) positive	(3) rather positive	(4) neutral	(5) negative
Benefits of solar energy	1.20	1.37	1.60	1.75	1.74
Benefits of wind energy	1.31	1.52	1.78	1.91	2.14
Benefits of IT and computer technology	1.39	1.69	1.94	2.12	2.18
Benefits of nanotechnology	1.45	1.99	2.47	2.78	2.98
Benefits of robot technology	1.54	1.85	2.25	2.53	2.64
Benefits of genetic engineering	2.01	2.32	2.61	2.91	2.98
Benefits of nuclear energy	2.56	2.74	2.85	2.95	3.00




BACES




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nanotechnology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3134 56.0%	3141 56.1%	862 79.7%	1018 77.3%	545 71.9%	516 58.2%	187 60.9%	1508 99.1%	1633 68.8%	0 -	685 99.8%	944 99.6%	652 99.1%	632 97.6%	206 98.9%	874 75.3%	1930 72.0%	323 64.1%
<b>Benefits of nanotechnology</b>																		
(1) very great	788 25.1%	784 24.9%	404 46.9%	239 23.5%	71 12.9%	44 8.4%	22 11.8%	560 37.1%	224 13.7%	0 -	446 65.1%	232 24.6%	58 9.0%	30 4.8%	12 5.6%	299 34.2%	400 20.7%	84 25.9%
(2) rather great	1135 36.2%	1142 36.3%	271 31.5%	457 44.9%	222 40.8%	135 26.1%	53 28.2%	575 38.1%	567 34.7%	0 -	185 27.0%	510 54.0%	265 40.6%	136 21.5%	41 19.8%	298 34.1%	725 37.6%	115 35.6%
(3) moderate	1074 34.3%	1072 34.1%	165 19.1%	282 27.8%	225 41.3%	305 59.2%	89 47.5%	324 21.5%	749 45.8%	0 -	47 6.9%	185 19.6%	300 46.0%	425 67.3%	107 51.7%	240 27.5%	715 37.0%	111 34.4%
(4) rather small	94 3.0%	101 3.2%	13 1.5%	26 2.5%	22 4.0%	22 4.3%	17 9.2%	38 2.6%	63 3.8%	0 -	2 0.2%	14 1.5%	25 3.8%	25 3.9%	35 16.8%	25 2.9%	65 3.4%	9 2.8%
(5) very small	43 1.4%	43 1.4%	8 0.9%	13 1.3%	6 1.0%	10 2.0%	6 3.3%	11 0.7%	32 2.0%	0 -	5 0.8%	2 0.2%	4 0.6%	16 2.5%	13 6.1%	12 1.4%	25 1.3%	4 1.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1923 61.4%	1925 61.3%	676 78.4%	697 68.4%	293 53.7%	178 34.5%	75 40.0%	1135 75.3%	790 48.4%	0 -	631 92.1%	742 78.6%	323 49.5%	166 26.3%	52 25.4%	597 68.3%	1125 58.3%	199 61.5%
Middle Box (3)	1074 34.3%	1072 34.1%	165 19.1%	282 27.8%	225 41.3%	305 59.2%	89 47.5%	324 21.5%	749 45.8%	0 -	47 6.9%	185 19.6%	300 46.0%	425 67.3%	107 51.7%	240 27.5%	715 37.0%	111 34.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	137 4.4%	144 4.6%	21 2.4%	39 3.8%	27 5.0%	32 6.3%	23 12.5%	49 3.3%	94 5.8%	0 -	7 1.0%	16 1.7%	29 4.4%	41 6.4%	47 22.9%	37 4.3%	90 4.7%	13 4.1%
Mean	2,19	2,20	1,78 BCDE	2,13 ACDE	2,39 ABDE	2,65 ABC	2,64 ABC	1,92 B	2,46 A	-	1,45 BCDE	1,99 ACDE	2,47 ABDE	2,78 ABCE	2,98 ABCD	2,03 Bc	2,27 A	2,18 a
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2465 44.0%	2456 43.9%	219 20.3%	299 22.7%	214 28.1%	370 41.8%	120 39.1%	13 0.9%	742 31.2%	471 100.0%	2 0.2%	3 0.4%	6 0.9%	16 2.4%	2 1.1%	287 24.7%	751 28.0%	181 35.9%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nanotechnology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3130 55.9%	3136 56.0%	861 79.7%	1016 77.2%	544 71.7%	514 58.0%	188 61.3%	1502 98.8%	1634 68.8%	0 -	679 98.9%	942 99.4%	653 99.3%	635 98.0%	206 98.7%	875 75.4%	1923 71.7%	324 64.3%
<b>Risks of nanotechnology</b>																		
(1) very great	215 6.9%	219 7.0%	84 9.7%	59 5.8%	26 4.8%	35 6.7%	16 8.4%	132 8.8%	88 5.4%	0 -	57 8.4%	35 3.7%	30 4.6%	38 5.9%	59 28.5%	89 10.1%	108 5.6%	23 7.1%
(2) rather great	519 16.6%	509 16.2%	113 13.2%	155 15.2%	119 21.9%	74 14.3%	44 23.5%	261 17.4%	248 15.2%	0 -	77 11.4%	142 15.1%	121 18.5%	113 17.8%	52 25.3%	137 15.6%	316 16.4%	54 16.7%
(3) moderate	1765 56.4%	1772 56.5%	411 47.7%	576 56.7%	315 58.0%	362 70.5%	104 55.5%	737 49.1%	1035 63.3%	0 -	293 43.1%	544 57.8%	411 62.9%	433 68.3%	83 40.2%	446 51.0%	1140 59.3%	178 55.0%
(4) rather small	512 16.4%	517 16.5%	192 22.3%	194 19.1%	72 13.3%	33 6.4%	21 11.2%	304 20.3%	212 13.0%	0 -	192 28.2%	192 20.4%	85 13.0%	36 5.6%	8 3.7%	151 17.3%	311 16.2%	51 15.9%
(5) very small	119 3.8%	119 3.8%	61 7.1%	32 3.2%	11 1.9%	10 2.0%	3 1.4%	67 4.5%	51 3.1%	0 -	60 8.9%	28 3.0%	7 1.1%	15 2.3%	5 2.2%	52 5.9%	48 2.5%	18 5.4%
Top Box (1+2)	734 23.5%	729 23.2%	197 22.9%	214 21.0%	146 26.8%	108 21.1%	60 31.9%	393 26.2%	336 20.5%	0 -	134 19.8%	178 18.9%	151 23.1%	151 23.8%	111 53.8%	225 25.8%	424 22.0%	77 23.7%
Middle Box (3)	1765 56.4%	1772 56.5%	411 47.7%	576 56.7%	315 58.0%	362 70.5%	104 55.5%	737 49.1%	1035 63.3%	0 -	293 43.1%	544 57.8%	411 62.9%	433 68.3%	83 40.2%	446 51.0%	1140 59.3%	178 55.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	631 20.2%	635 20.3%	254 29.4%	226 22.3%	83 15.2%	44 8.5%	24 12.6%	372 24.7%	264 16.1%	0 -	252 37.1%	220 23.4%	92 14.1%	50 7.9%	12 6.0%	203 23.2%	359 18.7%	69 21.3%
Mean	2,94	2,94	3,04 CDE	2,99 CDE	2,86 AB	2,83 AB	2,74 AB	2,94	2,93	-	3,18 BCDE	3,04 ACDE	2,88 ABE	2,81 ABE	2,26 ABCD	2,93	2,93	2,96
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2469 44.1%	2461 44.0%	219 20.3%	301 22.8%	215 28.3%	372 42.0%	119 38.7%	19 1.2%	741 31.2%	471 100.0%	8 1.1%	5 0.6%	5 0.7%	13 2.0%	3 1.3%	286 24.6%	758 28.3%	180 35.7%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of genetic engineering?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1818 32.5%	1818 32.5%	482 44.6%	534 40.6%	337 44.4%	352 39.7%	108 35.3%	702 46.2%	987 41.5%	130 27.6%	307 44.7%	439 46.4%	312 47.4%	274 42.2%	91 43.4%	516 44.5%	1089 40.6%	209 41.4%
<b>Benefits of genetic engineering</b>																		
(1) very great	361 19.9%	362 19.9%	165 34.3%	111 20.8%	42 12.3%	33 9.5%	11 10.1%	196 27.9%	144 14.5%	23 17.9%	138 44.9%	95 21.6%	41 13.2%	23 8.3%	7 7.8%	146 28.3%	168 15.4%	48 23.2%
(2) rather great	550 30.3%	544 29.9%	151 31.4%	188 35.1%	113 33.5%	60 17.0%	30 27.3%	234 33.4%	282 28.6%	27 21.1%	78 25.4%	178 40.5%	102 32.7%	59 21.7%	27 29.4%	145 28.1%	340 31.2%	58 27.6%
(3) moderate	618 34.0%	606 33.4%	111 23.1%	158 29.6%	127 37.6%	169 47.9%	40 36.7%	180 25.7%	375 38.0%	52 39.7%	58 19.0%	117 26.7%	117 37.6%	136 49.7%	30 32.6%	139 26.9%	402 36.9%	66 31.6%
(4) rather small	174 9.6%	180 9.9%	31 6.4%	47 8.9%	46 13.6%	38 10.8%	18 17.0%	54 7.7%	113 11.4%	14 10.6%	16 5.3%	31 7.1%	41 13.1%	31 11.2%	16 17.2%	47 9.1%	115 10.6%	17 8.3%
(5) very small	115 6.3%	125 6.9%	24 4.9%	30 5.6%	10 3.0%	52 14.8%	10 8.9%	38 5.4%	73 7.4%	14 10.6%	16 5.4%	18 4.1%	10 3.3%	25 9.1%	12 13.0%	39 7.6%	64 5.9%	19 9.3%
Top Box (1+2)	911 50.1%	907 49.9%	316 65.6%	299 56.0%	154 45.8%	93 26.5%	40 37.4%	430 61.2%	426 43.2%	51 39.1%	216 70.3%	273 62.1%	143 45.9%	82 29.9%	34 37.2%	291 56.4%	508 46.7%	106 50.8%
Middle Box (3)	618 34.0%	606 33.4%	111 23.1%	158 29.6%	127 37.6%	169 47.9%	40 36.7%	180 25.7%	375 38.0%	52 39.7%	58 19.0%	117 26.7%	117 37.6%	136 49.7%	30 32.6%	139 26.9%	402 36.9%	66 31.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	289 15.9%	305 16.8%	55 11.3%	77 14.4%	56 16.6%	90 25.6%	28 25.9%	92 13.1%	186 18.9%	27 21.2%	33 10.7%	49 11.2%	51 16.4%	56 20.3%	27 30.2%	86 16.7%	179 16.4%	37 17.7%
Mean	2,52	2,54	2,16 BCDE	2,43 ACDE	2,61 ABDe	3,04 ABC	2,87 ABc	2,29 BC	2,69 A	2,75 A	2,01 BCDE	2,32 ACDE	2,61 ABDE	2,91 ABC	2,98 ABC	2,40 B	2,60 A	2,53
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3781 67.5%	3779 67.5%	598 55.4%	783 59.4%	422 55.6%	534 60.3%	199 64.7%	819 53.8%	1389 58.5%	341 72.4%	380 55.3%	508 53.6%	346 52.6%	374 57.8%	118 56.6%	645 55.5%	1593 59.4%	295 58.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of genetic engineering?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1821 32.5%	1822 32.6%	481 44.6%	535 40.6%	338 44.5%	353 39.8%	108 35.3%	704 46.3%	986 41.5%	131 27.8%	306 44.6%	439 46.4%	312 47.4%	276 42.6%	92 44.0%	516 44.4%	1093 40.8%	209 41.4%
<b>Risks of genetic engineering</b>																		
(1) very great	552 30.3%	576 31.6%	142 29.4%	160 29.9%	114 33.9%	127 36.1%	31 29.0%	236 33.5%	295 29.9%	45 34.5%	103 33.6%	120 27.2%	84 26.8%	87 31.6%	41 45.0%	181 35.0%	316 28.9%	77 36.9%
(2) rather great	517 28.4%	501 27.5%	123 25.7%	157 29.4%	98 29.0%	85 23.9%	35 32.6%	186 26.4%	285 28.9%	30 22.6%	59 19.3%	143 32.5%	98 31.4%	81 29.3%	21 22.6%	120 23.3%	329 30.1%	51 24.5%
(3) moderate	558 30.6%	550 30.2%	143 29.6%	164 30.7%	93 27.5%	118 33.3%	30 27.3%	204 28.9%	310 31.5%	36 27.4%	92 29.9%	134 30.6%	100 32.1%	87 31.4%	23 24.7%	145 28.2%	348 31.9%	56 26.9%
(4) rather small	149 8.2%	152 8.4%	50 10.3%	47 8.7%	26 7.6%	19 5.3%	11 10.4%	58 8.3%	77 7.8%	17 13.2%	33 10.7%	35 8.0%	25 8.0%	19 6.7%	7 7.7%	51 9.9%	83 7.6%	16 7.8%
(5) very small	45 2.5%	43 2.4%	24 5.0%	7 1.3%	7 2.0%	5 1.3%	1 0.7%	21 2.9%	19 2.0%	3 2.4%	20 6.5%	8 1.8%	5 1.6%	3 1.0%	0 -	18 3.5%	17 1.5%	8 3.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1069 58.7%	1077 59.1%	265 55.1%	317 59.3%	213 62.9%	212 60.0%	67 61.6%	422 59.9%	580 58.8%	75 57.0%	162 52.9%	262 59.7%	182 58.3%	168 60.9%	62 67.7%	301 58.4%	645 59.0%	128 61.4%
Middle Box (3)	558 30.6%	550 30.2%	143 29.6%	164 30.7%	93 27.5%	118 33.3%	30 27.3%	204 28.9%	310 31.5%	36 27.4%	92 29.9%	134 30.6%	100 32.1%	87 31.4%	23 24.7%	145 28.2%	348 31.9%	56 26.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	194 10.7%	195 10.7%	74 15.3%	54 10.0%	32 9.6%	23 6.6%	12 11.1%	79 11.2%	96 9.7%	20 15.6%	53 17.2%	43 9.8%	30 9.6%	21 7.7%	7 7.7%	69 13.4%	100 9.1%	24 11.7%
Mean	2,24	2,22	2,36 bCD	2,22 a	2,15 A	2,12 A	2,21	2,21	2,23	2,27	2,37 dE	2,25 E	2,26 E	2,16 a	1,95 ABC	2,24	2,23	2,17
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3778 67.5%	3775 67.4%	599 55.4%	781 59.4%	421 55.5%	533 60.2%	199 64.7%	816 53.7%	1389 58.5%	340 72.2%	380 55.4%	508 53.6%	346 52.6%	372 57.4%	117 56.0%	645 55.6%	1588 59.2%	295 58.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of IT and computer technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1997 35.7%	1978 35.3%	505 46.7%	635 48.2%	351 46.2%	352 39.7%	128 41.7%	708 46.5%	1084 45.6%	186 39.4%	318 46.3%	451 47.6%	298 45.2%	290 44.8%	96 45.9%	524 45.1%	1207 45.0%	235 46.7%
<b>Benefits of IT and computer technology</b>																		
(1) very great	782 39.2%	770 38.9%	345 68.3%	271 42.7%	78 22.3%	57 16.2%	15 11.8%	352 49.8%	362 33.4%	56 30.0%	225 70.8%	196 43.4%	92 30.8%	74 25.4%	21 21.9%	260 49.6%	425 35.2%	84 35.7%
(2) rather great	810 40.6%	808 40.9%	123 24.4%	296 46.6%	200 56.9%	135 38.5%	53 41.0%	255 36.1%	465 42.9%	88 47.3%	67 21.0%	205 45.5%	135 45.2%	115 39.8%	44 46.4%	190 36.3%	518 42.9%	95 40.5%
(3) moderate	370 18.5%	367 18.6%	31 6.2%	62 9.8%	71 20.1%	149 42.5%	52 40.9%	91 12.8%	238 22.0%	38 20.5%	20 6.2%	46 10.3%	68 22.9%	96 33.0%	25 26.0%	68 13.0%	244 20.2%	49 20.8%
(4) rather small	24 1.2%	23 1.2%	4 0.7%	4 0.6%	2 0.7%	8 2.2%	5 4.3%	6 0.9%	16 1.5%	1 0.6%	5 1.7%	2 0.5%	3 1.1%	3 1.1%	3 3.1%	3 0.6%	15 1.3%	5 2.1%
(5) very small	11 0.6%	9 0.5%	2 0.3%	2 0.3%	0 -	2 0.7%	3 2.0%	3 0.4%	3 0.3%	3 1.5%	1 0.3%	1 0.3%	0 -	2 0.7%	3 2.7%	2 0.4%	5 0.4%	2 1.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1592 79.7%	1578 79.8%	468 92.8%	567 89.3%	278 79.2%	192 54.6%	68 52.8%	608 85.9%	827 76.2%	144 77.4%	292 91.8%	401 88.9%	226 76.0%	189 65.2%	65 68.2%	450 86.0%	943 78.1%	179 76.2%
Middle Box (3)	370 18.5%	367 18.6%	31 6.2%	62 9.8%	71 20.1%	149 42.5%	52 40.9%	91 12.8%	238 22.0%	38 20.5%	20 6.2%	46 10.3%	68 22.9%	96 33.0%	25 26.0%	68 13.0%	244 20.2%	49 20.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	35 1.8%	32 1.6%	5 1.0%	5 0.9%	2 0.7%	10 2.9%	8 6.3%	9 1.3%	19 1.8%	4 2.1%	6 1.9%	4 0.8%	3 1.1%	5 1.8%	6 5.8%	5 1.0%	20 1.7%	7 3.0%
Mean	1,83	1,83	1,40 BCDE	1,69 ACDE	1,99 ABDE	2,33 ABC	2,44 ABC	1,66 BC	1,92 A	1,96 A	1,39 BCDE	1,69 ACDE	1,94 ABDe	2,12 ABC	2,18 ABc	1,66 BC	1,89 A	1,92 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3602 64.3%	3620 64.7%	576 53.3%	682 51.8%	408 53.8%	534 60.3%	179 58.3%	813 53.5%	1291 54.4%	285 60.6%	369 53.7%	496 52.4%	360 54.8%	358 55.2%	113 54.1%	637 54.9%	1475 55.0%	268 53.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of IT and computer technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1997 35.7%	1978 35.3%	505 46.8%	637 48.4%	349 46.0%	351 39.7%	128 41.7%	707 46.5%	1085 45.7%	186 39.5%	316 46.1%	451 47.7%	299 45.4%	291 44.9%	95 45.3%	524 45.1%	1210 45.1%	233 46.4%
<b>Risks of IT and computer technology</b>																		
(1) very great	192 9.6%	185 9.3%	48 9.4%	54 8.4%	31 8.9%	37 10.7%	14 10.7%	82 11.6%	85 7.8%	18 9.5%	28 8.8%	37 8.1%	22 7.4%	23 7.8%	23 24.3%	65 12.5%	94 7.7%	24 10.5%
(2) rather great	408 20.4%	402 20.3%	60 11.8%	124 19.5%	88 25.3%	91 26.0%	36 27.8%	124 17.6%	225 20.8%	52 28.2%	43 13.6%	90 20.0%	71 23.8%	42 14.5%	23 24.2%	109 20.8%	251 20.7%	41 17.5%
(3) moderate	861 43.1%	865 43.7%	179 35.5%	280 43.9%	163 46.7%	180 51.3%	61 47.7%	279 39.4%	510 47.0%	76 41.0%	105 33.2%	190 42.1%	139 46.7%	173 59.5%	32 33.6%	196 37.4%	560 46.3%	103 44.2%
(4) rather small	390 19.5%	389 19.7%	130 25.8%	145 22.8%	62 17.6%	34 9.8%	16 12.5%	148 20.9%	211 19.4%	30 16.3%	78 24.6%	112 24.8%	55 18.4%	39 13.5%	14 14.8%	101 19.2%	243 20.0%	44 18.8%
(5) very small	146 7.3%	137 6.9%	88 17.5%	34 5.4%	5 1.5%	8 2.2%	2 1.3%	74 10.5%	54 5.0%	9 4.9%	63 19.8%	23 5.0%	11 3.8%	14 4.8%	3 3.1%	53 10.2%	63 5.2%	21 8.9%
Top Box (1+2)	600 30.0%	587 29.7%	107 21.3%	178 27.9%	120 34.2%	129 36.6%	49 38.5%	206 29.2%	310 28.6%	70 37.7%	71 22.4%	127 28.1%	93 31.2%	65 22.2%	46 48.6%	174 33.3%	345 28.5%	65 28.0%
Middle Box (3)	861 43.1%	865 43.7%	179 35.5%	280 43.9%	163 46.7%	180 51.3%	61 47.7%	279 39.4%	510 47.0%	76 41.0%	105 33.2%	190 42.1%	139 46.7%	173 59.5%	32 33.6%	196 37.4%	560 46.3%	103 44.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	536 26.8%	527 26.6%	218 43.2%	180 28.2%	67 19.1%	42 12.0%	18 13.8%	222 31.4%	265 24.4%	40 21.3%	140 44.4%	135 29.8%	66 22.1%	53 18.3%	17 17.8%	154 29.4%	306 25.3%	65 27.8%
Mean	2,94	2,95	3,30 BCDE	2,97 ACDE	2,77 AB	2,67 AB	2,66 AB	3,01 c	2,93	2,79 a	3,33 BCDE	2,99 AE	2,87 AE	2,93 AE	2,48 ABCD	2,94	2,94	2,98
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3602 64.3%	3619 64.7%	575 53.2%	679 51.6%	410 54.0%	535 60.3%	179 58.3%	814 53.5%	1291 54.3%	285 60.5%	370 53.9%	496 52.3%	359 54.6%	357 55.1%	114 54.7%	637 54.9%	1471 54.9%	270 53.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nuclear energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1601 28.6%	1631 29.1%	413 38.2%	482 36.6%	295 38.9%	331 37.3%	101 33.0%	615 40.4%	903 38.0%	112 23.9%	279 40.6%	378 39.9%	268 40.7%	275 42.5%	88 42.1%	464 39.9%	987 36.8%	171 34.0%
<b>Benefits of nuclear energy</b>																		
(1) very great	223 13.9%	224 13.7%	107 25.9%	59 12.3%	27 9.2%	22 6.6%	7 7.1%	108 17.6%	105 11.6%	11 9.7%	71 25.5%	55 14.6%	24 8.9%	23 8.5%	14 16.0%	89 19.3%	114 11.5%	20 11.4%
(2) rather great	375 23.4%	386 23.7%	91 22.2%	132 27.4%	76 25.9%	67 20.1%	19 18.7%	157 25.6%	211 23.3%	18 16.1%	73 26.1%	112 29.6%	70 26.2%	47 17.1%	11 13.1%	115 24.9%	232 23.5%	39 23.0%
(3) moderate	624 39.0%	634 38.9%	128 30.9%	181 37.6%	127 43.0%	155 46.8%	39 38.8%	195 31.7%	387 42.8%	52 46.7%	74 26.5%	129 34.1%	114 42.7%	150 54.4%	36 41.3%	140 30.2%	421 42.6%	68 39.9%
(4) rather small	202 12.6%	204 12.5%	41 9.9%	58 12.1%	35 11.9%	48 14.6%	22 21.3%	77 12.4%	116 12.8%	12 10.8%	30 10.6%	38 10.2%	40 14.9%	31 11.3%	12 14.0%	53 11.5%	123 12.4%	26 15.4%
(5) very small	177 11.1%	182 11.2%	46 11.2%	51 10.5%	29 10.0%	39 11.9%	14 14.1%	78 12.7%	85 9.4%	19 16.8%	31 11.3%	44 11.6%	19 7.2%	24 8.7%	14 15.6%	66 14.2%	99 10.0%	18 10.2%
Top Box (1+2)	598 37.4%	610 37.4%	198 48.0%	191 39.7%	104 35.1%	88 26.7%	26 25.8%	266 43.2%	316 34.9%	29 25.8%	144 51.6%	167 44.2%	94 35.1%	70 25.6%	26 29.1%	205 44.2%	345 35.0%	59 34.5%
Middle Box (3)	624 39.0%	634 38.9%	128 30.9%	181 37.6%	127 43.0%	155 46.8%	39 38.8%	195 31.7%	387 42.8%	52 46.7%	74 26.5%	129 34.1%	114 42.7%	150 54.4%	36 41.3%	140 30.2%	421 42.6%	68 39.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	379 23.7%	386 23.7%	87 21.1%	109 22.7%	65 21.9%	88 26.5%	36 35.4%	154 25.1%	201 22.3%	31 27.6%	61 21.9%	82 21.7%	59 22.1%	55 20.0%	26 29.6%	119 25.6%	221 22.4%	44 25.6%
Mean	2,83	2,84	2,58 BCDE	2,81 ADE	2,88 Ade	3,05 ABc	3,17 ABc	2,77 c	2,85 c	3,09 ab	2,56 CDE	2,74 d	2,85 A	2,95 Ab	3,00 A	2,76	2,86	2,90
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3998 71.4%	3967 70.9%	668 61.8%	835 63.4%	464 61.1%	555 62.7%	206 67.0%	906 59.6%	1472 62.0%	359 76.1%	408 59.4%	569 60.1%	390 59.3%	373 57.5%	121 57.9%	697 60.1%	1694 63.2%	332 66.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nuclear energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1602 28.6%	1633 29.2%	410 38.0%	483 36.7%	298 39.2%	331 37.4%	101 33.0%	616 40.5%	901 37.9%	116 24.6%	276 40.3%	380 40.1%	269 40.8%	275 42.5%	88 42.1%	465 40.0%	988 36.9%	171 34.0%
<b>Risks of nuclear energy</b>																		
(1) very great	879 54.9%	905 55.4%	260 63.5%	271 56.1%	147 49.2%	166 50.1%	53 52.5%	361 58.7%	491 54.5%	53 45.4%	196 70.9%	208 54.6%	138 51.3%	126 45.7%	51 58.2%	300 64.6%	514 52.0%	87 50.8%
(2) rather great	349 21.8%	357 21.9%	70 17.1%	117 24.3%	89 29.9%	57 17.3%	22 22.2%	143 23.2%	187 20.8%	27 23.4%	36 12.9%	108 28.5%	71 26.5%	47 17.1%	18 20.9%	83 17.8%	234 23.7%	39 22.7%
(3) moderate	302 18.9%	300 18.4%	58 14.1%	73 15.2%	52 17.5%	96 29.1%	21 20.3%	85 13.8%	184 20.5%	30 26.2%	30 10.8%	48 12.7%	48 17.7%	90 32.8%	15 16.7%	53 11.4%	209 21.2%	37 21.4%
(4) rather small	47 2.9%	47 2.9%	15 3.7%	13 2.6%	9 2.9%	7 2.0%	4 4.1%	19 3.1%	24 2.7%	4 3.1%	11 3.9%	11 2.8%	9 3.3%	4 1.5%	4 4.2%	18 3.9%	20 2.0%	8 4.5%
(5) very small	25 1.6%	23 1.4%	7 1.7%	9 1.8%	1 0.4%	5 1.5%	1 0.8%	7 1.1%	14 1.5%	2 1.9%	4 1.4%	5 1.4%	3 1.2%	8 2.9%	0 -	11 2.3%	11 1.1%	1 0.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1228 76.7%	1263 77.3%	330 80.6%	388 80.4%	236 79.1%	223 67.4%	76 74.7%	504 81.9%	678 75.3%	80 68.8%	232 83.8%	316 83.1%	209 77.8%	173 62.8%	70 79.1%	383 82.4%	748 75.7%	126 73.5%
Middle Box (3)	302 18.9%	300 18.4%	58 14.1%	73 15.2%	52 17.5%	96 29.1%	21 20.3%	85 13.8%	184 20.5%	30 26.2%	30 10.8%	48 12.7%	48 17.7%	90 32.8%	15 16.7%	53 11.4%	209 21.2%	37 21.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	72 4.5%	70 4.3%	22 5.4%	21 4.4%	10 3.3%	12 3.5%	5 5.0%	26 4.3%	38 4.2%	6 5.0%	15 5.3%	16 4.2%	12 4.5%	12 4.4%	4 4.2%	29 6.2%	31 3.1%	9 5.1%
Mean	1,75	1,73	1,63 D	1,70 D	1,75	1,88 AB	1,79	1,65 bC	1,76 a	1,93 A	1,52 bCD	1,68 aD	1,77 AD	1,99 ABCe	1,67 d	1,62 Bc	1,77 A	1,81 a
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3997 71.4%	3965 70.8%	670 62.0%	834 63.3%	461 60.8%	555 62.6%	206 67.0%	905 59.5%	1475 62.1%	355 75.4%	410 59.7%	567 59.9%	389 59.2%	373 57.5%	121 57.9%	696 60.0%	1693 63.1%	332 66.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of robot technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1863 33.3%	1852 33.1%	510 47.2%	587 44.6%	302 39.8%	332 37.5%	116 37.6%	718 47.2%	1007 42.4%	127 26.9%	334 48.6%	430 45.3%	320 48.7%	289 44.6%	95 45.4%	493 42.5%	1137 42.4%	216 42.9%
<b>Benefits of robot technology</b>																		
(1) very great	555 29.8%	551 29.7%	258 50.5%	177 30.2%	53 17.6%	53 16.1%	6 5.2%	276 38.4%	249 24.7%	26 20.5%	202 60.6%	141 32.8%	60 18.8%	43 14.7%	16 16.6%	206 41.7%	282 24.8%	63 29.3%
(2) rather great	666 35.7%	677 36.5%	155 30.4%	268 45.7%	130 43.1%	77 23.3%	45 39.2%	280 39.0%	352 34.9%	45 35.6%	90 26.8%	218 50.8%	137 42.7%	78 27.0%	22 23.7%	150 30.4%	452 39.7%	73 34.0%
(3) moderate	552 29.6%	536 28.9%	77 15.1%	124 21.2%	105 34.7%	178 53.5%	51 43.9%	136 19.0%	357 35.5%	42 33.5%	35 10.5%	64 15.0%	109 34.0%	147 51.0%	43 45.9%	114 23.1%	348 30.6%	70 32.4%
(4) rather small	61 3.3%	62 3.4%	14 2.8%	16 2.7%	13 4.3%	16 4.8%	3 2.9%	16 2.2%	36 3.6%	10 7.9%	5 1.6%	5 1.1%	12 3.8%	15 5.3%	6 6.6%	15 3.1%	41 3.6%	6 3.0%
(5) very small	29 1.6%	26 1.4%	6 1.1%	1 0.2%	1 0.4%	8 2.3%	10 8.8%	9 1.3%	13 1.3%	3 2.5%	2 0.5%	1 0.3%	2 0.8%	6 2.0%	7 7.2%	8 1.7%	15 1.3%	3 1.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1221 65.5%	1227 66.3%	413 80.9%	445 75.9%	183 60.7%	131 39.4%	51 44.4%	556 77.4%	600 59.6%	71 56.1%	292 87.4%	359 83.6%	197 61.4%	121 41.8%	38 40.3%	355 72.1%	734 64.5%	137 63.3%
Middle Box (3)	552 29.6%	536 28.9%	77 15.1%	124 21.2%	105 34.7%	178 53.5%	51 43.9%	136 19.0%	357 35.5%	42 33.5%	35 10.5%	64 15.0%	109 34.0%	147 51.0%	43 45.9%	114 23.1%	348 30.6%	70 32.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	90 4.8%	89 4.8%	20 3.9%	17 2.9%	14 4.6%	24 7.2%	13 11.7%	26 3.6%	50 4.9%	13 10.4%	7 2.0%	6 1.4%	15 4.6%	21 7.3%	13 13.8%	24 4.8%	55 4.9%	9 4.3%
Mean	2,11	2,10	1,74 BCDE	1,97 ACDE	2,27 ABDE	2,54 ABC	2,71 ABC	1,89 BC	2,22 A	2,36 A	1,54 BCDE	1,85 ACDE	2,25 ABDE	2,53 ABC	2,64 ABC	1,93 BC	2,17 A	2,13 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3736 66.7%	3745 66.9%	570 52.8%	730 55.4%	457 60.2%	554 62.5%	192 62.4%	803 52.8%	1368 57.6%	344 73.1%	353 51.4%	518 54.7%	338 51.3%	359 55.4%	114 54.6%	668 57.5%	1544 57.6%	287 57.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of robot technology?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	1863 33.3%	1852 33.1%	510 47.2%	588 44.7%	302 39.8%	331 37.4%	116 37.6%	719 47.3%	1006 42.4%	127 26.9%	334 48.6%	431 45.5%	320 48.6%	289 44.6%	95 45.4%	493 42.5%	1138 42.4%	216 42.9%
<b>Risks of robot technology</b>																		
(1) very great	210 11.3%	194 10.5%	47 9.3%	55 9.3%	29 9.6%	49 14.9%	14 11.8%	80 11.1%	100 9.9%	14 11.3%	31 9.2%	45 10.5%	34 10.5%	30 10.2%	17 18.4%	72 14.6%	98 8.6%	24 11.1%
(2) rather great	372 20.0%	354 19.1%	76 14.8%	111 18.8%	75 24.9%	59 17.7%	33 28.2%	136 18.9%	188 18.7%	30 23.7%	51 15.2%	86 19.9%	68 21.1%	53 18.4%	22 23.5%	85 17.3%	230 20.2%	39 17.9%
(3) moderate	808 43.4%	806 43.5%	197 38.6%	252 42.8%	134 44.4%	170 51.1%	50 43.3%	285 39.6%	473 47.0%	49 38.5%	116 34.8%	170 39.4%	160 50.0%	158 54.6%	38 40.1%	189 38.4%	519 45.6%	93 43.0%
(4) rather small	362 19.4%	380 20.5%	124 24.3%	145 24.7%	50 16.6%	45 13.7%	15 12.8%	166 23.1%	187 18.5%	27 21.2%	89 26.6%	112 26.0%	46 14.5%	36 12.4%	14 14.3%	98 20.0%	237 20.8%	44 20.2%
(5) very small	111 6.0%	118 6.4%	66 12.9%	25 4.3%	13 4.4%	9 2.6%	5 3.9%	52 7.2%	59 5.9%	7 5.3%	48 14.3%	18 4.2%	12 3.8%	13 4.4%	4 3.7%	48 9.7%	53 4.7%	17 7.8%
Top Box (1+2)	582 31.2%	548 29.6%	123 24.1%	166 28.2%	104 34.5%	108 32.5%	46 40.0%	216 30.1%	288 28.6%	44 35.0%	81 24.3%	131 30.4%	101 31.7%	83 28.6%	40 41.8%	157 31.9%	328 28.9%	63 29.0%
Middle Box (3)	808 43.4%	806 43.5%	197 38.6%	252 42.8%	134 44.4%	170 51.1%	50 43.3%	285 39.6%	473 47.0%	49 38.5%	116 34.8%	170 39.4%	160 50.0%	158 54.6%	38 40.1%	189 38.4%	519 45.6%	93 43.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	473 25.4%	498 26.9%	190 37.3%	171 29.0%	64 21.1%	54 16.3%	19 16.7%	218 30.3%	246 24.4%	34 26.5%	137 40.9%	130 30.2%	58 18.3%	48 16.8%	17 18.1%	146 29.7%	290 25.5%	61 28.0%
Mean	2,89	2,93	3,17 BCDE	2,96 AcDE	2,81 Ab	2,71 AB	2,69 AB	2,96	2,92	2,85	3,22 BCDE	2,93 AE	2,80 A	2,82 A	2,62 AB	2,93	2,93	2,96
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3736 66.7%	3745 66.9%	570 52.8%	729 55.3%	457 60.2%	555 62.6%	192 62.4%	802 52.7%	1369 57.6%	344 73.1%	353 51.4%	517 54.5%	338 51.4%	359 55.4%	114 54.6%	668 57.5%	1544 57.6%	287 57.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of solar energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2175 38.8%	2170 38.8%	519 48.1%	687 52.2%	394 52.0%	411 46.4%	149 48.5%	765 50.3%	1178 49.6%	227 48.1%	345 50.2%	480 50.6%	334 50.8%	311 48.1%	101 48.3%	558 48.1%	1346 50.2%	247 49.2%
<b>Benefits of solar energy</b>																		
(1) very great	1357 62.4%	1355 62.4%	412 79.3%	462 67.2%	216 54.7%	196 47.7%	65 43.8%	554 72.4%	682 57.9%	119 52.5%	294 85.4%	346 72.1%	187 55.8%	153 49.0%	55 54.6%	420 75.3%	788 58.5%	140 56.6%
(2) rather great	555 25.5%	561 25.9%	71 13.7%	178 26.0%	134 33.9%	131 31.8%	45 30.1%	147 19.2%	342 29.0%	72 31.8%	37 10.7%	101 21.1%	106 31.8%	95 30.6%	23 23.0%	103 18.5%	385 28.6%	66 26.6%
(3) moderate	213 9.8%	202 9.3%	25 4.9%	37 5.4%	36 9.2%	73 17.7%	29 19.7%	46 6.0%	132 11.2%	25 10.8%	9 2.7%	26 5.4%	32 9.6%	54 17.4%	18 18.3%	25 4.5%	141 10.5%	33 13.2%
(4) rather small	37 1.7%	39 1.8%	7 1.4%	5 0.7%	8 2.1%	10 2.4%	9 5.9%	10 1.3%	18 1.5%	11 4.9%	2 0.7%	5 1.0%	5 1.6%	7 2.1%	2 1.8%	6 1.0%	24 1.8%	9 3.6%
(5) very small	13 0.6%	13 0.6%	3 0.7%	5 0.7%	0 -	2 0.5%	1 0.6%	8 1.0%	5 0.4%	0 -	2 0.5%	2 0.4%	4 1.2%	3 0.8%	2 2.4%	4 0.7%	9 0.6%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	1912 87.9%	1916 88.3%	483 93.0%	640 93.2%	350 88.6%	327 79.4%	110 73.9%	701 91.7%	1024 86.9%	191 84.2%	331 96.1%	447 93.2%	293 87.7%	248 79.7%	78 77.5%	523 93.8%	1173 87.1%	206 83.2%
Middle Box (3)	213 9.8%	202 9.3%	25 4.9%	37 5.4%	36 9.2%	73 17.7%	29 19.7%	46 6.0%	132 11.2%	25 10.8%	9 2.7%	26 5.4%	32 9.6%	54 17.4%	18 18.3%	25 4.5%	141 10.5%	33 13.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	50 2.3%	52 2.4%	11 2.1%	10 1.5%	8 2.1%	12 2.9%	10 6.4%	18 2.4%	23 1.9%	11 4.9%	4 1.2%	7 1.4%	9 2.8%	9 2.9%	4 4.2%	10 1.7%	33 2.4%	9 3.6%
Mean	1,53	1,52	1,30 BCDE	1,42 ACDE	1,59 ABDE	1,76 ABC	1,89 ABC	1,39 BC	1,58 A	1,68 A	1,20 BCDE	1,37 ACDE	1,60 ABd	1,75 ABC	1,74 AB	1,33 BC	1,57 A	1,64 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3424 61.2%	3427 61.2%	561 51.9%	630 47.8%	365 48.0%	475 53.6%	158 51.5%	756 49.7%	1198 50.4%	244 51.9%	342 49.8%	468 49.4%	324 49.2%	336 51.9%	108 51.7%	603 51.9%	1335 49.8%	256 50.8%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of solar energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2168 38.7%	2163 38.6%	517 47.8%	687 52.2%	393 51.8%	409 46.2%	148 48.1%	765 50.3%	1173 49.4%	224 47.6%	343 49.9%	481 50.8%	333 50.7%	310 47.9%	101 48.3%	558 48.1%	1342 50.0%	246 48.9%
<b>Risks of solar energy</b>																		
(1) very great	58 2.7%	55 2.5%	18 3.6%	17 2.5%	8 2.2%	8 1.9%	3 1.8%	28 3.7%	23 2.0%	3 1.4%	16 4.5%	14 2.9%	7 2.0%	5 1.6%	5 5.3%	15 2.7%	33 2.5%	5 1.9%
(2) rather great	115 5.3%	105 4.8%	14 2.7%	31 4.5%	24 6.2%	17 4.2%	18 12.1%	45 5.9%	48 4.1%	12 5.2%	10 2.8%	22 4.6%	25 7.4%	15 4.8%	12 12.0%	26 4.7%	68 5.0%	11 4.5%
(3) moderate	491 22.6%	484 22.4%	75 14.5%	129 18.8%	98 24.9%	143 34.8%	38 26.0%	142 18.6%	277 23.6%	65 29.0%	47 13.8%	91 19.0%	77 23.1%	87 28.0%	28 28.1%	101 18.1%	320 23.8%	61 24.6%
(4) rather small	781 36.0%	791 36.6%	154 29.9%	282 41.1%	156 39.6%	146 35.8%	49 32.9%	240 31.4%	459 39.1%	92 40.9%	75 22.0%	171 35.5%	130 38.9%	123 39.8%	26 25.7%	189 33.9%	501 37.4%	90 36.6%
(5) very small	723 33.3%	728 33.7%	255 49.3%	227 33.0%	107 27.1%	95 23.3%	40 27.2%	309 40.4%	366 31.2%	53 23.5%	195 56.9%	183 38.0%	95 28.6%	80 25.8%	29 29.0%	227 40.6%	420 31.3%	80 32.4%
Top Box (1+2)	173 8.0%	159 7.4%	33 6.3%	48 7.0%	33 8.4%	25 6.1%	21 14.0%	73 9.6%	71 6.0%	15 6.7%	25 7.3%	36 7.5%	31 9.4%	20 6.4%	17 17.2%	41 7.4%	101 7.5%	16 6.4%
Middle Box (3)	491 22.6%	484 22.4%	75 14.5%	129 18.8%	98 24.9%	143 34.8%	38 26.0%	142 18.6%	277 23.6%	65 29.0%	47 13.8%	91 19.0%	77 23.1%	87 28.0%	28 28.1%	101 18.1%	320 23.8%	61 24.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1504 69.4%	1519 70.2%	409 79.2%	509 74.2%	262 66.7%	242 59.0%	89 60.0%	549 71.8%	825 70.4%	144 64.3%	270 78.9%	353 73.5%	225 67.5%	203 65.6%	55 54.7%	416 74.5%	921 68.7%	170 69.0%
Mean	3,92	3,94	4,19 BCDE	3,98 AcDE	3,83 Ab	3,74 AB	3,71 AB	3,99 c	3,94 c	3,80 ab	4,24 BCDE	4,01 AcDE	3,85 Abe	3,83 Ab	3,61 ABc	4,05 B	3,90 A	3,93
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3431 61.3%	3435 61.4%	564 52.2%	630 47.8%	366 48.2%	477 53.8%	159 51.9%	756 49.7%	1202 50.6%	247 52.4%	344 50.1%	466 49.2%	325 49.3%	338 52.1%	108 51.7%	603 51.9%	1340 50.0%	257 51.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of wind energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2041 36.5%	2053 36.7%	482 44.6%	670 50.9%	350 46.1%	397 44.8%	146 47.5%	704 46.3%	1150 48.4%	200 42.4%	317 46.2%	471 49.8%	308 46.8%	299 46.2%	103 49.3%	555 47.8%	1263 47.1%	231 45.9%
<b>Benefits of wind energy</b>																		
(1) very great	1158 56.7%	1151 56.1%	351 72.9%	387 57.8%	164 47.0%	173 43.6%	70 48.1%	434 61.7%	588 51.1%	129 64.6%	255 80.5%	281 59.7%	138 44.9%	118 39.3%	37 35.9%	378 68.1%	649 51.4%	124 53.7%
(2) rather great	560 27.4%	579 28.2%	79 16.5%	208 31.1%	138 39.3%	119 30.1%	31 21.4%	188 26.7%	347 30.2%	44 22.0%	38 12.1%	149 31.7%	114 37.1%	103 34.5%	25 23.9%	117 21.1%	395 31.3%	66 28.5%
(3) moderate	252 12.3%	248 12.1%	36 7.5%	49 7.2%	39 11.1%	88 22.2%	36 24.4%	57 8.1%	175 15.2%	17 8.3%	14 4.5%	30 6.4%	42 13.5%	68 22.6%	35 33.8%	42 7.5%	173 13.7%	31 13.4%
(4) rather small	50 2.4%	52 2.5%	10 2.1%	17 2.5%	9 2.4%	12 3.0%	5 3.4%	16 2.3%	28 2.4%	8 4.0%	6 1.8%	7 1.6%	11 3.7%	7 2.4%	3 2.9%	11 2.0%	34 2.7%	7 3.0%
(5) very small	21 1.0%	23 1.1%	5 1.0%	9 1.3%	1 0.2%	5 1.2%	4 2.7%	9 1.2%	12 1.0%	2 1.1%	4 1.1%	3 0.6%	2 0.8%	3 1.1%	4 3.5%	7 1.3%	12 1.0%	3 1.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1718 84.2%	1730 84.3%	430 89.4%	596 88.9%	302 86.3%	293 73.7%	101 69.5%	622 88.4%	935 81.3%	173 86.6%	294 92.6%	431 91.4%	253 82.0%	221 73.8%	62 59.8%	495 89.2%	1044 82.7%	190 82.2%
Middle Box (3)	252 12.3%	248 12.1%	36 7.5%	49 7.2%	39 11.1%	88 22.2%	36 24.4%	57 8.1%	175 15.2%	17 8.3%	14 4.5%	30 6.4%	42 13.5%	68 22.6%	35 33.8%	42 7.5%	173 13.7%	31 13.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	71 3.5%	75 3.7%	15 3.1%	26 3.8%	9 2.6%	17 4.2%	9 6.1%	25 3.5%	40 3.5%	10 5.1%	9 2.9%	10 2.2%	14 4.5%	11 3.5%	7 6.4%	18 3.3%	46 3.7%	10 4.4%
Mean	1,64	1,64	1,42 BCDE	1,58 AcDE	1,69 AbDe	1,88 ABC	1,91 ABc	1,55 B	1,72 Ac	1,55 b	1,31 BCDE	1,52 ACDE	1,78 ABE	1,91 ABe	2,14 ABCd	1,47 BC	1,71 A	1,70 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3558 63.5%	3544 63.3%	599 55.4%	647 49.1%	409 53.9%	489 55.2%	161 52.5%	817 53.7%	1226 51.6%	271 57.6%	370 53.8%	476 50.2%	350 53.2%	349 53.8%	106 50.7%	606 52.2%	1419 52.9%	273 54.1%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of wind energy?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2039 36.4%	2049 36.6%	480 44.5%	667 50.7%	350 46.1%	396 44.6%	147 47.9%	706 46.4%	1146 48.2%	198 41.9%	318 46.4%	472 49.8%	307 46.7%	298 46.0%	103 49.3%	555 47.8%	1258 46.9%	231 45.9%
<b>Risks of wind energy</b>																		
(1) very great	61 3.0%	63 3.1%	26 5.3%	16 2.4%	7 2.1%	11 2.9%	3 2.1%	37 5.3%	22 1.9%	4 2.1%	18 5.8%	14 3.0%	4 1.2%	8 2.7%	10 9.4%	22 3.9%	32 2.6%	9 4.0%
(2) rather great	148 7.3%	152 7.4%	26 5.5%	46 6.9%	34 9.8%	28 7.1%	17 11.4%	62 8.8%	82 7.1%	8 4.1%	24 7.4%	38 8.0%	31 10.2%	17 5.8%	17 16.3%	36 6.5%	98 7.8%	17 7.5%
(3) moderate	546 26.8%	546 26.6%	88 18.3%	181 27.1%	92 26.4%	138 34.9%	43 29.4%	151 21.4%	342 29.8%	53 26.6%	47 14.8%	113 23.9%	88 28.5%	113 38.1%	27 26.5%	119 21.4%	366 29.1%	59 25.5%
(4) rather small	699 34.3%	705 34.4%	139 28.9%	247 37.0%	134 38.3%	132 33.3%	49 33.0%	229 32.4%	406 35.4%	71 35.7%	74 23.2%	178 37.6%	115 37.3%	102 34.2%	33 32.3%	181 32.6%	447 35.5%	75 32.6%
(5) very small	585 28.7%	584 28.5%	201 41.9%	178 26.6%	82 23.5%	86 21.8%	35 24.1%	226 32.1%	295 25.7%	62 31.5%	155 48.8%	130 27.5%	70 22.9%	57 19.3%	16 15.5%	198 35.7%	315 25.1%	70 30.4%
Top Box (1+2)	209 10.3%	215 10.5%	52 10.8%	62 9.3%	42 11.9%	40 10.0%	20 13.5%	99 14.0%	104 9.0%	12 6.2%	42 13.2%	52 11.0%	35 11.3%	25 8.5%	26 25.7%	58 10.4%	131 10.4%	26 11.5%
Middle Box (3)	546 26.8%	546 26.6%	88 18.3%	181 27.1%	92 26.4%	138 34.9%	43 29.4%	151 21.4%	342 29.8%	53 26.6%	47 14.8%	113 23.9%	88 28.5%	113 38.1%	27 26.5%	119 21.4%	366 29.1%	59 25.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1284 63.0%	1289 62.9%	340 70.8%	425 63.6%	216 61.8%	218 55.1%	84 57.1%	455 64.5%	701 61.1%	133 67.2%	229 72.0%	307 65.1%	185 60.2%	159 53.5%	49 47.8%	379 68.2%	762 60.6%	146 63.1%
Mean	3,78	3,78	3,97 BCDE	3,79 Ad	3,71 A	3,64 Ab	3,66 A	3,77	3,76	3,90	4,02 BCDE	3,79 AdE	3,71 AE	3,62 AbE	3,28 ABCD	3,90 B	3,73 A	3,78
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3560 63.6%	3548 63.4%	600 55.5%	649 49.3%	409 53.9%	491 55.4%	160 52.1%	815 53.6%	1229 51.8%	274 58.1%	368 53.6%	475 50.2%	350 53.3%	350 54.0%	106 50.7%	606 52.2%	1423 53.1%	273 54.1%

BACES



### NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Abs. Col %	Total	Groups: Technology Affinity					Heard or read about: Nanotechnology Groups		
	N	(1) enthusiastic	(2) positive	(3) rather positive	(4) neutral	(5) negative	(1) high awareness	(2) low awareness	(3) no awareness
<b>Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know?</b>									
Area of application known: Medicine	1566 49.4%	517 59.6%	536 52.3%	253 46.0%	175 33.3%	80 42.5%	931 61.2%	635 38.5%	0 -
Area of application known: Paint and varnish	1180 37.2%	397 45.7%	405 39.5%	188 34.2%	128 24.5%	55 28.9%	671 44.1%	509 30.9%	0 -
Area of application known: Textiles	1040 32.8%	364 42.0%	357 34.8%	155 28.3%	110 21.0%	46 24.6%	636 41.8%	404 24.5%	0 -
Area of application known: Electronics	956 30.2%	315 36.4%	346 33.7%	159 29.0%	78 14.8%	56 29.7%	561 36.9%	395 24.0%	0 -
Area of application known: Cosmetics	918 28.9%	309 35.6%	278 27.1%	170 30.9%	113 21.6%	43 22.7%	539 35.5%	378 22.9%	0 -
Area of application known: Food	707 22.3%	241 27.7%	214 20.8%	132 23.9%	77 14.8%	37 19.4%	419 27.5%	288 17.5%	0 -
Area of application known: Others	197 6.2%	73 8.4%	56 5.5%	34 6.2%	23 4.4%	8 4.2%	125 8.2%	72 4.4%	0 -
BACES									





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Abs. Col %	Total	Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity					Groups: Importance of Conservation of cultural goods and monuments		
	N	(1) enthusiastic	(2) positive	(3) rather positive	(4) neutral	(5) negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
<b>Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know?</b>									
Area of application known: Medicine	1566 49.4%	457 66.5%	538 56.8%	298 45.4%	168 26.0%	96 45.9%	482 54.5%	925 47.6%	153 46.9%
Area of application known: Paint and varnish	1180 37.2%	324 47.2%	387 40.8%	224 34.1%	161 24.8%	78 37.4%	341 38.6%	720 37.0%	114 34.9%
Area of application known: Textiles	1040 32.8%	303 44.1%	349 36.8%	190 28.8%	127 19.6%	66 31.4%	294 33.2%	634 32.6%	107 32.9%
Area of application known: Electronics	956 30.2%	303 44.1%	341 36.0%	180 27.4%	77 11.9%	48 23.1%	310 35.1%	557 28.6%	87 26.7%
Area of application known: Cosmetics	918 28.9%	244 35.5%	272 28.8%	169 25.7%	141 21.7%	86 41.3%	275 31.1%	545 28.0%	95 29.2%
Area of application known: Food	707 22.3%	196 28.5%	213 22.5%	136 20.7%	101 15.5%	59 28.3%	221 24.9%	408 21.0%	76 23.2%
Area of application known: Others	197 6.2%	58 8.5%	64 6.8%	37 5.7%	25 3.9%	12 5.8%	54 6.1%	112 5.7%	30 9.1%
BACES									



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Medicine

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known:</b>																		
<b>Medicine</b>																		
quoted	1550 49.0%	1566 49.4%	517 59.6%	536 52.3%	253 46.0%	175 33.3%	80 42.5%	931 61.2%	635 38.5%	0 -	457 66.5%	538 56.8%	298 45.4%	168 26.0%	96 45.9%	482 54.5%	925 47.6%	153 46.9%
not quoted	1613 51.0%	1604 50.6%	351 40.4%	489 47.7%	297 54.0%	349 66.7%	108 57.5%	589 38.8%	1015 61.5%	0 -	230 33.5%	409 43.2%	360 54.6%	480 74.0%	113 54.1%	402 45.5%	1020 52.4%	173 53.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Textiles

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known:</b>																		
<b>Textiles</b>																		
quoted	1029 32.5%	1040 32.8%	364 42.0%	357 34.8%	155 28.3%	110 21.0%	46 24.6%	636 41.8%	404 24.5%	0 -	303 44.1%	349 36.8%	190 28.8%	127 19.6%	66 31.4%	294 33.2%	634 32.6%	107 32.9%
not quoted	2134 67.5%	2131 67.2%	503 58.0%	669 65.2%	394 71.7%	414 79.0%	142 75.4%	885 58.2%	1245 75.5%	0 -	384 55.9%	599 63.2%	468 71.2%	521 80.4%	143 68.6%	590 66.8%	1312 67.4%	219 67.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES																		



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Food

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known:</b>																		
<b>Food</b>																		
quoted	726 23.0%	707 22.3%	241 27.7%	214 20.8%	132 23.9%	77 14.8%	37 19.4%	419 27.5%	288 17.5%	0 -	196 28.5%	213 22.5%	136 20.7%	101 15.5%	59 28.3%	221 24.9%	408 21.0%	76 23.2%
not quoted	2437 77.0%	2463 77.7%	627 72.3%	812 79.2%	418 76.1%	447 85.2%	152 80.6%	1102 72.5%	1361 82.5%	0 -	491 71.5%	734 77.5%	522 79.3%	547 84.5%	150 71.7%	663 75.1%	1537 79.0%	251 76.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES																		



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Paint and varnish

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Paint and varnish</b>																		
quoted	1154 36.5%	1180 37.2%	397 45.7%	405 39.5%	188 34.2%	128 24.5%	55 28.9%	671 44.1%	509 30.9%	0 -	324 47.2%	387 40.8%	224 34.1%	161 24.8%	78 37.4%	341 38.6%	720 37.0%	114 34.9%
not quoted	2009 63.5%	1990 62.8%	471 54.3%	620 60.5%	361 65.8%	396 75.5%	134 71.1%	849 55.9%	1141 69.1%	0 -	363 52.8%	560 59.2%	434 65.9%	487 75.2%	131 62.6%	543 61.4%	1225 63.0%	212 65.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Cosmetics

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Cosmetics</b>																		
quoted	904 28.6%	918 28.9%	309 35.6%	278 27.1%	170 30.9%	113 21.6%	43 22.7%	539 35.5%	378 22.9%	0 -	244 35.5%	272 28.8%	169 25.7%	141 21.7%	86 41.3%	275 31.1%	545 28.0%	95 29.2%
not quoted	2259 71.4%	2253 71.1%	559 64.4%	748 72.9%	380 69.1%	411 78.4%	146 77.3%	982 64.5%	1271 77.1%	0 -	443 64.5%	675 71.2%	489 74.3%	507 78.3%	122 58.7%	609 68.9%	1401 72.0%	231 70.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES																		



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Electronics

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: Electronics</b>																		
quoted	963 30.4%	956 30.2%	315 36.4%	346 33.7%	159 29.0%	78 14.8%	56 29.7%	561 36.9%	395 24.0%	0 -	303 44.1%	341 36.0%	180 27.4%	77 11.9%	48 23.1%	310 35.1%	557 28.6%	87 26.7%
not quoted	2200 69.6%	2214 69.8%	552 63.6%	680 66.3%	390 71.0%	446 85.2%	133 70.3%	960 63.1%	1254 76.0%	0 -	384 55.9%	607 64.0%	478 72.6%	571 88.1%	161 76.9%	574 64.9%	1388 71.4%	239 73.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
BACES																		



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Others:

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known:</b>																		
<b>Others</b>																		
quoted	190 6.0%	197 6.2%	73 8.4%	56 5.5%	34 6.2%	23 4.4%	8 4.2%	125 8.2%	72 4.4%	0 -	58 8.5%	64 6.8%	37 5.7%	25 3.9%	12 5.8%	54 6.1%	112 5.7%	30 9.1%
not quoted	2973 94.0%	2973 93.8%	795 91.6%	969 94.5%	515 93.8%	501 95.6%	181 95.8%	1396 91.8%	1577 95.6%	0 -	629 91.5%	883 93.2%	621 94.3%	622 96.1%	197 94.2%	830 93.9%	1834 94.3%	297 90.9%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - I don't know any applications.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3163 100.0%	3170 100.0%	868 100.0%	1026 100.0%	549 100.0%	524 100.0%	189 100.0%	1521 100.0%	1650 100.0%	0 -	687 100.0%	947 100.0%	658 100.0%	648 100.0%	209 100.0%	884 100.0%	1945 100.0%	326 100.0%
<b>Area of application known: I do not know any applications</b>																		
quoted	717 22.7%	717 22.6%	123 14.2%	192 18.7%	128 23.3%	218 41.6%	53 28.1%	166 10.9%	550 33.4%	0 -	68 9.9%	132 13.9%	153 23.2%	309 47.8%	48 22.9%	168 19.0%	466 24.0%	79 24.2%
not quoted	2446 77.3%	2454 77.4%	744 85.8%	834 81.3%	421 76.7%	306 58.4%	136 71.9%	1355 89.1%	1099 66.6%	0 -	619 90.1%	815 86.1%	505 76.8%	338 52.2%	161 77.1%	716 81.0%	1479 76.0%	247 75.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>3163</b>	<b>3170</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>1026</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>524</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>1650</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>884</b>	<b>1945</b>	<b>326</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

### Heard or read about: Nanotechnology Groups

(1) high awareness (2) low awareness (3) no awareness

Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.


Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

n=5597

Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Groups: Nanotechnology Affinity

(1) enthusiastic	(2) positive	(3) rather positive	(4) neutral	(5) negative
------------------	--------------	---------------------	-------------	--------------


1.78	2.24	2.59	2.88	3.12
1.89	2.45	2.82	3.15	3.33
1.95	2.42	2.77	3.01	3.32
1.95	2.36	2.73	3.00	3.45
2.19	2.65	2.87	3.09	3.51
2.21	2.34	2.47	2.60	2.26
2.22	2.63	2.94	3.10	3.50
2.23	2.63	2.79	2.99	3.37
2.55	2.55	2.51	2.63	2.32
3.17	3.02	2.84	2.86	2.34
3.60	3.35	3.06	3.00	2.50
3.63	3.42	3.17	3.12	3.01



BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3137 56.0%	859 79.5%	1016 77.2%	546 72.0%	515 58.1%	188 61.3%	1512 99.4%	1626 68.4%	0 -	683 99.4%	945 99.8%	650 98.8%	633 97.7%	208 99.5%	874 75.3%	1926 71.8%	325 64.6%
<b>Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	453 14.5%	458 14.6%	280 32.6%	116 11.4%	27 5.0%	22 4.3%	10 5.4%	332 22.0%	125 7.7%	0 -	286 41.8%	112 11.9%	33 5.1%	19 2.9%	7 3.4%	196 22.4%	218 11.3%	42 12.9%
(2) rather agree	1183 37.8%	1206 38.4%	332 38.7%	478 47.0%	214 39.1%	114 22.1%	64 33.8%	648 42.8%	559 34.4%	0 -	278 40.7%	520 55.0%	248 38.1%	109 17.3%	44 21.0%	345 39.5%	755 39.2%	103 31.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1300 41.6%	1285 40.9%	221 25.7%	376 37.0%	264 48.2%	334 64.8%	89 47.1%	441 29.2%	844 51.9%	0 -	108 15.8%	293 31.0%	325 50.0%	450 71.1%	99 47.5%	281 32.1%	850 44.2%	149 45.7%
(4) rather disagree	143 4.6%	141 4.5%	18 2.1%	37 3.7%	35 6.5%	32 6.2%	14 7.3%	68 4.5%	73 4.5%	0 -	10 1.5%	17 1.8%	39 6.0%	41 6.5%	35 16.6%	40 4.5%	76 3.9%	23 7.1%
(5) completely disagree	48 1.5%	48 1.5%	7 0.9%	9 0.9%	6 1.1%	13 2.5%	12 6.3%	23 1.5%	25 1.5%	0 -	2 0.2%	3 0.3%	5 0.8%	14 2.3%	24 11.5%	13 1.5%	26 1.4%	9 2.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1636 52.3%	1664 53.0%	612 71.3%	594 58.4%	241 44.1%	136 26.4%	74 39.2%	980 64.8%	684 42.1%	0 -	563 82.5%	632 66.9%	281 43.2%	128 20.2%	51 24.4%	541 61.9%	973 50.5%	145 44.5%
Middle Box (3)	1300 41.6%	1285 40.9%	221 25.7%	376 37.0%	264 48.2%	334 64.8%	89 47.1%	441 29.2%	844 51.9%	0 -	108 15.8%	293 31.0%	325 50.0%	450 71.1%	99 47.5%	281 32.1%	850 44.2%	149 45.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	191 6.1%	189 6.0%	26 3.0%	46 4.6%	42 7.6%	45 8.8%	26 13.7%	91 6.0%	98 6.0%	0 -	12 1.7%	20 2.1%	44 6.8%	55 8.7%	58 28.1%	52 6.0%	102 5.3%	32 9.8%
Mean	2,41	2,40	2,00 BCDE	2,36 ACDE	2,60 ABDe	2,81 ABC	2,75 ABc	2,21 B	2,58 A	-	1,78 BCDE	2,24 ACDE	2,59 ABDE	2,88 ABCE	3,12 ABCD	2,23 BC	2,45 Ac	2,55 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2472 44.2%	2460 44.0%	222 20.5%	300 22.8%	213 28.0%	371 41.9%	119 38.7%	9 0.6%	750 31.6%	471 100.0%	4 0.6%	2 0.2%	8 1.2%	15 2.3%	1 0.5%	287 24.7%	756 28.2%	178 35.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3125 55.8%	3134 56.0%	858 79.4%	1016 77.2%	545 71.8%	515 58.2%	186 60.6%	1504 98.9%	1629 68.6%	0 -	679 98.9%	945 99.7%	652 99.2%	631 97.5%	207 99.1%	871 75.0%	1925 71.8%	325 64.6%
<b>Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	403 12.9%	396 12.6%	240 28.0%	102 10.0%	27 5.0%	18 3.6%	7 3.9%	278 18.5%	118 7.2%	0 -	245 36.0%	101 10.7%	29 4.5%	16 2.5%	1 0.7%	175 20.1%	186 9.7%	35 10.9%
(2) rather agree	997 31.9%	1001 32.0%	306 35.7%	407 40.0%	160 29.4%	74 14.3%	52 28.0%	586 39.0%	415 25.5%	0 -	250 36.8%	446 47.2%	190 29.1%	85 13.5%	26 12.7%	284 32.6%	611 31.7%	102 31.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1439 46.0%	1441 46.0%	267 31.1%	438 43.1%	287 52.6%	347 67.4%	95 51.1%	517 34.4%	924 56.7%	0 -	169 24.9%	360 38.1%	373 57.2%	441 69.8%	89 42.9%	342 39.2%	943 49.0%	152 46.8%
(4) rather disagree	208 6.7%	213 6.8%	32 3.7%	46 4.5%	59 10.9%	59 11.5%	16 8.4%	86 5.7%	127 7.8%	0 -	7 1.0%	32 3.4%	49 7.5%	66 10.4%	58 28.1%	48 5.5%	137 7.1%	24 7.5%
(5) completely disagree	78 2.5%	82 2.6%	13 1.5%	24 2.3%	12 2.2%	17 3.3%	16 8.6%	37 2.4%	45 2.8%	0 -	9 1.3%	6 0.6%	11 1.7%	24 3.8%	32 15.6%	22 2.6%	48 2.5%	12 3.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1400 44.8%	1397 44.6%	546 63.7%	508 50.0%	187 34.3%	92 17.8%	59 31.9%	865 57.5%	533 32.7%	0 -	494 72.8%	547 57.9%	219 33.6%	101 16.0%	28 13.4%	459 52.7%	797 41.4%	137 42.2%
Middle Box (3)	1439 46.0%	1441 46.0%	267 31.1%	438 43.1%	287 52.6%	347 67.4%	95 51.1%	517 34.4%	924 56.7%	0 -	169 24.9%	360 38.1%	373 57.2%	441 69.8%	89 42.9%	342 39.2%	943 49.0%	152 46.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	286 9.2%	295 9.4%	45 5.3%	70 6.9%	71 13.1%	76 14.8%	32 16.9%	122 8.1%	172 10.6%	0 -	16 2.3%	38 4.0%	60 9.2%	90 14.2%	90 43.7%	70 8.1%	185 9.6%	36 11.1%
Mean	2,54	2,55	2,15 BCDE	2,49 ACDE	2,76 ABD	2,97 ABC	2,90 AB	2,35 B	2,73 A	-	1,95 BCDE	2,36 ACDE	2,73 ABDE	3,00 ABCE	3,45 ABCD	2,38 BC	2,61 A	2,62 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2474 44.2%	2464 44.0%	223 20.6%	300 22.8%	214 28.2%	371 41.8%	121 39.4%	16 1.1%	746 31.4%	471 100.0%	7 1.1%	2 0.3%	6 0.8%	16 2.5%	2 0.9%	290 25.0%	757 28.2%	178 35.4%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3139 56.1%	859 79.5%	1019 77.4%	545 71.9%	516 58.2%	187 60.9%	1509 99.2%	1629 68.6%	0 -	682 99.2%	946 99.9%	652 99.1%	633 97.6%	208 99.5%	872 75.1%	1930 72.0%	325 64.5%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	166 5.3%	157 5.0%	71 8.3%	41 4.0%	13 2.4%	16 3.1%	15 8.2%	99 6.5%	58 3.6%	0 -	52 7.7%	42 4.5%	24 3.7%	19 3.1%	19 9.0%	69 7.9%	71 3.7%	16 5.1%
(2) rather agree	386 12.3%	378 12.1%	79 9.2%	127 12.4%	75 13.8%	62 12.0%	35 18.5%	186 12.3%	193 11.8%	0 -	61 8.9%	118 12.5%	96 14.8%	68 10.8%	34 16.3%	101 11.6%	243 12.6%	33 10.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1351 43.2%	1342 42.8%	291 33.9%	386 37.9%	266 48.8%	309 59.9%	86 45.8%	517 34.2%	825 50.7%	0 -	188 27.6%	321 33.9%	322 49.4%	408 64.4%	94 45.5%	333 38.2%	849 44.0%	155 47.7%
(4) rather disagree	788 25.2%	807 25.7%	214 25.0%	325 31.9%	145 26.7%	82 16.0%	36 19.1%	426 28.2%	381 23.4%	0 -	168 24.6%	332 35.1%	161 24.7%	90 14.3%	49 23.6%	219 25.1%	515 26.7%	70 21.6%
(5) completely disagree	436 13.9%	455 14.5%	203 23.6%	141 13.9%	46 8.4%	46 9.0%	16 8.4%	282 18.7%	173 10.6%	0 -	213 31.2%	132 13.9%	49 7.4%	47 7.5%	12 5.7%	150 17.2%	251 13.0%	50 15.4%
Top Box (1+2)	552 17.7%	535 17.0%	151 17.5%	167 16.4%	88 16.2%	78 15.2%	50 26.7%	285 18.9%	250 15.4%	0 -	113 16.6%	161 17.0%	120 18.4%	87 13.8%	52 25.2%	170 19.5%	314 16.3%	50 15.3%
Middle Box (3)	1351 43.2%	1342 42.8%	291 33.9%	386 37.9%	266 48.8%	309 59.9%	86 45.8%	517 34.2%	825 50.7%	0 -	188 27.6%	321 33.9%	322 49.4%	408 64.4%	94 45.5%	333 38.2%	849 44.0%	155 47.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1224 39.1%	1261 40.2%	417 48.6%	466 45.7%	191 35.0%	129 24.9%	51 27.5%	708 46.9%	554 34.0%	0 -	380 55.8%	464 49.1%	209 32.1%	138 21.8%	61 29.3%	369 42.3%	767 39.7%	120 37.1%
Mean	3,30	3,33	3,46 CDE	3,39 CDE	3,25 ABE	3,16 AB	3,01 ABC	3,40 B	3,26 A	-	3,63 BCDE	3,42 ACDE	3,17 ABe	3,12 AB	3,01 ABc	3,32	3,33	3,32
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2472 44.2%	2459 43.9%	222 20.5%	298 22.6%	214 28.1%	370 41.8%	120 39.1%	12 0.8%	746 31.4%	471 100.0%	5 0.8%	1 0.1%	6 0.9%	15 2.4%	1 0.5%	289 24.9%	752 28.0%	179 35.5%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3116 55.7%	3127 55.9%	857 79.3%	1013 76.9%	543 71.6%	512 57.8%	188 61.3%	1505 98.9%	1622 68.3%	0 -	681 99.2%	943 99.5%	648 98.5%	631 97.4%	206 98.8%	871 75.0%	1920 71.6%	323 64.2%
<b>Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	293 9.4%	296 9.5%	182 21.3%	61 6.0%	28 5.1%	19 3.7%	5 2.7%	215 14.3%	81 5.0%	0 -	190 27.8%	64 6.8%	27 4.2%	11 1.8%	4 2.1%	132 15.1%	131 6.8%	32 9.8%
(2) rather agree	776 24.9%	786 25.1%	242 28.2%	322 31.8%	116 21.4%	63 12.3%	39 21.0%	463 30.8%	323 19.9%	0 -	229 33.6%	322 34.2%	144 22.2%	61 9.7%	24 11.7%	245 28.2%	477 24.8%	62 19.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1593 51.1%	1586 50.7%	345 40.3%	489 48.2%	301 55.5%	341 66.5%	106 56.5%	617 41.0%	969 59.8%	0 -	217 31.8%	454 48.1%	376 58.1%	445 70.6%	83 40.3%	373 42.8%	1040 54.2%	167 51.5%
(4) rather disagree	349 11.2%	352 11.3%	64 7.5%	111 10.9%	84 15.4%	68 13.2%	22 11.7%	155 10.3%	198 12.2%	0 -	39 5.7%	89 9.4%	90 13.9%	84 13.3%	52 25.0%	83 9.6%	217 11.3%	50 15.6%
(5) completely disagree	105 3.4%	106 3.4%	23 2.7%	30 3.0%	14 2.6%	22 4.2%	15 8.1%	55 3.7%	51 3.1%	0 -	7 1.1%	15 1.6%	11 1.7%	30 4.7%	43 20.9%	38 4.4%	55 2.9%	12 3.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1069 34.3%	1082 34.6%	424 49.5%	383 37.8%	144 26.5%	82 16.0%	45 23.7%	678 45.1%	404 24.9%	0 -	419 61.5%	386 40.9%	171 26.4%	72 11.5%	28 13.8%	377 43.3%	608 31.7%	94 29.1%
Middle Box (3)	1593 51.1%	1586 50.7%	345 40.3%	489 48.2%	301 55.5%	341 66.5%	106 56.5%	617 41.0%	969 59.8%	0 -	217 31.8%	454 48.1%	376 58.1%	445 70.6%	83 40.3%	373 42.8%	1040 54.2%	167 51.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	454 14.6%	458 14.7%	88 10.2%	141 13.9%	98 18.0%	89 17.4%	37 19.8%	210 13.9%	248 15.3%	0 -	46 6.8%	103 11.0%	101 15.6%	113 18.0%	95 45.9%	121 13.9%	272 14.1%	63 19.4%
Mean	2,74	2,74	2,42 BCDE	2,73 ACDE	2,89 ABD	3,02 ABC	3,02 AB	2,58 B	2,89 A	-	2,19 BCDE	2,65 ACDE	2,87 ABDE	3,09 ABCE	3,51 ABCD	2,60 BC	2,78 A	2,84 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2483 44.3%	2471 44.1%	223 20.7%	304 23.1%	216 28.4%	374 42.2%	119 38.7%	16 1.1%	754 31.7%	471 100.0%	6 0.8%	4 0.5%	10 1.5%	17 2.6%	2 1.2%	290 25.0%	761 28.4%	180 35.8%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3129 55.9%	3138 56.1%	858 79.4%	1017 77.3%	546 72.0%	517 58.3%	187 60.7%	1509 99.2%	1630 68.6%	0 -	683 99.5%	945 99.7%	653 99.3%	631 97.4%	208 99.5%	872 75.1%	1928 71.9%	325 64.6%
<b>Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	319 10.2%	324 10.3%	206 24.0%	80 7.9%	12 2.3%	18 3.5%	5 2.6%	225 14.9%	99 6.1%	0 -	192 28.2%	80 8.4%	31 4.8%	13 2.0%	6 2.8%	155 17.7%	140 7.2%	30 9.2%
(2) rather agree	773 24.7%	773 24.6%	233 27.1%	313 30.7%	124 22.7%	60 11.7%	44 23.3%	436 28.9%	337 20.7%	0 -	222 32.5%	326 34.5%	131 20.0%	65 10.2%	24 11.7%	229 26.3%	482 25.0%	60 18.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1526 48.8%	1519 48.4%	321 37.4%	471 46.3%	296 54.2%	331 64.1%	89 47.9%	617 40.9%	901 55.3%	0 -	207 30.3%	424 44.9%	363 55.6%	434 68.9%	82 39.7%	365 41.8%	985 51.1%	161 49.5%
(4) rather disagree	369 11.8%	377 12.0%	77 9.0%	110 10.8%	89 16.3%	71 13.8%	30 16.1%	161 10.7%	216 13.3%	0 -	49 7.2%	90 9.6%	100 15.4%	84 13.4%	49 23.7%	86 9.8%	238 12.4%	51 15.8%
(5) completely disagree	142 4.5%	145 4.6%	22 2.5%	43 4.3%	25 4.6%	36 6.9%	19 10.2%	70 4.6%	75 4.6%	0 -	12 1.8%	25 2.6%	28 4.2%	35 5.5%	46 22.0%	38 4.4%	83 4.3%	23 7.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1092 34.9%	1098 35.0%	439 51.1%	393 38.7%	136 25.0%	78 15.2%	48 25.9%	661 43.8%	437 26.8%	0 -	414 60.7%	406 43.0%	162 24.8%	77 12.3%	30 14.5%	384 44.0%	622 32.2%	90 27.6%
Middle Box (3)	1526 48.8%	1519 48.4%	321 37.4%	471 46.3%	296 54.2%	331 64.1%	89 47.9%	617 40.9%	901 55.3%	0 -	207 30.3%	424 44.9%	363 55.6%	434 68.9%	82 39.7%	365 41.8%	985 51.1%	161 49.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	511 16.3%	522 16.6%	99 11.5%	153 15.0%	114 20.8%	107 20.7%	49 26.2%	230 15.3%	291 17.9%	0 -	61 9.0%	115 12.2%	128 19.6%	119 18.8%	95 45.8%	124 14.2%	321 16.6%	74 22.9%
Mean	2,76	2,76	2,39 BCDE	2,73 ACDE	2,98 ABd	3,09 ABc	3,08 AB	2,61 B	2,90 A	-	2,22 BCDE	2,63 ACDE	2,94 ABDE	3,10 ABCE	3,50 ABCD	2,57 BC	2,81 Ac	2,93 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2470 44.1%	2459 43.9%	222 20.6%	299 22.7%	213 28.0%	370 41.7%	121 39.3%	12 0.8%	746 31.4%	471 100.0%	4 0.5%	2 0.3%	5 0.7%	17 2.6%	1 0.5%	289 24.9%	753 28.1%	178 35.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3118 55.7%	3128 55.9%	855 79.1%	1014 77.0%	544 71.7%	515 58.1%	186 60.7%	1501 98.7%	1626 68.5%	0 -	676 98.4%	943 99.6%	651 98.9%	632 97.5%	207 99.1%	868 74.8%	1924 71.7%	323 64.2%
<b>Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	165 5.3%	168 5.4%	60 7.1%	51 5.0%	17 3.1%	21 4.1%	18 9.8%	111 7.4%	57 3.5%	0 -	46 6.9%	32 3.4%	19 2.9%	22 3.6%	46 22.3%	74 8.5%	80 4.2%	14 4.3%
(2) rather agree	441 14.1%	458 14.7%	101 11.8%	131 13.0%	108 19.8%	80 15.5%	37 20.0%	235 15.7%	223 13.7%	0 -	65 9.6%	128 13.5%	120 18.4%	89 14.0%	55 26.8%	113 13.0%	287 14.9%	57 17.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1363 43.7%	1375 43.9%	257 30.1%	411 40.5%	274 50.4%	332 64.5%	96 51.3%	503 33.5%	871 53.6%	0 -	183 27.1%	356 37.8%	340 52.3%	415 65.6%	69 33.6%	321 37.0%	891 46.3%	153 47.3%
(4) rather disagree	796 25.5%	783 25.0%	246 28.7%	318 31.3%	121 22.3%	64 12.3%	27 14.7%	424 28.2%	359 22.1%	0 -	197 29.1%	329 34.9%	146 22.4%	80 12.6%	28 13.4%	231 26.6%	484 25.2%	66 20.5%
(5) completely disagree	353 11.3%	344 11.0%	191 22.3%	103 10.2%	24 4.4%	18 3.5%	8 4.2%	228 15.2%	116 7.1%	0 -	185 27.3%	97 10.3%	26 4.0%	26 4.2%	8 3.8%	130 15.0%	182 9.4%	33 10.1%
Top Box (1+2)	606 19.4%	626 20.0%	161 18.9%	182 18.0%	125 22.9%	101 19.6%	56 29.8%	346 23.1%	280 17.2%	0 -	111 16.5%	160 17.0%	138 21.3%	111 17.6%	102 49.2%	187 21.5%	367 19.1%	72 22.1%
Middle Box (3)	1363 43.7%	1375 43.9%	257 30.1%	411 40.5%	274 50.4%	332 64.5%	96 51.3%	503 33.5%	871 53.6%	0 -	183 27.1%	356 37.8%	340 52.3%	415 65.6%	69 33.6%	321 37.0%	891 46.3%	153 47.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1149 36.9%	1127 36.0%	437 51.1%	421 41.5%	145 26.7%	82 15.8%	35 18.8%	652 43.4%	475 29.2%	0 -	381 56.4%	427 45.2%	172 26.4%	106 16.8%	36 17.3%	360 41.5%	666 34.6%	99 30.5%
Mean	3,23	3,22	3,47 BCDE	3,29 ACDE	3,05 ABE	2,96 AB	2,83 ABC	3,28 B	3,16 A	-	3,60 BCDE	3,35 ACDE	3,06 ABE	3,00 ABE	2,50 ABCD	3,26	3,21	3,14
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2481 44.3%	2470 44.1%	226 20.9%	303 23.0%	215 28.3%	371 41.9%	121 39.3%	19 1.3%	749 31.5%	471 100.0%	11 1.6%	4 0.4%	7 1.1%	16 2.5%	2 0.9%	293 25.2%	758 28.3%	180 35.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3120 55.7%	3130 55.9%	859 79.5%	1015 77.1%	542 71.4%	513 57.9%	188 61.3%	1505 99.0%	1624 68.4%	0 -	679 98.9%	941 99.4%	650 98.9%	633 97.7%	207 99.0%	871 75.1%	1925 71.8%	321 63.7%
<b>Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	304 9.7%	300 9.6%	181 21.0%	77 7.6%	16 2.9%	15 3.0%	11 6.0%	220 14.6%	80 4.9%	0 -	177 26.0%	73 7.8%	28 4.3%	15 2.3%	5 2.6%	133 15.2%	142 7.4%	25 7.8%
(2) rather agree	786 25.2%	813 26.0%	249 29.0%	315 31.1%	135 24.8%	67 13.0%	40 21.2%	466 31.0%	347 21.4%	0 -	223 32.8%	333 35.4%	153 23.6%	79 12.5%	23 11.2%	235 27.0%	500 25.9%	78 24.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1639 52.5%	1620 51.8%	365 42.5%	497 49.0%	318 58.7%	339 66.1%	99 52.8%	627 41.7%	993 61.1%	0 -	238 35.0%	420 44.6%	404 62.1%	453 71.5%	95 45.9%	398 45.6%	1047 54.4%	165 51.3%
(4) rather disagree	312 10.0%	319 10.2%	54 6.2%	102 10.1%	64 11.8%	73 14.3%	22 11.9%	156 10.3%	163 10.0%	0 -	33 4.8%	100 10.6%	57 8.7%	69 10.9%	57 27.4%	79 9.1%	197 10.2%	42 13.1%
(5) completely disagree	79 2.5%	77 2.5%	11 1.2%	24 2.3%	9 1.7%	18 3.6%	15 8.1%	36 2.4%	41 2.5%	0 -	9 1.3%	15 1.6%	9 1.4%	18 2.8%	27 12.9%	27 3.1%	40 2.1%	11 3.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1090 34.9%	1113 35.6%	430 50.0%	392 38.6%	151 27.8%	82 16.0%	51 27.2%	686 45.6%	427 26.3%	0 -	400 58.8%	406 43.2%	181 27.9%	94 14.8%	29 13.8%	368 42.2%	642 33.3%	103 32.2%
Middle Box (3)	1639 52.5%	1620 51.8%	365 42.5%	497 49.0%	318 58.7%	339 66.1%	99 52.8%	627 41.7%	993 61.1%	0 -	238 35.0%	420 44.6%	404 62.1%	453 71.5%	95 45.9%	398 45.6%	1047 54.4%	165 51.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	391 12.5%	396 12.7%	64 7.5%	126 12.4%	73 13.5%	92 17.9%	38 20.0%	192 12.7%	204 12.6%	0 -	42 6.1%	115 12.2%	66 10.1%	87 13.7%	83 40.3%	106 12.2%	236 12.3%	53 16.5%
Mean	2,70	2,70	2,38 BCDE	2,69 ACDE	2,85 ABD	3,02 ABC	2,95 AB	2,55 B	2,84 A	-	2,23 BCDE	2,63 ACDE	2,79 ABDE	2,99 ABCE	3,37 ABCD	2,58 BC	2,74 A	2,80 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2479 44.3%	2468 44.1%	221 20.5%	302 22.9%	217 28.6%	373 42.1%	119 38.7%	15 1.0%	751 31.6%	471 100.0%	7 1.1%	6 0.6%	8 1.1%	15 2.3%	2 1.0%	290 24.9%	756 28.2%	183 36.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3125 55.8%	3135 56.0%	858 79.4%	1016 77.2%	543 71.6%	517 58.3%	188 61.3%	1510 99.3%	1625 68.4%	0 -	679 98.9%	943 99.6%	654 99.4%	634 97.8%	206 98.5%	872 75.2%	1925 71.8%	325 64.6%
<b>Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	219 7.0%	228 7.3%	97 11.3%	60 5.9%	30 5.5%	26 4.9%	16 8.7%	150 10.0%	78 4.8%	0 -	63 9.3%	49 5.2%	32 4.8%	34 5.3%	50 24.5%	90 10.3%	117 6.1%	22 6.7%
(2) rather agree	580 18.6%	581 18.5%	120 14.0%	200 19.7%	129 23.8%	81 15.8%	47 24.8%	298 19.8%	283 17.4%	0 -	91 13.3%	168 17.8%	147 22.5%	112 17.7%	62 30.1%	165 18.9%	343 17.8%	69 21.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1620 51.8%	1621 51.7%	361 42.1%	520 51.2%	295 54.3%	345 66.8%	93 49.5%	668 44.2%	954 58.7%	0 -	270 39.7%	475 50.3%	376 57.4%	417 65.9%	71 34.5%	395 45.2%	1056 54.8%	164 50.5%
(4) rather disagree	570 18.2%	568 18.1%	194 22.6%	209 20.6%	83 15.2%	54 10.5%	25 13.5%	308 20.4%	259 16.0%	0 -	180 26.5%	223 23.7%	92 14.1%	50 7.9%	18 8.8%	169 19.4%	345 17.9%	52 15.8%
(5) completely disagree	136 4.4%	136 4.4%	86 10.0%	27 2.7%	7 1.2%	10 2.0%	7 3.5%	85 5.6%	51 3.2%	0 -	76 11.2%	28 3.0%	8 1.2%	20 3.2%	4 2.1%	54 6.2%	63 3.3%	19 5.8%
Top Box (1+2)	799 25.6%	809 25.8%	217 25.3%	260 25.6%	159 29.3%	107 20.7%	63 33.5%	449 29.7%	361 22.2%	0 -	154 22.6%	217 23.0%	179 27.3%	146 23.1%	112 54.6%	254 29.2%	461 23.9%	91 27.9%
Middle Box (3)	1620 51.8%	1621 51.7%	361 42.1%	520 51.2%	295 54.3%	345 66.8%	93 49.5%	668 44.2%	954 58.7%	0 -	270 39.7%	475 50.3%	376 57.4%	417 65.9%	71 34.5%	395 45.2%	1056 54.8%	164 50.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	706 22.6%	704 22.5%	280 32.6%	237 23.3%	89 16.4%	64 12.5%	32 17.0%	393 26.1%	311 19.1%	0 -	256 37.7%	252 26.7%	100 15.3%	70 11.1%	22 10.9%	223 25.6%	409 21.2%	70 21.7%
Mean	2,94	2,94	3,06 bCDE	2,95 aCe	2,83 AB	2,89 A	2,78 Ab	2,92	2,95	-	3,17 BCDE	3,02 ACDE	2,84 ABE	2,86 ABE	2,34 ABCD	2,92	2,95	2,93
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2474 44.2%	2462 44.0%	223 20.6%	300 22.8%	216 28.4%	370 41.7%	119 38.7%	11 0.7%	751 31.6%	471 100.0%	8 1.1%	4 0.4%	4 0.6%	14 2.2%	3 1.5%	288 24.8%	756 28.2%	178 35.4%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3123 55.8%	3134 56.0%	861 79.6%	1014 77.0%	545 71.7%	515 58.1%	187 60.9%	1510 99.3%	1625 68.4%	0 -	683 99.5%	943 99.6%	651 98.9%	632 97.5%	206 98.6%	873 75.2%	1924 71.7%	324 64.4%
<b>Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	447 14.3%	439 14.0%	271 31.5%	111 10.9%	26 4.8%	21 4.1%	7 3.6%	356 23.6%	83 5.1%	0 -	272 39.8%	108 11.5%	32 4.9%	14 2.3%	9 4.6%	192 21.9%	200 10.4%	47 14.4%
(2) rather agree	948 30.4%	965 30.8%	305 35.4%	394 38.8%	158 29.0%	58 11.2%	48 25.8%	578 38.3%	387 23.8%	0 -	244 35.8%	425 45.0%	188 28.8%	78 12.3%	28 13.7%	302 34.5%	578 30.1%	80 24.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1238 39.6%	1246 39.8%	209 24.3%	384 37.8%	265 48.6%	303 58.9%	82 43.8%	420 27.8%	826 50.9%	0 -	143 21.0%	308 32.6%	313 48.1%	382 60.4%	87 42.5%	271 31.0%	839 43.6%	130 40.2%
(4) rather disagree	365 11.7%	362 11.5%	58 6.8%	102 10.0%	77 14.1%	90 17.5%	33 17.6%	114 7.5%	248 15.3%	0 -	20 3.0%	80 8.5%	100 15.3%	115 18.1%	47 22.7%	79 9.1%	239 12.4%	42 13.1%
(5) completely disagree	125 4.0%	122 3.9%	17 2.0%	25 2.4%	19 3.5%	43 8.4%	17 9.3%	42 2.8%	80 4.9%	0 -	3 0.5%	23 2.4%	19 2.8%	43 6.9%	34 16.5%	30 3.5%	67 3.5%	24 7.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1395 44.7%	1404 44.8%	576 66.9%	505 49.7%	184 33.7%	79 15.3%	55 29.4%	934 61.9%	470 28.9%	0 -	516 75.6%	533 56.5%	220 33.7%	92 14.6%	38 18.3%	493 56.5%	778 40.5%	127 39.2%
Middle Box (3)	1238 39.6%	1246 39.8%	209 24.3%	384 37.8%	265 48.6%	303 58.9%	82 43.8%	420 27.8%	826 50.9%	0 -	143 21.0%	308 32.6%	313 48.1%	382 60.4%	87 42.5%	271 31.0%	839 43.6%	130 40.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	490 15.7%	484 15.4%	75 8.8%	126 12.4%	96 17.6%	133 25.8%	50 26.8%	155 10.3%	328 20.2%	0 -	24 3.5%	102 10.9%	118 18.2%	158 25.0%	81 39.2%	109 12.5%	306 15.9%	67 20.6%
Mean	2,61	2,61	2,12 BCDE	2,54 ACDE	2,83 ABDE	3,15 ABC	3,03 ABC	2,28 B	2,91 A	-	1,89 BCDE	2,45 ACDE	2,82 ABDE	3,15 ABCe	3,33 ABCd	2,38 BC	2,69 A	2,74 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2476 44.2%	2463 44.0%	220 20.4%	302 23.0%	214 28.3%	372 41.9%	120 39.1%	11 0.7%	751 31.6%	471 100.0%	4 0.5%	4 0.4%	7 1.1%	16 2.5%	3 1.4%	288 24.8%	758 28.3%	179 35.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3120 55.7%	3128 55.9%	859 79.5%	1013 76.9%	543 71.5%	516 58.2%	186 60.5%	1502 98.8%	1627 68.5%	0 -	681 99.1%	943 99.5%	649 98.6%	631 97.4%	206 98.9%	866 74.6%	1925 71.8%	325 64.6%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	423 13.6%	452 14.4%	152 17.7%	137 13.5%	66 12.2%	63 12.3%	31 16.8%	260 17.3%	192 11.8%	0 -	133 19.6%	110 11.7%	78 12.0%	64 10.1%	63 30.6%	174 20.1%	235 12.2%	43 13.2%
(2) rather agree	894 28.7%	891 28.5%	218 25.4%	329 32.5%	162 29.8%	121 23.5%	58 31.2%	466 31.0%	426 26.2%	0 -	183 26.8%	307 32.6%	203 31.4%	152 24.0%	41 19.7%	264 30.5%	537 27.9%	86 26.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1510 48.4%	1500 47.9%	376 43.9%	455 45.0%	274 50.5%	302 58.6%	86 46.4%	603 40.1%	897 55.1%	0 -	257 37.7%	440 46.7%	329 50.8%	383 60.7%	80 38.9%	356 41.2%	976 50.7%	160 49.3%
(4) rather disagree	222 7.1%	214 6.9%	80 9.4%	74 7.3%	33 6.0%	19 3.6%	7 3.7%	126 8.4%	88 5.4%	0 -	72 10.5%	70 7.4%	37 5.8%	18 2.8%	18 8.5%	46 5.3%	140 7.3%	26 8.0%
(5) completely disagree	71 2.3%	71 2.3%	32 3.7%	18 1.8%	8 1.4%	11 2.0%	3 1.9%	47 3.1%	24 1.5%	0 -	36 5.4%	15 1.6%	1 0.1%	14 2.3%	5 2.3%	26 3.0%	36 1.9%	10 3.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1317 42.2%	1343 42.9%	370 43.1%	466 46.0%	228 42.0%	185 35.8%	89 48.0%	725 48.3%	618 38.0%	0 -	316 46.4%	417 44.3%	281 43.4%	216 34.2%	104 50.3%	438 50.6%	772 40.1%	129 39.7%
Middle Box (3)	1510 48.4%	1500 47.9%	376 43.9%	455 45.0%	274 50.5%	302 58.6%	86 46.4%	603 40.1%	897 55.1%	0 -	257 37.7%	440 46.7%	329 50.8%	383 60.7%	80 38.9%	356 41.2%	976 50.7%	160 49.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	293 9.4%	286 9.1%	112 13.0%	91 9.0%	41 7.5%	29 5.7%	10 5.6%	174 11.6%	112 6.9%	0 -	108 15.9%	85 9.0%	38 5.9%	32 5.1%	22 10.8%	71 8.2%	176 9.1%	36 11.1%
Mean	2,56	2,54	2,56	2,51	2,55	2,60 e	2,43 d	2,49 B	2,59 A	-	2,55 E	2,55 dE	2,51 DE	2,63 bCE	2,32 ABCD	2,41 BC	2,59 A	2,61 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2479 44.3%	2469 44.1%	222 20.5%	304 23.1%	216 28.5%	370 41.8%	121 39.5%	19 1.2%	749 31.5%	471 100.0%	6 0.9%	5 0.5%	9 1.4%	17 2.6%	2 1.1%	295 25.4%	757 28.2%	178 35.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3122 55.8%	3134 56.0%	857 79.3%	1014 77.0%	545 71.8%	517 58.3%	188 61.3%	1509 99.2%	1625 68.4%	0 -	683 99.4%	940 99.2%	654 99.4%	631 97.3%	208 99.5%	873 75.2%	1925 71.8%	324 64.4%
<b>Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	392 12.6%	393 12.6%	224 26.2%	99 9.7%	41 7.5%	19 3.7%	10 5.5%	281 18.6%	113 6.9%	0 -	245 35.9%	95 10.1%	31 4.7%	13 2.1%	7 3.3%	170 19.5%	183 9.5%	38 11.8%
(2) rather agree	969 31.0%	987 31.5%	293 34.1%	389 38.4%	168 30.8%	74 14.4%	56 29.6%	560 37.1%	426 26.2%	0 -	254 37.2%	438 46.6%	177 27.0%	87 13.9%	28 13.6%	289 33.1%	604 31.4%	92 28.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1419 45.5%	1417 45.2%	283 33.0%	433 42.7%	267 49.0%	341 66.1%	89 47.3%	524 34.7%	893 54.9%	0 -	162 23.8%	332 35.4%	376 57.4%	438 69.4%	95 45.7%	334 38.3%	922 47.9%	153 47.3%
(4) rather disagree	254 8.1%	249 7.9%	43 5.1%	68 6.7%	56 10.4%	62 12.0%	17 9.1%	104 6.9%	145 8.9%	0 -	17 2.5%	63 6.7%	55 8.4%	68 10.8%	46 22.3%	57 6.6%	160 8.3%	31 9.6%
(5) completely disagree	88 2.8%	88 2.8%	14 1.6%	25 2.5%	13 2.3%	20 3.9%	16 8.5%	40 2.6%	48 3.0%	0 -	4 0.6%	12 1.3%	16 2.5%	25 3.9%	31 15.1%	21 2.5%	56 2.9%	9 2.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1361 43.6%	1380 44.0%	517 60.3%	488 48.1%	209 38.4%	93 18.0%	66 35.1%	841 55.8%	539 33.2%	0 -	499 73.1%	533 56.7%	207 31.7%	100 15.9%	35 16.9%	460 52.7%	787 40.9%	131 40.3%
Middle Box (3)	1419 45.5%	1417 45.2%	283 33.0%	433 42.7%	267 49.0%	341 66.1%	89 47.3%	524 34.7%	893 54.9%	0 -	162 23.8%	332 35.4%	376 57.4%	438 69.4%	95 45.7%	334 38.3%	922 47.9%	153 47.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	342 11.0%	337 10.8%	57 6.7%	94 9.2%	69 12.7%	82 15.9%	33 17.6%	144 9.5%	193 11.9%	0 -	21 3.1%	74 7.9%	71 10.9%	93 14.7%	78 37.4%	79 9.0%	216 11.2%	40 12.4%
Mean	2,58	2,57	2,22 BCDE	2,54 ACDE	2,69 ABDe	2,98 ABC	2,86 ABc	2,38 B	2,75 A	-	1,95 BCDE	2,42 ACDE	2,77 ABDE	3,01 ABCE	3,32 ABCD	2,39 BC	2,64 A	2,63 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2477 44.2%	2463 44.0%	223 20.7%	302 23.0%	214 28.2%	370 41.7%	119 38.7%	12 0.8%	750 31.6%	471 100.0%	4 0.6%	7 0.8%	4 0.6%	17 2.7%	1 0.5%	288 24.8%	756 28.2%	179 35.6%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3127 55.8%	3136 56.0%	861 79.7%	1018 77.3%	544 71.7%	514 58.0%	187 60.9%	1511 99.4%	1625 68.4%	0 -	683 99.4%	944 99.6%	654 99.4%	631 97.4%	205 98.4%	874 75.3%	1925 71.8%	325 64.6%
<b>Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	632 20.2%	669 21.3%	248 28.8%	214 21.0%	87 16.1%	82 15.9%	35 18.5%	413 27.3%	256 15.8%	0 -	217 31.7%	191 20.2%	101 15.4%	92 14.6%	63 30.8%	277 31.7%	335 17.4%	55 16.9%
(2) rather agree	924 29.5%	931 29.7%	241 28.0%	342 33.6%	185 34.0%	102 19.8%	62 33.3%	472 31.2%	459 28.3%	0 -	189 27.7%	348 36.8%	204 31.1%	132 21.0%	55 26.8%	246 28.2%	599 31.1%	82 25.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1277 40.8%	1261 40.2%	283 32.9%	382 37.6%	226 41.5%	289 56.2%	73 39.1%	488 32.3%	773 47.6%	0 -	215 31.5%	318 33.7%	294 45.0%	360 57.0%	66 32.0%	283 32.4%	828 43.0%	145 44.6%
(4) rather disagree	224 7.2%	212 6.8%	66 7.6%	63 6.2%	38 7.0%	31 5.9%	14 7.2%	106 7.0%	106 6.5%	0 -	44 6.5%	74 7.9%	52 7.9%	27 4.3%	13 6.5%	52 6.0%	127 6.6%	32 9.8%
(5) completely disagree	70 2.2%	63 2.0%	23 2.6%	16 1.6%	8 1.4%	11 2.1%	4 1.9%	32 2.1%	31 1.9%	0 -	18 2.6%	14 1.4%	4 0.6%	20 3.1%	8 4.0%	16 1.8%	36 1.9%	11 3.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1556 49.8%	1600 51.0%	489 56.8%	556 54.6%	272 50.0%	183 35.7%	97 51.7%	885 58.6%	715 44.0%	0 -	406 59.4%	538 57.0%	304 46.5%	225 35.6%	118 57.6%	523 59.9%	935 48.5%	137 42.3%
Middle Box (3)	1277 40.8%	1261 40.2%	283 32.9%	382 37.6%	226 41.5%	289 56.2%	73 39.1%	488 32.3%	773 47.6%	0 -	215 31.5%	318 33.7%	294 45.0%	360 57.0%	66 32.0%	283 32.4%	828 43.0%	145 44.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	294 9.4%	275 8.8%	88 10.3%	80 7.8%	46 8.5%	42 8.1%	17 9.2%	138 9.2%	137 8.4%	0 -	62 9.1%	88 9.3%	56 8.5%	47 7.4%	21 10.4%	68 7.8%	163 8.5%	43 13.2%
Mean	2,42	2,38	2,27 CD	2,34 cD	2,44 AbD	2,59 ABCe	2,41 d	2,25 B	2,51 A	-	2,21 BCD	2,34 ACD	2,47 ABDE	2,60 ABCE	2,26 CD	2,18 BC	2,44 Ac	2,57 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2472 44.2%	2461 44.0%	220 20.3%	299 22.7%	215 28.3%	373 42.0%	120 39.1%	9 0.6%	751 31.6%	471 100.0%	4 0.6%	3 0.4%	4 0.6%	17 2.6%	3 1.6%	287 24.7%	757 28.2%	178 35.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


How many old historical buildings are there in your city or community?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4266 76.2%	4250 75.9%	1051 97.2%	1283 97.5%	746 98.3%	854 96.3%	300 97.6%	1472 96.8%	2316 97.5%	462 98.1%	662 96.4%	929 98.0%	639 97.2%	631 97.4%	204 97.5%	1136 97.8%	2613 97.4%	482 95.8%
<b>Historical buildings</b>																		
a great many	976 22.9%	984 23.2%	321 30.6%	299 23.3%	154 20.7%	158 18.4%	49 16.4%	408 27.7%	486 21.0%	90 19.4%	216 32.6%	222 23.9%	121 18.9%	127 20.1%	43 21.2%	347 30.6%	558 21.3%	79 16.3%
rather many	1312 30.8%	1298 30.5%	287 27.3%	409 31.9%	227 30.4%	273 31.9%	96 32.0%	433 29.4%	746 32.2%	119 25.7%	169 25.5%	313 33.7%	213 33.3%	207 32.8%	66 32.6%	307 27.0%	830 31.8%	151 31.3%
rather few	1777 41.7%	1765 41.5%	402 38.3%	530 41.3%	332 44.6%	366 42.8%	126 42.1%	585 39.8%	976 42.1%	204 44.1%	257 38.8%	361 38.9%	280 43.8%	261 41.4%	84 41.5%	437 38.5%	1111 42.5%	209 43.3%
no buildings at all	201 4.7%	204 4.8%	40 3.8%	45 3.5%	32 4.3%	58 6.8%	29 9.5%	45 3.1%	109 4.7%	50 10.8%	21 3.2%	32 3.5%	25 3.9%	36 5.7%	10 4.7%	45 4.0%	114 4.4%	44 9.1%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missing	1333 23.8%	1347 24.1%	30 2.8%	33 2.5%	13 1.7%	32 3.7%	7 2.4%	49 3.2%	59 2.5%	9 1.9%	25 3.6%	19 2.0%	19 2.8%	17 2.6%	5 2.5%	25 2.2%	69 2.6%	21 4.2%


BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4326 77.3%	4329 77.3%	1073 99.3%	1305 99.2%	755 99.4%	877 98.9%	304 98.9%	1507 99.1%	2355 99.1%	467 99.1%	681 99.1%	942 99.5%	654 99.4%	642 99.1%	207 99.0%	1152 99.2%	2661 99.2%	500 99.4%
<b>Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	829 19.2%	854 19.7%	321 29.9%	235 18.0%	117 15.4%	129 14.7%	50 16.5%	350 23.2%	397 16.8%	108 23.1%	194 28.5%	178 18.8%	112 17.1%	98 15.2%	42 20.3%	325 28.2%	463 17.4%	65 13.0%
(2) rather agree	1442 33.3%	1467 33.9%	329 30.6%	496 38.0%	292 38.6%	248 28.3%	96 31.5%	529 35.1%	802 34.1%	136 29.2%	210 30.8%	369 39.1%	232 35.5%	187 29.1%	62 29.9%	344 29.9%	948 35.6%	171 34.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1498 34.6%	1466 33.9%	275 25.6%	409 31.3%	260 34.5%	395 45.0%	123 40.3%	433 28.8%	879 37.3%	153 32.8%	178 26.2%	269 28.5%	239 36.5%	299 46.5%	76 36.6%	301 26.2%	973 36.6%	183 36.6%
(4) rather disagree	404 9.3%	390 9.0%	91 8.4%	133 10.2%	71 9.4%	73 8.3%	22 7.1%	143 9.5%	207 8.8%	40 8.6%	59 8.7%	98 10.4%	60 9.1%	47 7.3%	24 11.7%	114 9.9%	218 8.2%	58 11.6%
(5) completely disagree	153 3.5%	151 3.5%	57 5.3%	32 2.5%	15 2.0%	32 3.7%	14 4.6%	52 3.5%	70 3.0%	29 6.3%	40 5.8%	29 3.1%	12 1.8%	12 1.9%	3 1.6%	68 5.9%	59 2.2%	23 4.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2271 52.5%	2322 53.6%	650 60.6%	731 56.0%	408 54.1%	377 43.0%	146 48.0%	879 58.3%	1199 50.9%	244 52.3%	404 59.3%	546 58.0%	344 52.6%	285 44.3%	104 50.1%	668 58.0%	1411 53.0%	236 47.1%
Middle Box (3)	1498 34.6%	1466 33.9%	275 25.6%	409 31.3%	260 34.5%	395 45.0%	123 40.3%	433 28.8%	879 37.3%	153 32.8%	178 26.2%	269 28.5%	239 36.5%	299 46.5%	76 36.6%	301 26.2%	973 36.6%	183 36.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	557 12.9%	541 12.5%	148 13.8%	166 12.7%	86 11.4%	105 12.0%	36 11.7%	195 12.9%	277 11.8%	69 14.8%	99 14.5%	127 13.5%	71 10.9%	59 9.2%	27 13.2%	182 15.8%	277 10.4%	81 16.2%
Mean	2,45	2,43	2,29 BCDE	2,41 AD	2,44 AD	2,58 ABC	2,52 A	2,35 B	2,47 A	2,46	2,33 D	2,40 d	2,43	2,52 Ab	2,44	2,35 C	2,42 C	2,61 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1273 22.7%	1269 22.7%	7 0.7%	11 0.8%	4 0.6%	10 1.1%	3 1.1%	14 0.9%	20 0.9%	4 0.9%	6 0.9%	5 0.5%	4 0.6%	6 0.9%	2 1.0%	9 0.8%	20 0.8%	3 0.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? In our country enough is done for monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4323 77.2%	4325 77.3%	1072 99.2%	1305 99.1%	754 99.3%	876 98.9%	303 98.5%	1510 99.3%	2351 99.0%	464 98.6%	681 99.1%	940 99.2%	650 98.9%	646 99.7%	207 99.4%	1157 99.7%	2656 99.1%	498 99.0%
<b>In our country enough is done for monument protection.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	406 9.4%	398 9.2%	146 13.7%	114 8.7%	52 6.9%	63 7.2%	22 7.4%	173 11.5%	184 7.8%	41 8.8%	98 14.5%	72 7.7%	53 8.2%	47 7.3%	25 12.2%	143 12.3%	184 6.9%	70 14.0%
(2) rather agree	1068 24.7%	1061 24.5%	256 23.8%	359 27.5%	193 25.6%	178 20.3%	71 23.5%	375 24.9%	567 24.1%	118 25.5%	153 22.5%	260 27.7%	165 25.3%	141 21.9%	37 18.0%	233 20.2%	676 25.5%	150 30.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1841 42.6%	1823 42.2%	390 36.4%	504 38.6%	344 45.6%	451 51.4%	127 41.9%	560 37.1%	1085 46.2%	178 38.4%	245 36.0%	350 37.2%	303 46.6%	340 52.6%	89 42.8%	403 34.8%	1217 45.8%	196 39.3%
(4) rather disagree	751 17.4%	778 18.0%	184 17.2%	265 20.3%	135 18.0%	128 14.6%	62 20.5%	288 19.1%	411 17.5%	78 16.9%	131 19.2%	195 20.7%	103 15.9%	91 14.1%	38 18.3%	246 21.3%	471 17.7%	57 11.5%
(5) completely disagree	257 5.9%	265 6.1%	96 8.9%	62 4.8%	30 3.9%	57 6.5%	21 6.8%	114 7.5%	103 4.4%	48 10.4%	53 7.8%	63 6.7%	26 4.0%	26 4.0%	18 8.7%	132 11.4%	107 4.0%	25 5.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1474 34.1%	1459 33.7%	402 37.5%	473 36.3%	245 32.5%	240 27.4%	93 30.9%	549 36.3%	751 31.9%	159 34.3%	252 36.9%	332 35.4%	218 33.5%	189 29.2%	63 30.2%	376 32.5%	861 32.4%	219 44.1%
Middle Box (3)	1841 42.6%	1823 42.2%	390 36.4%	504 38.6%	344 45.6%	451 51.4%	127 41.9%	560 37.1%	1085 46.2%	178 38.4%	245 36.0%	350 37.2%	303 46.6%	340 52.6%	89 42.8%	403 34.8%	1217 45.8%	196 39.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1008 23.3%	1043 24.1%	280 26.1%	328 25.1%	165 21.9%	185 21.1%	82 27.2%	402 26.6%	514 21.9%	127 27.3%	184 27.0%	257 27.4%	129 19.9%	117 18.1%	56 26.9%	378 32.7%	579 21.8%	83 16.6%
Mean	2,86	2,87	2,84	2,85	2,87	2,93	2,96	2,86	2,86	2,95	2,83	2,91	2,82	2,86	2,93	2,99 BC	2,86 AC	2,64 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1276 22.8%	1272 22.7%	9 0.8%	12 0.9%	5 0.7%	10 1.1%	5 1.5%	11 0.7%	25 1.0%	7 1.4%	6 0.9%	8 0.8%	8 1.1%	2 0.3%	1 0.6%	4 0.3%	25 0.9%	5 1.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4326 77.3%	4330 77.4%	1071 99.1%	1311 99.6%	756 99.6%	875 98.7%	302 98.5%	1509 99.2%	2357 99.2%	464 98.5%	681 99.2%	942 99.4%	654 99.4%	645 99.6%	206 98.6%	1157 99.7%	2661 99.2%	498 99.0%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1722 39.8%	1789 41.3%	587 54.8%	569 43.4%	254 33.5%	279 31.9%	93 30.9%	728 48.2%	867 36.8%	195 41.9%	395 58.0%	431 45.7%	226 34.6%	195 30.3%	72 34.8%	766 66.2%	931 35.0%	88 17.7%
(2) rather agree	1491 34.5%	1492 34.5%	305 28.5%	500 38.1%	326 43.1%	261 29.9%	96 31.7%	493 32.7%	866 36.7%	133 28.6%	192 28.1%	356 37.8%	255 39.1%	196 30.4%	69 33.6%	276 23.8%	1048 39.4%	163 32.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	922 21.3%	875 20.2%	144 13.5%	213 16.3%	149 19.8%	281 32.1%	83 27.5%	239 15.9%	536 22.7%	100 21.5%	80 11.8%	135 14.3%	152 23.3%	223 34.5%	48 23.5%	93 8.1%	595 22.3%	183 36.8%
(4) rather disagree	125 2.9%	111 2.6%	18 1.7%	22 1.7%	24 3.2%	29 3.3%	19 6.2%	35 2.3%	63 2.7%	13 2.8%	7 1.1%	12 1.3%	19 2.8%	25 3.9%	10 4.7%	9 0.8%	60 2.3%	41 8.2%
(5) completely disagree	66 1.5%	62 1.4%	16 1.5%	7 0.5%	3 0.4%	25 2.8%	11 3.7%	13 0.9%	25 1.0%	24 5.2%	7 1.1%	8 0.9%	2 0.3%	7 1.0%	7 3.4%	12 1.1%	27 1.0%	23 4.6%
Top Box (1+2)	3213 74.3%	3281 75.8%	892 83.3%	1069 81.5%	580 76.7%	541 61.8%	189 62.6%	1221 80.9%	1733 73.5%	327 70.5%	586 86.1%	787 83.5%	481 73.6%	391 60.6%	141 68.4%	1042 90.1%	1979 74.4%	251 50.5%
Middle Box (3)	922 21.3%	875 20.2%	144 13.5%	213 16.3%	149 19.8%	281 32.1%	83 27.5%	239 15.9%	536 22.7%	100 21.5%	80 11.8%	135 14.3%	152 23.3%	223 34.5%	48 23.5%	93 8.1%	595 22.3%	183 36.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	191 4.4%	174 4.0%	34 3.2%	29 2.2%	27 3.6%	54 6.1%	30 9.8%	48 3.2%	88 3.7%	37 8.0%	15 2.1%	20 2.2%	20 3.1%	32 4.9%	17 8.1%	22 1.9%	87 3.3%	64 12.7%
Mean	1,92	1,88	1,67 BCDE	1,78 ACDE	1,94 ABDE	2,15 ABC	2,20 ABC	1,75 BC	1,94 A	2,01 A	1,59 BCDE	1,74 ACDE	1,95 ABD	2,15 ABC	2,08 AB	1,47 BC	1,95 AC	2,49 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1273 22.7%	1267 22.6%	10 0.9%	6 0.4%	3 0.4%	11 1.3%	5 1.5%	12 0.8%	19 0.8%	7 1.5%	5 0.8%	5 0.6%	4 0.6%	2 0.4%	3 1.4%	4 0.3%	21 0.8%	5 1.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5554 99.2%	5556 99.3%	1071 99.2%	1308 99.4%	755 99.4%	877 99.0%	303 98.6%	1508 99.2%	2355 99.2%	465 98.7%	681 99.2%	944 99.6%	654 99.3%	642 99.1%	206 98.8%	1154 99.4%	2661 99.2%	500 99.4%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	2573 46.3%	2626 47.3%	666 62.2%	640 48.9%	303 40.2%	330 37.6%	102 33.8%	789 52.3%	1051 44.6%	212 45.7%	445 65.3%	496 52.5%	246 37.7%	230 35.9%	78 37.9%	816 70.7%	1101 41.4%	128 25.6%
(2) rather agree	1867 33.6%	1873 33.7%	271 25.3%	495 37.8%	307 40.7%	280 31.9%	96 31.7%	499 33.1%	808 34.3%	144 30.9%	158 23.2%	346 36.6%	265 40.5%	205 31.9%	68 32.9%	246 21.3%	1025 38.5%	175 35.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	966 17.4%	918 16.5%	112 10.5%	154 11.8%	126 16.7%	230 26.3%	82 27.1%	184 12.2%	442 18.7%	83 17.7%	68 10.0%	91 9.6%	128 19.6%	188 29.3%	42 20.3%	80 6.9%	477 17.9%	150 29.9%
(4) rather disagree	94 1.7%	85 1.5%	12 1.2%	16 1.2%	16 2.2%	19 2.1%	11 3.5%	26 1.7%	40 1.7%	8 1.7%	7 1.1%	8 0.8%	11 1.7%	12 1.9%	13 6.3%	5 0.4%	38 1.4%	30 6.0%
(5) completely disagree	54 1.0%	53 0.9%	10 0.9%	3 0.2%	2 0.2%	18 2.1%	12 3.8%	11 0.7%	15 0.6%	18 4.0%	3 0.4%	4 0.4%	4 0.6%	6 0.9%	5 2.6%	7 0.6%	20 0.8%	17 3.5%
Top Box (1+2)	4440 79.9%	4500 81.0%	937 87.4%	1135 86.8%	610 80.9%	610 69.5%	198 65.5%	1288 85.4%	1859 78.9%	356 76.6%	603 88.5%	841 89.1%	511 78.2%	435 67.8%	146 70.8%	1062 92.0%	2125 79.9%	303 60.7%
Middle Box (3)	966 17.4%	918 16.5%	112 10.5%	154 11.8%	126 16.7%	230 26.3%	82 27.1%	184 12.2%	442 18.7%	83 17.7%	68 10.0%	91 9.6%	128 19.6%	188 29.3%	42 20.3%	80 6.9%	477 17.9%	150 29.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	148 2.7%	138 2.5%	22 2.1%	19 1.4%	18 2.4%	37 4.2%	22 7.3%	37 2.4%	55 2.3%	26 5.7%	10 1.5%	12 1.2%	15 2.2%	18 2.9%	18 8.9%	12 1.0%	58 2.2%	47 9.4%
Mean	1,77	1,75	1,53 BCDE	1,66 ACDE	1,82 ABDE	1,99 ABCe	2,12 ABCd	1,66 BC	1,79 A	1,87 A	1,48 BCDE	1,60 ACDE	1,87 ABDe	2,00 ABC	2,03 ABc	1,39 BC	1,82 AC	2,27 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	45 0.8%	41 0.7%	9 0.8%	8 0.6%	4 0.6%	9 1.0%	4 1.4%	12 0.8%	20 0.8%	6 1.3%	6 0.8%	3 0.4%	4 0.7%	6 0.9%	2 1.2%	7 0.6%	20 0.8%	3 0.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection is an important social duty.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4323 77.2%	4325 77.3%	1068 98.9%	1311 99.6%	754 99.4%	874 98.6%	303 98.6%	1508 99.1%	2353 99.1%	465 98.6%	678 98.7%	941 99.4%	654 99.4%	645 99.5%	205 98.3%	1154 99.4%	2659 99.1%	499 99.1%
<b>Monument protection is an important social duty.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1516 35.1%	1590 36.8%	519 48.6%	511 39.0%	222 29.4%	246 28.2%	84 27.8%	657 43.6%	759 32.3%	174 37.4%	367 54.1%	381 40.5%	191 29.2%	175 27.1%	72 35.0%	718 62.2%	808 30.4%	61 12.3%
(2) rather agree	1512 35.0%	1505 34.8%	316 29.6%	502 38.3%	318 42.2%	264 30.2%	102 33.7%	515 34.1%	863 36.7%	127 27.3%	179 26.4%	372 39.5%	266 40.7%	212 32.9%	66 31.9%	297 25.7%	1070 40.2%	134 26.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1047 24.2%	996 23.0%	176 16.5%	248 18.9%	184 24.4%	291 33.3%	92 30.5%	271 18.0%	614 26.1%	111 23.9%	105 15.5%	151 16.0%	172 26.3%	225 34.9%	49 23.9%	113 9.8%	676 25.4%	202 40.4%
(4) rather disagree	177 4.1%	167 3.9%	35 3.3%	40 3.1%	25 3.4%	52 5.9%	14 4.5%	46 3.0%	90 3.8%	31 6.7%	16 2.4%	28 3.0%	21 3.2%	25 3.9%	10 5.0%	14 1.2%	82 3.1%	71 14.2%
(5) completely disagree	71 1.6%	67 1.6%	22 2.0%	10 0.7%	4 0.6%	21 2.4%	10 3.4%	19 1.3%	26 1.1%	22 4.7%	10 1.5%	9 1.0%	4 0.6%	8 1.2%	9 4.2%	12 1.0%	23 0.9%	32 6.3%
Top Box (1+2)	3028 70.0%	3095 71.6%	835 78.2%	1013 77.3%	540 71.6%	510 58.4%	187 61.6%	1172 77.7%	1622 68.9%	301 64.8%	546 80.5%	753 80.0%	457 70.0%	387 60.0%	137 66.9%	1015 87.9%	1878 70.6%	195 39.1%
Middle Box (3)	1047 24.2%	996 23.0%	176 16.5%	248 18.9%	184 24.4%	291 33.3%	92 30.5%	271 18.0%	614 26.1%	111 23.9%	105 15.5%	151 16.0%	172 26.3%	225 34.9%	49 23.9%	113 9.8%	676 25.4%	202 40.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	248 5.7%	235 5.4%	57 5.3%	50 3.8%	30 4.0%	73 8.3%	24 7.9%	65 4.3%	117 5.0%	53 11.4%	27 4.0%	37 4.0%	24 3.7%	33 5.1%	19 9.3%	26 2.3%	105 4.0%	102 20.5%
Mean	2,02	1,99	1,81 bCDE	1,88 aCDE	2,04 ABDE	2,24 ABC	2,22 ABC	1,84 BC	2,05 A	2,14 A	1,71 BCDE	1,84 ACDE	2,05 ABD	2,19 ABC	2,12 AB	1,53 BC	2,04 AC	2,75 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1276 22.8%	1272 22.7%	12 1.1%	6 0.4%	5 0.6%	12 1.4%	4 1.4%	13 0.9%	22 0.9%	6 1.4%	9 1.3%	6 0.6%	4 0.6%	3 0.5%	4 1.7%	7 0.6%	23 0.9%	4 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5554 99.2%	5557 99.3%	1074 99.4%	1309 99.4%	754 99.3%	874 98.7%	303 98.6%	1509 99.2%	2357 99.2%	463 98.3%	680 99.0%	943 99.6%	652 99.1%	645 99.6%	206 98.8%	1154 99.4%	2660 99.2%	500 99.4%
<b>Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1061 19.1%	1068 19.2%	349 32.5%	237 18.1%	115 15.2%	113 12.9%	41 13.5%	377 25.0%	384 16.3%	97 20.9%	238 34.9%	194 20.6%	102 15.7%	82 12.6%	27 13.0%	360 31.2%	454 17.1%	41 8.2%
(2) rather agree	1521 27.4%	1532 27.6%	287 26.7%	453 34.6%	249 33.0%	154 17.7%	76 25.2%	442 29.3%	676 28.7%	105 22.6%	190 27.9%	322 34.1%	213 32.6%	128 19.9%	48 23.1%	299 25.9%	798 30.0%	122 24.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1893 34.1%	1866 33.6%	267 24.8%	408 31.2%	264 35.0%	374 42.7%	118 39.0%	442 29.3%	851 36.1%	142 30.6%	154 22.6%	277 29.4%	227 34.8%	308 47.8%	80 38.8%	286 24.8%	956 35.9%	189 37.9%
(4) rather disagree	666 12.0%	668 12.0%	88 8.2%	158 12.0%	106 14.0%	128 14.7%	35 11.4%	156 10.3%	304 12.9%	54 11.6%	56 8.2%	105 11.1%	78 12.0%	91 14.2%	29 14.2%	120 10.4%	305 11.5%	86 17.1%
(5) completely disagree	413 7.4%	423 7.6%	83 7.8%	54 4.1%	21 2.8%	105 12.0%	33 10.9%	92 6.1%	141 6.0%	66 14.3%	43 6.3%	46 4.9%	32 4.9%	36 5.6%	23 11.0%	88 7.6%	147 5.5%	62 12.3%
Top Box (1+2)	2582 46.5%	2600 46.8%	636 59.2%	690 52.7%	363 48.2%	268 30.6%	117 38.7%	819 54.3%	1061 45.0%	201 43.5%	428 62.9%	516 54.7%	315 48.3%	210 32.5%	74 36.1%	660 57.2%	1252 47.1%	164 32.7%
Middle Box (3)	1893 34.1%	1866 33.6%	267 24.8%	408 31.2%	264 35.0%	374 42.7%	118 39.0%	442 29.3%	851 36.1%	142 30.6%	154 22.6%	277 29.4%	227 34.8%	308 47.8%	80 38.8%	286 24.8%	956 35.9%	189 37.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1079 19.4%	1091 19.6%	171 16.0%	211 16.1%	127 16.8%	233 26.7%	68 22.3%	248 16.4%	445 18.9%	120 25.9%	99 14.5%	151 16.0%	110 16.9%	127 19.8%	52 25.2%	208 18.0%	452 17.0%	147 29.4%
Mean	2,61	2,61	2,32 BCDE	2,49 ADE	2,56 ADE	2,95 ABC	2,81 ABC	2,43 BC	2,64 Ac	2,76 Ab	2,23 BCDE	2,46 AcDE	2,58 AbDE	2,80 ABC	2,87 ABC	2,37 BC	2,58 AC	3,01 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	45 0.8%	40 0.7%	7 0.6%	8 0.6%	5 0.7%	12 1.3%	4 1.4%	12 0.8%	19 0.8%	8 1.7%	7 1.0%	4 0.4%	6 0.9%	3 0.4%	2 1.2%	7 0.6%	21 0.8%	3 0.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4331 77.4%	4333 77.4%	1073 99.3%	1310 99.5%	756 99.6%	876 98.8%	302 98.4%	1509 99.2%	2361 99.4%	462 98.1%	680 99.0%	945 99.7%	654 99.5%	645 99.6%	207 99.4%	1155 99.5%	2664 99.4%	500 99.4%
<b>Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1195 27.6%	1284 29.6%	460 42.9%	399 30.5%	171 22.6%	191 21.8%	57 18.7%	535 35.5%	618 26.2%	131 28.3%	338 49.6%	302 32.0%	155 23.7%	127 19.6%	48 23.0%	550 47.7%	662 24.9%	69 13.8%
(2) rather agree	1547 35.7%	1565 36.1%	339 31.6%	546 41.7%	330 43.6%	247 28.2%	97 32.0%	562 37.2%	865 36.6%	138 29.9%	190 27.9%	412 43.7%	278 42.5%	192 29.7%	72 34.7%	370 32.0%	1034 38.8%	155 31.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1289 29.8%	1212 28.0%	205 19.1%	304 23.2%	213 28.1%	367 41.9%	121 40.1%	328 21.7%	741 31.4%	143 31.0%	120 17.7%	191 20.3%	184 28.1%	285 44.2%	66 31.8%	191 16.5%	823 30.9%	194 38.7%
(4) rather disagree	226 5.2%	201 4.6%	47 4.4%	52 4.0%	36 4.8%	49 5.6%	16 5.4%	67 4.5%	110 4.7%	24 5.1%	24 3.5%	30 3.2%	33 5.0%	32 4.9%	16 7.6%	29 2.5%	119 4.5%	53 10.5%
(5) completely disagree	74 1.7%	70 1.6%	22 2.0%	9 0.7%	6 0.8%	21 2.4%	12 3.9%	17 1.1%	27 1.1%	27 5.8%	8 1.2%	8 0.9%	4 0.6%	10 1.6%	6 3.0%	15 1.3%	26 1.0%	29 5.9%
Top Box (1+2)	2742 63.3%	2849 65.8%	799 74.5%	945 72.1%	501 66.2%	439 50.1%	153 50.7%	1097 72.7%	1483 62.8%	269 58.2%	527 77.6%	714 75.6%	434 66.3%	319 49.4%	120 57.6%	920 79.7%	1697 63.7%	225 44.9%
Middle Box (3)	1289 29.8%	1212 28.0%	205 19.1%	304 23.2%	213 28.1%	367 41.9%	121 40.1%	328 21.7%	741 31.4%	143 31.0%	120 17.7%	191 20.3%	184 28.1%	285 44.2%	66 31.8%	191 16.5%	823 30.9%	194 38.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	300 6.9%	271 6.3%	69 6.4%	61 4.7%	43 5.6%	71 8.1%	28 9.3%	84 5.6%	137 5.8%	50 10.9%	32 4.7%	39 4.1%	37 5.6%	42 6.5%	22 10.6%	44 3.8%	145 5.4%	82 16.4%
Mean	2,18 b	2,12 a	1,91 BCDE	2,03 ACDE	2,18 ABDE	2,39 ABC	2,44 ABC	1,98 BC	2,18 Ac	2,30 Ab	1,79 BCDE	1,97 ACDE	2,16 ABDe	2,39 ABC	2,33 ABc	1,78 BC	2,18 AC	2,64 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1268 22.6%	1264 22.6%	8 0.7%	7 0.5%	3 0.4%	11 1.2%	5 1.6%	12 0.8%	14 0.6%	9 1.9%	7 1.0%	3 0.3%	4 0.5%	2 0.4%	1 0.6%	6 0.5%	17 0.6%	3 0.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4314 77.0%	4316 77.1%	1068 98.9%	1307 99.3%	751 99.0%	872 98.4%	301 98.1%	1506 99.0%	2348 98.8%	462 98.1%	678 98.7%	938 99.1%	652 99.1%	646 99.7%	207 99.4%	1151 99.1%	2653 98.9%	499 99.1%
<b>Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	372 8.6%	386 8.9%	134 12.6%	105 8.1%	45 6.0%	75 8.7%	25 8.4%	170 11.3%	174 7.4%	41 9.0%	84 12.4%	88 9.4%	46 7.1%	53 8.2%	26 12.3%	152 13.2%	195 7.3%	37 7.3%
(2) rather agree	795 18.4%	784 18.2%	196 18.3%	238 18.2%	155 20.7%	125 14.4%	67 22.2%	296 19.7%	422 18.0%	65 14.2%	121 17.9%	197 21.0%	127 19.4%	111 17.2%	36 17.5%	178 15.4%	504 19.0%	99 19.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2000 46.4%	1980 45.9%	428 40.0%	588 45.0%	362 48.2%	469 53.8%	123 40.8%	601 39.9%	1155 49.2%	224 48.6%	240 35.3%	397 42.3%	329 50.4%	351 54.4%	79 38.2%	416 36.2%	1320 49.8%	241 48.2%
(4) rather disagree	731 16.9%	729 16.9%	166 15.5%	266 20.4%	136 18.1%	115 13.2%	43 14.4%	271 18.0%	387 16.5%	71 15.4%	134 19.7%	184 19.6%	94 14.4%	76 11.8%	40 19.1%	219 19.0%	427 16.1%	80 16.0%
(5) completely disagree	416 9.6%	437 10.1%	145 13.6%	110 8.4%	53 7.0%	87 10.0%	42 14.1%	168 11.2%	209 8.9%	60 12.9%	99 14.6%	72 7.7%	57 8.7%	54 8.3%	27 12.9%	186 16.2%	207 7.8%	43 8.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1167 27.1%	1169 27.1%	330 30.9%	343 26.3%	200 26.7%	201 23.0%	92 30.7%	466 31.0%	596 25.4%	107 23.2%	205 30.3%	285 30.4%	173 26.5%	164 25.4%	62 29.8%	330 28.7%	699 26.3%	136 27.2%
Middle Box (3)	2000 46.4%	1980 45.9%	428 40.0%	588 45.0%	362 48.2%	469 53.8%	123 40.8%	601 39.9%	1155 49.2%	224 48.6%	240 35.3%	397 42.3%	329 50.4%	351 54.4%	79 38.2%	416 36.2%	1320 49.8%	241 48.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1147 26.6%	1166 27.0%	311 29.1%	376 28.8%	189 25.1%	202 23.2%	86 28.5%	439 29.2%	597 25.4%	131 28.3%	233 34.4%	256 27.3%	150 23.1%	130 20.2%	66 32.0%	405 35.2%	634 23.9%	123 24.6%
Mean	3,01	3,01	2,99	3,03	2,99	3,02	3,03	2,98	3,02	3,09	3,06 b	2,95 a	2,98	2,95	3,03	3,10 B	2,98 A	2,99
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1285 23.0%	1281 22.9%	12 1.1%	9 0.7%	8 1.0%	14 1.6%	6 1.9%	15 1.0%	28 1.2%	9 1.9%	9 1.3%	9 0.9%	6 0.9%	2 0.3%	1 0.6%	10 0.9%	29 1.1%	4 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5539 98.9%	5539 99.0%	1067 98.7%	1304 99.0%	755 99.4%	872 98.4%	301 98.1%	1504 98.9%	2347 98.8%	462 98.1%	679 98.9%	937 98.9%	651 99.0%	645 99.5%	205 98.4%	1149 99.0%	2651 98.8%	498 98.9%
<b>Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1022 18.5%	1039 18.8%	250 23.5%	176 13.5%	82 10.8%	141 16.2%	50 16.5%	256 17.0%	351 15.0%	92 19.9%	141 20.8%	138 14.7%	84 13.0%	99 15.4%	28 13.5%	299 26.0%	334 12.6%	62 12.4%
(2) rather agree	1647 29.7%	1662 30.0%	271 25.4%	417 32.0%	237 31.4%	211 24.1%	92 30.5%	434 28.8%	678 28.9%	122 26.4%	172 25.3%	294 31.4%	197 30.2%	168 26.0%	61 29.9%	305 26.5%	797 30.1%	125 25.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2131 38.5%	2091 37.7%	346 32.4%	508 39.0%	327 43.3%	407 46.7%	129 42.7%	558 37.1%	983 41.9%	181 39.1%	221 32.6%	341 36.4%	291 44.8%	310 48.0%	88 42.8%	368 32.0%	1117 42.1%	232 46.7%
(4) rather disagree	550 9.9%	556 10.0%	133 12.5%	170 13.1%	92 12.2%	76 8.7%	18 5.8%	189 12.6%	263 11.2%	39 8.5%	93 13.7%	135 14.4%	71 10.9%	48 7.4%	19 9.5%	118 10.3%	317 11.9%	56 11.2%
(5) completely disagree	189 3.4%	191 3.4%	66 6.2%	32 2.5%	17 2.3%	37 4.2%	13 4.4%	67 4.4%	72 3.1%	28 6.1%	51 7.6%	29 3.0%	8 1.2%	21 3.2%	9 4.4%	59 5.1%	86 3.2%	23 4.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2669 48.2%	2701 48.8%	522 48.9%	593 45.5%	319 42.2%	352 40.3%	142 47.1%	689 45.8%	1029 43.8%	214 46.3%	313 46.2%	432 46.1%	281 43.2%	267 41.4%	89 43.4%	603 52.5%	1131 42.7%	187 37.6%
Middle Box (3)	2131 38.5%	2091 37.7%	346 32.4%	508 39.0%	327 43.3%	407 46.7%	129 42.7%	558 37.1%	983 41.9%	181 39.1%	221 32.6%	341 36.4%	291 44.8%	310 48.0%	88 42.8%	368 32.0%	1117 42.1%	232 46.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	739 13.3%	747 13.5%	199 18.7%	203 15.6%	110 14.5%	113 13.0%	31 10.2%	256 17.0%	335 14.3%	67 14.6%	144 21.2%	164 17.5%	79 12.1%	69 10.6%	28 13.8%	177 15.4%	402 15.2%	78 15.7%
Mean	2,50	2,49	2,53 c	2,59	2,64 ae	2,61	2,51 c	2,59	2,59	2,54	2,62	2,60	2,57	2,57	2,61	2,42 BC	2,63 A	2,70 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	60 1.1%	58 1.0%	14 1.3%	13 1.0%	4 0.6%	14 1.6%	6 1.9%	17 1.1%	28 1.2%	9 1.9%	8 1.1%	10 1.1%	7 1.0%	3 0.5%	3 1.6%	12 1.0%	31 1.2%	6 1.1%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5544 99.0%	5545 99.1%	1071 99.1%	1306 99.2%	755 99.4%	873 98.5%	300 97.8%	1506 99.0%	2350 98.9%	464 98.6%	679 98.9%	938 99.0%	651 99.0%	646 99.7%	207 99.4%	1153 99.3%	2654 99.0%	500 99.4%
<b>Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	2041 36.8%	2160 38.9%	582 54.3%	510 39.1%	222 29.5%	308 35.3%	76 25.3%	670 44.5%	850 36.2%	188 40.6%	376 55.4%	379 40.4%	215 33.0%	214 33.1%	65 31.1%	708 61.4%	899 33.9%	96 19.1%
(2) rather agree	2006 36.2%	2008 36.2%	307 28.7%	538 41.2%	350 46.4%	257 29.4%	108 36.0%	541 35.9%	880 37.5%	140 30.1%	195 28.8%	401 42.8%	254 39.0%	206 31.9%	79 38.3%	307 26.6%	1074 40.5%	175 34.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1265 22.8%	1165 21.0%	142 13.3%	219 16.7%	159 21.1%	261 29.9%	91 30.1%	244 16.2%	531 22.6%	101 21.8%	90 13.3%	132 14.0%	155 23.8%	200 31.0%	51 24.4%	109 9.5%	598 22.5%	168 33.6%
(4) rather disagree	170 3.1%	153 2.8%	23 2.2%	30 2.3%	22 2.9%	28 3.2%	17 5.8%	36 2.4%	70 3.0%	15 3.2%	14 2.1%	18 1.9%	24 3.6%	17 2.6%	7 3.2%	16 1.3%	64 2.4%	39 7.7%
(5) completely disagree	62 1.1%	59 1.1%	17 1.6%	10 0.7%	1 0.2%	19 2.1%	8 2.7%	15 1.0%	19 0.8%	20 4.3%	3 0.4%	8 0.9%	4 0.5%	9 1.4%	6 2.9%	12 1.1%	19 0.7%	23 4.6%
Top Box (1+2)	4047 73.0%	4168 75.2%	889 83.0%	1048 80.2%	572 75.8%	565 64.7%	184 61.3%	1211 80.4%	1730 73.6%	328 70.7%	572 84.2%	781 83.2%	469 72.0%	420 65.0%	144 69.4%	1016 88.1%	1973 74.3%	270 54.1%
Middle Box (3)	1265 22.8%	1165 21.0%	142 13.3%	219 16.7%	159 21.1%	261 29.9%	91 30.1%	244 16.2%	531 22.6%	101 21.8%	90 13.3%	132 14.0%	155 23.8%	200 31.0%	51 24.4%	109 9.5%	598 22.5%	168 33.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	232 4.2%	212 3.8%	40 3.7%	39 3.0%	23 3.0%	47 5.4%	26 8.5%	51 3.4%	89 3.8%	35 7.5%	17 2.5%	26 2.8%	27 4.2%	26 4.0%	13 6.2%	28 2.4%	83 3.1%	62 12.4%
Mean	1,95 B	1,91 A	1,68 BCDE	1,84 ACDE	1,98 ABdE	2,08 ABcE	2,25 ABCD	1,79 BC	1,95 A	2,01 A	1,63 BCDE	1,80 ACDE	2,00 AB	2,07 AB	2,09 AB	1,54 BC	1,96 AC	2,44 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	55 1.0%	53 0.9%	10 0.9%	10 0.8%	4 0.6%	13 1.5%	7 2.2%	14 1.0%	25 1.1%	7 1.4%	8 1.1%	9 1.0%	7 1.0%	2 0.3%	1 0.6%	8 0.7%	27 1.0%	3 0.6%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The regulations for monument protection are too strict.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5542 99.0%	5541 99.0%	1068 98.8%	1305 99.2%	755 99.4%	872 98.4%	300 97.7%	1501 98.7%	2352 99.0%	462 98.1%	678 98.7%	939 99.1%	652 99.1%	644 99.4%	205 98.3%	1153 99.3%	2650 98.8%	498 99.0%
<b>The regulations for monument protection are too strict.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	623 11.2%	623 11.2%	166 15.5%	125 9.6%	72 9.5%	97 11.2%	21 7.0%	205 13.6%	235 10.0%	42 9.0%	107 15.8%	101 10.7%	58 8.9%	73 11.3%	28 13.7%	174 15.1%	236 8.9%	71 14.2%
(2) rather agree	1124 20.3%	1137 20.5%	216 20.2%	286 21.9%	147 19.5%	150 17.2%	72 24.1%	335 22.3%	455 19.4%	86 18.5%	126 18.6%	222 23.6%	153 23.5%	101 15.7%	39 19.2%	232 20.1%	545 20.6%	94 18.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2637 47.6%	2591 46.8%	455 42.6%	631 48.3%	388 51.4%	453 51.9%	141 47.0%	636 42.4%	1211 51.5%	230 49.8%	293 43.2%	429 45.7%	320 49.1%	356 55.2%	81 39.4%	455 39.5%	1384 52.2%	231 46.3%
(4) rather disagree	842 15.2%	852 15.4%	153 14.3%	221 17.0%	122 16.2%	116 13.3%	41 13.5%	245 16.3%	354 15.1%	56 12.1%	105 15.4%	157 16.7%	96 14.7%	89 13.9%	34 16.6%	193 16.8%	388 14.6%	72 14.4%
(5) completely disagree	316 5.7%	338 6.1%	78 7.3%	43 3.3%	26 3.4%	56 6.4%	25 8.3%	81 5.4%	97 4.1%	49 10.6%	47 7.0%	30 3.2%	25 3.8%	25 3.9%	23 11.1%	99 8.5%	98 3.7%	31 6.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1747 31.5%	1760 31.8%	381 35.7%	411 31.5%	219 29.0%	248 28.4%	93 31.1%	539 35.9%	690 29.3%	127 27.5%	233 34.4%	322 34.3%	211 32.4%	174 27.0%	68 32.9%	406 35.2%	781 29.5%	165 33.1%
Middle Box (3)	2637 47.6%	2591 46.8%	455 42.6%	631 48.3%	388 51.4%	453 51.9%	141 47.0%	636 42.4%	1211 51.5%	230 49.8%	293 43.2%	429 45.7%	320 49.1%	356 55.2%	81 39.4%	455 39.5%	1384 52.2%	231 46.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1158 20.9%	1190 21.5%	231 21.6%	264 20.2%	148 19.6%	172 19.7%	66 21.9%	326 21.7%	451 19.2%	105 22.7%	152 22.4%	187 19.9%	120 18.5%	114 17.8%	57 27.7%	292 25.3%	485 18.3%	102 20.6%
Mean	2,84	2,85	2,78 e	2,82	2,84	2,87	2,92 a	2,78 bC	2,84 aC	2,97 AB	2,79	2,78	2,81	2,83	2,92	2,84	2,84	2,79
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	57 1.0%	57 1.0%	13 1.2%	11 0.8%	4 0.6%	14 1.6%	7 2.3%	19 1.3%	23 1.0%	9 1.9%	9 1.3%	8 0.9%	6 0.9%	4 0.6%	3 1.7%	8 0.7%	31 1.2%	5 1.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	5545 99.0%	5540 99.0%	1070 99.0%	1302 98.9%	754 99.3%	869 98.1%	300 97.7%	1510 99.3%	2339 98.5%	462 98.1%	680 99.0%	939 99.1%	654 99.4%	643 99.2%	206 98.6%	1154 99.4%	2649 98.8%	495 98.3%
<b>Monument protection should be supported by public finances.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1709 30.8%	1791 32.3%	461 43.1%	427 32.8%	179 23.7%	211 24.3%	77 25.5%	540 35.7%	679 29.0%	143 31.0%	322 47.3%	313 33.4%	175 26.7%	147 22.8%	52 25.5%	605 52.4%	679 25.6%	75 15.2%
(2) rather agree	1840 33.2%	1865 33.7%	333 31.1%	486 37.3%	287 38.1%	251 28.8%	95 31.5%	530 35.1%	792 33.8%	132 28.5%	190 27.9%	378 40.2%	250 38.2%	194 30.2%	67 32.3%	330 28.6%	986 37.2%	132 26.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1591 28.7%	1505 27.2%	204 19.1%	311 23.9%	246 32.7%	332 38.1%	91 30.1%	351 23.2%	712 30.4%	128 27.7%	132 19.4%	192 20.5%	194 29.7%	264 41.1%	64 30.9%	170 14.8%	839 31.7%	178 35.9%
(4) rather disagree	248 4.5%	231 4.2%	39 3.7%	52 4.0%	33 4.4%	43 4.9%	18 6.1%	54 3.5%	106 4.5%	26 5.6%	19 2.7%	38 4.1%	28 4.2%	26 4.0%	13 6.3%	27 2.3%	98 3.7%	59 11.9%
(5) completely disagree	157 2.8%	149 2.7%	33 3.0%	27 2.0%	8 1.1%	33 3.8%	20 6.7%	36 2.4%	51 2.2%	34 7.3%	18 2.6%	18 1.9%	8 1.2%	12 1.9%	10 4.9%	22 1.9%	47 1.8%	51 10.2%
Top Box (1+2)	3549 64.0%	3656 66.0%	794 74.2%	912 70.1%	466 61.8%	462 53.1%	171 57.0%	1070 70.8%	1471 62.9%	275 59.5%	511 75.2%	691 73.6%	425 64.9%	341 53.0%	119 57.8%	935 81.0%	1665 62.8%	208 42.0%
Middle Box (3)	1591 28.7%	1505 27.2%	204 19.1%	311 23.9%	246 32.7%	332 38.1%	91 30.1%	351 23.2%	712 30.4%	128 27.7%	132 19.4%	192 20.5%	194 29.7%	264 41.1%	64 30.9%	170 14.8%	839 31.7%	178 35.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	405 7.3%	380 6.9%	72 6.7%	78 6.0%	41 5.5%	76 8.7%	38 12.8%	90 5.9%	157 6.7%	59 12.9%	37 5.4%	56 6.0%	35 5.4%	38 5.9%	23 11.3%	48 4.2%	145 5.5%	109 22.1%
Mean	2,15 b	2,11 a	1,92 BCDE	2,05 ACDE	2,21 ABDe	2,35 ABC	2,37 ABc	2,02 BC	2,17 Ac	2,30 Ab	1,86 BCDE	2,01 ACDE	2,15 ABDe	2,32 ABC	2,33 ABc	1,73 BC	2,19 AC	2,75 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	54 1.0%	57 1.0%	11 1.0%	15 1.1%	5 0.7%	17 1.9%	7 2.3%	11 0.7%	37 1.5%	9 1.9%	7 1.0%	9 0.9%	4 0.6%	5 0.8%	3 1.4%	7 0.6%	32 1.2%	9 1.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? New technologies are useful for monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3376 60.3%	3377 60.3%	553 51.1%	688 52.3%	395 52.0%	308 34.8%	199 64.8%	816 53.6%	1095 46.1%	239 50.6%	385 56.1%	504 53.2%	360 54.7%	216 33.4%	97 46.6%	595 51.2%	1307 48.7%	241 47.8%
<b>New technologies are useful for monument protection.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	918 27.2%	949 28.1%	269 48.8%	206 30.0%	73 18.4%	35 11.3%	27 13.5%	298 36.5%	265 24.2%	49 20.7%	205 53.2%	148 29.4%	66 18.3%	26 12.2%	20 20.8%	291 48.9%	284 21.7%	35 14.4%
(2) rather agree	1276 37.8%	1301 38.5%	192 34.8%	312 45.4%	189 48.0%	92 29.9%	77 38.8%	330 40.4%	449 41.0%	86 36.1%	123 31.9%	259 51.5%	156 43.3%	68 31.6%	33 33.5%	198 33.2%	577 44.2%	86 35.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1042 30.9%	998 29.6%	76 13.7%	159 23.1%	122 31.0%	165 53.5%	72 36.4%	168 20.6%	345 31.5%	85 35.7%	50 13.0%	90 17.8%	125 34.8%	113 52.3%	33 33.4%	88 14.8%	409 31.3%	100 41.6%
(4) rather disagree	104 3.1%	93 2.8%	10 1.7%	11 1.5%	10 2.6%	13 4.2%	14 7.0%	17 2.1%	32 2.9%	9 3.6%	6 1.7%	5 0.9%	12 3.3%	7 3.4%	11 11.0%	12 2.1%	31 2.4%	13 5.4%
(5) completely disagree	36 1.1%	35 1.0%	6 1.0%	0 -	0 -	3 1.0%	8 4.2%	3 0.4%	5 0.4%	9 4.0%	1 0.3%	2 0.4%	1 0.3%	1 0.6%	1 1.2%	6 1.0%	5 0.4%	6 2.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2194 65.0%	2250 66.6%	462 83.5%	519 75.4%	262 66.4%	127 41.2%	104 52.3%	628 77.0%	713 65.2%	135 56.8%	328 85.1%	407 80.9%	222 61.6%	95 43.8%	53 54.3%	489 82.2%	861 65.9%	121 50.3%
Middle Box (3)	1042 30.9%	998 29.6%	76 13.7%	159 23.1%	122 31.0%	165 53.5%	72 36.4%	168 20.6%	345 31.5%	85 35.7%	50 13.0%	90 17.8%	125 34.8%	113 52.3%	33 33.4%	88 14.8%	409 31.3%	100 41.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	140 4.1%	129 3.8%	15 2.8%	11 1.5%	10 2.6%	16 5.3%	22 11.3%	20 2.5%	37 3.3%	18 7.5%	8 2.0%	7 1.3%	13 3.6%	9 3.9%	12 12.3%	18 3.0%	36 2.8%	19 8.1%
Mean	2,13	2,10	1,72 BCDE	1,96 ACDE	2,18 ABDE	2,54 ABC	2,50 ABC	1,89 BC	2,14 AC	2,34 AB	1,64 BCDE	1,91 ACDE	2,24 ABD	2,49 ABC	2,38 AB	1,73 BC	2,16 AC	2,46 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2223 39.7%	2221 39.7%	528 48.9%	629 47.7%	364 48.0%	578 65.2%	108 35.2%	705 46.4%	1281 53.9%	233 49.4%	301 43.9%	444 46.8%	298 45.3%	432 66.6%	111 53.4%	566 48.8%	1375 51.3%	263 52.2%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3380 60.4%	3380 60.4%	551 51.0%	690 52.4%	397 52.3%	308 34.7%	201 65.4%	816 53.7%	1096 46.1%	241 51.1%	387 56.3%	502 53.0%	361 54.8%	218 33.7%	97 46.6%	595 51.3%	1309 48.8%	244 48.4%
<b>We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	661 19.6%	690 20.4%	143 25.9%	105 15.3%	57 14.3%	39 12.8%	30 14.8%	173 21.2%	156 14.2%	48 20.1%	101 26.2%	87 17.3%	57 15.8%	26 11.8%	14 14.1%	179 30.1%	174 13.3%	23 9.4%
(2) rather agree	1114 33.0%	1135 33.6%	168 30.5%	265 38.4%	161 40.6%	85 27.6%	70 34.9%	267 32.7%	387 35.3%	95 39.6%	113 29.2%	178 35.5%	123 34.1%	62 28.5%	33 34.1%	189 31.7%	485 37.1%	72 29.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1295 38.3%	1251 37.0%	178 32.3%	267 38.7%	144 36.2%	169 54.8%	82 40.9%	293 35.9%	470 42.9%	80 33.2%	127 32.9%	195 38.9%	152 42.1%	117 53.7%	44 44.7%	177 29.8%	549 41.9%	117 48.0%
(4) rather disagree	240 7.1%	237 7.0%	49 8.9%	46 6.6%	32 8.1%	11 3.7%	8 4.1%	64 7.9%	73 6.6%	10 4.1%	31 8.0%	37 7.3%	26 7.3%	12 5.7%	4 4.6%	35 5.9%	86 6.6%	24 9.9%
(5) completely disagree	70 2.1%	68 2.0%	13 2.3%	7 1.0%	3 0.8%	4 1.2%	11 5.2%	19 2.3%	11 1.0%	7 3.0%	15 3.8%	5 1.0%	3 0.7%	1 0.4%	2 2.5%	15 2.5%	14 1.1%	8 3.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1775 52.5%	1825 54.0%	311 56.4%	370 53.7%	218 55.0%	124 40.3%	100 49.7%	440 53.9%	543 49.5%	144 59.7%	214 55.4%	265 52.8%	180 49.9%	88 40.2%	47 48.2%	368 61.8%	659 50.4%	95 38.9%
Middle Box (3)	1295 38.3%	1251 37.0%	178 32.3%	267 38.7%	144 36.2%	169 54.8%	82 40.9%	293 35.9%	470 42.9%	80 33.2%	127 32.9%	195 38.9%	152 42.1%	117 53.7%	44 44.7%	177 29.8%	549 41.9%	117 48.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	310 9.2%	305 9.0%	62 11.3%	53 7.6%	35 8.9%	15 4.9%	19 9.3%	84 10.2%	83 7.6%	17 7.1%	45 11.8%	42 8.3%	29 8.0%	13 6.1%	7 7.1%	50 8.4%	101 7.7%	32 13.2%
Mean	2,39	2,37	2,31 De	2,40 d	2,40 d	2,53 Abc	2,50 a	2,37	2,45 c	2,30 b	2,34 d	2,39 d	2,43	2,54 ab	2,47	2,19 BC	2,45 AC	2,68 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2219 39.6%	2217 39.6%	529 49.0%	627 47.6%	362 47.7%	579 65.3%	106 34.6%	705 46.3%	1279 53.9%	230 48.9%	300 43.7%	445 47.0%	297 45.2%	430 66.3%	111 53.4%	566 48.7%	1373 51.2%	260 51.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

On historic buildings I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3405 60.8%	3406 60.8%	554 51.3%	696 52.9%	401 52.8%	315 35.6%	203 66.1%	821 54.0%	1112 46.8%	243 51.7%	389 56.6%	506 53.5%	364 55.4%	220 33.9%	99 47.3%	598 51.5%	1323 49.3%	245 48.7%
On historic buildings I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.																		
(1) completely agree	1068 31.4%	1095 32.2%	334 60.3%	287 41.3%	124 31.0%	75 23.7%	59 29.2%	357 43.5%	422 37.9%	103 42.1%	235 60.4%	211 41.6%	119 32.6%	50 22.6%	25 25.2%	345 57.7%	480 36.3%	55 22.4%
(2) rather agree	789 23.2%	800 23.5%	88 15.9%	201 28.9%	122 30.4%	59 18.7%	50 24.6%	211 25.7%	269 24.2%	40 16.4%	62 16.0%	147 29.0%	112 30.9%	57 25.8%	27 27.1%	121 20.3%	351 26.5%	46 18.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	947 27.8%	923 27.1%	78 14.1%	139 20.0%	104 25.9%	143 45.4%	63 31.1%	168 20.4%	296 26.6%	63 26.0%	54 13.9%	96 19.0%	99 27.2%	88 39.9%	33 33.7%	80 13.4%	350 26.4%	93 38.1%
(4) rather disagree	314 9.2%	320 9.4%	11 2.0%	45 6.5%	30 7.5%	19 6.0%	15 7.2%	39 4.7%	67 6.0%	15 6.3%	14 3.6%	26 5.1%	21 5.7%	13 5.9%	3 3.3%	20 3.4%	74 5.6%	25 10.3%
(5) completely disagree	287 8.4%	267 7.8%	43 7.8%	24 3.4%	21 5.1%	20 6.3%	16 8.0%	46 5.7%	58 5.2%	22 9.2%	24 6.1%	27 5.3%	13 3.6%	12 5.7%	11 10.7%	32 5.4%	69 5.2%	26 10.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1857 54.5%	1896 55.7%	422 76.2%	488 70.1%	246 61.4%	134 42.4%	109 53.7%	568 69.2%	691 62.1%	142 58.5%	297 76.5%	358 70.6%	231 63.5%	106 48.5%	52 52.3%	466 77.9%	830 62.8%	101 41.1%
Middle Box (3)	947 27.8%	923 27.1%	78 14.1%	139 20.0%	104 25.9%	143 45.4%	63 31.1%	168 20.4%	296 26.6%	63 26.0%	54 13.9%	96 19.0%	99 27.2%	88 39.9%	33 33.7%	80 13.4%	350 26.4%	93 38.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	601 17.7%	587 17.2%	54 9.7%	69 9.9%	51 12.7%	39 12.2%	31 15.2%	85 10.4%	125 11.2%	38 15.5%	38 9.7%	53 10.4%	34 9.3%	26 11.6%	14 14.0%	52 8.7%	143 10.8%	51 20.8%
Mean	2,40	2,37	1,81 BCDE	2,02 ACDE	2,25 ABD	2,52 ABC	2,40 AB	2,03 bc	2,16 a	2,24 a	1,79 BCDE	2,03 ADE	2,17 ADe	2,46 ABC	2,47 ABc	1,78 BC	2,17 AC	2,68 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2194 39.2%	2192 39.2%	526 48.7%	620 47.1%	358 47.2%	571 64.4%	104 33.9%	700 46.0%	1264 53.2%	228 48.3%	298 43.4%	441 46.5%	294 44.6%	428 66.1%	110 52.7%	563 48.5%	1358 50.7%	258 51.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**On historic buildings I find damages on the facade unappealing.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3394 60.6%	551 51.0%	695 52.8%	400 52.7%	315 35.5%	201 65.6%	817 53.7%	1107 46.6%	245 51.9%	389 56.6%	503 53.1%	363 55.2%	218 33.7%	98 46.8%	598 51.5%	1318 49.1%	243 48.3%
<b>On historic buildings I find damages on the facade unappealing.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1248 36.8%	1293 38.1%	350 63.4%	326 46.9%	132 33.1%	81 25.9%	57 28.5%	384 47.0%	456 41.2%	109 44.7%	245 63.1%	236 47.0%	127 34.8%	56 25.6%	27 28.1%	376 62.9%	509 38.6%	61 25.2%
(2) rather agree	886 26.1%	892 26.3%	89 16.1%	194 28.0%	137 34.4%	70 22.1%	47 23.3%	210 25.7%	284 25.6%	44 18.1%	59 15.1%	148 29.5%	118 32.5%	49 22.2%	31 31.8%	114 19.0%	364 27.6%	58 24.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	857 25.3%	830 24.4%	72 13.1%	111 16.0%	98 24.5%	133 42.2%	68 33.6%	151 18.4%	276 24.9%	56 23.0%	54 14.0%	72 14.3%	92 25.4%	96 43.8%	27 27.8%	61 10.3%	335 25.4%	82 33.7%
(4) rather disagree	219 6.5%	210 6.2%	11 2.1%	44 6.4%	18 4.5%	16 5.1%	20 9.7%	43 5.2%	53 4.8%	14 5.9%	16 4.0%	29 5.8%	15 4.0%	10 4.5%	7 7.6%	27 4.5%	63 4.8%	20 8.0%
(5) completely disagree	183 5.4%	170 5.0%	29 5.2%	19 2.8%	14 3.6%	15 4.7%	10 4.9%	30 3.7%	39 3.5%	20 8.3%	15 3.8%	17 3.4%	12 3.3%	8 3.9%	5 4.7%	20 3.3%	48 3.6%	22 9.1%
Top Box (1+2)	2134 62.9%	2185 64.4%	439 79.6%	520 74.9%	270 67.4%	151 48.0%	104 51.8%	593 72.6%	739 66.8%	154 62.8%	304 78.1%	385 76.5%	245 67.3%	104 47.8%	59 59.9%	490 81.9%	873 66.2%	119 49.2%
Middle Box (3)	857 25.3%	830 24.4%	72 13.1%	111 16.0%	98 24.5%	133 42.2%	68 33.6%	151 18.4%	276 24.9%	56 23.0%	54 14.0%	72 14.3%	92 25.4%	96 43.8%	27 27.8%	61 10.3%	335 25.4%	82 33.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	402 11.8%	380 11.2%	40 7.3%	63 9.1%	32 8.1%	31 9.8%	29 14.6%	73 8.9%	92 8.3%	35 14.1%	31 7.9%	46 9.2%	26 7.3%	18 8.4%	12 12.3%	47 7.8%	110 8.4%	42 17.1%
Mean	2,18	2,14	1,70 BCDE	1,90 ACDE	2,11 ABDE	2,41 ABC	2,39 ABC	1,93 bC	2,04 a	2,15 A	1,71 bCDE	1,89 aCDE	2,08 ABD	2,39 ABC	2,29 AB	1,66 BC	2,07 AC	2,52 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2206 39.4%	2203 39.4%	529 49.0%	622 47.2%	359 47.3%	572 64.5%	106 34.4%	704 46.3%	1268 53.4%	226 48.1%	298 43.4%	444 46.9%	295 44.8%	430 66.3%	111 53.2%	563 48.5%	1364 50.9%	260 51.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3394 60.6%	3395 60.6%	549 50.8%	694 52.7%	400 52.7%	313 35.3%	203 66.1%	819 53.8%	1103 46.4%	244 51.7%	389 56.6%	506 53.5%	359 54.6%	220 33.9%	99 47.3%	594 51.2%	1316 49.1%	245 48.7%
The cleaned facade of the historic building I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.																		
(1) completely agree	1247 36.7%	1304 38.4%	339 61.6%	309 44.6%	126 31.5%	92 29.4%	64 31.4%	382 46.6%	439 39.8%	111 45.6%	236 60.8%	231 45.6%	125 34.8%	58 26.3%	29 29.4%	347 58.4%	517 39.3%	66 26.9%
(2) rather agree	943 27.8%	940 27.7%	93 16.9%	217 31.3%	154 38.5%	75 24.1%	55 27.0%	225 27.5%	324 29.4%	46 19.1%	73 18.7%	168 33.2%	119 33.1%	54 24.4%	25 25.7%	126 21.2%	401 30.5%	64 26.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	774 22.8%	727 21.4%	55 9.9%	111 16.0%	84 21.0%	117 37.3%	60 29.5%	134 16.3%	242 22.0%	53 21.6%	40 10.3%	66 13.1%	86 24.0%	89 40.6%	32 32.8%	66 11.1%	284 21.6%	76 31.2%
(4) rather disagree	250 7.4%	252 7.4%	22 4.0%	32 4.6%	25 6.2%	17 5.5%	12 5.9%	41 5.0%	55 5.0%	12 4.8%	12 3.0%	26 5.2%	21 5.7%	11 5.0%	7 6.8%	30 5.1%	60 4.5%	18 7.5%
(5) completely disagree	180 5.3%	171 5.1%	42 7.6%	25 3.6%	11 2.8%	11 3.7%	12 6.1%	38 4.6%	42 3.8%	22 9.0%	28 7.2%	14 2.8%	8 2.3%	8 3.6%	5 5.2%	25 4.2%	55 4.2%	21 8.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2190 64.5%	2244 66.1%	431 78.5%	526 75.8%	280 70.0%	168 53.5%	119 58.5%	607 74.1%	763 69.2%	157 64.6%	309 79.5%	399 78.8%	244 67.9%	111 50.7%	54 55.1%	473 79.7%	918 69.7%	130 52.9%
Middle Box (3)	774 22.8%	727 21.4%	55 9.9%	111 16.0%	84 21.0%	117 37.3%	60 29.5%	134 16.3%	242 22.0%	53 21.6%	40 10.3%	66 13.1%	86 24.0%	89 40.6%	32 32.8%	66 11.1%	284 21.6%	76 31.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	430 12.7%	424 12.5%	63 11.5%	57 8.2%	36 9.0%	29 9.2%	24 12.0%	78 9.6%	98 8.8%	34 13.8%	40 10.2%	41 8.0%	29 8.0%	19 8.7%	12 12.1%	55 9.3%	115 8.7%	39 15.9%
Mean	2,17	2,13	1,79 CDE	1,91 CDE	2,10 ABd	2,30 ABc	2,28 AB	1,93 bc	2,04 a	2,13 a	1,77 CDE	1,86 CDE	2,08 ABDe	2,35 ABC	2,33 ABc	1,75 BC	2,04 AC	2,45 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2205 39.4%	2203 39.4%	531 49.2%	623 47.3%	359 47.3%	573 64.7%	104 33.9%	702 46.2%	1273 53.6%	228 48.3%	298 43.4%	441 46.5%	299 45.4%	428 66.1%	110 52.7%	567 48.8%	1365 50.9%	258 51.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3387 60.5%	3387 60.5%	548 50.8%	693 52.7%	398 52.4%	313 35.4%	201 65.4%	816 53.7%	1102 46.4%	242 51.4%	386 56.1%	505 53.3%	358 54.4%	219 33.8%	99 47.3%	596 51.3%	1314 49.0%	242 48.0%
The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.																		
(1) completely agree	1241 36.6%	1287 38.0%	337 61.5%	313 45.1%	135 33.9%	85 27.0%	67 33.3%	394 48.3%	440 39.9%	104 43.1%	246 63.8%	240 47.5%	125 34.9%	60 27.5%	25 25.1%	358 60.1%	518 39.4%	58 23.9%
(2) rather agree	1018 30.1%	1010 29.8%	112 20.4%	227 32.8%	140 35.1%	83 26.6%	64 32.0%	245 30.0%	330 30.0%	54 22.1%	75 19.6%	183 36.2%	114 31.8%	57 26.1%	32 32.3%	132 22.2%	414 31.5%	80 33.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	787 23.2%	755 22.3%	63 11.5%	119 17.2%	96 24.1%	124 39.5%	43 21.6%	128 15.7%	268 24.3%	51 21.2%	43 11.1%	60 12.0%	91 25.5%	86 39.2%	35 35.2%	75 12.6%	301 22.9%	70 29.0%
(4) rather disagree	220 6.5%	216 6.4%	14 2.5%	22 3.2%	20 5.1%	16 5.0%	15 7.6%	26 3.2%	46 4.2%	16 6.4%	7 1.7%	15 2.9%	20 5.7%	11 4.9%	4 4.5%	18 3.0%	51 3.9%	18 7.5%
(5) completely disagree	121 3.6%	119 3.5%	23 4.2%	12 1.7%	7 1.8%	6 1.9%	11 5.5%	23 2.9%	18 1.6%	17 7.2%	15 3.8%	7 1.4%	8 2.2%	5 2.4%	3 3.0%	13 2.1%	30 2.3%	16 6.4%
Top Box (1+2)	2259 66.7%	2297 67.8%	449 81.8%	540 77.9%	275 69.0%	168 53.6%	131 65.3%	639 78.3%	770 69.9%	158 65.2%	321 83.4%	423 83.7%	239 66.7%	117 53.5%	57 57.4%	490 82.3%	932 70.9%	138 57.1%
Middle Box (3)	787 23.2%	755 22.3%	63 11.5%	119 17.2%	96 24.1%	124 39.5%	43 21.6%	128 15.7%	268 24.3%	51 21.2%	43 11.1%	60 12.0%	91 25.5%	86 39.2%	35 35.2%	75 12.6%	301 22.9%	70 29.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	341 10.1%	336 9.9%	37 6.7%	34 4.9%	27 6.9%	22 6.9%	26 13.1%	49 6.0%	64 5.8%	33 13.6%	21 5.5%	22 4.3%	28 7.8%	16 7.2%	7 7.5%	31 5.2%	81 6.2%	34 13.9%
Mean	2,10	2,08	1,68 BCDE	1,84 ACDE	2,06 ABD	2,28 ABC	2,20 AB	1,82 BC	1,98 Ac	2,13 Ab	1,62 CDE	1,74 CDE	2,08 ABd	2,29 ABc	2,28 AB	1,65 BC	1,98 AC	2,39 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2212 39.5%	2210 39.5%	532 49.2%	623 47.3%	361 47.6%	573 64.6%	106 34.6%	705 46.3%	1274 53.6%	229 48.6%	301 43.9%	443 46.7%	300 45.6%	429 66.2%	110 52.7%	565 48.7%	1368 51.0%	262 52.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3385 60.5%	549 50.8%	691 52.4%	397 52.3%	312 35.2%	202 65.6%	816 53.6%	1100 46.3%	240 51.0%	389 56.6%	503 53.1%	358 54.4%	220 33.9%	99 47.3%	588 50.6%	1318 49.1%	244 48.4%
The cleaned facade of the historic building should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.																		
(1) completely agree	1432 42.3%	1499 44.3%	348 63.5%	334 48.3%	139 34.9%	91 29.3%	67 33.2%	414 50.7%	455 41.3%	112 46.8%	253 65.1%	240 47.6%	138 38.6%	63 28.8%	28 28.6%	381 64.9%	529 40.1%	70 28.5%
(2) rather agree	1045 30.9%	1032 30.5%	111 20.3%	233 33.7%	145 36.5%	84 26.8%	68 33.5%	231 28.3%	352 32.0%	60 25.0%	75 19.2%	178 35.4%	129 35.9%	56 25.7%	27 27.2%	127 21.6%	452 34.3%	62 25.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	725 21.4%	679 20.1%	59 10.7%	105 15.1%	99 25.0%	126 40.3%	46 22.7%	140 17.2%	244 22.2%	51 21.4%	53 13.5%	66 13.1%	70 19.6%	91 41.5%	32 32.4%	62 10.6%	281 21.3%	91 37.2%
(4) rather disagree	117 3.5%	109 3.2%	16 2.9%	14 2.1%	12 3.1%	6 1.8%	13 6.4%	21 2.6%	35 3.2%	5 2.0%	3 0.7%	14 2.7%	16 4.5%	9 3.9%	6 6.5%	10 1.6%	40 3.0%	11 4.6%
(5) completely disagree	67 2.0%	65 1.9%	14 2.6%	5 0.8%	2 0.4%	6 1.8%	8 4.2%	10 1.2%	13 1.2%	12 4.8%	6 1.5%	6 1.2%	5 1.3%	0 -	5 5.2%	8 1.4%	16 1.2%	11 4.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2477 73.2%	2531 74.8%	460 83.8%	567 82.0%	283 71.4%	175 56.1%	134 66.7%	644 79.0%	807 73.3%	172 71.7%	328 84.3%	418 83.0%	267 74.6%	120 54.6%	55 55.8%	508 86.5%	981 74.4%	131 53.7%
Middle Box (3)	725 21.4%	679 20.1%	59 10.7%	105 15.1%	99 25.0%	126 40.3%	46 22.7%	140 17.2%	244 22.2%	51 21.4%	53 13.5%	66 13.1%	70 19.6%	91 41.5%	32 32.4%	62 10.6%	281 21.3%	91 37.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	184 5.4%	175 5.2%	30 5.5%	19 2.8%	14 3.6%	11 3.6%	21 10.6%	31 3.8%	49 4.4%	16 6.8%	9 2.2%	19 3.9%	21 5.9%	9 3.9%	12 11.8%	17 3.0%	56 4.2%	22 9.1%
Mean	1,92	1,88	1,61 bCDE	1,73 aCDE	1,98 ABDe	2,20 ABC	2,15 ABc	1,75 Bc	1,91 A	1,93 a	1,54 BCDE	1,74 ACDE	1,94 ABDE	2,21 ABC	2,33 ABC	1,53 BC	1,91 AC	2,31 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2213 39.5%	2212 39.5%	532 49.2%	626 47.6%	362 47.7%	574 64.8%	106 34.4%	705 46.4%	1275 53.7%	231 49.0%	298 43.4%	444 46.9%	300 45.6%	428 66.1%	110 52.7%	573 49.4%	1364 50.9%	259 51.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the cultural value of the building.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3388 60.5%	547 50.6%	692 52.6%	401 52.8%	314 35.4%	202 65.6%	817 53.7%	1101 46.4%	243 51.5%	388 56.5%	501 52.9%	362 55.1%	220 33.9%	98 46.9%	594 51.2%	1315 49.0%	244 48.5%
<b>The cleaned facade of the historic building enhances the cultural value of the building.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1178 34.8%	1242 36.7%	317 58.0%	304 43.9%	124 31.0%	82 26.1%	64 31.9%	381 46.6%	410 37.2%	102 42.1%	226 58.3%	236 47.2%	117 32.3%	58 26.6%	24 24.1%	356 59.8%	478 36.3%	58 23.6%
(2) rather agree	889 26.3%	880 26.0%	101 18.5%	209 30.3%	139 34.7%	79 25.1%	46 23.0%	214 26.2%	314 28.5%	49 20.1%	80 20.5%	155 31.0%	111 30.7%	47 21.3%	27 27.3%	115 19.4%	399 30.3%	61 24.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	905 26.7%	863 25.5%	82 15.0%	138 19.9%	98 24.6%	127 40.4%	67 33.0%	152 18.6%	297 27.0%	63 25.9%	51 13.2%	76 15.2%	101 27.9%	100 45.4%	37 37.4%	87 14.6%	339 25.8%	84 34.5%
(4) rather disagree	255 7.5%	250 7.4%	20 3.6%	30 4.4%	30 7.6%	19 6.2%	10 5.0%	45 5.5%	57 5.1%	9 3.9%	15 3.8%	22 4.4%	27 7.6%	11 4.9%	7 6.7%	22 3.6%	66 5.0%	23 9.3%
(5) completely disagree	159 4.7%	152 4.5%	27 4.9%	10 1.5%	9 2.2%	7 2.3%	14 7.1%	25 3.1%	23 2.1%	19 7.9%	16 4.2%	11 2.2%	6 1.5%	4 1.9%	4 4.5%	15 2.6%	34 2.6%	19 7.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2067 61.0%	2123 62.7%	418 76.5%	513 74.2%	263 65.6%	160 51.2%	111 54.9%	595 72.8%	724 65.8%	151 62.2%	306 78.8%	392 78.2%	228 63.0%	105 47.8%	50 51.4%	471 79.2%	877 66.7%	118 48.4%
Middle Box (3)	905 26.7%	863 25.5%	82 15.0%	138 19.9%	98 24.6%	127 40.4%	67 33.0%	152 18.6%	297 27.0%	63 25.9%	51 13.2%	76 15.2%	101 27.9%	100 45.4%	37 37.4%	87 14.6%	339 25.8%	84 34.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	414 12.2%	403 11.9%	47 8.5%	41 5.9%	39 9.8%	26 8.4%	24 12.1%	70 8.6%	80 7.3%	29 11.8%	31 8.0%	33 6.6%	33 9.1%	15 6.8%	11 11.3%	37 6.2%	99 7.6%	42 17.1%
Mean	2,21	2,17	1,79 CDE	1,89 CDE	2,15 ABd	2,33 ABc	2,33 AB	1,92 BC	2,06 A	2,15 A	1,75 CDE	1,83 CDE	2,15 ABde	2,34 ABc	2,40 ABc	1,70 BC	2,07 AC	2,53 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2213 39.5%	2209 39.5%	533 49.4%	624 47.4%	358 47.2%	573 64.6%	106 34.4%	703 46.3%	1274 53.6%	228 48.5%	299 43.5%	446 47.1%	296 44.9%	428 66.1%	111 53.1%	567 48.8%	1366 51.0%	259 51.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The cleaned facade of the historic building looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3387 60.5%	3386 60.5%	548 50.7%	693 52.7%	399 52.6%	311 35.1%	202 65.9%	817 53.7%	1103 46.4%	241 51.1%	389 56.6%	502 53.1%	361 54.8%	220 33.9%	99 47.3%	592 51.0%	1317 49.1%	244 48.5%
The cleaned facade of the historic building looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.																		
(1) completely agree	518 15.3%	509 15.0%	91 16.6%	70 10.1%	46 11.4%	34 10.8%	31 15.1%	105 12.8%	119 10.8%	48 19.8%	63 16.2%	49 9.8%	42 11.7%	21 9.7%	14 14.6%	94 15.8%	140 10.6%	36 14.9%
(2) rather agree	724 21.4%	700 20.7%	77 14.1%	148 21.4%	95 23.8%	57 18.3%	45 22.5%	159 19.4%	219 19.8%	49 20.2%	49 12.7%	106 21.0%	90 24.9%	43 19.5%	23 22.8%	103 17.4%	278 21.1%	44 18.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1083 32.0%	1058 31.2%	107 19.5%	197 28.5%	143 36.0%	150 48.1%	71 35.0%	216 26.5%	383 34.8%	69 28.9%	73 18.7%	131 26.0%	124 34.4%	105 47.8%	39 39.2%	120 20.3%	451 34.3%	95 38.8%
(4) rather disagree	575 17.0%	588 17.4%	100 18.3%	162 23.3%	72 18.0%	40 12.9%	30 14.9%	161 19.8%	207 18.8%	36 14.9%	71 18.2%	128 25.4%	58 16.1%	30 13.9%	13 13.5%	107 18.0%	252 19.1%	43 17.8%
(5) completely disagree	487 14.4%	530 15.7%	173 31.6%	116 16.7%	43 10.8%	31 9.9%	25 12.5%	176 21.5%	174 15.8%	39 16.3%	133 34.3%	89 17.7%	47 12.9%	20 9.1%	10 9.9%	168 28.4%	196 14.8%	26 10.5%
Top Box (1+2)	1242 36.7%	1210 35.7%	168 30.7%	218 31.5%	141 35.3%	90 29.1%	76 37.6%	263 32.3%	338 30.7%	96 39.9%	112 28.8%	155 30.9%	132 36.6%	64 29.3%	37 37.4%	197 33.3%	418 31.8%	80 33.0%
Middle Box (3)	1083 32.0%	1058 31.2%	107 19.5%	197 28.5%	143 36.0%	150 48.1%	71 35.0%	216 26.5%	383 34.8%	69 28.9%	73 18.7%	131 26.0%	124 34.4%	105 47.8%	39 39.2%	120 20.3%	451 34.3%	95 38.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1062 31.4%	1119 33.0%	273 49.9%	278 40.1%	115 28.8%	71 22.8%	55 27.4%	337 41.3%	381 34.6%	75 31.2%	204 52.5%	217 43.1%	105 29.0%	50 22.9%	23 23.4%	275 46.4%	448 34.0%	69 28.3%
Mean	2,94	2,98	3,34 bCDE	3,15 aCDE	2,93 AB	2,93 AB	2,87 AB	3,18 C	3,09 c	2,88 Ab	3,42 bCDE	3,20 aCDE	2,94 AB	2,93 AB	2,81 AB	3,26 BC	3,06 A	2,91 A
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2212 39.5%	2211 39.5%	533 49.3%	623 47.3%	360 47.4%	575 64.9%	105 34.1%	704 46.3%	1273 53.6%	230 48.9%	298 43.4%	445 46.9%	297 45.2%	428 66.1%	110 52.7%	569 49.0%	1365 50.9%	259 51.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3391 60.6%	3391 60.6%	553 51.2%	692 52.5%	400 52.7%	312 35.2%	201 65.4%	819 53.9%	1103 46.4%	242 51.4%	388 56.4%	505 53.3%	359 54.6%	219 33.8%	99 47.3%	598 51.5%	1313 49.0%	244 48.4%
The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.																		
(1) completely agree	1890 55.7%	1929 56.9%	385 69.6%	390 56.4%	189 47.2%	111 35.5%	84 41.8%	468 57.1%	556 50.4%	139 57.5%	278 71.7%	288 57.0%	148 41.3%	73 33.2%	36 36.6%	460 76.9%	631 48.1%	71 29.3%
(2) rather agree	873 25.7%	864 25.5%	97 17.6%	205 29.7%	122 30.6%	85 27.2%	56 27.9%	215 26.2%	301 27.3%	50 20.5%	62 15.9%	158 31.3%	121 33.6%	53 24.1%	30 30.6%	88 14.8%	407 31.0%	65 26.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	537 15.8%	511 15.1%	47 8.5%	85 12.3%	78 19.5%	104 33.3%	48 24.0%	110 13.4%	214 19.4%	40 16.6%	38 9.9%	48 9.5%	80 22.2%	85 38.8%	24 23.9%	40 6.6%	239 18.2%	83 34.2%
(4) rather disagree	62 1.8%	58 1.7%	10 1.8%	10 1.4%	9 2.3%	10 3.2%	8 4.0%	17 2.0%	24 2.1%	6 2.6%	4 0.9%	8 1.5%	8 2.3%	7 3.4%	5 5.2%	3 0.6%	26 2.0%	17 6.9%
(5) completely disagree	29 0.9%	29 0.9%	14 2.5%	2 0.3%	1 0.3%	2 0.8%	5 2.3%	10 1.2%	8 0.7%	7 2.8%	6 1.6%	3 0.6%	2 0.7%	1 0.5%	4 3.6%	7 1.1%	10 0.8%	7 3.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2763 81.5%	2793 82.4%	482 87.2%	595 86.0%	311 77.8%	196 62.7%	140 69.8%	683 83.4%	858 77.7%	189 78.0%	340 87.6%	446 88.3%	269 74.8%	125 57.3%	66 67.3%	548 91.7%	1038 79.1%	136 56.0%
Middle Box (3)	537 15.8%	511 15.1%	47 8.5%	85 12.3%	78 19.5%	104 33.3%	48 24.0%	110 13.4%	214 19.4%	40 16.6%	38 9.9%	48 9.5%	80 22.2%	85 38.8%	24 23.9%	40 6.6%	239 18.2%	83 34.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	91 2.7%	87 2.6%	24 4.3%	12 1.7%	10 2.6%	12 4.0%	13 6.2%	26 3.2%	31 2.8%	13 5.4%	10 2.6%	11 2.2%	11 3.0%	9 3.9%	9 8.8%	10 1.7%	36 2.7%	24 9.9%
Mean	1,66	1,64	1,50 bCDE	1,60 aCDE	1,78 ABDe	2,06 ABC	1,97 ABc	1,64 B	1,75 A	1,73	1,45 bCDE	1,58 aCDE	1,88 ABDe	2,14 ABC	2,09 ABc	1,34 BC	1,76 AC	2,28 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2208 39.4%	2207 39.4%	527 48.8%	625 47.5%	359 47.3%	574 64.8%	106 34.6%	702 46.1%	1272 53.6%	229 48.6%	299 43.6%	442 46.7%	299 45.4%	429 66.2%	110 52.7%	563 48.5%	1369 51.0%	260 51.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3390 60.5%	3391 60.6%	550 50.9%	691 52.5%	398 52.4%	314 35.5%	202 65.7%	817 53.7%	1105 46.5%	240 51.0%	385 56.1%	504 53.3%	361 54.8%	219 33.8%	99 47.3%	594 51.2%	1314 49.0%	244 48.4%
<b>Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	690 20.4%	715 21.1%	166 30.3%	134 19.4%	83 20.9%	53 17.0%	25 12.6%	198 24.2%	215 19.5%	52 21.7%	122 31.7%	105 20.8%	66 18.2%	28 12.7%	17 17.7%	185 31.2%	242 18.4%	37 15.1%
(2) rather agree	1074 31.7%	1096 32.3%	147 26.6%	249 36.0%	142 35.7%	85 27.2%	68 33.6%	274 33.6%	348 31.5%	70 29.3%	102 26.4%	185 36.7%	136 37.7%	58 26.4%	32 32.6%	162 27.2%	445 33.8%	82 33.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1161 34.2%	1117 32.9%	151 27.4%	202 29.3%	124 31.1%	141 45.0%	64 31.8%	227 27.8%	386 34.9%	70 29.3%	100 25.8%	138 27.4%	114 31.5%	111 50.6%	35 35.4%	138 23.2%	465 35.4%	80 32.7%
(4) rather disagree	300 8.8%	296 8.7%	36 6.5%	80 11.6%	33 8.2%	28 9.0%	24 12.1%	75 9.2%	106 9.6%	20 8.5%	37 9.7%	58 11.5%	29 8.0%	16 7.4%	9 8.9%	62 10.4%	110 8.4%	29 11.7%
(5) completely disagree	165 4.9%	166 4.9%	51 9.2%	26 3.8%	16 4.1%	6 1.9%	20 9.9%	43 5.2%	50 4.5%	27 11.2%	24 6.3%	18 3.7%	16 4.5%	6 2.9%	5 5.4%	48 8.1%	53 4.1%	17 6.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1764 52.0%	1811 53.4%	313 56.9%	383 55.4%	225 56.6%	139 44.1%	93 46.2%	472 57.8%	563 51.0%	123 51.1%	224 58.2%	290 57.5%	202 55.9%	86 39.1%	50 50.3%	347 58.4%	686 52.2%	119 48.8%
Middle Box (3)	1161 34.2%	1117 32.9%	151 27.4%	202 29.3%	124 31.1%	141 45.0%	64 31.8%	227 27.8%	386 34.9%	70 29.3%	100 25.8%	138 27.4%	114 31.5%	111 50.6%	35 35.4%	138 23.2%	465 35.4%	80 32.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	465 13.7%	463 13.6%	86 15.7%	106 15.4%	49 12.3%	34 10.9%	44 22.0%	118 14.4%	155 14.1%	47 19.7%	62 16.0%	76 15.1%	45 12.5%	23 10.3%	14 14.3%	110 18.5%	163 12.4%	45 18.5%
Mean	2,46	2,44	2,38 E	2,44 E	2,39 E	2,52 e	2,73 ABCd	2,38 bc	2,48 a	2,58 a	2,32 D	2,41 d	2,43 d	2,61 Abc	2,52	2,37 C	2,46 c	2,61 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2209 39.5%	2207 39.4%	531 49.1%	626 47.5%	361 47.6%	572 64.5%	105 34.3%	704 46.3%	1271 53.5%	231 49.0%	302 43.9%	443 46.7%	297 45.2%	429 66.2%	110 52.7%	567 48.8%	1367 51.0%	260 51.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3381 60.4%	3381 60.4%	550 50.9%	689 52.4%	396 52.2%	309 34.9%	203 66.1%	816 53.7%	1097 46.2%	240 51.0%	386 56.3%	502 53.0%	362 55.1%	216 33.3%	97 46.6%	596 51.4%	1306 48.7%	244 48.4%
Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.																		
(1) completely agree	780 23.1%	815 24.1%	230 41.9%	195 28.2%	101 25.6%	63 20.5%	49 24.1%	268 32.8%	302 27.5%	71 29.5%	170 44.0%	159 31.6%	87 24.1%	34 15.6%	21 21.8%	265 44.4%	329 25.1%	47 19.4%
(2) rather agree	930 27.5%	942 27.9%	129 23.4%	268 38.8%	128 32.2%	75 24.3%	65 32.1%	272 33.3%	332 30.3%	61 25.6%	101 26.2%	189 37.6%	135 37.2%	51 23.6%	28 28.6%	148 24.8%	446 34.1%	69 28.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1034 30.6%	994 29.4%	116 21.1%	161 23.4%	124 31.3%	135 43.7%	60 29.7%	196 24.0%	335 30.5%	69 28.7%	73 19.0%	104 20.8%	112 31.0%	106 49.3%	35 36.2%	118 19.8%	386 29.5%	93 38.1%
(4) rather disagree	377 11.2%	375 11.1%	33 6.0%	44 6.4%	34 8.6%	22 7.0%	13 6.3%	46 5.6%	82 7.5%	18 7.5%	20 5.2%	33 6.5%	20 5.5%	16 7.6%	6 5.8%	30 5.1%	97 7.5%	18 7.3%
(5) completely disagree	260 7.7%	255 7.6%	42 7.6%	21 3.1%	9 2.2%	14 4.4%	16 7.8%	35 4.2%	46 4.2%	21 8.7%	22 5.6%	17 3.5%	8 2.1%	8 3.8%	7 7.7%	35 5.9%	49 3.8%	17 6.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1710 50.6%	1757 52.0%	359 65.2%	462 67.1%	229 57.8%	139 44.9%	114 56.2%	540 66.1%	634 57.8%	132 55.1%	271 70.1%	347 69.2%	222 61.4%	85 39.2%	49 50.3%	413 69.2%	774 59.3%	116 47.7%
Middle Box (3)	1034 30.6%	994 29.4%	116 21.1%	161 23.4%	124 31.3%	135 43.7%	60 29.7%	196 24.0%	335 30.5%	69 28.7%	73 19.0%	104 20.8%	112 31.0%	106 49.3%	35 36.2%	118 19.8%	386 29.5%	93 38.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	637 18.8%	630 18.6%	75 13.6%	66 9.5%	43 10.9%	35 11.4%	29 14.1%	81 9.9%	128 11.7%	39 16.2%	42 10.8%	50 10.0%	28 7.6%	25 11.5%	13 13.5%	65 11.0%	147 11.2%	34 14.2%
Mean	2,53	2,50	2,14 cDE	2,17 DE	2,30 aD	2,50 ABC	2,42 AB	2,15 BC	2,31 A	2,40 A	2,02 CDE	2,13 DE	2,24 ADe	2,60 ABC	2,49 ABc	2,03 BC	2,31 AC	2,54 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2218 39.6%	2216 39.6%	531 49.1%	627 47.6%	363 47.8%	577 65.1%	104 33.9%	705 46.3%	1279 53.8%	231 49.0%	300 43.7%	446 47.0%	296 44.9%	432 66.7%	112 53.4%	565 48.6%	1375 51.3%	260 51.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3392 60.6%	3393 60.6%	551 50.9%	692 52.5%	398 52.4%	314 35.5%	204 66.3%	817 53.8%	1106 46.5%	242 51.3%	387 56.4%	505 53.3%	361 54.8%	218 33.7%	99 47.3%	598 51.5%	1315 49.0%	244 48.4%
Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.																		
(1) completely agree	496 14.6%	484 14.3%	97 17.6%	52 7.6%	45 11.4%	25 8.0%	23 11.5%	98 12.0%	111 10.1%	36 14.9%	51 13.2%	50 9.9%	30 8.3%	19 8.7%	15 15.0%	98 16.4%	116 8.8%	30 12.1%
(2) rather agree	822 24.2%	809 23.8%	90 16.4%	174 25.1%	90 22.6%	66 20.9%	54 26.3%	191 23.4%	228 20.6%	56 23.2%	73 18.8%	120 23.7%	93 25.8%	33 15.1%	24 24.5%	121 20.3%	299 22.7%	53 21.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1167 34.4%	1143 33.7%	146 26.5%	213 30.8%	142 35.6%	155 49.4%	73 35.8%	246 30.0%	409 37.0%	75 31.1%	92 23.8%	162 32.1%	133 36.8%	116 53.1%	34 34.4%	145 24.3%	479 36.4%	103 42.1%
(4) rather disagree	554 16.3%	574 16.9%	114 20.7%	152 22.0%	84 21.1%	46 14.5%	30 14.7%	170 20.8%	224 20.2%	32 13.2%	95 24.5%	111 21.9%	70 19.5%	29 13.3%	16 16.7%	116 19.5%	274 20.8%	34 13.9%
(5) completely disagree	353 10.4%	383 11.3%	103 18.7%	101 14.6%	37 9.2%	22 7.1%	24 11.8%	112 13.7%	133 12.0%	43 17.6%	77 19.8%	63 12.4%	34 9.5%	21 9.7%	9 9.3%	117 19.5%	147 11.2%	24 10.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1318 38.9%	1293 38.1%	188 34.1%	226 32.7%	135 34.0%	91 28.9%	77 37.8%	290 35.4%	339 30.7%	92 38.0%	124 32.0%	170 33.6%	123 34.2%	52 23.9%	39 39.6%	220 36.7%	415 31.6%	83 34.0%
Middle Box (3)	1167 34.4%	1143 33.7%	146 26.5%	213 30.8%	142 35.6%	155 49.4%	73 35.8%	246 30.0%	409 37.0%	75 31.1%	92 23.8%	162 32.1%	133 36.8%	116 53.1%	34 34.4%	145 24.3%	479 36.4%	103 42.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	907 26.7%	957 28.2%	217 39.5%	253 36.6%	121 30.4%	68 21.6%	54 26.4%	282 34.5%	357 32.3%	75 30.9%	171 44.3%	173 34.3%	105 29.0%	50 23.0%	26 26.0%	233 39.0%	421 32.0%	58 23.9%
Mean	2,84	2,87	3,06	3,11 cde	2,94 b	2,92 b	2,89 b	3,01	3,04	2,96	3,19 CE	3,03	2,96 A	3,00	2,81 A	3,05	3,03	2,88
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2207 39.4%	2204 39.4%	530 49.1%	625 47.5%	361 47.6%	572 64.5%	103 33.7%	703 46.2%	1270 53.5%	229 48.7%	300 43.6%	442 46.7%	297 45.2%	430 66.3%	110 52.7%	563 48.5%	1367 51.0%	260 51.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

On historic buildings I find the soiling of sculptures unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3399 60.7%	3400 60.7%	552 51.1%	694 52.7%	401 52.8%	314 35.5%	203 66.1%	820 53.9%	1107 46.6%	245 51.9%	388 56.4%	505 53.3%	362 55.0%	220 33.9%	99 47.3%	598 51.5%	1319 49.2%	245 48.7%
On historic buildings I find the soiling of sculptures unappealing.																		
(1) completely agree	931 27.4%	970 28.5%	296 53.6%	245 35.3%	123 30.8%	65 20.8%	58 28.6%	340 41.4%	368 33.2%	84 34.3%	200 51.6%	207 41.0%	105 29.1%	47 21.5%	25 25.2%	324 54.3%	412 31.3%	50 20.4%
(2) rather agree	784 23.1%	790 23.2%	88 16.0%	219 31.6%	112 28.0%	76 24.0%	58 28.4%	212 25.8%	298 26.9%	44 17.8%	74 19.1%	151 29.9%	115 31.9%	60 27.3%	21 20.8%	118 19.8%	382 29.0%	52 21.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	966 28.4%	941 27.7%	87 15.7%	161 23.2%	112 27.9%	133 42.5%	60 29.7%	184 22.4%	303 27.4%	69 28.3%	71 18.2%	96 19.0%	96 26.5%	93 42.5%	38 38.1%	93 15.6%	362 27.5%	99 40.2%
(4) rather disagree	369 10.9%	369 10.8%	40 7.2%	42 6.1%	41 10.2%	19 5.9%	15 7.2%	49 5.9%	86 7.8%	22 8.8%	16 4.2%	35 6.9%	31 8.7%	12 5.4%	7 6.9%	31 5.1%	98 7.4%	26 10.4%
(5) completely disagree	349 10.3%	330 9.7%	41 7.4%	26 3.8%	13 3.1%	21 6.8%	12 6.1%	36 4.4%	52 4.7%	26 10.8%	26 6.8%	16 3.2%	14 3.8%	7 3.3%	9 9.1%	31 5.2%	65 4.9%	19 7.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1715 50.5%	1760 51.8%	384 69.6%	464 66.9%	236 58.8%	141 44.8%	116 57.0%	552 67.3%	665 60.1%	127 52.1%	274 70.7%	358 71.0%	221 61.0%	107 48.8%	45 46.0%	443 74.1%	794 60.2%	102 41.7%
Middle Box (3)	966 28.4%	941 27.7%	87 15.7%	161 23.2%	112 27.9%	133 42.5%	60 29.7%	184 22.4%	303 27.4%	69 28.3%	71 18.2%	96 19.0%	96 26.5%	93 42.5%	38 38.1%	93 15.6%	362 27.5%	99 40.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	718 21.1%	699 20.6%	81 14.7%	69 9.9%	53 13.3%	40 12.7%	27 13.3%	85 10.4%	138 12.5%	48 19.6%	43 11.1%	51 10.1%	45 12.5%	19 8.7%	16 15.9%	62 10.3%	163 12.3%	44 18.1%
Mean	2,54	2,50	1,99 CDE	2,11 cDe	2,27 AbD	2,54 ABCe	2,34 Abd	2,06 BC	2,24 Ac	2,44 Ab	1,96 CDE	2,01 CDE	2,26 ABe	2,42 AB	2,54 ABc	1,87 BC	2,26 AC	2,64 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2200 39.3%	2198 39.3%	528 48.9%	623 47.3%	358 47.2%	572 64.5%	104 33.9%	701 46.1%	1269 53.4%	226 48.1%	299 43.6%	442 46.7%	296 45.0%	428 66.1%	110 52.7%	563 48.5%	1363 50.8%	258 51.3%

BACES





# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

On historic buildings I find damaged sculptures unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3390 60.6%	552 51.1%	692 52.5%	398 52.5%	313 35.3%	201 65.5%	818 53.8%	1103 46.4%	242 51.4%	388 56.5%	501 52.9%	360 54.8%	220 33.9%	98 46.8%	596 51.4%	1313 49.0%	245 48.7%
On historic buildings I find damaged sculptures unappealing.																		
(1) completely agree	1025 30.2%	1082 31.9%	298 54.0%	257 37.1%	116 29.2%	70 22.3%	51 25.4%	340 41.6%	360 32.6%	95 39.1%	203 52.4%	198 39.6%	117 32.4%	45 20.7%	20 20.5%	322 53.9%	420 32.0%	50 20.2%
(2) rather agree	953 28.1%	966 28.5%	97 17.6%	225 32.5%	142 35.7%	82 26.1%	65 32.2%	244 29.8%	326 29.5%	42 17.1%	73 18.9%	170 34.0%	120 33.3%	66 30.1%	30 30.8%	133 22.3%	407 31.0%	68 27.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	918 27.1%	877 25.9%	100 18.1%	149 21.5%	106 26.7%	128 41.0%	60 29.6%	165 20.2%	311 28.2%	70 28.8%	77 19.9%	85 17.0%	87 24.3%	96 43.6%	34 34.3%	90 15.0%	364 27.7%	90 36.8%
(4) rather disagree	274 8.1%	253 7.5%	25 4.4%	38 5.5%	27 6.7%	18 5.6%	11 5.3%	41 5.0%	59 5.3%	18 7.5%	15 3.7%	32 6.3%	24 6.6%	7 3.3%	6 6.5%	22 3.6%	74 5.6%	21 8.4%
(5) completely disagree	223 6.6%	212 6.3%	32 5.9%	24 3.4%	7 1.8%	15 4.9%	15 7.5%	29 3.5%	48 4.4%	18 7.4%	20 5.1%	15 3.1%	12 3.4%	5 2.3%	8 7.9%	30 5.1%	48 3.6%	16 6.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1978 58.3%	2048 60.4%	395 71.6%	482 69.6%	258 64.8%	152 48.4%	116 57.6%	584 71.4%	686 62.2%	136 56.3%	277 71.3%	369 73.6%	237 65.7%	112 50.8%	50 51.3%	455 76.2%	827 63.0%	118 48.1%
Middle Box (3)	918 27.1%	877 25.9%	100 18.1%	149 21.5%	106 26.7%	128 41.0%	60 29.6%	165 20.2%	311 28.2%	70 28.8%	77 19.9%	85 17.0%	87 24.3%	96 43.6%	34 34.3%	90 15.0%	364 27.7%	90 36.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	497 14.6%	465 13.7%	57 10.3%	62 8.9%	34 8.4%	33 10.5%	26 12.8%	69 8.5%	107 9.7%	36 14.9%	34 8.8%	47 9.4%	36 10.0%	12 5.5%	14 14.4%	52 8.7%	122 9.3%	37 15.1%
Mean	2,33	2,28	1,91 bCDE	2,06 aDE	2,16 ADe	2,45 ABC	2,37 ABc	1,99 BC	2,19 A	2,27 A	1,90 CDE	1,99 cDE	2,15 AbdE	2,36 ABc	2,50 ABC	1,84 BC	2,18 AC	2,54 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2206 39.4%	2207 39.4%	528 48.9%	625 47.5%	361 47.5%	573 64.7%	106 34.5%	702 46.2%	1273 53.6%	229 48.6%	298 43.5%	446 47.1%	298 45.2%	428 66.1%	111 53.2%	565 48.6%	1368 51.0%	258 51.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The new sculptures look more attractive than the aged sculptures.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3383 60.4%	3382 60.4%	552 51.0%	692 52.6%	397 52.3%	313 35.3%	202 65.6%	817 53.7%	1104 46.5%	240 50.9%	388 56.4%	504 53.3%	360 54.7%	219 33.8%	98 46.8%	596 51.4%	1313 49.0%	242 48.2%

**The cleaned sculptures look more attractive than the sculptures that were not cleaned.**

(1) completely agree	1082 32.0%	1118 33.0%	297 53.8%	299 43.3%	112 28.3%	76 24.4%	69 34.1%	360 44.1%	399 36.2%	96 40.1%	222 57.2%	211 41.9%	114 31.6%	59 26.9%	22 22.4%	331 55.4%	465 35.4%	55 22.9%
(2) rather agree	939 27.8%	953 28.2%	102 18.6%	227 32.8%	147 37.0%	91 28.9%	61 30.2%	241 29.5%	336 30.4%	52 21.8%	75 19.5%	186 36.8%	127 35.2%	53 24.3%	27 27.8%	139 23.3%	414 31.6%	75 30.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	882 26.1%	839 24.8%	90 16.3%	119 17.2%	101 25.5%	124 39.4%	51 25.2%	157 19.2%	277 25.1%	52 21.8%	63 16.2%	74 14.8%	92 25.7%	95 43.2%	30 30.9%	90 15.2%	318 24.2%	76 31.5%
(4) rather disagree	269 8.0%	262 7.7%	30 5.4%	34 4.9%	29 7.3%	17 5.3%	11 5.3%	35 4.3%	62 5.7%	23 9.7%	12 3.1%	21 4.2%	23 6.3%	8 3.7%	11 11.4%	16 2.7%	80 6.1%	24 10.0%
(5) completely disagree	211 6.2%	210 6.2%	33 5.9%	12 1.8%	7 1.9%	6 1.9%	11 5.2%	24 2.9%	29 2.6%	16 6.5%	16 4.0%	12 2.4%	4 1.2%	4 1.9%	7 7.5%	20 3.3%	36 2.7%	12 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	2021 59.7%	2071 61.2%	399 72.4%	527 76.1%	259 65.3%	167 53.3%	130 64.3%	602 73.6%	735 66.6%	149 61.9%	297 76.7%	397 78.7%	240 66.8%	112 51.2%	49 50.2%	470 78.8%	879 67.0%	130 53.7%
Middle Box (3)	882 26.1%	839 24.8%	90 16.3%	119 17.2%	101 25.5%	124 39.4%	51 25.2%	157 19.2%	277 25.1%	52 21.8%	63 16.2%	74 14.8%	92 25.7%	95 43.2%	30 30.9%	90 15.2%	318 24.2%	76 31.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	480 14.2%	472 14.0%	63 11.3%	47 6.8%	36 9.2%	23 7.2%	21 10.6%	59 7.2%	92 8.3%	39 16.2%	28 7.1%	33 6.6%	27 7.5%	12 5.6%	18 18.9%	36 6.1%	115 8.8%	36 14.8%
Mean	2,29	2,26	1,91 CDE	1,89 CDE	2,17 AB	2,31 AB	2,17 AB	1,92 BC	2,08 A	2,21 A	1,77 CDE	1,88 CDE	2,10 ABdE	2,29 ABc	2,54 ABC	1,75 BC	2,09 AC	2,43 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2216 39.6%	2215 39.6%	529 49.0%	624 47.4%	362 47.7%	573 64.7%	106 34.4%	704 46.3%	1272 53.5%	231 49.1%	299 43.6%	443 46.7%	298 45.3%	429 66.2%	111 53.2%	565 48.6%	1369 51.0%	261 51.8%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**The contrast between the new and the aged sculptures is irritating.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3383 60.4%	549 50.8%	693 52.6%	398 52.5%	313 35.3%	200 65.1%	816 53.7%	1102 46.4%	241 51.2%	387 56.3%	504 53.2%	361 54.9%	219 33.8%	95 45.6%	595 51.2%	1314 49.0%	244 48.4%
<b>The contrast between the cleaned and the uncleaned sculptures is irritating.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1019 30.1%	1016 30.0%	235 42.8%	202 29.2%	89 22.4%	56 17.9%	45 22.4%	269 33.0%	294 26.7%	66 27.4%	156 40.4%	144 28.6%	98 27.1%	36 16.6%	21 21.6%	240 40.3%	345 26.2%	43 17.8%
(2) rather agree	965 28.5%	956 28.2%	121 22.0%	213 30.8%	120 30.1%	82 26.1%	60 30.2%	235 28.8%	311 28.2%	53 22.2%	94 24.3%	175 34.8%	109 30.2%	56 25.7%	25 26.2%	162 27.3%	365 27.8%	69 28.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	987 29.1%	980 29.0%	119 21.6%	198 28.5%	143 36.0%	147 46.8%	64 31.9%	211 25.9%	375 34.1%	84 34.8%	91 23.5%	125 24.8%	112 31.0%	107 48.8%	37 38.5%	121 20.4%	453 34.5%	94 38.7%
(4) rather disagree	224 6.6%	231 6.8%	33 6.0%	49 7.1%	31 7.8%	20 6.3%	14 7.1%	56 6.9%	77 7.0%	14 5.9%	23 5.9%	40 8.0%	28 7.9%	10 4.5%	6 6.8%	35 6.0%	96 7.3%	15 6.1%
(5) completely disagree	191 5.6%	201 5.9%	42 7.6%	30 4.4%	15 3.8%	9 3.0%	17 8.4%	45 5.5%	45 4.1%	24 9.8%	23 5.9%	20 3.9%	14 3.9%	10 4.5%	7 7.0%	35 6.0%	55 4.2%	22 9.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1984 58.6%	1971 58.3%	356 64.8%	416 60.0%	209 52.5%	138 44.0%	105 52.6%	504 61.8%	605 54.9%	119 49.6%	250 64.7%	319 63.3%	207 57.3%	93 42.3%	45 47.7%	402 67.7%	710 54.0%	112 46.1%
Middle Box (3)	987 29.1%	980 29.0%	119 21.6%	198 28.5%	143 36.0%	147 46.8%	64 31.9%	211 25.9%	375 34.1%	84 34.8%	91 23.5%	125 24.8%	112 31.0%	107 48.8%	37 38.5%	121 20.4%	453 34.5%	94 38.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	415 12.3%	431 12.8%	75 13.6%	79 11.5%	46 11.5%	29 9.2%	31 15.5%	101 12.3%	122 11.0%	38 15.7%	46 11.8%	60 11.9%	42 11.7%	20 9.0%	13 13.8%	71 11.9%	151 11.5%	37 15.2%
Mean	2,29	2,30	2,14 CDE	2,27 cDe	2,40 Ab	2,50 AB	2,49 Ab	2,23 bC	2,34 a	2,49 A	2,13 cDE	2,24 De	2,31 aD	2,55 ABC	2,51 Ab	2,10 BC	2,35 AC	2,60 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2213 39.5%	2214 39.6%	531 49.2%	624 47.4%	361 47.5%	573 64.7%	107 34.9%	705 46.3%	1273 53.6%	230 48.8%	300 43.7%	443 46.8%	297 45.1%	429 66.2%	114 54.4%	566 48.8%	1367 51.0%	260 51.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

The aged sculptures look more authentic.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3379 60.4%	3379 60.4%	549 50.8%	687 52.2%	398 52.5%	311 35.1%	202 65.8%	813 53.4%	1102 46.4%	238 50.6%	385 56.1%	502 53.0%	361 54.8%	219 33.8%	97 46.4%	595 51.2%	1306 48.7%	244 48.4%

The sculptures that were not yet cleaned look more authentic.

(1) completely agree	557 16.5%	537 15.9%	99 18.0%	71 10.4%	37 9.4%	24 7.6%	31 15.5%	108 13.3%	114 10.4%	42 17.8%	58 15.0%	61 12.2%	36 10.0%	12 5.4%	12 12.6%	109 18.4%	122 9.4%	32 13.0%
(2) rather agree	838 24.8%	828 24.5%	109 19.9%	157 22.8%	115 28.8%	63 20.3%	50 24.5%	188 23.1%	255 23.2%	52 22.0%	73 19.1%	116 23.1%	100 27.7%	46 21.1%	21 21.3%	122 20.5%	310 23.8%	59 24.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1160 34.3%	1142 33.8%	140 25.6%	223 32.4%	152 38.2%	166 53.6%	67 33.4%	246 30.3%	416 37.8%	86 36.2%	102 26.4%	154 30.6%	133 36.9%	114 52.2%	37 38.1%	142 23.8%	511 39.1%	94 38.5%
(4) rather disagree	480 14.2%	489 14.5%	73 13.4%	156 22.7%	64 16.1%	28 9.2%	30 15.1%	147 18.1%	179 16.2%	27 11.1%	65 16.8%	112 22.2%	53 14.7%	21 9.7%	17 17.3%	102 17.1%	214 16.4%	37 15.0%
(5) completely disagree	344 10.2%	383 11.3%	127 23.2%	81 11.7%	30 7.5%	29 9.4%	23 11.5%	123 15.2%	138 12.5%	31 12.8%	88 22.8%	60 11.9%	39 10.7%	25 11.5%	10 10.7%	120 20.2%	149 11.4%	23 9.3%
Top Box (1+2)	1395 41.3%	1365 40.4%	208 37.9%	228 33.2%	152 38.2%	87 27.9%	81 40.1%	296 36.4%	370 33.6%	95 39.8%	131 34.1%	177 35.2%	136 37.7%	58 26.5%	33 33.9%	232 38.9%	432 33.1%	91 37.2%
Middle Box (3)	1160 34.3%	1142 33.8%	140 25.6%	223 32.4%	152 38.2%	166 53.6%	67 33.4%	246 30.3%	416 37.8%	86 36.2%	102 26.4%	154 30.6%	133 36.9%	114 52.2%	37 38.1%	142 23.8%	511 39.1%	94 38.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	824 24.4%	872 25.8%	201 36.6%	236 34.4%	94 23.6%	58 18.5%	54 26.6%	271 33.3%	316 28.7%	57 24.0%	153 39.6%	171 34.1%	92 25.4%	46 21.2%	27 28.0%	221 37.2%	363 27.8%	59 24.3%
Mean	2,77	2,81	3,04 c	3,03 Ce	2,84 aB	2,92	2,82 b	2,99 c	2,97 c	2,79 ab	3,13 C	2,99	2,88 A	3,01	2,92	3,00	2,97	2,83
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2220 39.6%	2218 39.6%	531 49.2%	630 47.8%	361 47.5%	575 64.9%	105 34.2%	708 46.6%	1273 53.6%	233 49.4%	301 43.9%	445 47.0%	297 45.2%	429 66.2%	112 53.6%	566 48.8%	1376 51.3%	260 51.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3376 60.3%	3378 60.4%	550 50.9%	690 52.4%	397 52.3%	313 35.3%	202 65.8%	815 53.6%	1102 46.4%	241 51.2%	386 56.2%	503 53.1%	361 54.8%	220 33.9%	98 46.8%	596 51.4%	1312 48.9%	242 48.1%
On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).																		
(1) completely agree	267 7.9%	272 8.0%	73 13.3%	51 7.5%	25 6.2%	14 4.6%	23 11.2%	82 10.1%	80 7.3%	24 10.2%	45 11.6%	41 8.2%	29 7.9%	10 4.7%	11 11.4%	85 14.2%	81 6.2%	21 8.7%
(2) rather agree	495 14.7%	483 14.3%	63 11.4%	110 15.9%	76 19.2%	53 17.0%	41 20.5%	161 19.8%	161 14.6%	24 9.9%	52 13.4%	98 19.6%	80 22.1%	27 12.4%	18 18.7%	86 14.4%	223 17.0%	35 14.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1113 33.0%	1103 32.7%	153 27.8%	199 28.8%	130 32.9%	157 50.0%	75 36.9%	257 31.6%	381 34.6%	75 30.9%	107 27.7%	143 28.4%	127 35.2%	109 49.5%	37 37.4%	141 23.7%	474 36.1%	97 40.2%
(4) rather disagree	666 19.7%	666 19.7%	69 12.5%	158 22.9%	89 22.5%	43 13.7%	32 16.1%	125 15.3%	231 21.0%	36 15.1%	58 15.0%	94 18.7%	75 20.8%	42 19.1%	11 11.1%	96 16.2%	249 19.0%	44 18.3%
(5) completely disagree	835 24.7%	854 25.3%	193 35.0%	172 24.9%	76 19.2%	46 14.7%	31 15.3%	189 23.2%	248 22.5%	82 33.9%	125 32.4%	127 25.2%	50 14.0%	31 14.2%	21 21.3%	188 31.5%	286 21.8%	44 18.1%
Top Box (1+2)	762 22.6%	754 22.3%	136 24.7%	161 23.4%	101 25.4%	67 21.5%	64 31.7%	243 29.9%	241 21.9%	48 20.0%	96 24.9%	140 27.7%	108 30.1%	38 17.1%	29 30.1%	171 28.6%	304 23.2%	57 23.3%
Middle Box (3)	1113 33.0%	1103 32.7%	153 27.8%	199 28.8%	130 32.9%	157 50.0%	75 36.9%	257 31.6%	381 34.6%	75 30.9%	107 27.7%	143 28.4%	127 35.2%	109 49.5%	37 37.4%	141 23.7%	474 36.1%	97 40.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1501 44.5%	1521 45.0%	261 47.5%	330 47.8%	166 41.7%	89 28.5%	63 31.4%	314 38.6%	479 43.5%	118 49.0%	183 47.3%	221 43.9%	125 34.7%	73 33.4%	32 32.4%	284 47.7%	535 40.7%	88 36.4%
Mean	3,39	3,40	3,45 DE	3,42 DE	3,29 e	3,17 AB	3,04 ABc	3,22 BC	3,37 A	3,53 A	3,43 Ce	3,33 C	3,11 AB	3,26	3,12 a	3,36	3,33	3,23
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2223 39.7%	2219 39.6%	531 49.1%	626 47.6%	362 47.7%	573 64.7%	105 34.2%	706 46.4%	1274 53.6%	230 48.8%	301 43.8%	444 46.9%	297 45.2%	428 66.1%	111 53.2%	565 48.6%	1369 51.1%	261 51.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3386 60.5%	3387 60.5%	548 50.8%	692 52.5%	399 52.6%	311 35.1%	203 66.0%	815 53.6%	1103 46.4%	240 51.0%	386 56.2%	504 53.2%	361 54.8%	220 33.9%	96 46.2%	594 51.2%	1313 49.0%	244 48.4%
<b>Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1186 35.0%	1261 37.2%	279 50.9%	235 33.9%	113 28.3%	67 21.6%	48 23.5%	291 35.7%	355 32.2%	98 41.0%	196 50.8%	163 32.4%	103 28.5%	41 18.7%	23 23.8%	320 53.8%	380 29.0%	43 17.7%
(2) rather agree	1039 30.7%	1038 30.7%	121 22.1%	251 36.2%	143 35.8%	87 27.9%	63 30.9%	281 34.4%	332 30.1%	52 21.8%	89 23.0%	195 38.8%	126 34.8%	61 27.8%	27 28.3%	148 24.9%	445 33.9%	70 28.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	952 28.1%	899 26.6%	107 19.5%	174 25.2%	121 30.3%	143 46.1%	72 35.3%	196 24.1%	351 31.9%	69 28.8%	78 20.2%	123 24.3%	111 30.8%	102 46.4%	35 36.3%	100 16.9%	413 31.5%	102 41.8%
(4) rather disagree	142 4.2%	124 3.7%	25 4.6%	23 3.4%	19 4.7%	8 2.6%	12 6.1%	32 3.9%	50 4.6%	6 2.4%	17 4.4%	15 3.0%	19 5.4%	10 4.7%	5 5.1%	17 2.9%	51 3.9%	18 7.4%
(5) completely disagree	67 2.0%	64 1.9%	16 2.9%	9 1.3%	4 1.0%	6 1.8%	8 4.1%	15 1.9%	14 1.2%	14 6.0%	6 1.7%	7 1.5%	2 0.5%	5 2.3%	6 6.5%	9 1.5%	23 1.8%	11 4.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2225 65.7%	2299 67.9%	401 73.0%	485 70.2%	256 64.1%	154 49.5%	110 54.4%	572 70.1%	688 62.3%	151 62.8%	285 73.7%	359 71.2%	229 63.4%	102 46.5%	50 52.1%	468 78.7%	826 62.9%	113 46.3%
Middle Box (3)	952 28.1%	899 26.6%	107 19.5%	174 25.2%	121 30.3%	143 46.1%	72 35.3%	196 24.1%	351 31.9%	69 28.8%	78 20.2%	123 24.3%	111 30.8%	102 46.4%	35 36.3%	100 16.9%	413 31.5%	102 41.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	209 6.2%	188 5.5%	41 7.5%	32 4.6%	23 5.7%	14 4.4%	21 10.2%	47 5.8%	64 5.8%	20 8.4%	23 6.0%	23 4.5%	21 5.9%	15 7.1%	11 11.5%	26 4.4%	74 5.6%	29 11.9%
Mean	2,07 b	2,02 a	1,86 BCDE	2,02 AcDE	2,14 AbDE	2,35 ABC	2,36 ABC	2,02 b	2,12 a	2,11	1,83 BCDE	2,02 ADE	2,14 ADe	2,44 ABC	2,42 ABc	1,73 BC	2,16 AC	2,52 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2213 39.5%	2211 39.5%	532 49.2%	625 47.5%	360 47.4%	575 64.9%	105 34.0%	706 46.4%	1273 53.6%	231 49.0%	301 43.8%	443 46.8%	297 45.2%	428 66.1%	112 53.8%	567 48.8%	1368 51.0%	260 51.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

**On modern monuments I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.**

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3392 60.6%	3392 60.6%	552 51.1%	691 52.5%	400 52.7%	314 35.5%	202 65.9%	817 53.7%	1107 46.6%	242 51.4%	386 56.3%	504 53.2%	361 54.9%	220 33.9%	98 46.9%	597 51.4%	1316 49.1%	243 48.2%
<b>On modern monuments I find the soiling of the facade unappealing.</b>																		
(1) completely agree	1257 37.1%	1301 38.3%	331 60.1%	310 44.8%	133 33.3%	75 23.8%	58 28.7%	381 46.6%	423 38.2%	107 44.1%	240 62.2%	231 45.8%	118 32.6%	51 23.3%	27 27.7%	364 61.0%	488 37.1%	55 22.6%
(2) rather agree	790 23.3%	795 23.4%	80 14.5%	185 26.7%	116 29.1%	65 20.7%	46 22.7%	198 24.2%	249 22.5%	46 18.9%	58 15.1%	138 27.3%	102 28.3%	47 21.4%	18 18.3%	103 17.2%	335 25.5%	52 21.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	864 25.5%	839 24.7%	83 15.1%	136 19.6%	109 27.1%	149 47.4%	70 34.5%	169 20.7%	321 29.0%	58 24.1%	58 15.0%	99 19.5%	97 26.9%	97 44.3%	36 36.8%	81 13.6%	375 28.5%	90 37.3%
(4) rather disagree	226 6.7%	209 6.2%	23 4.1%	32 4.6%	36 9.1%	17 5.3%	15 7.6%	37 4.5%	74 6.7%	13 5.2%	12 3.2%	23 4.6%	32 8.7%	15 6.6%	8 8.6%	22 3.7%	78 6.0%	22 8.9%
(5) completely disagree	255 7.5%	248 7.3%	34 6.2%	29 4.2%	5 1.3%	9 2.8%	13 6.4%	32 3.9%	41 3.7%	19 7.8%	18 4.6%	13 2.7%	12 3.4%	10 4.4%	8 8.6%	27 4.5%	40 3.0%	24 9.7%
Top Box (1+2)	2047 60.3%	2096 61.8%	412 74.6%	494 71.5%	250 62.4%	140 44.4%	104 51.5%	579 70.9%	671 60.7%	152 63.0%	298 77.2%	369 73.2%	220 61.0%	98 44.7%	45 46.0%	467 78.2%	823 62.5%	107 44.0%
Middle Box (3)	864 25.5%	839 24.7%	83 15.1%	136 19.6%	109 27.1%	149 47.4%	70 34.5%	169 20.7%	321 29.0%	58 24.1%	58 15.0%	99 19.5%	97 26.9%	97 44.3%	36 36.8%	81 13.6%	375 28.5%	90 37.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	481 14.2%	457 13.5%	57 10.3%	61 8.8%	42 10.4%	26 8.1%	28 14.0%	69 8.4%	114 10.3%	31 13.0%	30 7.8%	37 7.3%	44 12.1%	24 11.0%	17 17.2%	49 8.2%	118 9.0%	45 18.7%
Mean	2,24	2,21	1,82 bCDE	1,97 aCDE	2,16 ABDE	2,43 ABC	2,40 ABC	1,95 Bc	2,15 A	2,14 a	1,73 bCDE	1,91 aCDE	2,22 ABDe	2,47 ABC	2,52 ABc	1,74 BC	2,12 AC	2,62 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2207 39.4%	2205 39.4%	529 48.9%	626 47.5%	359 47.3%	572 64.5%	105 34.1%	704 46.3%	1269 53.4%	229 48.6%	300 43.7%	443 46.8%	297 45.1%	428 66.1%	111 53.1%	564 48.6%	1365 50.9%	261 51.8%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

On modern monuments I find damages on the facade unappealing.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3393 60.6%	3393 60.6%	553 51.1%	691 52.5%	397 52.3%	314 35.5%	203 66.0%	818 53.8%	1106 46.6%	240 50.9%	388 56.4%	503 53.2%	362 55.0%	218 33.7%	99 47.3%	599 51.6%	1313 49.0%	243 48.3%
On modern monuments I find damages on the facade unappealing.																		
(1) completely agree	1340 39.5%	1394 41.1%	344 62.2%	319 46.1%	143 35.9%	73 23.4%	55 27.3%	393 48.1%	436 39.4%	109 45.2%	248 63.8%	245 48.6%	120 33.1%	57 25.9%	26 26.2%	372 62.1%	500 38.1%	62 25.6%
(2) rather agree	824 24.3%	825 24.3%	81 14.7%	178 25.7%	110 27.8%	68 21.5%	44 21.7%	191 23.3%	248 22.5%	42 17.3%	62 16.0%	126 25.0%	101 27.9%	42 19.4%	21 21.7%	101 16.9%	322 24.5%	56 22.9%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	834 24.6%	803 23.7%	76 13.7%	145 21.0%	113 28.5%	146 46.6%	69 34.2%	163 19.9%	329 29.7%	60 25.1%	52 13.4%	96 19.1%	103 28.3%	100 46.0%	35 35.5%	80 13.4%	386 29.4%	83 34.2%
(4) rather disagree	172 5.1%	155 4.6%	19 3.4%	26 3.8%	26 6.5%	15 4.8%	16 8.0%	34 4.1%	56 5.1%	12 4.9%	11 2.7%	23 4.6%	25 6.9%	10 4.4%	6 6.6%	17 2.9%	62 4.7%	23 9.3%
(5) completely disagree	223 6.6%	215 6.3%	33 6.0%	24 3.4%	5 1.2%	12 3.8%	18 8.9%	37 4.6%	37 3.4%	18 7.4%	16 4.1%	14 2.8%	14 3.8%	10 4.4%	10 10.1%	28 4.7%	44 3.3%	19 8.0%
Top Box (1+2)	2164 63.8%	2219 65.4%	425 76.9%	496 71.8%	253 63.8%	141 44.9%	99 49.0%	584 71.4%	684 61.8%	150 62.5%	310 79.8%	370 73.5%	221 61.0%	99 45.3%	47 47.9%	473 79.0%	822 62.6%	118 48.5%
Middle Box (3)	834 24.6%	803 23.7%	76 13.7%	145 21.0%	113 28.5%	146 46.6%	69 34.2%	163 19.9%	329 29.7%	60 25.1%	52 13.4%	96 19.1%	103 28.3%	100 46.0%	35 35.5%	80 13.4%	386 29.4%	83 34.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	395 11.6%	370 10.9%	52 9.4%	50 7.2%	31 7.8%	27 8.6%	34 16.9%	71 8.7%	94 8.5%	30 12.3%	26 6.8%	37 7.4%	39 10.7%	19 8.8%	16 16.6%	45 7.5%	105 8.0%	42 17.3%
Mean	2,15	2,11	1,76 BCDE	1,93 ACDE	2,09 ABDE	2,44 ABC	2,49 ABC	1,94 Bc	2,11 A	2,12 a	1,67 BCDE	1,88 ACDE	2,20 ABde	2,42 ABc	2,53 ABc	1,71 BC	2,11 AC	2,51 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2206 39.4%	2205 39.4%	528 48.9%	625 47.5%	362 47.7%	572 64.5%	105 34.0%	703 46.2%	1269 53.4%	231 49.1%	299 43.6%	444 46.8%	296 45.0%	429 66.3%	110 52.7%	562 48.4%	1368 51.0%	260 51.7%

BACES





# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Modern monuments are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3396 60.7%	3396 60.7%	552 51.1%	693 52.6%	399 52.5%	314 35.5%	202 65.9%	818 53.8%	1105 46.5%	243 51.5%	387 56.3%	504 53.2%	361 54.8%	220 33.9%	98 46.9%	596 51.4%	1317 49.1%	243 48.3%
Modern monuments are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.																		
(1) completely agree	762 22.4%	763 22.5%	194 35.1%	150 21.6%	68 17.0%	38 12.2%	34 16.6%	221 27.0%	208 18.8%	57 23.4%	139 35.9%	95 18.9%	72 19.8%	30 13.9%	20 20.5%	183 30.7%	260 19.8%	42 17.5%
(2) rather agree	941 27.7%	934 27.5%	148 26.7%	223 32.1%	120 30.2%	71 22.5%	41 20.4%	245 30.0%	309 27.9%	50 20.4%	95 24.5%	176 34.9%	117 32.4%	46 21.0%	21 21.4%	149 24.9%	395 30.0%	58 23.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1026 30.2%	1029 30.3%	110 19.9%	186 26.8%	131 33.0%	158 50.3%	78 38.7%	207 25.2%	386 34.9%	73 30.0%	89 22.9%	143 28.3%	119 32.9%	104 47.5%	34 34.5%	134 22.5%	435 33.0%	93 38.1%
(4) rather disagree	461 13.6%	468 13.8%	54 9.8%	111 16.0%	59 14.7%	31 9.7%	23 11.6%	102 12.4%	142 12.9%	34 13.9%	44 11.3%	74 14.6%	37 10.4%	23 10.6%	16 16.8%	93 15.5%	153 11.6%	30 12.5%
(5) completely disagree	206 6.1%	202 5.9%	47 8.5%	24 3.5%	20 5.1%	16 5.2%	26 12.7%	44 5.3%	61 5.5%	30 12.2%	21 5.4%	17 3.3%	16 4.5%	15 7.0%	7 6.8%	38 6.4%	74 5.6%	20 8.1%
Top Box (1+2)	1703 50.1%	1698 50.0%	341 61.8%	372 53.7%	188 47.2%	109 34.7%	75 37.0%	466 57.0%	516 46.7%	106 43.9%	234 60.4%	271 53.7%	188 52.2%	77 34.9%	41 42.0%	331 55.6%	655 49.8%	100 41.2%
Middle Box (3)	1026 30.2%	1029 30.3%	110 19.9%	186 26.8%	131 33.0%	158 50.3%	78 38.7%	207 25.2%	386 34.9%	73 30.0%	89 22.9%	143 28.3%	119 32.9%	104 47.5%	34 34.5%	134 22.5%	435 33.0%	93 38.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	667 19.6%	670 19.7%	101 18.3%	135 19.5%	79 19.8%	47 15.0%	49 24.3%	146 17.8%	203 18.4%	63 26.1%	65 16.7%	91 18.0%	54 14.9%	39 17.6%	23 23.5%	131 21.9%	227 17.2%	50 20.7%
Mean	2,53	2,53	2,30 BCDE	2,48 ADE	2,61 Ae	2,73 AB	2,83 ABc	2,39 BC	2,58 A	2,71 A	2,26 BCDE	2,49 AD	2,47 AD	2,76 ABC	2,68 A	2,42 bC	2,53 ac	2,70 Ab
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2203 39.3%	2201 39.3%	529 48.9%	624 47.4%	360 47.5%	572 64.5%	105 34.1%	702 46.2%	1270 53.5%	228 48.5%	300 43.7%	443 46.8%	297 45.2%	428 66.1%	111 53.1%	565 48.6%	1364 50.9%	260 51.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Modern monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3395 60.6%	3395 60.7%	551 51.0%	692 52.6%	400 52.7%	314 35.5%	202 65.8%	818 53.8%	1106 46.6%	241 51.2%	387 56.3%	504 53.2%	362 55.1%	220 33.9%	99 47.3%	596 51.3%	1319 49.2%	244 48.4%
Modern monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.																		
(1) completely agree	989 29.1%	1000 29.4%	272 49.4%	245 35.4%	108 27.1%	62 19.8%	45 22.1%	314 38.4%	330 29.8%	91 37.7%	185 47.7%	195 38.7%	94 26.0%	41 18.8%	24 24.3%	298 50.1%	383 29.0%	53 21.7%
(2) rather agree	1088 32.0%	1076 31.7%	135 24.5%	254 36.8%	138 34.6%	86 27.3%	60 29.8%	269 32.9%	344 31.1%	60 24.9%	101 26.2%	186 36.9%	124 34.3%	54 24.6%	21 21.7%	148 24.9%	450 34.1%	75 30.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	932 27.5%	921 27.1%	86 15.6%	142 20.5%	120 30.0%	134 42.6%	69 34.4%	170 20.8%	321 29.0%	61 25.3%	69 17.8%	91 18.0%	109 30.1%	104 47.5%	34 34.4%	94 15.8%	373 28.3%	82 33.8%
(4) rather disagree	217 6.4%	217 6.4%	19 3.4%	33 4.8%	28 7.0%	22 7.1%	10 5.1%	37 4.5%	63 5.7%	15 6.3%	11 2.9%	17 3.3%	24 6.5%	13 6.1%	12 11.8%	20 3.4%	71 5.4%	20 8.3%
(5) completely disagree	169 5.0%	181 5.3%	39 7.1%	18 2.5%	5 1.3%	10 3.2%	17 8.6%	28 3.5%	47 4.3%	14 5.9%	21 5.4%	16 3.2%	11 3.1%	7 3.1%	8 7.8%	35 5.8%	41 3.1%	14 5.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2077 61.2%	2076 61.1%	407 73.9%	499 72.2%	247 61.7%	148 47.0%	105 51.9%	583 71.3%	674 61.0%	151 62.6%	286 73.9%	381 75.6%	219 60.3%	95 43.4%	45 46.0%	446 75.0%	833 63.1%	128 52.4%
Middle Box (3)	932 27.5%	921 27.1%	86 15.6%	142 20.5%	120 30.0%	134 42.6%	69 34.4%	170 20.8%	321 29.0%	61 25.3%	69 17.8%	91 18.0%	109 30.1%	104 47.5%	34 34.4%	94 15.8%	373 28.3%	82 33.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	386 11.4%	398 11.7%	58 10.5%	51 7.4%	33 8.3%	33 10.4%	28 13.8%	65 7.9%	110 10.0%	29 12.1%	32 8.3%	32 6.4%	35 9.6%	20 9.1%	19 19.6%	55 9.2%	113 8.5%	34 13.8%
Mean	2,26	2,26	1,94 CDE	2,02 CDE	2,21 ABDE	2,47 ABC	2,48 ABC	2,02 Bc	2,23 A	2,18 a	1,92 CDE	1,95 CDE	2,26 ABDe	2,50 ABC	2,57 ABc	1,90 BC	2,20 AC	2,45 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2204 39.4%	2202 39.3%	529 49.0%	625 47.4%	359 47.3%	572 64.5%	105 34.2%	702 46.2%	1269 53.4%	230 48.8%	300 43.7%	443 46.8%	296 44.9%	428 66.1%	110 52.7%	565 48.7%	1363 50.8%	260 51.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

Modern monuments should always look 'as good as new'.

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	3390 60.5%	3390 60.6%	551 51.0%	692 52.5%	399 52.6%	313 35.4%	200 65.1%	817 53.7%	1104 46.5%	241 51.2%	388 56.4%	503 53.1%	360 54.7%	219 33.8%	99 47.3%	595 51.2%	1315 49.0%	244 48.4%
Modern monuments should always look 'as good as new'.																		
(1) completely agree	783 23.1%	766 22.6%	224 40.6%	173 25.0%	80 20.1%	42 13.5%	29 14.4%	233 28.6%	242 21.9%	76 31.5%	155 40.0%	119 23.7%	78 21.8%	31 14.1%	21 20.8%	246 41.3%	272 20.7%	33 13.6%
(2) rather agree	992 29.3%	1003 29.6%	158 28.6%	244 35.3%	133 33.3%	78 24.8%	51 25.7%	272 33.4%	323 29.2%	69 28.7%	111 28.7%	189 37.6%	110 30.6%	48 21.9%	20 20.4%	180 30.2%	419 31.9%	63 25.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	1157 34.1%	1147 33.8%	112 20.4%	213 30.8%	143 36.0%	146 46.5%	83 41.7%	219 26.8%	414 37.5%	68 28.1%	86 22.3%	153 30.3%	128 35.6%	111 50.9%	31 31.4%	124 20.8%	481 36.6%	93 38.0%
(4) rather disagree	274 8.1%	278 8.2%	32 5.8%	43 6.2%	32 8.0%	32 10.3%	16 8.2%	64 7.8%	83 7.5%	10 4.2%	21 5.5%	28 5.7%	31 8.6%	22 10.1%	19 18.8%	24 4.1%	98 7.5%	33 13.6%
(5) completely disagree	184 5.4%	196 5.8%	25 4.5%	18 2.6%	11 2.6%	15 4.9%	20 10.1%	28 3.4%	43 3.9%	18 7.5%	14 3.5%	13 2.7%	12 3.5%	7 3.0%	8 8.5%	22 3.7%	44 3.3%	22 9.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1775 52.4%	1769 52.2%	381 69.2%	417 60.3%	213 53.4%	120 38.3%	80 40.0%	506 61.9%	564 51.1%	145 60.2%	266 68.7%	309 61.4%	188 52.3%	79 36.0%	41 41.2%	425 71.5%	692 52.6%	96 39.3%
Middle Box (3)	1157 34.1%	1147 33.8%	112 20.4%	213 30.8%	143 36.0%	146 46.5%	83 41.7%	219 26.8%	414 37.5%	68 28.1%	86 22.3%	153 30.3%	128 35.6%	111 50.9%	31 31.4%	124 20.8%	481 36.6%	93 38.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	458 13.5%	474 14.0%	57 10.3%	61 8.8%	42 10.6%	48 15.2%	37 18.3%	92 11.2%	126 11.4%	28 11.7%	35 9.0%	42 8.3%	44 12.1%	29 13.1%	27 27.4%	46 7.7%	142 10.8%	55 22.7%
Mean	2,43	2,45	2,05 BCDE	2,26 AcDE	2,40 AbDE	2,68 ABC	2,74 ABC	2,24 B	2,42 A	2,28	2,04 BCDE	2,26 AcDE	2,41 AbDE	2,66 ABC	2,74 ABC	1,99 BC	2,41 AC	2,79 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2209 39.5%	2207 39.4%	530 49.0%	625 47.5%	360 47.4%	573 64.6%	107 34.9%	704 46.3%	1272 53.5%	230 48.8%	299 43.6%	444 46.9%	298 45.3%	429 66.2%	110 52.7%	566 48.8%	1367 51.0%	260 51.6%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

## How often do you visit historic monuments in your leisure time or on weekends

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4318 77.1%	4316 77.1%	1061 98.2%	1306 99.2%	756 99.6%	876 98.9%	303 98.8%	1500 98.6%	2355 99.1%	461 98.0%	674 98.1%	941 99.4%	649 98.6%	644 99.3%	206 98.7%	1145 98.6%	2655 99.0%	499 99.1%
<b>Visiting monuments: On the weekend or in your leisure time</b>																		
(1) almost always	162 3.8%	161 3.7%	69 6.5%	44 3.3%	22 3.0%	19 2.1%	7 2.3%	94 6.2%	59 2.5%	8 1.7%	52 7.7%	46 4.9%	18 2.8%	9 1.5%	6 3.0%	84 7.4%	68 2.6%	7 1.4%
(2) frequently	610 14.1%	623 14.4%	174 16.4%	213 16.3%	98 13.0%	87 9.9%	49 16.1%	319 21.3%	263 11.2%	40 8.8%	126 18.7%	163 17.3%	113 17.4%	73 11.4%	30 14.6%	245 21.4%	342 12.9%	35 7.1%
(3) occasionally	1594 36.9%	1618 37.5%	392 36.9%	531 40.7%	286 37.8%	313 35.7%	90 29.8%	577 38.5%	933 39.6%	108 23.4%	270 40.0%	397 42.2%	247 38.1%	277 43.1%	79 38.5%	467 40.8%	1045 39.4%	101 20.2%
(4) rarely	1138 26.4%	1119 25.9%	263 24.7%	323 24.7%	225 29.8%	230 26.2%	75 24.8%	337 22.5%	653 27.7%	129 28.0%	146 21.7%	231 24.6%	177 27.2%	156 24.2%	52 25.2%	215 18.8%	743 28.0%	156 31.3%
(5) almost never	814 18.9%	795 18.4%	164 15.5%	195 15.0%	124 16.4%	228 26.0%	82 27.0%	173 11.5%	447 19.0%	176 38.1%	80 11.9%	104 11.0%	94 14.5%	128 19.9%	39 18.7%	133 11.6%	458 17.2%	199 40.0%
Top Box (1+2)	772 17.9%	783 18.1%	243 22.9%	256 19.6%	121 16.0%	105 12.0%	56 18.4%	413 27.5%	322 13.7%	48 10.5%	178 26.4%	209 22.2%	131 20.2%	82 12.8%	36 17.6%	330 28.8%	410 15.4%	42 8.5%
Middle Box (3)	1594 36.9%	1618 37.5%	392 36.9%	531 40.7%	286 37.8%	313 35.7%	90 29.8%	577 38.5%	933 39.6%	108 23.4%	270 40.0%	397 42.2%	247 38.1%	277 43.1%	79 38.5%	467 40.8%	1045 39.4%	101 20.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1952 45.2%	1914 44.4%	427 40.2%	518 39.7%	349 46.2%	458 52.3%	157 51.8%	510 34.0%	1099 46.7%	305 66.1%	226 33.6%	335 35.6%	270 41.7%	284 44.1%	90 43.9%	348 30.4%	1200 45.2%	356 71.3%
Mean	3,42	3,41	3,26 CDE	3,32 CDE	3,44 ABDe	3,64 ABC	3,58 ABc	3,12 BC	3,49 AC	3,92 AB	3,11 CDE	3,20 CDE	3,33 ABD	3,50 ABC	3,42 AB	3,06 BC	3,44 AC	4,01 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1281 22.9%	1281 22.9%	19 1.8%	11 0.8%	3 0.4%	10 1.1%	4 1.2%	21 1.4%	21 0.9%	10 2.0%	13 1.9%	6 0.6%	9 1.4%	4 0.7%	3 1.3%	16 1.4%	26 1.0%	5 0.9%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

### How often do you visit historic monuments during vacations?

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	4333 77.4%	4333 77.4%	1070 99.0%	1307 99.3%	755 99.4%	880 99.3%	305 99.2%	1506 99.0%	2361 99.4%	466 99.0%	679 98.8%	942 99.4%	652 99.1%	643 99.3%	209 100.0%	1153 99.3%	2666 99.4%	497 98.7%
<b>Visiting monuments: During vacations</b>																		
(1) almost always	750 17.3%	745 17.2%	246 23.0%	255 19.5%	111 14.7%	98 11.2%	30 9.8%	327 21.7%	357 15.1%	61 13.0%	168 24.8%	192 20.4%	102 15.7%	82 12.8%	28 13.5%	307 26.6%	389 14.6%	45 9.0%
(2) frequently	1355 31.3%	1357 31.3%	380 35.5%	447 34.2%	224 29.7%	225 25.6%	74 24.3%	546 36.3%	708 30.0%	103 22.0%	247 36.4%	359 38.2%	207 31.7%	159 24.7%	55 26.5%	398 34.5%	859 32.2%	96 19.4%
(3) occasionally	1278 29.5%	1289 29.7%	259 24.2%	395 30.2%	254 33.6%	282 32.0%	96 31.4%	405 26.9%	755 32.0%	129 27.8%	162 23.9%	248 26.4%	230 35.3%	243 37.8%	76 36.5%	270 23.4%	867 32.5%	145 29.3%
(4) rarely	497 11.5%	489 11.3%	99 9.3%	116 8.9%	102 13.5%	126 14.4%	45 14.8%	138 9.2%	292 12.4%	59 12.7%	61 8.9%	89 9.5%	68 10.5%	82 12.8%	24 11.4%	101 8.8%	306 11.5%	79 15.8%
(5) almost never	453 10.5%	453 10.5%	85 8.0%	93 7.2%	64 8.5%	148 16.9%	60 19.8%	90 6.0%	249 10.6%	114 24.5%	41 6.0%	53 5.6%	45 6.9%	77 11.9%	25 12.0%	77 6.7%	244 9.2%	132 26.5%
Top Box (1+2)	2105 48.6%	2102 48.5%	626 58.5%	703 53.8%	335 44.4%	323 36.8%	104 34.0%	873 58.0%	1065 45.1%	163 35.0%	415 61.2%	551 58.6%	309 47.4%	241 37.5%	84 40.0%	704 61.1%	1248 46.8%	141 28.4%
Middle Box (3)	1278 29.5%	1289 29.7%	259 24.2%	395 30.2%	254 33.6%	282 32.0%	96 31.4%	405 26.9%	755 32.0%	129 27.8%	162 23.9%	248 26.4%	230 35.3%	243 37.8%	76 36.5%	270 23.4%	867 32.5%	145 29.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	950 21.9%	943 21.8%	185 17.3%	210 16.1%	166 22.0%	275 31.2%	105 34.6%	228 15.1%	541 22.9%	174 37.2%	101 15.0%	142 15.1%	113 17.4%	159 24.7%	49 23.5%	178 15.4%	550 20.6%	210 42.3%
Mean	2,66	2,67	2,44 CDE	2,50 CDE	2,71 ABDE	3,00 ABC	3,11 ABC	2,41 BC	2,73 AC	3,14 AB	2,35 CDE	2,42 CDE	2,61 ABDe	2,86 ABC	2,82 ABc	2,34 BC	2,68 AC	3,31 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	1266 22.6%	1264 22.6%	10 1.0%	9 0.7%	4 0.6%	7 0.7%	2 0.8%	15 1.0%	15 0.6%	5 1.0%	8 1.2%	5 0.6%	6 0.9%	5 0.7%	0 -	8 0.7%	16 0.6%	6 1.3%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries


How often do you visit a historic monument in your leisure time, on weekends or during vacations? - On other occasions:

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2626 46.9%	2747 49.1%	664 61.5%	816 62.0%	461 60.8%	595 67.1%	202 65.9%	907 59.6%	1518 63.9%	322 68.5%	427 62.2%	573 60.5%	391 59.4%	424 65.5%	125 59.9%	741 63.8%	1637 61.1%	358 71.0%
<b>Visiting monuments: On other occasions</b>																		
(1) almost always	119 4.5%	119 4.3%	55 8.3%	32 3.9%	14 3.1%	12 2.1%	5 2.7%	55 6.1%	54 3.6%	9 2.9%	25 5.9%	24 4.2%	18 4.7%	14 3.3%	5 4.2%	50 6.7%	63 3.8%	6 1.8%
(2) frequently	269 10.2%	278 10.1%	82 12.4%	84 10.3%	43 9.3%	44 7.4%	23 11.4%	125 13.8%	134 8.8%	19 6.0%	53 12.3%	73 12.8%	46 11.8%	28 6.7%	18 14.4%	115 15.5%	148 9.1%	16 4.3%
(3) occasionally	695 26.5%	720 26.2%	177 26.7%	231 28.4%	121 26.2%	148 24.9%	43 21.0%	262 28.9%	408 26.9%	50 15.6%	124 29.0%	167 29.1%	122 31.1%	132 31.0%	28 22.3%	209 28.1%	445 27.2%	62 17.4%
(4) rarely	473 18.0%	504 18.3%	106 15.9%	143 17.5%	103 22.2%	103 17.3%	50 24.5%	142 15.7%	301 19.8%	61 18.9%	69 16.2%	108 18.9%	72 18.5%	66 15.7%	20 16.4%	111 15.0%	314 19.2%	76 21.2%
(5) almost never	1070 40.7%	1126 41.0%	243 36.6%	326 40.0%	181 39.2%	287 48.4%	82 40.4%	322 35.6%	621 40.9%	182 56.5%	156 36.5%	200 35.0%	133 34.0%	184 43.4%	53 42.8%	257 34.6%	667 40.8%	198 55.3%
Top Box (1+2)	388 14.8%	397 14.5%	138 20.7%	115 14.1%	57 12.4%	57 9.5%	28 14.0%	180 19.9%	188 12.4%	29 8.9%	78 18.2%	98 17.0%	64 16.4%	42 9.9%	23 18.6%	165 22.2%	211 12.9%	22 6.1%
Middle Box (3)	695 26.5%	720 26.2%	177 26.7%	231 28.4%	121 26.2%	148 24.9%	43 21.0%	262 28.9%	408 26.9%	50 15.6%	124 29.0%	167 29.1%	122 31.1%	132 31.0%	28 22.3%	209 28.1%	445 27.2%	62 17.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1543 58.8%	1630 59.3%	349 52.6%	469 57.5%	283 61.5%	390 65.6%	131 64.9%	464 51.2%	922 60.7%	243 75.4%	225 52.7%	308 53.8%	205 52.5%	251 59.1%	74 59.1%	368 49.6%	981 59.9%	273 76.5%
Mean	3,80	3,82	3,60 BCDE	3,79 AD	3,85 Ad	4,02 ABc	3,89 A	3,61 BC	3,86 AC	4,20 AB	3,65 D	3,68 D	3,65 D	3,89 ABC	3,79	3,55 BC	3,84 AC	4,24 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	2973 53.1%	2850 50.9%	416 38.5%	501 38.0%	298 39.2%	292 32.9%	105 34.1%	614 40.4%	858 36.1%	149 31.5%	259 37.8%	375 39.5%	267 40.6%	224 34.5%	84 40.1%	420 36.2%	1044 38.9%	146 29.0%


BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries




BACES






## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Free guided tours

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2166 38.7%	521 48.2%	612 46.5%	356 46.9%	564 63.6%	103 33.7%	689 45.3%	1251 52.7%	225 47.7%	295 42.9%	434 45.9%	292 44.4%	424 65.5%	109 52.1%	560 48.2%	1344 50.1%	254 50.4%
<b>Free guided tours</b>																		
(1) very important	555 25.6%	551 25.5%	189 36.3%	131 21.4%	68 19.0%	139 24.7%	23 22.6%	197 28.5%	289 23.1%	66 29.3%	114 38.6%	95 21.8%	68 23.4%	91 21.5%	28 26.1%	189 33.7%	299 22.2%	60 23.8%
(2) rather important	659 30.5%	651 30.1%	137 26.2%	208 33.9%	122 34.4%	149 26.4%	34 32.7%	212 30.7%	386 30.8%	53 23.7%	73 24.6%	170 39.2%	105 35.9%	92 21.7%	30 27.5%	147 26.3%	429 31.9%	74 29.2%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	706 32.6%	720 33.3%	139 26.8%	208 33.9%	128 36.0%	211 37.4%	28 27.5%	202 29.3%	454 36.3%	64 28.3%	76 25.9%	131 30.0%	85 29.2%	199 46.9%	39 36.1%	149 26.6%	495 36.8%	74 29.3%
(4) rather unimportant	191 8.8%	193 8.9%	38 7.3%	59 9.6%	30 8.6%	51 9.0%	14 13.5%	65 9.4%	101 8.1%	27 12.2%	23 7.9%	34 7.7%	31 10.6%	33 7.7%	9 7.9%	60 10.8%	103 7.6%	29 11.3%
(5) very unimportant	53 2.4%	50 2.3%	18 3.4%	7 1.1%	7 2.1%	14 2.5%	4 3.8%	14 2.0%	21 1.7%	15 6.5%	9 2.9%	5 1.2%	2 0.8%	9 2.2%	3 2.3%	14 2.6%	19 1.4%	16 6.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1214 56.1%	1202 55.5%	326 62.6%	339 55.3%	190 53.4%	288 51.1%	57 55.2%	409 59.3%	674 53.9%	119 53.0%	186 63.2%	265 61.0%	173 59.3%	184 43.3%	58 53.7%	336 60.0%	727 54.1%	135 53.0%
Middle Box (3)	706 32.6%	720 33.3%	139 26.8%	208 33.9%	128 36.0%	211 37.4%	28 27.5%	202 29.3%	454 36.3%	64 28.3%	76 25.9%	131 30.0%	85 29.2%	199 46.9%	39 36.1%	149 26.6%	495 36.8%	74 29.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	244 11.3%	243 11.2%	56 10.7%	66 10.8%	38 10.6%	65 11.5%	18 17.3%	79 11.4%	122 9.8%	42 18.7%	32 10.8%	39 9.0%	34 11.5%	42 9.9%	11 10.2%	75 13.4%	122 9.1%	45 17.7%
Mean	2,32	2,33	2,15 BCDe	2,35 A	2,40 A	2,38 A	2,43 a	2,26 c	2,35	2,43 a	2,12 bcD	2,27 aD	2,30 ad	2,47 ABc	2,33	2,22 bC	2,34 a	2,47 A
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missings	3435 61.4%	3432 61.3%	560 51.8%	704 53.5%	403 53.1%	322 36.4%	204 66.3%	831 54.7%	1124 47.3%	246 52.3%	392 57.1%	513 54.1%	366 55.6%	223 34.5%	100 47.9%	601 51.8%	1337 49.9%	250 49.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Meaningful information flyers

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2169 38.7%	2170 38.8%	523 48.4%	614 46.6%	356 47.0%	564 63.7%	103 33.7%	694 45.6%	1253 52.7%	224 47.5%	295 42.9%	437 46.1%	293 44.5%	426 65.7%	110 52.7%	559 48.2%	1348 50.3%	255 50.6%
<b>Meaningful information flyers</b>																		
(1) very important	516 23.8%	539 24.8%	167 32.0%	150 24.4%	59 16.5%	133 23.6%	23 22.3%	196 28.2%	281 22.5%	62 27.7%	118 40.1%	92 21.1%	72 24.7%	93 21.9%	19 16.9%	231 41.3%	275 20.4%	31 12.3%
(2) rather important	869 40.1%	903 41.6%	204 39.0%	274 44.6%	183 51.4%	209 37.0%	33 31.9%	303 43.6%	513 41.0%	87 39.0%	116 39.3%	210 48.1%	115 39.3%	163 38.3%	51 46.4%	207 37.0%	602 44.6%	90 35.3%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	555 25.6%	521 24.0%	105 20.2%	135 22.0%	85 23.9%	166 29.4%	29 27.6%	136 19.7%	340 27.1%	45 20.1%	39 13.4%	95 21.8%	73 25.0%	141 33.1%	26 23.8%	94 16.9%	353 26.2%	72 28.4%
(4) rather unimportant	158 7.3%	143 6.6%	26 5.0%	44 7.2%	26 7.4%	35 6.1%	10 9.8%	40 5.8%	86 6.9%	17 7.5%	17 5.6%	27 6.1%	23 8.0%	20 4.8%	7 6.6%	18 3.3%	89 6.6%	35 13.9%
(5) very unimportant	71 3.3%	65 3.0%	20 3.8%	11 1.8%	3 0.8%	22 3.9%	9 8.3%	19 2.8%	33 2.6%	13 5.8%	5 1.6%	12 2.8%	9 3.0%	7 1.8%	7 6.4%	9 1.5%	29 2.2%	26 10.2%
Top Box (1+2)	1385 63.9%	1442 66.4%	371 71.0%	424 69.0%	242 67.9%	342 60.5%	56 54.3%	498 71.8%	795 63.4%	149 66.6%	234 79.4%	303 69.2%	188 64.0%	257 60.3%	70 63.2%	438 78.3%	877 65.1%	121 47.6%
Middle Box (3)	555 25.6%	521 24.0%	105 20.2%	135 22.0%	85 23.9%	166 29.4%	29 27.6%	136 19.7%	340 27.1%	45 20.1%	39 13.4%	95 21.8%	73 25.0%	141 33.1%	26 23.8%	94 16.9%	353 26.2%	72 28.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	229 10.6%	207 9.6%	46 8.9%	55 9.0%	29 8.2%	57 10.1%	19 18.1%	59 8.5%	119 9.5%	30 13.3%	21 7.2%	39 8.9%	32 11.0%	28 6.6%	14 12.9%	27 4.8%	118 8.8%	61 24.0%
Mean	2,26	2,21	2,10 cDE	2,17 dE	2,25 ae	2,30 Ab	2,50 ABC	2,11 B	2,26 A	2,25	1,89 BCDE	2,21 A	2,25 A	2,26 A	2,39 A	1,87 BC	2,25 AC	2,74 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3430 61.3%	3427 61.2%	558 51.6%	703 53.4%	403 53.0%	322 36.3%	204 66.3%	827 54.4%	1123 47.3%	247 52.5%	392 57.1%	510 53.9%	365 55.5%	222 34.3%	99 47.3%	602 51.8%	1333 49.7%	249 49.4%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Good condition of the monument

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2167 38.7%	2168 38.7%	521 48.2%	613 46.5%	357 47.1%	564 63.7%	103 33.7%	690 45.4%	1253 52.7%	225 47.7%	295 42.9%	433 45.7%	293 44.5%	426 65.7%	110 52.7%	561 48.3%	1345 50.1%	254 50.4%
<b>Good condition of the monument</b>																		
(1) very important	565 26.1%	605 27.9%	188 36.1%	167 27.2%	69 19.3%	151 26.7%	26 24.9%	212 30.8%	337 26.9%	56 25.1%	118 40.1%	115 26.5%	71 24.4%	108 25.3%	30 27.3%	247 44.1%	324 24.1%	30 11.8%
(2) rather important	880 40.6%	889 41.0%	198 38.0%	298 48.7%	169 47.4%	184 32.6%	37 35.9%	309 44.8%	501 40.0%	79 35.1%	119 40.5%	206 47.6%	127 43.5%	154 36.2%	47 42.9%	200 35.6%	597 44.4%	90 35.5%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	560 25.8%	530 24.4%	99 19.0%	119 19.4%	96 26.9%	189 33.5%	25 24.2%	130 18.8%	338 27.0%	62 27.6%	43 14.7%	90 20.7%	72 24.4%	142 33.4%	22 20.2%	91 16.1%	351 26.1%	87 34.1%
(4) rather unimportant	112 5.2%	98 4.5%	20 3.8%	20 3.3%	22 6.0%	27 4.9%	9 8.3%	33 4.7%	51 4.0%	14 6.4%	8 2.7%	18 4.1%	19 6.6%	14 3.2%	7 6.1%	15 2.7%	56 4.1%	27 10.7%
(5) very unimportant	50 2.3%	46 2.1%	16 3.1%	9 1.4%	1 0.4%	13 2.3%	7 6.7%	7 0.9%	27 2.1%	13 5.7%	6 2.0%	5 1.0%	3 1.1%	8 1.8%	4 3.5%	8 1.5%	18 1.3%	20 7.9%
Top Box (1+2)	1445 66.7%	1494 68.9%	386 74.1%	465 75.8%	238 66.7%	335 59.3%	63 60.8%	521 75.5%	838 66.8%	135 60.2%	238 80.5%	321 74.1%	199 67.9%	262 61.6%	77 70.2%	447 79.7%	921 68.5%	120 47.3%
Middle Box (3)	560 25.8%	530 24.4%	99 19.0%	119 19.4%	96 26.9%	189 33.5%	25 24.2%	130 18.8%	338 27.0%	62 27.6%	43 14.7%	90 20.7%	72 24.4%	142 33.4%	22 20.2%	91 16.1%	351 26.1%	87 34.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	162 7.5%	144 6.6%	36 6.9%	29 4.7%	23 6.5%	41 7.2%	16 15.0%	39 5.7%	78 6.2%	27 12.2%	14 4.7%	22 5.2%	23 7.7%	21 5.0%	10 9.5%	24 4.2%	73 5.5%	47 18.6%
Mean	2,17	2,12	2,00 CDE	2,03 CDE	2,21 AB	2,23 AB	2,36 AB	2,00 BC	2,15 Ac	2,33 Ab	1,86 BCDE	2,06 Ad	2,17 A	2,20 Ab	2,15 A	1,82 BC	2,14 AC	2,67 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3432 61.3%	3429 61.3%	560 51.8%	704 53.5%	402 52.9%	322 36.3%	204 66.3%	831 54.6%	1123 47.3%	246 52.3%	392 57.1%	514 54.3%	365 55.5%	222 34.3%	99 47.3%	600 51.7%	1337 49.9%	250 49.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Souvenir booths

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2164 38.7%	523 48.4%	611 46.4%	357 47.1%	562 63.4%	103 33.4%	690 45.4%	1250 52.6%	224 47.5%	295 42.9%	436 46.0%	291 44.2%	422 65.2%	110 52.7%	558 48.1%	1345 50.2%	253 50.3%
<b>Souvenir booths</b>																		
(1) very important	114 5.3%	109 5.0%	37 7.1%	18 3.0%	8 2.3%	43 7.7%	2 2.2%	37 5.4%	59 4.7%	12 5.6%	23 7.7%	13 3.1%	13 4.5%	20 4.9%	5 4.3%	55 9.9%	49 3.6%	5 2.0%
(2) rather important	278 12.8%	263 12.2%	66 12.6%	85 13.9%	36 10.1%	67 11.9%	8 7.4%	83 12.0%	152 12.2%	28 12.7%	40 13.6%	56 12.9%	33 11.3%	51 12.0%	12 10.7%	88 15.8%	147 10.9%	28 10.9%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	669 30.9%	648 29.9%	144 27.6%	173 28.4%	113 31.6%	187 33.3%	28 27.4%	182 26.4%	396 31.6%	70 31.2%	68 23.1%	118 27.2%	90 31.0%	176 41.6%	23 21.3%	147 26.3%	430 32.0%	69 27.1%
(4) rather unimportant	632 29.2%	669 30.9%	145 27.7%	200 32.7%	136 38.1%	153 27.3%	34 33.2%	225 32.6%	387 31.0%	57 25.5%	95 32.3%	143 32.8%	86 29.7%	109 25.8%	37 33.6%	160 28.7%	441 32.8%	65 25.7%
(5) very unimportant	471 21.8%	475 22.0%	131 25.0%	134 22.0%	64 17.9%	112 19.9%	31 29.8%	163 23.6%	256 20.5%	56 25.0%	69 23.4%	105 24.0%	68 23.4%	66 15.7%	33 30.1%	108 19.3%	278 20.7%	87 34.3%
Top Box (1+2)	392 18.1%	372 17.2%	103 19.7%	103 16.9%	44 12.4%	110 19.6%	10 9.6%	120 17.4%	211 16.9%	41 18.3%	63 21.2%	70 16.0%	46 15.8%	71 16.8%	17 15.0%	143 25.7%	196 14.5%	33 12.9%
Middle Box (3)	669 30.9%	648 29.9%	144 27.6%	173 28.4%	113 31.6%	187 33.3%	28 27.4%	182 26.4%	396 31.6%	70 31.2%	68 23.1%	118 27.2%	90 31.0%	176 41.6%	23 21.3%	147 26.3%	430 32.0%	69 27.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1103 51.0%	1144 52.9%	276 52.8%	334 54.7%	200 56.0%	265 47.1%	65 63.0%	388 56.2%	643 51.5%	113 50.5%	164 55.7%	247 56.8%	155 53.1%	175 41.5%	70 63.7%	268 48.0%	719 53.5%	152 60.0%
Mean	3,49	3,53	3,51 e	3,57 De	3,59 De	3,40 BCE	3,81 abcD	3,57	3,50	3,52	3,50	3,62 D	3,56 d	3,36 BcE	3,74 D	3,32 BC	3,56 AC	3,79 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3435 61.4%	3433 61.3%	558 51.6%	706 53.6%	402 52.9%	324 36.6%	205 66.6%	830 54.6%	1125 47.4%	247 52.5%	392 57.1%	512 54.0%	367 55.8%	226 34.8%	99 47.3%	603 51.9%	1337 49.8%	250 49.7%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Professionally guided tours

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2168 38.7%	2170 38.8%	523 48.4%	614 46.6%	356 47.0%	564 63.7%	103 33.7%	694 45.6%	1252 52.7%	225 47.7%	295 42.9%	437 46.1%	293 44.5%	425 65.6%	110 52.7%	560 48.3%	1347 50.2%	255 50.6%
<b>Professional guided tours</b>																		
(1) very important	581 26.8%	623 28.7%	207 39.7%	179 29.1%	69 19.2%	144 25.6%	19 18.7%	233 33.6%	315 25.2%	75 33.4%	134 45.5%	119 27.2%	75 25.7%	96 22.7%	26 24.0%	272 48.5%	303 22.5%	47 18.6%
(2) rather important	775 35.7%	784 36.1%	175 33.4%	227 36.9%	170 47.7%	182 32.3%	29 28.1%	270 38.9%	450 35.9%	65 28.7%	99 33.4%	182 41.6%	108 36.9%	153 36.0%	44 40.2%	180 32.2%	516 38.3%	82 32.4%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	591 27.3%	565 26.0%	94 18.0%	164 26.8%	87 24.5%	181 32.1%	36 34.4%	143 20.6%	372 29.8%	50 22.3%	44 15.0%	103 23.7%	78 26.7%	151 35.4%	25 22.5%	65 11.6%	423 31.4%	76 30.0%
(4) rather unimportant	166 7.7%	147 6.8%	33 6.4%	36 5.9%	25 7.0%	39 6.9%	14 13.4%	37 5.4%	89 7.1%	21 9.2%	16 5.4%	20 4.6%	28 9.6%	18 4.3%	10 8.9%	35 6.3%	82 6.1%	29 11.4%
(5) very unimportant	55 2.5%	51 2.3%	13 2.5%	8 1.4%	6 1.6%	18 3.2%	6 5.4%	12 1.7%	25 2.0%	14 6.3%	2 0.7%	13 3.0%	3 1.1%	6 1.5%	5 4.5%	8 1.5%	23 1.7%	19 7.7%
Top Box (1+2)	1356 62.5%	1407 64.8%	382 73.1%	405 66.0%	239 66.9%	326 57.9%	48 46.8%	502 72.4%	765 61.1%	140 62.2%	233 78.9%	300 68.8%	183 62.6%	250 58.7%	71 64.1%	452 80.6%	818 60.8%	130 50.9%
Middle Box (3)	591 27.3%	565 26.0%	94 18.0%	164 26.8%	87 24.5%	181 32.1%	36 34.4%	143 20.6%	372 29.8%	50 22.3%	44 15.0%	103 23.7%	78 26.7%	151 35.4%	25 22.5%	65 11.6%	423 31.4%	76 30.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	221 10.2%	198 9.1%	47 8.9%	44 7.2%	30 8.6%	57 10.0%	19 18.8%	49 7.0%	114 9.1%	35 15.5%	18 6.1%	33 7.6%	31 10.7%	25 5.8%	15 13.4%	44 7.8%	106 7.8%	48 19.0%
Mean	2,23	2,18	1,99 bCDE	2,13 aDE	2,24 AE	2,30 ABE	2,59 ABCD	2,03 BC	2,25 A	2,26 A	1,82 BCDE	2,15 A	2,24 A	2,26 A	2,30 A	1,80 BC	2,26 AC	2,57 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3431 61.3%	3427 61.2%	558 51.6%	703 53.4%	403 53.0%	322 36.3%	204 66.3%	827 54.4%	1124 47.3%	246 52.3%	392 57.1%	510 53.9%	365 55.5%	223 34.4%	99 47.3%	601 51.7%	1335 49.8%	249 49.4%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Restaurants in the near surrounding

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2161 38.6%	2164 38.7%	522 48.3%	613 46.6%	356 46.9%	562 63.5%	100 32.7%	691 45.4%	1249 52.6%	224 47.6%	293 42.7%	436 46.1%	292 44.3%	424 65.4%	109 52.3%	558 48.1%	1344 50.1%	254 50.4%
<b>Restaurants in the near proximity</b>																		
(1) very important	262 12.1%	253 11.7%	88 16.9%	57 9.3%	28 8.0%	70 12.5%	10 9.5%	86 12.5%	141 11.3%	26 11.6%	54 18.4%	39 9.0%	29 10.1%	43 10.2%	11 9.7%	94 16.9%	136 10.1%	23 8.9%
(2) rather important	604 28.0%	603 27.9%	157 30.0%	191 31.2%	111 31.2%	119 21.2%	21 20.7%	216 31.3%	327 26.2%	59 26.4%	89 30.4%	139 31.8%	77 26.4%	113 26.6%	34 30.7%	163 29.3%	384 28.6%	55 21.7%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	774 35.8%	788 36.4%	163 31.2%	218 35.5%	132 37.1%	240 42.8%	33 32.4%	229 33.1%	484 38.8%	76 33.8%	78 26.7%	162 37.0%	110 37.7%	188 44.3%	33 30.4%	181 32.4%	521 38.8%	81 31.8%
(4) rather unimportant	379 17.5%	376 17.4%	72 13.9%	111 18.1%	67 18.8%	95 16.8%	28 27.7%	110 15.9%	222 17.8%	44 19.5%	54 18.3%	73 16.7%	57 19.7%	59 13.9%	18 16.8%	87 15.6%	235 17.5%	51 20.3%
(5) very unimportant	142 6.6%	144 6.7%	42 8.1%	36 5.9%	18 4.9%	38 6.8%	10 9.8%	50 7.3%	75 6.0%	19 8.6%	18 6.3%	24 5.4%	18 6.2%	21 5.0%	14 12.4%	32 5.8%	68 5.0%	44 17.4%
Top Box (1+2)	866 40.1%	856 39.5%	245 46.9%	248 40.5%	140 39.2%	189 33.6%	30 30.2%	302 43.8%	468 37.5%	85 38.0%	143 48.7%	178 40.8%	106 36.5%	156 36.8%	44 40.4%	258 46.2%	520 38.7%	78 30.6%
Middle Box (3)	774 35.8%	788 36.4%	163 31.2%	218 35.5%	132 37.1%	240 42.8%	33 32.4%	229 33.1%	484 38.8%	76 33.8%	78 26.7%	162 37.0%	110 37.7%	188 44.3%	33 30.4%	181 32.4%	521 38.8%	81 31.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	521 24.1%	520 24.0%	115 21.9%	148 24.1%	84 23.7%	133 23.6%	38 37.5%	160 23.1%	297 23.8%	63 28.1%	72 24.6%	97 22.1%	75 25.8%	80 18.8%	32 29.2%	120 21.4%	302 22.5%	95 37.6%
Mean	2,78	2,79	2,66 bcDE	2,80 ae	2,81 ae	2,84 Ae	3,08 Abcd	2,74	2,81	2,87	2,64 ce	2,78	2,85 a	2,77	2,92 a	2,64 BC	2,79 AC	3,15 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3438 61.4%	3434 61.3%	559 51.7%	703 53.4%	403 53.1%	324 36.5%	207 67.3%	830 54.6%	1127 47.4%	247 52.4%	393 57.3%	511 53.9%	366 55.7%	224 34.6%	99 47.7%	603 51.9%	1338 49.9%	250 49.6%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Clean facades

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2164 38.6%	2165 38.7%	517 47.9%	613 46.6%	357 47.1%	564 63.7%	103 33.7%	691 45.4%	1250 52.6%	224 47.5%	295 42.9%	434 45.8%	293 44.5%	423 65.3%	110 52.7%	560 48.3%	1343 50.1%	254 50.4%
<b>Clean external fronts</b>																		
(1) very important	416 19.2%	440 20.3%	147 28.3%	114 18.6%	41 11.4%	116 20.5%	18 17.5%	165 23.9%	226 18.1%	49 21.8%	97 33.0%	91 20.9%	38 12.9%	79 18.6%	13 11.6%	194 34.7%	213 15.9%	30 11.8%
(2) rather important	784 36.2%	822 38.0%	189 36.5%	256 41.7%	149 41.8%	178 31.6%	46 44.2%	268 38.8%	491 39.3%	63 28.0%	113 38.2%	184 42.5%	129 44.0%	129 30.5%	54 49.3%	195 34.8%	536 39.9%	88 34.5%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	678 31.3%	642 29.7%	120 23.2%	172 28.0%	124 34.8%	202 35.8%	23 22.2%	185 26.8%	387 30.9%	71 31.6%	68 23.0%	106 24.5%	83 28.4%	178 42.2%	33 29.8%	125 22.4%	444 33.0%	70 27.7%
(4) rather unimportant	218 10.1%	200 9.3%	42 8.1%	56 9.2%	40 11.3%	50 8.9%	11 11.0%	54 7.9%	119 9.5%	27 12.0%	13 4.5%	42 9.6%	35 12.1%	27 6.3%	6 5.9%	33 5.9%	120 8.9%	47 18.5%
(5) very unimportant	68 3.1%	61 2.8%	20 3.8%	15 2.5%	3 0.7%	18 3.1%	5 5.1%	19 2.7%	27 2.2%	15 6.7%	4 1.3%	10 2.4%	8 2.6%	10 2.5%	4 3.5%	12 2.2%	30 2.2%	19 7.4%
Top Box (1+2)	1200 55.5%	1262 58.3%	336 64.9%	370 60.3%	190 53.2%	294 52.2%	64 61.7%	433 62.7%	717 57.4%	111 49.8%	210 71.2%	275 63.5%	167 56.9%	208 49.1%	67 60.9%	390 69.5%	749 55.8%	118 46.3%
Middle Box (3)	678 31.3%	642 29.7%	120 23.2%	172 28.0%	124 34.8%	202 35.8%	23 22.2%	185 26.8%	387 30.9%	71 31.6%	68 23.0%	106 24.5%	83 28.4%	178 42.2%	33 29.8%	125 22.4%	444 33.0%	70 27.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	286 13.2%	261 12.1%	62 12.0%	71 11.7%	43 12.1%	68 12.0%	17 16.1%	73 10.6%	146 11.7%	42 18.7%	17 5.8%	52 12.0%	43 14.7%	37 8.8%	10 9.3%	45 8.1%	150 11.2%	66 26.0%
Mean	2,42	2,36	2,23 bCD	2,35 ac	2,48 Ab	2,42 A	2,42	2,27 bC	2,38 ac	2,54 Ab	2,03 BCDE	2,30 Acd	2,48 Ab	2,44 Ab	2,40 A	2,06 BC	2,42 AC	2,75 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3435 61.4%	3432 61.3%	563 52.1%	703 53.4%	402 52.9%	322 36.3%	204 66.3%	830 54.6%	1126 47.4%	247 52.5%	392 57.1%	514 54.2%	365 55.5%	225 34.7%	99 47.3%	601 51.7%	1339 49.9%	250 49.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Repair of damages caused by the age of the monument

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2169 38.7%	521 48.2%	614 46.6%	357 47.0%	564 63.7%	103 33.7%	692 45.5%	1251 52.7%	225 47.7%	295 42.9%	436 46.0%	292 44.4%	424 65.5%	110 52.7%	560 48.2%	1347 50.2%	255 50.6%
<b>Repair of damages accrued by the age of the monument</b>																		
(1) very important	484 22.3%	542 25.0%	178 34.2%	124 20.2%	75 21.2%	141 25.0%	19 18.8%	197 28.5%	290 23.2%	55 24.3%	127 43.0%	105 24.1%	59 20.2%	81 19.1%	26 23.2%	227 40.7%	278 20.6%	33 13.1%
(2) rather important	783 36.1%	796 36.7%	179 34.4%	271 44.2%	140 39.2%	169 30.0%	34 32.4%	276 39.8%	459 36.7%	61 27.2%	98 33.1%	183 41.8%	124 42.3%	152 35.7%	42 38.1%	178 31.8%	540 40.1%	77 30.1%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	658 30.4%	612 28.2%	111 21.3%	165 26.9%	108 30.3%	193 34.1%	33 32.3%	160 23.1%	381 30.4%	71 31.7%	54 18.5%	104 23.9%	81 27.8%	153 36.1%	33 30.0%	113 20.1%	413 30.7%	84 32.9%
(4) rather unimportant	171 7.9%	159 7.3%	30 5.7%	41 6.7%	32 9.0%	45 8.0%	11 10.8%	46 6.6%	91 7.3%	22 9.9%	12 4.1%	34 7.7%	25 8.5%	30 7.0%	5 4.7%	26 4.6%	93 6.9%	41 16.1%
(5) very unimportant	70 3.2%	59 2.7%	23 4.4%	12 2.0%	1 0.4%	16 2.9%	6 5.7%	14 2.0%	30 2.4%	15 6.8%	4 1.3%	11 2.4%	3 1.1%	8 2.0%	4 4.0%	16 2.8%	23 1.7%	20 7.8%
Top Box (1+2)	1267 58.5%	1338 61.7%	358 68.6%	395 64.3%	215 60.4%	310 54.9%	53 51.2%	473 68.3%	750 59.9%	116 51.5%	225 76.1%	288 66.0%	183 62.5%	233 54.9%	68 61.3%	406 72.5%	817 60.7%	110 43.2%
Middle Box (3)	658 30.4%	612 28.2%	111 21.3%	165 26.9%	108 30.3%	193 34.1%	33 32.3%	160 23.1%	381 30.4%	71 31.7%	54 18.5%	104 23.9%	81 27.8%	153 36.1%	33 30.0%	113 20.1%	413 30.7%	84 32.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	241 11.1%	218 10.1%	53 10.1%	54 8.7%	33 9.4%	62 10.9%	17 16.5%	59 8.6%	121 9.7%	38 16.8%	16 5.4%	44 10.1%	28 9.7%	38 9.0%	10 8.7%	41 7.4%	116 8.6%	61 23.8%
Mean	2,34 b	2,26 a	2,12 bcDE	2,26 ae	2,28 ae	2,34 A	2,52 Abc	2,14 BC	2,29 AC	2,48 AB	1,88 BCDE	2,23 Ad	2,28 A	2,37 Ab	2,28 A	1,97 BC	2,29 AC	2,75 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3433 61.3%	3429 61.3%	560 51.8%	703 53.4%	402 53.0%	322 36.3%	204 66.3%	828 54.5%	1124 47.3%	246 52.3%	392 57.1%	511 54.0%	366 55.6%	223 34.5%	99 47.3%	601 51.8%	1335 49.8%	249 49.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Reconstruction of the original condition of the monument

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2169 38.8%	522 48.3%	613 46.6%	357 47.0%	564 63.7%	103 33.7%	693 45.5%	1252 52.7%	225 47.7%	294 42.9%	437 46.1%	292 44.4%	424 65.5%	110 52.7%	561 48.3%	1346 50.2%	254 50.5%
<b>Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument</b>																		
(1) very important	565 26.1%	596 27.5%	198 37.9%	165 26.8%	69 19.2%	141 25.1%	18 17.6%	210 30.4%	324 25.9%	62 27.4%	120 40.8%	109 25.0%	67 22.8%	94 22.0%	32 29.1%	255 45.4%	300 22.3%	38 14.9%
(2) rather important	821 37.9%	844 38.9%	186 35.6%	259 42.2%	156 43.7%	201 35.6%	42 40.8%	281 40.6%	492 39.3%	71 31.8%	100 33.9%	210 48.1%	127 43.5%	161 37.9%	37 33.3%	193 34.4%	571 42.4%	79 31.1%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	613 28.3%	579 26.7%	104 19.9%	157 25.6%	107 30.0%	177 31.4%	30 29.3%	167 24.1%	345 27.6%	66 29.6%	55 18.5%	98 22.3%	79 27.1%	151 35.5%	32 29.1%	95 16.9%	398 29.6%	83 32.7%
(4) rather unimportant	113 5.2%	101 4.7%	19 3.7%	23 3.8%	21 5.9%	29 5.2%	8 8.0%	24 3.5%	65 5.2%	12 5.3%	15 5.0%	14 3.3%	17 5.7%	11 2.6%	5 5.0%	10 1.8%	60 4.4%	31 12.3%
(5) very unimportant	54 2.5%	49 2.2%	15 2.9%	9 1.5%	4 1.2%	15 2.7%	4 4.3%	10 1.4%	25 2.0%	14 6.0%	5 1.8%	6 1.4%	2 0.8%	8 1.9%	4 3.5%	8 1.4%	18 1.3%	23 9.0%
Top Box (1+2)	1386 64.0%	1441 66.4%	384 73.5%	424 69.1%	224 62.9%	342 60.7%	60 58.3%	492 71.0%	816 65.2%	133 59.2%	220 74.7%	319 73.0%	194 66.4%	255 60.0%	69 62.4%	448 79.8%	871 64.7%	117 46.0%
Middle Box (3)	613 28.3%	579 26.7%	104 19.9%	157 25.6%	107 30.0%	177 31.4%	30 29.3%	167 24.1%	345 27.6%	66 29.6%	55 18.5%	98 22.3%	79 27.1%	151 35.5%	32 29.1%	95 16.9%	398 29.6%	83 32.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	167 7.7%	150 6.9%	34 6.6%	33 5.3%	25 7.1%	45 7.9%	13 12.4%	34 4.9%	90 7.2%	25 11.3%	20 6.8%	20 4.6%	19 6.5%	19 4.5%	9 8.4%	18 3.3%	77 5.7%	54 21.3%
Mean	2,20	2,15	1,98 bCDE	2,11 acdE	2,26 Ab	2,25 Ab	2,41 AB	2,05 BC	2,18 A	2,31 A	1,93 bCDe	2,08 aD	2,18 A	2,24 AB	2,20 a	1,79 BC	2,20 AC	2,69 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3433 61.3%	3428 61.2%	559 51.7%	703 53.4%	402 53.0%	322 36.3%	204 66.3%	828 54.5%	1124 47.3%	246 52.3%	392 57.1%	510 53.9%	366 55.6%	224 34.5%	99 47.3%	600 51.7%	1335 49.8%	249 49.5%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Limited number of visitors

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2169 38.7%	2171 38.8%	523 48.4%	614 46.6%	357 47.1%	565 63.8%	103 33.5%	694 45.6%	1253 52.8%	224 47.6%	295 42.9%	437 46.1%	293 44.5%	426 65.7%	110 52.7%	561 48.3%	1347 50.2%	255 50.6%
<b>Limited number of visitors</b>																		
(1) very important	222 10.2%	223 10.3%	78 14.9%	48 7.9%	21 6.0%	66 11.7%	9 8.6%	82 11.8%	113 9.0%	29 12.7%	50 16.9%	33 7.6%	18 6.1%	50 11.8%	15 13.8%	96 17.1%	111 8.2%	15 5.8%
(2) rather important	522 24.1%	525 24.2%	118 22.6%	171 27.9%	102 28.4%	104 18.5%	28 27.5%	195 28.1%	278 22.2%	52 23.2%	82 27.8%	124 28.4%	70 23.9%	87 20.4%	32 28.9%	163 29.0%	306 22.7%	54 21.3%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	961 44.3%	959 44.2%	208 39.9%	274 44.7%	153 42.7%	282 49.9%	37 35.8%	275 39.6%	590 47.1%	94 41.8%	105 35.7%	181 41.5%	145 49.6%	224 52.7%	45 40.5%	217 38.7%	656 48.7%	83 32.7%
(4) rather unimportant	352 16.2%	354 16.3%	81 15.6%	99 16.0%	66 18.5%	85 15.0%	21 20.3%	106 15.3%	217 17.3%	31 13.8%	45 15.2%	78 17.9%	44 15.1%	50 11.7%	15 13.3%	67 12.0%	219 16.3%	66 25.8%
(5) very unimportant	112 5.2%	110 5.1%	37 7.1%	22 3.5%	15 4.3%	28 4.9%	8 7.9%	36 5.2%	55 4.4%	19 8.4%	13 4.4%	20 4.6%	16 5.3%	14 3.4%	4 3.5%	18 3.1%	56 4.1%	37 14.4%
Top Box (1+2)	744 34.3%	748 34.5%	196 37.5%	220 35.7%	123 34.4%	170 30.2%	37 36.0%	277 39.9%	391 31.2%	81 35.9%	132 44.7%	157 36.0%	88 30.0%	137 32.2%	47 42.7%	259 46.1%	417 30.9%	69 27.2%
Middle Box (3)	961 44.3%	959 44.2%	208 39.9%	274 44.7%	153 42.7%	282 49.9%	37 35.8%	275 39.6%	590 47.1%	94 41.8%	105 35.7%	181 41.5%	145 49.6%	224 52.7%	45 40.5%	217 38.7%	656 48.7%	83 32.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	464 21.4%	464 21.4%	118 22.6%	120 19.6%	82 22.8%	112 19.9%	29 28.2%	142 20.5%	272 21.7%	50 22.2%	58 19.6%	98 22.5%	60 20.4%	64 15.1%	18 16.8%	85 15.1%	275 20.4%	102 40.1%
Mean	2,82	2,82	2,77	2,80	2,87	2,83	2,91	2,74 b	2,86 a	2,82	2,62 BC	2,83 A	2,90 Ade	2,75 c	2,64 c	2,55 BC	2,85 AC	3,22 AB
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missings	3430 61.3%	3426 61.2%	558 51.6%	703 53.4%	402 52.9%	321 36.2%	204 66.5%	827 54.4%	1122 47.2%	247 52.4%	392 57.1%	510 53.9%	365 55.5%	222 34.3%	99 47.3%	600 51.7%	1334 49.8%	249 49.4%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - 'Day of the open monument'

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2162 38.6%	2164 38.7%	522 48.3%	611 46.4%	356 46.9%	563 63.5%	103 33.7%	691 45.4%	1248 52.6%	225 47.7%	294 42.8%	436 46.0%	291 44.2%	423 65.3%	110 52.7%	558 48.1%	1344 50.1%	254 50.4%
'Day of the open monument'																		
(1) very important	532 24.6%	545 25.2%	167 31.9%	162 26.5%	58 16.4%	132 23.4%	21 20.4%	189 27.3%	304 24.3%	53 23.4%	127 43.0%	106 24.4%	54 18.5%	88 20.9%	20 18.2%	220 39.3%	294 21.9%	31 12.1%
(2) rather important	594 27.5%	621 28.7%	137 26.3%	184 30.1%	136 38.4%	134 23.9%	29 27.7%	221 32.0%	341 27.3%	58 25.9%	81 27.6%	147 33.7%	88 30.1%	114 26.9%	35 31.8%	159 28.5%	414 30.8%	47 18.4%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	651 30.1%	628 29.0%	123 23.6%	169 27.6%	104 29.3%	199 35.3%	33 31.5%	169 24.5%	401 32.1%	58 25.8%	47 16.0%	116 26.7%	90 30.8%	166 39.3%	38 34.7%	120 21.5%	431 32.1%	75 29.4%
(4) rather unimportant	256 11.8%	247 11.4%	52 10.0%	70 11.5%	44 12.2%	65 11.6%	14 13.1%	77 11.2%	137 11.0%	33 14.6%	25 8.5%	44 10.2%	42 14.4%	39 9.1%	6 5.1%	43 7.7%	147 10.9%	55 21.5%
(5) very unimportant	129 6.0%	123 5.7%	43 8.2%	26 4.3%	13 3.8%	33 5.8%	8 7.4%	34 5.0%	65 5.2%	23 10.2%	14 4.9%	22 5.1%	18 6.2%	16 3.7%	11 10.2%	16 3.0%	58 4.3%	47 18.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1126 52.1%	1166 53.9%	304 58.2%	346 56.6%	195 54.7%	266 47.3%	50 48.1%	410 59.3%	645 51.7%	111 49.3%	208 70.6%	253 58.0%	141 48.6%	202 47.8%	55 50.1%	379 67.8%	708 52.7%	77 30.4%
Middle Box (3)	651 30.1%	628 29.0%	123 23.6%	169 27.6%	104 29.3%	199 35.3%	33 31.5%	169 24.5%	401 32.1%	58 25.8%	47 16.0%	116 26.7%	90 30.8%	166 39.3%	38 34.7%	120 21.5%	431 32.1%	75 29.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	385 17.8%	370 17.1%	95 18.2%	97 15.8%	57 16.0%	98 17.5%	21 20.4%	112 16.2%	202 16.2%	56 24.9%	39 13.4%	66 15.2%	60 20.6%	55 12.9%	17 15.3%	59 10.6%	205 15.3%	102 40.1%
Mean	2,47	2,44	2,36 d	2,37 d	2,49	2,53 ab	2,59	2,34 bC	2,45 ac	2,62 Ab	2,05 BCDE	2,38 Ac	2,60 Ab	2,48 A	2,57 A	2,06 BC	2,45 AC	3,16 AB
Basis total	5599	5597	1081	1317	759	886	307	1521	2376	471	687	947	658	648	209	1161	2682	503
missings	3437 61.4%	3433 61.3%	559 51.7%	706 53.6%	403 53.1%	323 36.5%	204 66.3%	830 54.6%	1127 47.4%	246 52.3%	393 57.2%	511 54.0%	367 55.8%	225 34.7%	99 47.3%	603 51.9%	1338 49.9%	250 49.6%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Public Survey in Six European Countries

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument? - Inviting surrounding

Abs. Col %	Total		Technology Affinity					Awareness Nanotechnology			Nanotechnology Affinity					Importance Monument Protection		
	unweighted	weighted	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high awareness	low awareness	no awareness	enthusiastic	positive	rather positive	neutral	negative	high importance	medium importance	low importance
Basis total without missing	2166 38.7%	2167 38.7%	523 48.4%	612 46.5%	356 46.9%	563 63.6%	103 33.7%	693 45.6%	1250 52.6%	223 47.4%	295 42.9%	437 46.1%	292 44.4%	423 65.4%	110 52.7%	559 48.1%	1346 50.2%	255 50.6%
<b>Inviting surroundings</b>																		
(1) very important	447 20.6%	445 20.5%	148 28.4%	118 19.3%	45 12.6%	114 20.3%	17 16.8%	155 22.4%	235 18.8%	55 24.5%	97 32.8%	68 15.5%	51 17.5%	80 18.8%	18 16.2%	181 32.5%	229 17.0%	32 12.7%
(2) rather important	901 41.6%	912 42.1%	201 38.5%	297 48.5%	169 47.4%	201 35.8%	39 38.1%	305 44.0%	541 43.3%	66 29.7%	119 40.4%	226 51.6%	143 48.9%	155 36.5%	46 41.5%	228 40.7%	587 43.6%	97 38.0%
(3) neither important nor unimportant	610 28.2%	600 27.7%	125 23.9%	149 24.4%	106 29.7%	186 33.0%	32 31.2%	165 23.8%	369 29.5%	66 29.7%	56 19.1%	113 25.9%	60 20.6%	153 36.2%	31 27.9%	110 19.6%	414 30.7%	75 29.3%
(4) rather unimportant	154 7.1%	155 7.1%	32 6.0%	38 6.2%	28 8.0%	47 8.4%	9 9.1%	53 7.7%	81 6.5%	21 9.3%	16 5.4%	23 5.2%	31 10.6%	28 6.6%	12 11.0%	29 5.2%	95 7.1%	29 11.4%
(5) very unimportant	54 2.5%	55 2.5%	17 3.2%	10 1.6%	8 2.3%	15 2.6%	5 4.8%	14 2.1%	25 2.0%	15 6.8%	7 2.4%	8 1.8%	7 2.3%	8 1.8%	4 3.5%	11 2.0%	22 1.6%	22 8.6%
Top Box (1+2)	1348 62.2%	1357 62.7%	349 66.8%	416 67.9%	214 60.0%	316 56.1%	57 54.8%	461 66.4%	776 62.1%	121 54.2%	216 73.1%	293 67.1%	194 66.4%	234 55.4%	63 57.7%	409 73.2%	815 60.6%	129 50.7%
Middle Box (3)	610 28.2%	600 27.7%	125 23.9%	149 24.4%	106 29.7%	186 33.0%	32 31.2%	165 23.8%	369 29.5%	66 29.7%	56 19.1%	113 25.9%	60 20.6%	153 36.2%	31 27.9%	110 19.6%	414 30.7%	75 29.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	208 9.6%	209 9.6%	49 9.3%	48 7.8%	37 10.3%	62 10.9%	14 14.0%	67 9.7%	106 8.5%	36 16.1%	23 7.8%	31 7.0%	38 12.9%	36 8.4%	16 14.4%	40 7.2%	117 8.7%	51 20.0%
Mean	2,29	2,29	2,17 CDE	2,22 CDE	2,40 AB	2,37 AB	2,47 AB	2,23 C	2,30 c	2,44 Ab	2,04 BCDE	2,26 A	2,31 A	2,36 A	2,44 A	2,03 BC	2,33 AC	2,65 AB
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>5599</b>	<b>5597</b>	<b>1081</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>307</b>	<b>1521</b>	<b>2376</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>947</b>	<b>658</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>1161</b>	<b>2682</b>	<b>503</b>
missings	3433 61.3%	3431 61.3%	558 51.6%	704 53.5%	403 53.1%	323 36.4%	204 66.3%	828 54.4%	1125 47.4%	248 52.6%	392 57.1%	510 53.9%	366 55.6%	224 34.6%	99 47.3%	602 51.9%	1336 49.8%	249 49.4%

BACES



# **NANO-Cathedral**

## **Survey at Public Workshops**

### **Content Workshops**

Socio – Demographic .....	1
Evaluation of Workshop .....	5
New Technologies .....	11
Nanotechnologies .....	15
Monument Protection .....	39
Stone Treatment products .....	53
Nano Stone Treatment Products .....	61





## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### Workshop in City

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Workshop in [City]</b>																
Vienna	6 3.8%	3 4.7%	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	2 3.8%	3 7.0%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	2 5.6%	3 7.7%	6 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Ghent	29 18.5%	14 21.9%	15 17.6%	4 8.2%	17 32.1%	8 18.6%	0 -	5 35.7%	10 31.3%	6 16.7%	8 20.5%	0 -	29 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -
Pisa	53 33.8%	19 29.7%	30 35.3%	36 73.5%	6 11.3%	7 16.3%	19 82.6%	5 35.7%	7 21.9%	6 16.7%	6 15.4%	0 -	0 -	53 100.0%	0 -	0 -
Vitoria	44 28.0%	12 18.8%	29 34.1%	6 12.2%	21 39.6%	14 32.6%	4 17.4%	3 21.4%	10 31.3%	12 33.3%	12 30.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	44 100.0%	0 -
Cologne	25 15.9%	16 25.0%	8 9.4%	2 4.1%	7 13.2%	11 25.6%	0 -	1 7.1%	4 12.5%	10 27.8%	10 25.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	25 100.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## Gender

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	149 94.9%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	48 98.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	49 92.5%	41 93.2%	24 96.0%
<b>Gender</b>																
male	64 43.0%	64 100.0%	0 -	16 33.3%	19 35.8%	26 60.5%	8 36.4%	4 28.6%	12 37.5%	20 55.6%	19 50.0%	3 50.0%	14 48.3%	19 38.8%	12 29.3%	16 66.7%
female	85 57.0%	0 -	85 100.0%	32 66.7%	34 64.2%	17 39.5%	14 63.6%	10 71.4%	20 62.5%	16 44.4%	19 50.0%	3 50.0%	15 51.7%	30 61.2%	29 70.7%	8 33.3%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	8 5.1%	0 -	0 -	1 2.0%	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	4 7.5%	3 6.8%	1 4.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

Age Group																
Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	145 92.4%	61 95.3%	83 97.6%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	33 91.7%	36 92.3%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	49 92.5%	41 93.2%	20 80.0%
Age Group																
< 30 years	49 33.8%	16 26.2%	32 38.6%	49 100.0%	0 -	0 -	23 100.0%	8 57.1%	8 25.0%	3 9.1%	0 -	1 16.7%	4 13.8%	36 73.5%	6 14.6%	2 10.0%
30-45 years	53 36.6%	19 31.1%	34 41.0%	0 -	53 100.0%	0 -	0 -	6 42.9%	24 75.0%	18 54.5%	5 13.9%	2 33.3%	17 58.6%	6 12.2%	21 51.2%	7 35.0%
> 45 years	43 29.7%	26 42.6%	17 20.5%	0 -	0 -	43 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	12 36.4%	31 86.1%	3 50.0%	8 27.6%	7 14.3%	14 34.1%	11 55.0%
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missing	12 7.6%	3 4.7%	2 2.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 8.3%	3 7.7%	0 -	0 -	4 7.5%	3 6.8%	5 20.0%
BACES																



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## How many years of working experience do you have?

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	144 91.7%	63 98.4%	79 92.9%	42 85.7%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	43 81.1%	41 93.2%	25 100.0%
<b>Years of work experience</b>																
less than a year	23 16.0%	8 12.7%	14 17.7%	23 54.8%	0 -	0 -	23 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	19 44.2%	4 9.8%	0 -
one to three years	14 9.7%	4 6.3%	10 12.7%	8 19.0%	6 11.3%	0 -	0 -	14 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 17.2%	5 11.6%	3 7.3%	1 4.0%
four to ten years	32 22.2%	12 19.0%	20 25.3%	8 19.0%	24 45.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	32 100.0%	0 -	0 -	1 16.7%	10 34.5%	7 16.3%	10 24.4%	4 16.0%
eleven to 20 years	36 25.0%	20 31.7%	16 20.3%	3 7.1%	18 34.0%	12 27.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	36 100.0%	0 -	2 33.3%	6 20.7%	6 14.0%	12 29.3%	10 40.0%
more than 20 years	39 27.1%	19 30.2%	19 24.1%	0 -	5 9.4%	31 72.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	39 100.0%	3 50.0%	8 27.6%	6 14.0%	12 29.3%	10 40.0%
Top Box (1+2)	37 25.7%	12 19.0%	24 30.4%	31 73.8%	6 11.3%	0 -	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 17.2%	24 55.8%	7 17.1%	1 4.0%
Middle Box (3)	32 22.2%	12 19.0%	20 25.3%	8 19.0%	24 45.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	32 100.0%	0 -	0 -	1 16.7%	10 34.5%	7 16.3%	10 24.4%	4 16.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	75 52.1%	39 61.9%	35 44.3%	3 7.1%	23 43.4%	43 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	5 83.3%	14 48.3%	12 27.9%	24 58.5%	20 80.0%
Mean	3,38	3,60	3,20	1,79	3,42	4,72	1,00	2,00	3,00	4,00	5,00	4,33	3,59	2,42	3,61	4,16
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	13 8.3%	1 1.6%	6 7.1%	7 14.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	10 18.9%	3 6.8%	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you rate the following aspects around the Nano-Cathedral workshop? - The general impression of the workshop

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	150 95.5%	62 96.9%	80 94.1%	47 95.9%	49 92.5%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	27 84.4%	34 94.4%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	27 93.1%	52 98.1%	42 95.5%	23 92.0%
<b>The general impression of the workshop</b>																
(1) excellent	30 20.0%	14 22.6%	14 17.5%	5 10.6%	9 18.4%	12 27.9%	4 17.4%	3 21.4%	5 18.5%	7 20.6%	9 23.1%	0 -	1 3.7%	7 13.5%	13 31.0%	9 39.1%
(2) very good	81 54.0%	34 54.8%	42 52.5%	26 55.3%	27 55.1%	24 55.8%	12 52.2%	5 35.7%	14 51.9%	19 55.9%	24 61.5%	5 83.3%	14 51.9%	31 59.6%	21 50.0%	10 43.5%
(3) good	35 23.3%	13 21.0%	21 26.3%	16 34.0%	10 20.4%	6 14.0%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	5 18.5%	8 23.5%	5 12.8%	1 16.7%	12 44.4%	14 26.9%	5 11.9%	3 13.0%
(4) moderate	4 2.7%	1 1.6%	3 3.8%	0 -	3 6.1%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	3 11.1%	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 7.1%	1 4.3%
(5) bad	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	111 74.0%	48 77.4%	56 70.0%	31 66.0%	36 73.5%	36 83.7%	16 69.6%	8 57.1%	19 70.4%	26 76.5%	33 84.6%	5 83.3%	15 55.6%	38 73.1%	34 81.0%	19 82.6%
Middle Box (3)	35 23.3%	13 21.0%	21 26.3%	16 34.0%	10 20.4%	6 14.0%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	5 18.5%	8 23.5%	5 12.8%	1 16.7%	12 44.4%	14 26.9%	5 11.9%	3 13.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	4 2.7%	1 1.6%	3 3.8%	0 -	3 6.1%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	3 11.1%	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 7.1%	1 4.3%
Mean	2,09	2,02	2,16	2,23	2,14	1,91	2,13	2,21	2,22	2,03	1,95	2,17	2,41	2,13	1,95	1,83
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	7 4.5%	2 3.1%	5 5.9%	2 4.1%	4 7.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 15.6%	2 5.6%	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	1 1.9%	2 4.5%	2 8.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### How would you rate the following aspects around the Nano-Cathedral workshop? - The organization of the workshop

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	62 96.9%	82 96.5%	48 98.0%	50 94.3%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	28 87.5%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	27 93.1%	52 98.1%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>The organization of the workshop</b>																
(1) excellent	42 27.6%	21 33.9%	19 23.2%	8 16.7%	13 26.0%	17 39.5%	2 8.7%	4 28.6%	8 28.6%	11 31.4%	14 35.9%	0 -	4 14.8%	12 23.1%	12 27.9%	14 58.3%
(2) very good	87 57.2%	32 51.6%	50 61.0%	25 52.1%	33 66.0%	23 53.5%	15 65.2%	6 42.9%	16 57.1%	20 57.1%	23 59.0%	6 100.0%	19 70.4%	28 53.8%	24 55.8%	10 41.7%
(3) good	20 13.2%	8 12.9%	11 13.4%	14 29.2%	2 4.0%	3 7.0%	6 26.1%	4 28.6%	2 7.1%	4 11.4%	2 5.1%	0 -	4 14.8%	11 21.2%	5 11.6%	0 -
(4) moderate	3 2.0%	1 1.6%	2 2.4%	1 2.1%	2 4.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	2 4.7%	0 -
(5) bad	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	129 84.9%	53 85.5%	69 84.1%	33 68.8%	46 92.0%	40 93.0%	17 73.9%	10 71.4%	24 85.7%	31 88.6%	37 94.9%	6 100.0%	23 85.2%	40 76.9%	36 83.7%	24 100.0%
Middle Box (3)	20 13.2%	8 12.9%	11 13.4%	14 29.2%	2 4.0%	3 7.0%	6 26.1%	4 28.6%	2 7.1%	4 11.4%	2 5.1%	0 -	4 14.8%	11 21.2%	5 11.6%	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	3 2.0%	1 1.6%	2 2.4%	1 2.1%	2 4.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	2 4.7%	0 -
Mean	1,89	1,82	1,95	2,17	1,86	1,67	2,17	2,00	1,93	1,80	1,69	2,00	2,00	2,02	1,93	1,42
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	5 3.2%	2 3.1%	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	3 5.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 12.5%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### How would you rate the following aspects around the Nano-Cathedral workshop? - The venue/facilities

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	30 19.1%	18 28.1%	11 12.9%	3 6.1%	9 17.0%	14 32.6%	0 -	1 7.1%	5 15.6%	11 30.6%	13 33.3%	6 100.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	24 96.0%
<b>The venue/facilities</b>																
(1) excellent	11 36.7%	7 38.9%	4 36.4%	1 33.3%	3 33.3%	5 35.7%	0 -	1 100.0%	1 20.0%	6 54.5%	3 23.1%	2 33.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	9 37.5%
(2) very good	16 53.3%	10 55.6%	5 45.5%	1 33.3%	5 55.6%	8 57.1%	0 -	0 -	3 60.0%	4 36.4%	9 69.2%	3 50.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	13 54.2%
(3) good	3 10.0%	1 5.6%	2 18.2%	1 33.3%	1 11.1%	1 7.1%	0 -	0 -	1 20.0%	1 9.1%	1 7.7%	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 8.3%
(4) moderate	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) bad	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	27 90.0%	17 94.4%	9 81.8%	2 66.7%	8 88.9%	13 92.9%	0 -	1 100.0%	4 80.0%	10 90.9%	12 92.3%	5 83.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	22 91.7%
Middle Box (3)	3 10.0%	1 5.6%	2 18.2%	1 33.3%	1 11.1%	1 7.1%	0 -	0 -	1 20.0%	1 9.1%	1 7.7%	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 8.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,73	1,67	1,82	2,00	1,78	1,71	-	1,00	2,00	1,55	1,85	1,83	-	-	-	1,71
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	127 80.9%	46 71.9%	74 87.1%	46 93.9%	44 83.0%	29 67.4%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	27 84.4%	25 69.4%	26 66.7%	0 -	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	1 4.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### How would you rate the following aspects around the Nano-Cathedral workshop? - The time frame

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	62 96.9%	82 96.5%	48 98.0%	50 94.3%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	28 87.5%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	27 93.1%	52 98.1%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>The time frame</b>																
(1) excellent	26 17.1%	12 19.4%	12 14.6%	4 8.3%	10 20.0%	9 20.9%	3 13.0%	1 7.1%	5 17.9%	8 22.9%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	2 7.4%	11 21.2%	6 14.0%	6 25.0%
(2) very good	70 46.1%	28 45.2%	38 46.3%	15 31.3%	29 58.0%	23 53.5%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	15 53.6%	14 40.0%	24 61.5%	4 66.7%	17 63.0%	15 28.8%	24 55.8%	10 41.7%
(3) good	42 27.6%	20 32.3%	21 25.6%	23 47.9%	7 14.0%	9 20.9%	9 39.1%	6 42.9%	6 21.4%	10 28.6%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	8 29.6%	19 36.5%	6 14.0%	8 33.3%
(4) moderate	11 7.2%	2 3.2%	8 9.8%	6 12.5%	2 4.0%	1 2.3%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	1 3.6%	2 5.7%	2 5.1%	0 -	0 -	7 13.5%	4 9.3%	0 -
(5) bad	3 2.0%	0 -	3 3.7%	0 -	2 4.0%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.6%	1 2.9%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 7.0%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	96 63.2%	40 64.5%	50 61.0%	19 39.6%	39 78.0%	32 74.4%	10 43.5%	7 50.0%	20 71.4%	22 62.9%	30 76.9%	5 83.3%	19 70.4%	26 50.0%	30 69.8%	16 66.7%
Middle Box (3)	42 27.6%	20 32.3%	21 25.6%	23 47.9%	7 14.0%	9 20.9%	9 39.1%	6 42.9%	6 21.4%	10 28.6%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	8 29.6%	19 36.5%	6 14.0%	8 33.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	14 9.2%	2 3.2%	11 13.4%	6 12.5%	4 8.0%	2 4.7%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	2 7.1%	3 8.6%	3 7.7%	0 -	0 -	7 13.5%	7 16.3%	0 -
Mean	2,31	2,19	2,41	2,65	2,14	2,12	2,61	2,50	2,21	2,26	2,18	2,00	2,22	2,42	2,40	2,08
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	5 3.2%	2 3.1%	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	3 5.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 12.5%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### How would you rate the following aspects around the Nano-Cathedral workshop? - The selection of speakers

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	29 18.5%	17 26.6%	11 12.9%	3 6.1%	9 17.0%	13 30.2%	0 -	1 7.1%	5 15.6%	10 27.8%	13 33.3%	5 83.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	24 96.0%
<b>The selection of speakers</b>																
(1) excellent	9 31.0%	5 29.4%	4 36.4%	1 33.3%	2 22.2%	4 30.8%	0 -	1 100.0%	1 20.0%	3 30.0%	4 30.8%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 33.3%
(2) very good	15 51.7%	8 47.1%	6 54.5%	2 66.7%	5 55.6%	6 46.2%	0 -	0 -	4 80.0%	5 50.0%	6 46.2%	3 60.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	12 50.0%
(3) good	4 13.8%	3 17.6%	1 9.1%	0 -	2 22.2%	2 15.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 10.0%	3 23.1%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 12.5%
(4) moderate	1 3.4%	1 5.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 7.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 10.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.2%
(5) bad	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	24 82.8%	13 76.5%	10 90.9%	3 100.0%	7 77.8%	10 76.9%	0 -	1 100.0%	5 100.0%	8 80.0%	10 76.9%	4 80.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	20 83.3%
Middle Box (3)	4 13.8%	3 17.6%	1 9.1%	0 -	2 22.2%	2 15.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 10.0%	3 23.1%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 12.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	1 3.4%	1 5.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 7.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 10.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.2%
Mean	1,90	2,00	1,73	1,67	2,00	2,00	-	1,00	1,80	2,00	1,92	2,00	-	-	-	1,88
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	128 81.5%	47 73.4%	74 87.1%	46 93.9%	44 83.0%	30 69.8%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	27 84.4%	26 72.2%	26 66.7%	1 16.7%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	1 4.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### How would you rate the following aspects around the Nano-Cathedral workshop? - The presentations and discussions

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	151 96.2%	61 95.3%	82 96.5%	48 98.0%	50 94.3%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	28 87.5%	34 94.4%	39 100.0%	5 83.3%	27 93.1%	52 98.1%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>The presentations and discussions</b>																
(1) excellent	32 21.2%	15 24.6%	15 18.3%	8 16.7%	11 22.0%	9 21.4%	4 17.4%	5 35.7%	3 10.7%	9 26.5%	8 20.5%	1 20.0%	2 7.4%	12 23.1%	12 27.9%	5 20.8%
(2) very good	68 45.0%	29 47.5%	34 41.5%	20 41.7%	23 46.0%	20 47.6%	10 43.5%	2 14.3%	16 57.1%	12 35.3%	21 53.8%	3 60.0%	12 44.4%	25 48.1%	17 39.5%	11 45.8%
(3) good	46 30.5%	16 26.2%	29 35.4%	20 41.7%	13 26.0%	11 26.2%	9 39.1%	7 50.0%	6 21.4%	13 38.2%	8 20.5%	1 20.0%	13 48.1%	15 28.8%	10 23.3%	7 29.2%
(4) moderate	5 3.3%	1 1.6%	4 4.9%	0 -	3 6.0%	2 4.8%	0 -	0 -	3 10.7%	0 -	2 5.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 9.3%	1 4.2%
(5) bad	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	100 66.2%	44 72.1%	49 59.8%	28 58.3%	34 68.0%	29 69.0%	14 60.9%	7 50.0%	19 67.9%	21 61.8%	29 74.4%	4 80.0%	14 51.9%	37 71.2%	29 67.4%	16 66.7%
Middle Box (3)	46 30.5%	16 26.2%	29 35.4%	20 41.7%	13 26.0%	11 26.2%	9 39.1%	7 50.0%	6 21.4%	13 38.2%	8 20.5%	1 20.0%	13 48.1%	15 28.8%	10 23.3%	7 29.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	5 3.3%	1 1.6%	4 4.9%	0 -	3 6.0%	2 4.8%	0 -	0 -	3 10.7%	0 -	2 5.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 9.3%	1 4.2%
Mean	2,16	2,05	2,27	2,25	2,16	2,14	2,22	2,14	2,32	2,12	2,10	2,00	2,41	2,06	2,14	2,17
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	6 3.8%	3 4.7%	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	3 5.7%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	4 12.5%	2 5.6%	0 -	1 16.7%	2 6.9%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How positive or negative do you personally feel towards new technologies?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	156 99.4%	64 100.0%	84 98.8%	49 100.0%	52 98.1%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Personal feeling towards new technologies</b>																
(1) very positive	47 30.1%	20 31.3%	23 27.4%	13 26.5%	15 28.8%	14 32.6%	6 26.1%	5 35.7%	7 22.6%	13 36.1%	10 25.6%	0 -	5 17.2%	19 36.5%	20 45.5%	3 12.0%
2	40 25.6%	16 25.0%	24 28.6%	16 32.7%	16 30.8%	7 16.3%	7 30.4%	4 28.6%	13 41.9%	5 13.9%	9 23.1%	2 33.3%	5 17.2%	18 34.6%	10 22.7%	5 20.0%
3	21 13.5%	7 10.9%	12 14.3%	10 20.4%	4 7.7%	6 14.0%	6 26.1%	1 7.1%	2 6.5%	3 8.3%	5 12.8%	1 16.7%	5 17.2%	8 15.4%	6 13.6%	1 4.0%
4	17 10.9%	7 10.9%	10 11.9%	6 12.2%	5 9.6%	6 14.0%	3 13.0%	1 7.1%	4 12.9%	5 13.9%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	4 13.8%	4 7.7%	4 9.1%	4 16.0%
5	9 5.8%	5 7.8%	4 4.8%	2 4.1%	4 7.7%	3 7.0%	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.2%	6 16.7%	1 2.6%	1 16.7%	4 13.8%	3 5.8%	1 2.3%	0 -
6	14 9.0%	5 7.8%	7 8.3%	1 2.0%	4 7.7%	5 11.6%	0 -	0 -	3 9.7%	3 8.3%	7 17.9%	0 -	3 10.3%	0 -	3 6.8%	8 32.0%
7	2 1.3%	1 1.6%	1 1.2%	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	1 7.1%	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	0 -	1 4.0%
8	5 3.2%	3 4.7%	2 2.4%	1 2.0%	2 3.8%	1 2.3%	0 -	1 7.1%	1 3.2%	1 2.8%	2 5.1%	1 16.7%	1 3.4%	0 -	0 -	3 12.0%
9	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -	1 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -
10	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(11) very negative	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
Mean	2,88	2,92	2,87	2,51	2,98	3,02	2,39	3,14	2,77	3,00	3,31	4,00	3,72	2,12	2,20	4,44
missing	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you estimate the feeling of restorers towards new technologies?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	150 95.5%	63 98.4%	80 94.1%	46 93.9%	51 96.2%	42 97.7%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	30 93.8%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	48 90.6%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>Fellow practitioner's feeling towards new technologies</b>																
(1) very positive	22 14.7%	13 20.6%	7 8.8%	6 13.0%	8 15.7%	5 11.9%	2 9.1%	1 7.1%	4 13.3%	7 20.0%	6 15.4%	0 -	3 10.3%	8 16.7%	9 20.9%	2 8.3%
2	26 17.3%	9 14.3%	16 20.0%	11 23.9%	8 15.7%	7 16.7%	3 13.6%	5 35.7%	7 23.3%	4 11.4%	6 15.4%	0 -	4 13.8%	10 20.8%	8 18.6%	4 16.7%
3	25 16.7%	8 12.7%	15 18.8%	14 30.4%	7 13.7%	2 4.8%	12 54.5%	1 7.1%	5 16.7%	1 2.9%	3 7.7%	1 16.7%	2 6.9%	10 20.8%	12 27.9%	0 -
4	26 17.3%	12 19.0%	14 17.5%	6 13.0%	10 19.6%	9 21.4%	3 13.6%	2 14.3%	5 16.7%	10 28.6%	5 12.8%	2 33.3%	7 24.1%	8 16.7%	6 14.0%	3 12.5%
5	16 10.7%	8 12.7%	7 8.8%	5 10.9%	3 5.9%	7 16.7%	1 4.5%	2 14.3%	2 6.7%	2 5.7%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	2 6.9%	6 12.5%	5 11.6%	2 8.3%
6	22 14.7%	9 14.3%	12 15.0%	2 4.3%	7 13.7%	9 21.4%	1 4.5%	0 -	2 6.7%	8 22.9%	11 28.2%	1 16.7%	5 17.2%	4 8.3%	2 4.7%	10 41.7%
7	6 4.0%	3 4.8%	3 3.8%	1 2.2%	2 3.9%	3 7.1%	0 -	2 14.3%	0 -	3 8.6%	1 2.6%	0 -	3 10.3%	1 2.1%	0 -	2 8.3%
8	3 2.0%	1 1.6%	2 2.5%	1 2.2%	2 3.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 10.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	1 2.1%	0 -	1 4.2%
9	2 1.3%	0 -	2 2.5%	0 -	2 3.9%	0 -	0 -	1 7.1%	1 3.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -
10	2 1.3%	0 -	2 2.5%	0 -	2 3.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.3%	0 -	1 2.6%	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -
(11) very negative	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
Mean	3,79	3,59	4,03	3,17	4,12	4,07	3,05	3,93	3,93	3,91	4,10	5,33	4,55	3,31	3,07	4,75
missing	7 4.5%	1 1.6%	5 5.9%	3 6.1%	2 3.8%	1 2.3%	1 4.3%	0 -	2 6.3%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 9.4%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## How positive or negative do you personally feel towards new technologies? - Groups

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	156 99.4%	64 100.0%	84 98.8%	49 100.0%	52 98.1%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Groups: Personal Technology Affinity</b>																
(1) enthusiastic	47 30.1%	20 31.3%	23 27.4%	13 26.5%	15 28.8%	14 32.6%	6 26.1%	5 35.7%	7 22.6%	13 36.1%	10 25.6%	0 -	5 17.2%	19 36.5%	20 45.5%	3 12.0%
(2) positive	61 39.1%	23 35.9%	36 42.9%	26 53.1%	20 38.5%	13 30.2%	13 56.5%	5 35.7%	15 48.4%	8 22.2%	14 35.9%	3 50.0%	10 34.5%	26 50.0%	16 36.4%	6 24.0%
(3) rather positive	26 16.7%	12 18.8%	14 16.7%	8 16.3%	9 17.3%	9 20.9%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	5 16.1%	11 30.6%	5 12.8%	2 33.3%	8 27.6%	7 13.5%	5 11.4%	4 16.0%
(4) neutral	14 9.0%	5 7.8%	7 8.3%	1 2.0%	4 7.7%	5 11.6%	0 -	0 -	3 9.7%	3 8.3%	7 17.9%	0 -	3 10.3%	0 -	3 6.8%	8 32.0%
(5) negative	8 5.1%	4 6.3%	4 4.8%	1 2.0%	4 7.7%	2 4.7%	0 -	3 21.4%	1 3.2%	1 2.8%	3 7.7%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	0 -	0 -	4 16.0%
Top Box (1+2)	108 69.2%	43 67.2%	59 70.2%	39 79.6%	35 67.3%	27 62.8%	19 82.6%	10 71.4%	22 71.0%	21 58.3%	24 61.5%	3 50.0%	15 51.7%	45 86.5%	36 81.8%	9 36.0%
Middle Box (3)	26 16.7%	12 18.8%	14 16.7%	8 16.3%	9 17.3%	9 20.9%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	5 16.1%	11 30.6%	5 12.8%	2 33.3%	8 27.6%	7 13.5%	5 11.4%	4 16.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	22 14.1%	9 14.1%	11 13.1%	2 4.1%	8 15.4%	7 16.3%	0 -	3 21.4%	4 12.9%	4 11.1%	10 25.6%	1 16.7%	6 20.7%	0 -	3 6.8%	12 48.0%
Mean	2,20	2,22	2,20	2,00	2,27	2,26	1,91	2,36	2,23	2,19	2,46	2,83	2,62	1,77	1,80	3,16
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### How would you estimate the feeling of restorers towards new technologies? - Groups

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	150 95.5%	63 98.4%	80 94.1%	46 93.9%	51 96.2%	42 97.7%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	30 93.8%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	48 90.6%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>Groups: Technology Affinity - Practitioners</b>																
(1) enthusiastic	22 14.7%	13 20.6%	7 8.8%	6 13.0%	8 15.7%	5 11.9%	2 9.1%	1 7.1%	4 13.3%	7 20.0%	6 15.4%	0 -	3 10.3%	8 16.7%	9 20.9%	2 8.3%
(2) positive	51 34.0%	17 27.0%	31 38.8%	25 54.3%	15 29.4%	9 21.4%	15 68.2%	6 42.9%	12 40.0%	5 14.3%	9 23.1%	1 16.7%	6 20.7%	20 41.7%	20 46.5%	4 16.7%
(3) rather positive	42 28.0%	20 31.7%	21 26.3%	11 23.9%	13 25.5%	16 38.1%	4 18.2%	4 28.6%	7 23.3%	12 34.3%	11 28.2%	3 50.0%	9 31.0%	14 29.2%	11 25.6%	5 20.8%
(4) neutral	22 14.7%	9 14.3%	12 15.0%	2 4.3%	7 13.7%	9 21.4%	1 4.5%	0 -	2 6.7%	8 22.9%	11 28.2%	1 16.7%	5 17.2%	4 8.3%	2 4.7%	10 41.7%
(5) negative	13 8.7%	4 6.3%	9 11.3%	2 4.3%	8 15.7%	3 7.1%	0 -	3 21.4%	5 16.7%	3 8.6%	2 5.1%	1 16.7%	6 20.7%	2 4.2%	1 2.3%	3 12.5%
Top Box (1+2)	73 48.7%	30 47.6%	38 47.5%	31 67.4%	23 45.1%	14 33.3%	17 77.3%	7 50.0%	16 53.3%	12 34.3%	15 38.5%	1 16.7%	9 31.0%	28 58.3%	29 67.4%	6 25.0%
Middle Box (3)	42 28.0%	20 31.7%	21 26.3%	11 23.9%	13 25.5%	16 38.1%	4 18.2%	4 28.6%	7 23.3%	12 34.3%	11 28.2%	3 50.0%	9 31.0%	14 29.2%	11 25.6%	5 20.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	35 23.3%	13 20.6%	21 26.3%	4 8.7%	15 29.4%	12 28.6%	1 4.5%	3 21.4%	7 23.3%	11 31.4%	13 33.3%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	6 12.5%	3 7.0%	13 54.2%
Mean	2,69	2,59	2,81	2,33	2,84	2,90	2,18	2,86	2,73	2,86	2,85	3,33	3,17	2,42	2,21	3,33
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	7 4.5%	1 1.6%	5 5.9%	3 6.1%	2 3.8%	1 2.3%	1 4.3%	0 -	2 6.3%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 9.4%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## How much have you heard or read about nanotechnology?

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	61 95.3%	83 97.6%	49 100.0%	50 94.3%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	32 88.9%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	21 84.0%
<b>Heard or read about: Nanotechnology</b>																
(1) very much	22 14.5%	9 14.8%	13 15.7%	3 6.1%	11 22.0%	8 19.0%	0 -	4 28.6%	5 16.1%	9 28.1%	4 10.3%	0 -	5 17.2%	11 21.2%	6 13.6%	0 -
(2) rather much	38 25.0%	21 34.4%	15 18.1%	10 20.4%	12 24.0%	13 31.0%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	10 32.3%	7 21.9%	13 33.3%	4 66.7%	7 24.1%	12 23.1%	7 15.9%	8 38.1%
(3) rather little	56 36.8%	21 34.4%	29 34.9%	14 28.6%	20 40.0%	14 33.3%	8 34.8%	5 35.7%	11 35.5%	11 34.4%	15 38.5%	1 16.7%	9 31.0%	12 23.1%	22 50.0%	12 57.1%
(4) very little	30 19.7%	8 13.1%	22 26.5%	16 32.7%	7 14.0%	7 16.7%	10 43.5%	4 28.6%	3 9.7%	3 9.4%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	7 24.1%	13 25.0%	9 20.5%	0 -
(5) nothing at all	6 3.9%	2 3.3%	4 4.8%	6 12.2%	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	2 6.5%	2 6.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	4 7.7%	0 -	1 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	60 39.5%	30 49.2%	28 33.7%	13 26.5%	23 46.0%	21 50.0%	4 17.4%	5 35.7%	15 48.4%	16 50.0%	17 43.6%	4 66.7%	12 41.4%	23 44.2%	13 29.5%	8 38.1%
Middle Box (3)	56 36.8%	21 34.4%	29 34.9%	14 28.6%	20 40.0%	14 33.3%	8 34.8%	5 35.7%	11 35.5%	11 34.4%	15 38.5%	1 16.7%	9 31.0%	12 23.1%	22 50.0%	12 57.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	36 23.7%	10 16.4%	26 31.3%	22 44.9%	7 14.0%	7 16.7%	11 47.8%	4 28.6%	5 16.1%	5 15.6%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	17 32.7%	9 20.5%	1 4.8%
Mean	2,74	2,56	2,87	3,24	2,46	2,48	3,35	2,64	2,58	2,44	2,64	2,50	2,72	2,75	2,77	2,71
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	5 3.2%	3 4.7%	2 2.4%	0 -	3 5.7%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	4 11.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	4 16.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits of nanotechnology?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	151 96.2%	63 98.4%	80 94.1%	49 100.0%	48 90.6%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	30 93.8%	32 88.9%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	27 93.1%	52 98.1%	42 95.5%	24 96.0%
<b>Estimation of the benefits of Nanotechnology</b>																
(1) very great	34 22.5%	15 23.8%	15 18.8%	12 24.5%	11 22.9%	6 14.3%	5 21.7%	4 28.6%	7 23.3%	8 25.0%	5 12.8%	0 -	1 3.7%	19 36.5%	12 28.6%	2 8.3%
(2) rather great	71 47.0%	25 39.7%	45 56.3%	32 65.3%	23 47.9%	15 35.7%	16 69.6%	8 57.1%	16 53.3%	8 25.0%	18 46.2%	2 33.3%	13 48.1%	29 55.8%	21 50.0%	6 25.0%
(3) moderate	34 22.5%	14 22.2%	17 21.3%	5 10.2%	11 22.9%	13 31.0%	2 8.7%	1 7.1%	5 16.7%	13 40.6%	10 25.6%	3 50.0%	8 29.6%	4 7.7%	8 19.0%	11 45.8%
(4) rather small	12 7.9%	9 14.3%	3 3.8%	0 -	3 6.3%	8 19.0%	0 -	1 7.1%	2 6.7%	3 9.4%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	5 18.5%	0 -	1 2.4%	5 20.8%
(5) very small	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	105 69.5%	40 63.5%	60 75.0%	44 89.8%	34 70.8%	21 50.0%	21 91.3%	12 85.7%	23 76.7%	16 50.0%	23 59.0%	2 33.3%	14 51.9%	48 92.3%	33 78.6%	8 33.3%
Middle Box (3)	34 22.5%	14 22.2%	17 21.3%	5 10.2%	11 22.9%	13 31.0%	2 8.7%	1 7.1%	5 16.7%	13 40.6%	10 25.6%	3 50.0%	8 29.6%	4 7.7%	8 19.0%	11 45.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	12 7.9%	9 14.3%	3 3.8%	0 -	3 6.3%	8 19.0%	0 -	1 7.1%	2 6.7%	3 9.4%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	5 18.5%	0 -	1 2.4%	5 20.8%
Mean	2,16	2,27	2,10	1,86	2,13	2,55	1,87	1,93	2,07	2,34	2,44	2,83	2,63	1,71	1,95	2,79
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	6 3.8%	1 1.6%	5 5.9%	0 -	5 9.4%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	2 6.3%	4 11.1%	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	1 1.9%	2 4.5%	1 4.0%

BACES





# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

All in all, how do you evaluate the risks of nanotechnology?

Abs. Col. %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	145 92.4%	62 96.9%	77 90.6%	49 100.0%	47 88.7%	40 93.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	29 90.6%	31 86.1%	37 94.9%	6 100.0%	26 89.7%	50 94.3%	41 93.2%	22 88.0%
<b>Estimation of the risks of Nanotechnology</b>																
(1) very great	6 4.1%	2 3.2%	4 5.2%	0 -	4 8.5%	2 5.0%	0 -	1 7.1%	2 6.9%	1 3.2%	2 5.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	5 12.2%	1 4.5%
(2) rather great	33 22.8%	19 30.6%	13 16.9%	7 14.3%	13 27.7%	9 22.5%	3 13.0%	3 21.4%	6 20.7%	10 32.3%	9 24.3%	3 50.0%	10 38.5%	3 6.0%	7 17.1%	10 45.5%
(3) moderate	75 51.7%	30 48.4%	41 53.2%	29 59.2%	22 46.8%	21 52.5%	14 60.9%	7 50.0%	13 44.8%	16 51.6%	19 51.4%	3 50.0%	12 46.2%	28 56.0%	23 56.1%	9 40.9%
(4) rather small	27 18.6%	10 16.1%	17 22.1%	11 22.4%	7 14.9%	8 20.0%	5 21.7%	3 21.4%	7 24.1%	3 9.7%	7 18.9%	0 -	3 11.5%	17 34.0%	5 12.2%	2 9.1%
(5) very small	4 2.8%	1 1.6%	2 2.6%	2 4.1%	1 2.1%	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.4%	1 3.2%	0 -	0 -	1 3.8%	2 4.0%	1 2.4%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	39 26.9%	21 33.9%	17 22.1%	7 14.3%	17 36.2%	11 27.5%	3 13.0%	4 28.6%	8 27.6%	11 35.5%	11 29.7%	3 50.0%	10 38.5%	3 6.0%	12 29.3%	11 50.0%
Middle Box (3)	75 51.7%	30 48.4%	41 53.2%	29 59.2%	22 46.8%	21 52.5%	14 60.9%	7 50.0%	13 44.8%	16 51.6%	19 51.4%	3 50.0%	12 46.2%	28 56.0%	23 56.1%	9 40.9%
Bottom Box (4+5)	31 21.4%	11 17.7%	19 24.7%	13 26.5%	8 17.0%	8 20.0%	6 26.1%	3 21.4%	8 27.6%	4 12.9%	7 18.9%	0 -	4 15.4%	19 38.0%	6 14.6%	2 9.1%
Mean	2,93	2,82	3,00	3,16	2,74	2,88	3,17	2,86	2,97	2,77	2,84	2,50	2,81	3,36	2,76	2,55
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	12 7.6%	2 3.1%	8 9.4%	0 -	6 11.3%	3 7.0%	0 -	0 -	3 9.4%	5 13.9%	2 5.1%	0 -	3 10.3%	3 5.7%	3 6.8%	3 12.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - 2017

Abs. Col %	Total	Workshop in [City]				
	N	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
<b>Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know?</b>						
Paint and varnish	90 57.3%	4 66.7%	8 27.6%	32 60.4%	26 59.1%	20 80.0%
Medicine	79 50.3%	2 33.3%	7 24.1%	39 73.6%	23 52.3%	8 32.0%
Electronics	72 45.9%	0 -	9 31.0%	39 73.6%	21 47.7%	3 12.0%
Textiles	61 38.9%	6 100.0%	6 20.7%	19 35.8%	18 40.9%	12 48.0%
Cosmetics	53 33.8%	4 66.7%	6 20.7%	18 34.0%	15 34.1%	10 40.0%
Food	29 18.5%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	8 15.1%	11 25.0%	6 24.0%
Other applications	22 14.0%	0 -	5 17.2%	7 13.2%	8 18.2%	2 8.0%
BACES						



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Medicine

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Medicine</b>																
quoted	79 50.3%	32 50.0%	43 50.6%	32 65.3%	27 50.9%	16 37.2%	18 78.3%	8 57.1%	15 46.9%	18 50.0%	14 35.9%	2 33.3%	7 24.1%	39 73.6%	23 52.3%	8 32.0%
unquoted	78 49.7%	32 50.0%	42 49.4%	17 34.7%	26 49.1%	27 62.8%	5 21.7%	6 42.9%	17 53.1%	18 50.0%	25 64.1%	4 66.7%	22 75.9%	14 26.4%	21 47.7%	17 68.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Textiles

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Textiles</b>																
quoted	61 38.9%	32 50.0%	26 30.6%	11 22.4%	23 43.4%	22 51.2%	4 17.4%	5 35.7%	12 37.5%	15 41.7%	20 51.3%	6 100.0%	6 20.7%	19 35.8%	18 40.9%	12 48.0%
unquoted	96 61.1%	32 50.0%	59 69.4%	38 77.6%	30 56.6%	21 48.8%	19 82.6%	9 64.3%	20 62.5%	21 58.3%	19 48.7%	0 -	23 79.3%	34 64.2%	26 59.1%	13 52.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Food

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Food</b>																
quoted	29 18.5%	13 20.3%	16 18.8%	10 20.4%	12 22.6%	4 9.3%	5 21.7%	2 14.3%	11 34.4%	6 16.7%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	8 15.1%	11 25.0%	6 24.0%
unquoted	128 81.5%	51 79.7%	69 81.2%	39 79.6%	41 77.4%	39 90.7%	18 78.3%	12 85.7%	21 65.6%	30 83.3%	35 89.7%	5 83.3%	26 89.7%	45 84.9%	33 75.0%	19 76.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Paint and varnish

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Paint and varnish</b>																
quoted	90 57.3%	40 62.5%	47 55.3%	26 53.1%	30 56.6%	29 67.4%	9 39.1%	7 50.0%	16 50.0%	27 75.0%	23 59.0%	4 66.7%	8 27.6%	32 60.4%	26 59.1%	20 80.0%
unquoted	67 42.7%	24 37.5%	38 44.7%	23 46.9%	23 43.4%	14 32.6%	14 60.9%	7 50.0%	16 50.0%	9 25.0%	16 41.0%	2 33.3%	21 72.4%	21 39.6%	18 40.9%	5 20.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Cosmetics

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Cosmetics</b>																
quoted	53 33.8%	22 34.4%	29 34.1%	8 16.3%	24 45.3%	17 39.5%	1 4.3%	3 21.4%	13 40.6%	16 44.4%	16 41.0%	4 66.7%	6 20.7%	18 34.0%	15 34.1%	10 40.0%
unquoted	104 66.2%	42 65.6%	56 65.9%	41 83.7%	29 54.7%	26 60.5%	22 95.7%	11 78.6%	19 59.4%	20 55.6%	23 59.0%	2 33.3%	23 79.3%	35 66.0%	29 65.9%	15 60.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

### Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Electronics

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Electronics</b>																
quoted	72 45.9%	29 45.3%	39 45.9%	32 65.3%	24 45.3%	12 27.9%	18 78.3%	6 42.9%	14 43.8%	13 36.1%	13 33.3%	0 -	9 31.0%	39 73.6%	21 47.7%	3 12.0%
unquoted	85 54.1%	35 54.7%	46 54.1%	17 34.7%	29 54.7%	31 72.1%	5 21.7%	8 57.1%	18 56.3%	23 63.9%	26 66.7%	6 100.0%	20 69.0%	14 26.4%	23 52.3%	22 88.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - Others:

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Other applications</b>																
quoted	22 14.0%	10 15.6%	11 12.9%	6 12.2%	6 11.3%	8 18.6%	3 13.0%	2 14.3%	6 18.8%	2 5.6%	7 17.9%	0 -	5 17.2%	7 13.2%	8 18.2%	2 8.0%
unquoted	135 86.0%	54 84.4%	74 87.1%	43 87.8%	47 88.7%	35 81.4%	20 87.0%	12 85.7%	26 81.3%	34 94.4%	32 82.1%	6 100.0%	24 82.8%	46 86.8%	36 81.8%	23 92.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know? - I do not know any applications.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>I do not know any applications</b>																
quoted	14 8.9%	4 6.3%	9 10.6%	6 12.2%	5 9.4%	2 4.7%	2 8.7%	4 28.6%	3 9.4%	1 2.8%	4 10.3%	0 -	6 20.7%	3 5.7%	3 6.8%	2 8.0%
unquoted	143 91.1%	60 93.8%	76 89.4%	43 87.8%	48 90.6%	41 95.3%	21 91.3%	10 71.4%	29 90.6%	35 97.2%	35 89.7%	6 100.0%	23 79.3%	50 94.3%	41 93.2%	23 92.0%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	154 98.1%	64 100.0%	82 96.5%	47 95.9%	52 98.1%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	30 93.8%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	28 96.6%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	24 96.0%
<b>Nanotechnology has a positive effect on the economic development.</b>																
(1) completely agree	26 16.9%	11 17.2%	14 17.1%	8 17.0%	7 13.5%	9 20.9%	5 22.7%	1 7.1%	3 10.0%	7 19.4%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	2 7.1%	10 19.2%	12 27.3%	1 4.2%
(2) rather agree	82 53.2%	34 53.1%	43 52.4%	28 59.6%	29 55.8%	18 41.9%	13 59.1%	8 57.1%	18 60.0%	17 47.2%	18 46.2%	4 66.7%	10 35.7%	35 67.3%	23 52.3%	10 41.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	43 27.9%	16 25.0%	25 30.5%	11 23.4%	16 30.8%	13 30.2%	4 18.2%	5 35.7%	9 30.0%	10 27.8%	13 33.3%	0 -	14 50.0%	7 13.5%	9 20.5%	13 54.2%
(4) rather disagree	3 1.9%	3 4.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 7.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.6%	1 2.6%	1 16.7%	2 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) completely disagree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	108 70.1%	45 70.3%	57 69.5%	36 76.6%	36 69.2%	27 62.8%	18 81.8%	9 64.3%	21 70.0%	24 66.7%	25 64.1%	5 83.3%	12 42.9%	45 86.5%	35 79.5%	11 45.8%
Middle Box (3)	43 27.9%	16 25.0%	25 30.5%	11 23.4%	16 30.8%	13 30.2%	4 18.2%	5 35.7%	9 30.0%	10 27.8%	13 33.3%	0 -	14 50.0%	7 13.5%	9 20.5%	13 54.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	3 1.9%	3 4.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 7.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.6%	1 2.6%	1 16.7%	2 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	2,15	2,17	2,13	2,06	2,17	2,23	1,95	2,29	2,20	2,19	2,21	2,17	2,57	1,94	1,93	2,50
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	3 1.9%	0 -	3 3.5%	2 4.1%	1 1.9%	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	2 6.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	1 1.9%	0 -	1 4.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	154 98.1%	64 100.0%	82 96.5%	48 98.0%	52 98.1%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	35 97.2%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>Nanotechnology-products are products I would use.</b>																
(1) completely agree	28 18.2%	12 18.8%	13 15.9%	13 27.1%	7 13.5%	5 11.9%	6 26.1%	3 21.4%	6 18.8%	5 14.3%	3 7.9%	1 16.7%	2 6.9%	22 42.3%	3 7.0%	0 -
(2) rather agree	65 42.2%	25 39.1%	39 47.6%	18 37.5%	26 50.0%	19 45.2%	8 34.8%	8 57.1%	13 40.6%	14 40.0%	20 52.6%	3 50.0%	13 44.8%	19 36.5%	22 51.2%	8 33.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	53 34.4%	22 34.4%	28 34.1%	17 35.4%	18 34.6%	13 31.0%	9 39.1%	3 21.4%	12 37.5%	13 37.1%	11 28.9%	1 16.7%	13 44.8%	11 21.2%	16 37.2%	12 50.0%
(4) rather disagree	7 4.5%	4 6.3%	2 2.4%	0 -	1 1.9%	4 9.5%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	3 8.6%	3 7.9%	1 16.7%	1 3.4%	0 -	2 4.7%	3 12.5%
(5) completely disagree	1 0.6%	1 1.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.4%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.2%
Top Box (1+2)	93 60.4%	37 57.8%	52 63.4%	31 64.6%	33 63.5%	24 57.1%	14 60.9%	11 78.6%	19 59.4%	19 54.3%	23 60.5%	4 66.7%	15 51.7%	41 78.8%	25 58.1%	8 33.3%
Middle Box (3)	53 34.4%	22 34.4%	28 34.1%	17 35.4%	18 34.6%	13 31.0%	9 39.1%	3 21.4%	12 37.5%	13 37.1%	11 28.9%	1 16.7%	13 44.8%	11 21.2%	16 37.2%	12 50.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	8 5.2%	5 7.8%	2 2.4%	0 -	1 1.9%	5 11.9%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	3 8.6%	4 10.5%	1 16.7%	1 3.4%	0 -	2 4.7%	4 16.7%
Mean	2,27	2,33	2,23	2,08	2,25	2,45	2,13	2,00	2,25	2,40	2,45	2,33	2,45	1,79	2,40	2,88
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	3 1.9%	0 -	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	154 98.1%	64 100.0%	82 96.5%	48 98.0%	52 98.1%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	36 100.0%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	43 97.7%	25 100.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products.</b>																
(1) completely agree	4 2.6%	3 4.7%	1 1.2%	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 11.1%	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	0 -	0 -	2 8.0%
(2) rather agree	12 7.8%	3 4.7%	7 8.5%	4 8.3%	4 7.7%	2 4.7%	2 9.1%	0 -	4 12.9%	1 2.8%	3 7.9%	1 16.7%	0 -	2 3.9%	5 11.6%	4 16.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	47 30.5%	24 37.5%	23 28.0%	9 18.8%	17 32.7%	19 44.2%	4 18.2%	7 50.0%	7 22.6%	14 38.9%	15 39.5%	3 50.0%	13 44.8%	7 13.7%	14 32.6%	10 40.0%
(4) rather disagree	67 43.5%	26 40.6%	36 43.9%	25 52.1%	24 46.2%	14 32.6%	12 54.5%	6 42.9%	17 54.8%	11 30.6%	14 36.8%	2 33.3%	12 41.4%	27 52.9%	19 44.2%	7 28.0%
(5) completely disagree	24 15.6%	8 12.5%	15 18.3%	10 20.8%	6 11.5%	7 16.3%	4 18.2%	1 7.1%	3 9.7%	6 16.7%	6 15.8%	0 -	2 6.9%	15 29.4%	5 11.6%	2 8.0%
Top Box (1+2)	16 10.4%	6 9.4%	8 9.8%	4 8.3%	5 9.6%	3 7.0%	2 9.1%	0 -	4 12.9%	5 13.9%	3 7.9%	1 16.7%	2 6.9%	2 3.9%	5 11.6%	6 24.0%
Middle Box (3)	47 30.5%	24 37.5%	23 28.0%	9 18.8%	17 32.7%	19 44.2%	4 18.2%	7 50.0%	7 22.6%	14 38.9%	15 39.5%	3 50.0%	13 44.8%	7 13.7%	14 32.6%	10 40.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	91 59.1%	34 53.1%	51 62.2%	35 72.9%	30 57.7%	21 48.8%	16 72.7%	7 50.0%	20 64.5%	17 47.2%	20 52.6%	2 33.3%	14 48.3%	42 82.4%	24 55.8%	9 36.0%
Mean	3,62	3,52	3,70	3,85	3,58	3,56	3,82	3,57	3,61	3,39	3,61	3,17	3,41	4,08	3,56	3,12
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	3 1.9%	0 -	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	1 1.9%	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	1 2.3%	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	62 96.9%	82 96.5%	48 98.0%	52 98.1%	40 93.0%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	31 96.9%	34 94.4%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	28 96.6%	53 100.0%	42 95.5%	23 92.0%
<b>Nanotechnology helps to protect the environment and to reserve environmental damages.</b>																
(1) completely agree	10 6.6%	3 4.8%	6 7.3%	5 10.4%	3 5.8%	2 5.0%	2 8.7%	1 7.7%	2 6.5%	0 -	3 7.9%	0 -	1 3.6%	8 15.1%	1 2.4%	0 -
(2) rather agree	48 31.6%	23 37.1%	22 26.8%	19 39.6%	13 25.0%	13 32.5%	11 47.8%	4 30.8%	7 22.6%	8 23.5%	13 34.2%	0 -	10 35.7%	24 45.3%	10 23.8%	4 17.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	63 41.4%	20 32.3%	41 50.0%	21 43.8%	24 46.2%	14 35.0%	8 34.8%	8 61.5%	16 51.6%	14 41.2%	13 34.2%	3 50.0%	13 46.4%	20 37.7%	21 50.0%	6 26.1%
(4) rather disagree	24 15.8%	12 19.4%	11 13.4%	3 6.3%	9 17.3%	9 22.5%	2 8.7%	0 -	5 16.1%	8 23.5%	8 21.1%	2 33.3%	4 14.3%	1 1.9%	8 19.0%	9 39.1%
(5) completely disagree	7 4.6%	4 6.5%	2 2.4%	0 -	3 5.8%	2 5.0%	0 -	0 -	1 3.2%	4 11.8%	1 2.6%	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	2 4.8%	4 17.4%
Top Box (1+2)	58 38.2%	26 41.9%	28 34.1%	24 50.0%	16 30.8%	15 37.5%	13 56.5%	5 38.5%	9 29.0%	8 23.5%	16 42.1%	0 -	11 39.3%	32 60.4%	11 26.2%	4 17.4%
Middle Box (3)	63 41.4%	20 32.3%	41 50.0%	21 43.8%	24 46.2%	14 35.0%	8 34.8%	8 61.5%	16 51.6%	14 41.2%	13 34.2%	3 50.0%	13 46.4%	20 37.7%	21 50.0%	6 26.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	31 20.4%	16 25.8%	13 15.9%	3 6.3%	12 23.1%	11 27.5%	2 8.7%	0 -	6 19.4%	12 35.3%	9 23.7%	3 50.0%	4 14.3%	1 1.9%	10 23.8%	13 56.5%
Mean	2,80	2,85	2,77	2,46	2,92	2,90	2,43	2,54	2,87	3,24	2,76	3,67	2,71	2,26	3,00	3,57
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	5 3.2%	2 3.1%	3 3.5%	1 2.0%	1 1.9%	3 7.0%	0 -	1 7.1%	1 3.1%	2 5.6%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	2 4.5%	2 8.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	150 95.5%	62 96.9%	80 94.1%	46 93.9%	51 96.2%	41 95.3%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	29 90.6%	34 94.4%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	28 96.6%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	21 84.0%
<b>Nanotechnology creates more jobs than they endanger.</b>																
(1) completely agree	9 6.0%	5 8.1%	4 5.0%	3 6.5%	4 7.8%	2 4.9%	1 4.3%	1 7.7%	0 -	5 14.7%	2 5.1%	0 -	1 3.6%	6 11.8%	2 4.5%	0 -
(2) rather agree	48 32.0%	21 33.9%	24 30.0%	15 32.6%	17 33.3%	12 29.3%	8 34.8%	5 38.5%	8 27.6%	11 32.4%	12 30.8%	2 33.3%	10 35.7%	17 33.3%	15 34.1%	4 19.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	73 48.7%	24 38.7%	45 56.3%	25 54.3%	26 51.0%	18 43.9%	13 56.5%	7 53.8%	16 55.2%	11 32.4%	19 48.7%	1 16.7%	13 46.4%	25 49.0%	23 52.3%	11 52.4%
(4) rather disagree	19 12.7%	11 17.7%	7 8.8%	3 6.5%	4 7.8%	9 22.0%	1 4.3%	0 -	5 17.2%	6 17.6%	6 15.4%	3 50.0%	4 14.3%	3 5.9%	4 9.1%	5 23.8%
(5) completely disagree	1 0.7%	1 1.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.8%
Top Box (1+2)	57 38.0%	26 41.9%	28 35.0%	18 39.1%	21 41.2%	14 34.1%	9 39.1%	6 46.2%	8 27.6%	16 47.1%	14 35.9%	2 33.3%	11 39.3%	23 45.1%	17 38.6%	4 19.0%
Middle Box (3)	73 48.7%	24 38.7%	45 56.3%	25 54.3%	26 51.0%	18 43.9%	13 56.5%	7 53.8%	16 55.2%	11 32.4%	19 48.7%	1 16.7%	13 46.4%	25 49.0%	23 52.3%	11 52.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	20 13.3%	12 19.4%	7 8.8%	3 6.5%	4 7.8%	9 22.0%	1 4.3%	0 -	5 17.2%	7 20.6%	6 15.4%	3 50.0%	4 14.3%	3 5.9%	4 9.1%	6 28.6%
Mean	2,70	2,71	2,69	2,61	2,59	2,83	2,61	2,46	2,90	2,62	2,74	3,17	2,71	2,49	2,66	3,14
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	7 4.5%	2 3.1%	5 5.9%	3 6.1%	2 3.8%	2 4.7%	0 -	1 7.1%	3 9.4%	2 5.6%	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	2 3.8%	0 -	4 16.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	61 95.3%	83 97.6%	47 95.9%	53 100.0%	40 93.0%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	32 100.0%	34 94.4%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	22 88.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is connected with health risks.</b>																
(1) completely agree	9 5.9%	5 8.2%	4 4.8%	1 2.1%	2 3.8%	6 15.0%	0 -	0 -	3 9.4%	2 5.9%	4 10.5%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	2 3.9%	2 4.5%	1 4.5%
(2) rather agree	35 23.0%	16 26.2%	18 21.7%	13 27.7%	11 20.8%	7 17.5%	6 26.1%	1 7.7%	8 25.0%	10 29.4%	5 13.2%	2 33.3%	5 17.2%	15 29.4%	7 15.9%	6 27.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	61 40.1%	25 41.0%	34 41.0%	17 36.2%	24 45.3%	17 42.5%	7 30.4%	9 69.2%	9 28.1%	17 50.0%	17 44.7%	3 50.0%	15 51.7%	12 23.5%	21 47.7%	10 45.5%
(4) rather disagree	41 27.0%	14 23.0%	23 27.7%	14 29.8%	14 26.4%	9 22.5%	9 39.1%	3 23.1%	11 34.4%	4 11.8%	11 28.9%	0 -	5 17.2%	19 37.3%	12 27.3%	5 22.7%
(5) completely disagree	6 3.9%	1 1.6%	4 4.8%	2 4.3%	2 3.8%	1 2.5%	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.9%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	3 5.9%	2 4.5%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	44 28.9%	21 34.4%	22 26.5%	14 29.8%	13 24.5%	13 32.5%	6 26.1%	1 7.7%	11 34.4%	12 35.3%	9 23.7%	3 50.0%	8 27.6%	17 33.3%	9 20.5%	7 31.8%
Middle Box (3)	61 40.1%	25 41.0%	34 41.0%	17 36.2%	24 45.3%	17 42.5%	7 30.4%	9 69.2%	9 28.1%	17 50.0%	17 44.7%	3 50.0%	15 51.7%	12 23.5%	21 47.7%	10 45.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	47 30.9%	15 24.6%	27 32.5%	16 34.0%	16 30.2%	10 25.0%	10 43.5%	3 23.1%	12 37.5%	5 14.7%	12 31.6%	0 -	6 20.7%	22 43.1%	14 31.8%	5 22.7%
Mean	3,00	2,84	3,06	3,06	3,06	2,80	3,22	3,15	2,97	2,76	3,00	2,33	2,86	3,12	3,11	2,86
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	5 3.2%	3 4.7%	2 2.4%	2 4.1%	0 -	3 7.0%	0 -	1 7.1%	0 -	2 5.6%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	3 12.0%

BACES





# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	153 97.5%	62 96.9%	84 98.8%	48 98.0%	52 98.1%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	34 94.4%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	23 92.0%
<b>Nanotechnology should be supported by public finances.</b>																
(1) completely agree	23 15.0%	7 11.3%	15 17.9%	13 27.1%	4 7.7%	4 9.5%	5 21.7%	4 28.6%	3 9.4%	3 8.8%	3 7.7%	0 -	1 3.4%	18 35.3%	3 6.8%	1 4.3%
(2) rather agree	54 35.3%	22 35.5%	29 34.5%	24 50.0%	16 30.8%	11 26.2%	13 56.5%	6 42.9%	10 31.3%	10 29.4%	11 28.2%	4 66.7%	3 10.3%	22 43.1%	18 40.9%	7 30.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	53 34.6%	21 33.9%	31 36.9%	6 12.5%	28 53.8%	16 38.1%	3 13.0%	4 28.6%	13 40.6%	15 44.1%	18 46.2%	1 16.7%	18 62.1%	6 11.8%	17 38.6%	11 47.8%
(4) rather disagree	18 11.8%	10 16.1%	6 7.1%	2 4.2%	3 5.8%	10 23.8%	1 4.3%	0 -	4 12.5%	4 11.8%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	5 17.2%	3 5.9%	5 11.4%	4 17.4%
(5) completely disagree	5 3.3%	2 3.2%	3 3.6%	3 6.3%	1 1.9%	1 2.4%	1 4.3%	0 -	2 6.3%	2 5.9%	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	2 3.9%	1 2.3%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	77 50.3%	29 46.8%	44 52.4%	37 77.1%	20 38.5%	15 35.7%	18 78.3%	10 71.4%	13 40.6%	13 38.2%	14 35.9%	4 66.7%	4 13.8%	40 78.4%	21 47.7%	8 34.8%
Middle Box (3)	53 34.6%	21 33.9%	31 36.9%	6 12.5%	28 53.8%	16 38.1%	3 13.0%	4 28.6%	13 40.6%	15 44.1%	18 46.2%	1 16.7%	18 62.1%	6 11.8%	17 38.6%	11 47.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	23 15.0%	12 19.4%	9 10.7%	5 10.4%	4 7.7%	11 26.2%	2 8.7%	0 -	6 18.8%	6 17.6%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	7 24.1%	5 9.8%	6 13.6%	4 17.4%
Mean	2,53	2,65	2,44	2,13	2,63	2,83	2,13	2,00	2,75	2,76	2,74	2,50	3,14	2,00	2,61	2,78
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	4 2.5%	2 3.1%	1 1.2%	1 2.0%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	2 8.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	151 96.2%	60 93.8%	84 98.8%	46 93.9%	53 100.0%	41 95.3%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	35 97.2%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	49 92.5%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>Nanotechnology makes me feel uneasy.</b>																
(1) completely agree	5 3.3%	2 3.3%	3 3.6%	4 8.7%	0 -	1 2.4%	3 13.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	4 8.2%	0 -	1 4.2%
(2) rather agree	11 7.3%	8 13.3%	3 3.6%	1 2.2%	5 9.4%	4 9.8%	1 4.5%	1 7.1%	2 6.5%	6 17.1%	1 2.6%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	1 2.0%	1 2.3%	5 20.8%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	42 27.8%	18 30.0%	21 25.0%	6 13.0%	15 28.3%	15 36.6%	3 13.6%	4 28.6%	7 22.6%	9 25.7%	16 42.1%	3 50.0%	13 44.8%	5 10.2%	11 25.6%	10 41.7%
(4) rather disagree	42 27.8%	14 23.3%	27 32.1%	10 21.7%	17 32.1%	13 31.7%	3 13.6%	5 35.7%	11 35.5%	9 25.7%	12 31.6%	1 16.7%	9 31.0%	8 16.3%	17 39.5%	7 29.2%
(5) completely disagree	51 33.8%	18 30.0%	30 35.7%	25 54.3%	16 30.2%	8 19.5%	12 54.5%	4 28.6%	11 35.5%	11 31.4%	8 21.1%	1 16.7%	4 13.8%	31 63.3%	14 32.6%	1 4.2%
Top Box (1+2)	16 10.6%	10 16.7%	6 7.1%	5 10.9%	5 9.4%	5 12.2%	4 18.2%	1 7.1%	2 6.5%	6 17.1%	2 5.3%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	5 10.2%	1 2.3%	6 25.0%
Middle Box (3)	42 27.8%	18 30.0%	21 25.0%	6 13.0%	15 28.3%	15 36.6%	3 13.6%	4 28.6%	7 22.6%	9 25.7%	16 42.1%	3 50.0%	13 44.8%	5 10.2%	11 25.6%	10 41.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	93 61.6%	32 53.3%	57 67.9%	35 76.1%	33 62.3%	21 51.2%	15 68.2%	9 64.3%	22 71.0%	20 57.1%	20 52.6%	2 33.3%	13 44.8%	39 79.6%	31 72.1%	8 33.3%
Mean	3,81	3,63	3,93	4,11	3,83	3,56	3,91	3,86	4,00	3,71	3,66	3,33	3,48	4,24	4,02	3,08
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	6 3.8%	4 6.3%	1 1.2%	3 6.1%	0 -	2 4.7%	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	4 7.5%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	154 98.1%	62 96.9%	84 98.8%	48 98.0%	53 100.0%	41 95.3%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	34 94.4%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	24 96.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is very interesting to me.</b>																
(1) completely agree	44 28.6%	21 33.9%	21 25.0%	16 33.3%	10 18.9%	15 36.6%	6 27.3%	6 42.9%	7 21.9%	8 23.5%	13 33.3%	2 33.3%	7 24.1%	19 37.3%	11 25.0%	5 20.8%
(2) rather agree	83 53.9%	29 46.8%	50 59.5%	25 52.1%	34 64.2%	20 48.8%	12 54.5%	7 50.0%	22 68.8%	17 50.0%	18 46.2%	2 33.3%	18 62.1%	24 47.1%	30 68.2%	9 37.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	20 13.0%	11 17.7%	8 9.5%	4 8.3%	8 15.1%	6 14.6%	2 9.1%	1 7.1%	2 6.3%	8 23.5%	6 15.4%	2 33.3%	4 13.8%	3 5.9%	3 6.8%	8 33.3%
(4) rather disagree	2 1.3%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.1%	0 -	0 -	1 2.0%	0 -	1 4.2%
(5) completely disagree	5 3.2%	1 1.6%	4 4.8%	3 6.3%	1 1.9%	0 -	2 9.1%	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 7.8%	0 -	1 4.2%
Top Box (1+2)	127 82.5%	50 80.6%	71 84.5%	41 85.4%	44 83.0%	35 85.4%	18 81.8%	13 92.9%	29 90.6%	25 73.5%	31 79.5%	4 66.7%	25 86.2%	43 84.3%	41 93.2%	14 58.3%
Middle Box (3)	20 13.0%	11 17.7%	8 9.5%	4 8.3%	8 15.1%	6 14.6%	2 9.1%	1 7.1%	2 6.3%	8 23.5%	6 15.4%	2 33.3%	4 13.8%	3 5.9%	3 6.8%	8 33.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	7 4.5%	1 1.6%	5 6.0%	3 6.3%	1 1.9%	0 -	2 9.1%	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.9%	2 5.1%	0 -	0 -	5 9.8%	0 -	2 8.3%
Mean	1,97	1,89	2,01	1,94	2,02	1,78	2,09	1,64	1,94	2,09	1,92	2,00	1,90	1,96	1,82	2,33
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	3 1.9%	2 3.1%	1 1.2%	1 2.0%	0 -	2 4.7%	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	2 5.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	1 4.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	153 97.5%	63 98.4%	82 96.5%	47 95.9%	52 98.1%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	31 96.9%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	23 92.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is important to solve present problems.</b>																
(1) completely agree	25 16.3%	11 17.5%	13 15.9%	12 25.5%	6 11.5%	5 11.9%	4 17.4%	4 30.8%	5 16.1%	4 11.4%	4 10.3%	0 -	2 6.9%	13 25.5%	9 20.5%	1 4.3%
(2) rather agree	79 51.6%	29 46.0%	45 54.9%	28 59.6%	29 55.8%	18 42.9%	17 73.9%	5 38.5%	15 48.4%	17 48.6%	18 46.2%	4 66.7%	8 27.6%	33 64.7%	29 65.9%	5 21.7%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	39 25.5%	16 25.4%	22 26.8%	5 10.6%	17 32.7%	13 31.0%	2 8.7%	3 23.1%	10 32.3%	11 31.4%	12 30.8%	1 16.7%	16 55.2%	3 5.9%	6 13.6%	13 56.5%
(4) rather disagree	9 5.9%	7 11.1%	1 1.2%	2 4.3%	0 -	6 14.3%	0 -	1 7.7%	1 3.2%	3 8.6%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	1 2.0%	0 -	4 17.4%
(5) completely disagree	1 0.7%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	1 2.0%	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	104 68.0%	40 63.5%	58 70.7%	40 85.1%	35 67.3%	23 54.8%	21 91.3%	9 69.2%	20 64.5%	21 60.0%	22 56.4%	4 66.7%	10 34.5%	46 90.2%	38 86.4%	6 26.1%
Middle Box (3)	39 25.5%	16 25.4%	22 26.8%	5 10.6%	17 32.7%	13 31.0%	2 8.7%	3 23.1%	10 32.3%	11 31.4%	12 30.8%	1 16.7%	16 55.2%	3 5.9%	6 13.6%	13 56.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	10 6.5%	7 11.1%	2 2.4%	2 4.3%	0 -	6 14.3%	0 -	1 7.7%	1 3.2%	3 8.6%	5 12.8%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	2 3.9%	0 -	4 17.4%
Mean	2,23	2,30	2,17	1,94	2,21	2,48	1,91	2,08	2,23	2,37	2,49	2,50	2,69	1,90	1,93	2,87
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	4 2.5%	1 1.6%	3 3.5%	2 4.1%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	1 7.1%	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	2 8.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	153 97.5%	62 96.9%	84 98.8%	48 98.0%	52 98.1%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	34 94.4%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	23 92.0%
<b>Nanotechnology is mainly useful for large companies.</b>																
(1) completely agree	8 5.2%	5 8.1%	3 3.6%	5 10.4%	0 -	3 7.1%	4 17.4%	0 -	0 -	2 5.9%	2 5.1%	1 16.7%	1 3.4%	4 7.8%	1 2.3%	1 4.3%
(2) rather agree	38 24.8%	16 25.8%	21 25.0%	21 43.8%	7 13.5%	8 19.0%	13 56.5%	2 14.3%	4 12.5%	7 20.6%	8 20.5%	2 33.3%	5 17.2%	17 33.3%	7 15.9%	7 30.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	67 43.8%	22 35.5%	43 51.2%	17 35.4%	29 55.8%	16 38.1%	5 21.7%	10 71.4%	20 62.5%	13 38.2%	17 43.6%	2 33.3%	16 55.2%	20 39.2%	19 43.2%	10 43.5%
(4) rather disagree	36 23.5%	17 27.4%	15 17.9%	5 10.4%	15 28.8%	12 28.6%	1 4.3%	2 14.3%	7 21.9%	10 29.4%	11 28.2%	1 16.7%	6 20.7%	9 17.6%	16 36.4%	4 17.4%
(5) completely disagree	4 2.6%	2 3.2%	2 2.4%	0 -	1 1.9%	3 7.1%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	2 5.9%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	1 2.0%	1 2.3%	1 4.3%
Top Box (1+2)	46 30.1%	21 33.9%	24 28.6%	26 54.2%	7 13.5%	11 26.2%	17 73.9%	2 14.3%	4 12.5%	9 26.5%	10 25.6%	3 50.0%	6 20.7%	21 41.2%	8 18.2%	8 34.8%
Middle Box (3)	67 43.8%	22 35.5%	43 51.2%	17 35.4%	29 55.8%	16 38.1%	5 21.7%	10 71.4%	20 62.5%	13 38.2%	17 43.6%	2 33.3%	16 55.2%	20 39.2%	19 43.2%	10 43.5%
Bottom Box (4+5)	40 26.1%	19 30.6%	17 20.2%	5 10.4%	16 30.8%	15 35.7%	1 4.3%	2 14.3%	8 25.0%	12 35.3%	12 30.8%	1 16.7%	7 24.1%	10 19.6%	17 38.6%	5 21.7%
Mean	2,93	2,92	2,90	2,46	3,19	3,10	2,13	3,00	3,16	3,09	3,03	2,50	3,03	2,73	3,20	2,87
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	4 2.5%	2 3.1%	1 1.2%	1 2.0%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	2 8.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statement? Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	63 98.4%	82 96.5%	47 95.9%	52 98.1%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	13 92.9%	31 96.9%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	28 96.6%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	23 92.0%
<b>Nanotechnology should be regulated by laws.</b>																
(1) completely agree	35 23.0%	14 22.2%	18 22.0%	10 21.3%	12 23.1%	11 26.2%	5 21.7%	4 30.8%	6 19.4%	6 17.1%	10 25.6%	1 16.7%	3 10.7%	10 19.6%	17 38.6%	4 17.4%
(2) rather agree	59 38.8%	22 34.9%	34 41.5%	25 53.2%	19 36.5%	11 26.2%	13 56.5%	5 38.5%	11 35.5%	14 40.0%	10 25.6%	2 33.3%	5 17.9%	30 58.8%	16 36.4%	6 26.1%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	42 27.6%	16 25.4%	25 30.5%	11 23.4%	14 26.9%	14 33.3%	4 17.4%	4 30.8%	10 32.3%	9 25.7%	14 35.9%	1 16.7%	15 53.6%	8 15.7%	10 22.7%	8 34.8%
(4) rather disagree	12 7.9%	8 12.7%	4 4.9%	0 -	7 13.5%	4 9.5%	0 -	0 -	4 12.9%	4 11.4%	4 10.3%	2 33.3%	5 17.9%	1 2.0%	1 2.3%	3 13.0%
(5) completely disagree	4 2.6%	3 4.8%	1 1.2%	1 2.1%	0 -	2 4.8%	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	2 5.7%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	2 3.9%	0 -	2 8.7%
Top Box (1+2)	94 61.8%	36 57.1%	52 63.4%	35 74.5%	31 59.6%	22 52.4%	18 78.3%	9 69.2%	17 54.8%	20 57.1%	20 51.3%	3 50.0%	8 28.6%	40 78.4%	33 75.0%	10 43.5%
Middle Box (3)	42 27.6%	16 25.4%	25 30.5%	11 23.4%	14 26.9%	14 33.3%	4 17.4%	4 30.8%	10 32.3%	9 25.7%	14 35.9%	1 16.7%	15 53.6%	8 15.7%	10 22.7%	8 34.8%
Bottom Box (4+5)	16 10.5%	11 17.5%	5 6.1%	1 2.1%	7 13.5%	6 14.3%	1 4.3%	0 -	4 12.9%	6 17.1%	5 12.8%	2 33.3%	5 17.9%	3 5.9%	1 2.3%	5 21.7%
Mean	2,28	2,43	2,22	2,09	2,31	2,40	2,09	2,00	2,39	2,49	2,38	2,67	2,79	2,12	1,89	2,70
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	5 3.2%	1 1.6%	3 3.5%	2 4.1%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	1 7.1%	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	2 3.8%	0 -	2 8.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Only buildings are protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance.</b>																
(1) completely agree	12 7.6%	5 7.8%	6 7.1%	2 4.1%	4 7.5%	5 11.6%	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.1%	5 13.9%	3 7.7%	3 50.0%	1 3.4%	2 3.8%	4 9.1%	2 8.0%
(2) rather agree	60 38.2%	24 37.5%	34 40.0%	14 28.6%	18 34.0%	24 55.8%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	11 34.4%	13 36.1%	21 53.8%	2 33.3%	13 44.8%	9 17.0%	21 47.7%	15 60.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	23 14.6%	11 17.2%	10 11.8%	10 20.4%	3 5.7%	5 11.6%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	4 12.5%	6 16.7%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	1 3.4%	10 18.9%	5 11.4%	6 24.0%
(4) rather disagree	44 28.0%	17 26.6%	26 30.6%	16 32.7%	21 39.6%	7 16.3%	8 34.8%	3 21.4%	12 37.5%	9 25.0%	9 23.1%	0 -	8 27.6%	21 39.6%	13 29.5%	2 8.0%
(5) completely disagree	18 11.5%	7 10.9%	9 10.6%	7 14.3%	7 13.2%	2 4.7%	3 13.0%	4 28.6%	4 12.5%	3 8.3%	2 5.1%	0 -	6 20.7%	11 20.8%	1 2.3%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	72 45.9%	29 45.3%	40 47.1%	16 32.7%	22 41.5%	29 67.4%	8 34.8%	6 42.9%	12 37.5%	18 50.0%	24 61.5%	5 83.3%	14 48.3%	11 20.8%	25 56.8%	17 68.0%
Middle Box (3)	23 14.6%	11 17.2%	10 11.8%	10 20.4%	3 5.7%	5 11.6%	4 17.4%	1 7.1%	4 12.5%	6 16.7%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	1 3.4%	10 18.9%	5 11.4%	6 24.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	62 39.5%	24 37.5%	35 41.2%	23 46.9%	28 52.8%	9 20.9%	11 47.8%	7 50.0%	16 50.0%	12 33.3%	11 28.2%	0 -	14 48.3%	32 60.4%	14 31.8%	2 8.0%
Mean	2,97	2,95	2,98	3,24	3,17	2,47	3,22	3,36	3,22	2,78	2,64	1,67	3,17	3,57	2,68	2,32
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? In our country enough is done for monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>In our country enough is done for monument protection.</b>																
(1) completely agree	1 0.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -
(2) rather agree	20 12.7%	8 12.5%	12 14.1%	7 14.3%	6 11.3%	6 14.0%	4 17.4%	3 21.4%	4 12.5%	2 5.6%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	4 7.5%	6 13.6%	1 4.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	32 20.4%	15 23.4%	16 18.8%	11 22.4%	11 20.8%	9 20.9%	5 21.7%	4 28.6%	8 25.0%	8 22.2%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	13 24.5%	6 13.6%	9 36.0%
(4) rather disagree	65 41.4%	28 43.8%	32 37.6%	14 28.6%	24 45.3%	20 46.5%	7 30.4%	4 28.6%	11 34.4%	16 44.4%	20 51.3%	4 66.7%	12 41.4%	16 30.2%	22 50.0%	11 44.0%
(5) completely disagree	39 24.8%	13 20.3%	25 29.4%	17 34.7%	12 22.6%	8 18.6%	7 30.4%	3 21.4%	9 28.1%	10 27.8%	6 15.4%	0 -	6 20.7%	20 37.7%	9 20.5%	4 16.0%
Top Box (1+2)	21 13.4%	8 12.5%	12 14.1%	7 14.3%	6 11.3%	6 14.0%	4 17.4%	3 21.4%	4 12.5%	2 5.6%	7 17.9%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	4 7.5%	7 15.9%	1 4.0%
Middle Box (3)	32 20.4%	15 23.4%	16 18.8%	11 22.4%	11 20.8%	9 20.9%	5 21.7%	4 28.6%	8 25.0%	8 22.2%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	3 10.3%	13 24.5%	6 13.6%	9 36.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	104 66.2%	41 64.1%	57 67.1%	31 63.3%	36 67.9%	28 65.1%	14 60.9%	7 50.0%	20 62.5%	26 72.2%	26 66.7%	4 66.7%	18 62.1%	36 67.9%	31 70.5%	15 60.0%
Mean	3,77	3,72	3,82	3,84	3,79	3,70	3,74	3,50	3,78	3,94	3,64	3,50	3,55	3,98	3,73	3,72
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES





# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity.</b>																
(1) completely agree	114 72.6%	49 76.6%	60 70.6%	39 79.6%	38 71.7%	28 65.1%	19 82.6%	8 57.1%	25 78.1%	25 69.4%	27 69.2%	6 100.0%	26 89.7%	38 71.7%	20 45.5%	24 96.0%
(2) rather agree	40 25.5%	15 23.4%	22 25.9%	10 20.4%	14 26.4%	14 32.6%	4 17.4%	6 42.9%	6 18.8%	10 27.8%	11 28.2%	0 -	3 10.3%	13 24.5%	23 52.3%	1 4.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	2 1.3%	0 -	2 2.4%	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -
(4) rather disagree	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -
(5) completely disagree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	154 98.1%	64 100.0%	82 96.5%	49 100.0%	52 98.1%	42 97.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	35 97.2%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	43 97.7%	25 100.0%
Middle Box (3)	2 1.3%	0 -	2 2.4%	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,30	1,23	1,34	1,20	1,30	1,37	1,17	1,43	1,25	1,33	1,36	1,00	1,10	1,34	1,57	1,04
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? New technologies are useful for monument protection.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>New technologies are useful for monument protection.</b>																
(1) completely agree	72 45.9%	29 45.3%	39 45.9%	30 61.2%	20 37.7%	17 39.5%	15 65.2%	8 57.1%	14 43.8%	14 38.9%	13 33.3%	0 -	13 44.8%	33 62.3%	20 45.5%	6 24.0%
(2) rather agree	67 42.7%	27 42.2%	37 43.5%	17 34.7%	28 52.8%	19 44.2%	8 34.8%	6 42.9%	15 46.9%	14 38.9%	19 48.7%	4 66.7%	15 51.7%	18 34.0%	21 47.7%	9 36.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	16 10.2%	7 10.9%	8 9.4%	1 2.0%	5 9.4%	6 14.0%	0 -	0 -	2 6.3%	8 22.2%	6 15.4%	2 33.3%	0 -	2 3.8%	3 6.8%	9 36.0%
(4) rather disagree	2 1.3%	1 1.6%	1 1.2%	1 2.0%	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	0 -	1 4.0%
(5) completely disagree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	139 88.5%	56 87.5%	76 89.4%	47 95.9%	48 90.6%	36 83.7%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	29 90.6%	28 77.8%	32 82.1%	4 66.7%	28 96.6%	51 96.2%	41 93.2%	15 60.0%
Middle Box (3)	16 10.2%	7 10.9%	8 9.4%	1 2.0%	5 9.4%	6 14.0%	0 -	0 -	2 6.3%	8 22.2%	6 15.4%	2 33.3%	0 -	2 3.8%	3 6.8%	9 36.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	2 1.3%	1 1.6%	1 1.2%	1 2.0%	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	0 -	1 4.0%
Mean	1,67	1,69	1,66	1,45	1,72	1,79	1,35	1,43	1,69	1,83	1,87	2,33	1,62	1,42	1,61	2,20
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection is an important social duty.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	157 100.0%	64 100.0%	85 100.0%	49 100.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Monument protection is an important social duty.</b>																
(1) completely agree	111 70.7%	43 67.2%	63 74.1%	32 65.3%	38 71.7%	32 74.4%	11 47.8%	12 85.7%	22 68.8%	25 69.4%	30 76.9%	6 100.0%	19 65.5%	37 69.8%	26 59.1%	23 92.0%
(2) rather agree	41 26.1%	19 29.7%	19 22.4%	15 30.6%	14 26.4%	9 20.9%	10 43.5%	2 14.3%	9 28.1%	10 27.8%	8 20.5%	0 -	10 34.5%	13 24.5%	16 36.4%	2 8.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	5 3.2%	2 3.1%	3 3.5%	2 4.1%	1 1.9%	2 4.7%	2 8.7%	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	3 5.7%	2 4.5%	0 -
(4) rather disagree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(5) completely disagree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	152 96.8%	62 96.9%	82 96.5%	47 95.9%	52 98.1%	41 95.3%	21 91.3%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	35 97.2%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	50 94.3%	42 95.5%	25 100.0%
Middle Box (3)	5 3.2%	2 3.1%	3 3.5%	2 4.1%	1 1.9%	2 4.7%	2 8.7%	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	3 5.7%	2 4.5%	0 -
Bottom Box (4+5)	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,32	1,36	1,29	1,39	1,30	1,30	1,61	1,14	1,34	1,33	1,26	1,00	1,34	1,36	1,45	1,08
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	156 99.4%	64 100.0%	84 98.8%	48 98.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	23 100.0%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	5 83.3%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well.</b>																
(1) completely agree	91 58.3%	27 42.2%	59 70.2%	30 62.5%	29 54.7%	24 55.8%	14 60.9%	8 57.1%	15 48.4%	20 55.6%	23 59.0%	3 60.0%	14 48.3%	33 62.3%	24 54.5%	17 68.0%
(2) rather agree	49 31.4%	24 37.5%	22 26.2%	11 22.9%	23 43.4%	13 30.2%	4 17.4%	5 35.7%	15 48.4%	13 36.1%	10 25.6%	1 20.0%	13 44.8%	11 20.8%	20 45.5%	4 16.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	13 8.3%	11 17.2%	2 2.4%	4 8.3%	1 1.9%	6 14.0%	3 13.0%	1 7.1%	0 -	3 8.3%	6 15.4%	1 20.0%	1 3.4%	7 13.2%	0 -	4 16.0%
(4) rather disagree	3 1.9%	2 3.1%	1 1.2%	3 6.3%	0 -	0 -	2 8.7%	0 -	1 3.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	2 3.8%	0 -	0 -
(5) completely disagree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	140 89.7%	51 79.7%	81 96.4%	41 85.4%	52 98.1%	37 86.0%	18 78.3%	13 92.9%	30 96.8%	33 91.7%	33 84.6%	4 80.0%	27 93.1%	44 83.0%	44 100.0%	21 84.0%
Middle Box (3)	13 8.3%	11 17.2%	2 2.4%	4 8.3%	1 1.9%	6 14.0%	3 13.0%	1 7.1%	0 -	3 8.3%	6 15.4%	1 20.0%	1 3.4%	7 13.2%	0 -	4 16.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	3 1.9%	2 3.1%	1 1.2%	3 6.3%	0 -	0 -	2 8.7%	0 -	1 3.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	2 3.8%	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,54	1,81	1,35	1,58	1,47	1,58	1,70	1,50	1,58	1,53	1,56	1,60	1,62	1,58	1,45	1,48
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	1 2.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	0 -	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	156 99.4%	63 98.4%	85 100.0%	48 98.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners.</b>																
(1) completely agree	10 6.4%	7 11.1%	3 3.5%	1 2.1%	3 5.7%	3 7.0%	0 -	2 14.3%	1 3.1%	3 8.3%	4 10.3%	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	8 32.0%
(2) rather agree	25 16.0%	13 20.6%	12 14.1%	5 10.4%	10 18.9%	10 23.3%	2 9.1%	1 7.1%	7 21.9%	7 19.4%	8 20.5%	4 66.7%	7 24.1%	2 3.8%	3 6.8%	9 36.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	40 25.6%	12 19.0%	27 31.8%	17 35.4%	14 26.4%	7 16.3%	11 50.0%	4 28.6%	7 21.9%	8 22.2%	8 20.5%	2 33.3%	8 27.6%	16 30.8%	8 18.2%	6 24.0%
(4) rather disagree	47 30.1%	19 30.2%	25 29.4%	13 27.1%	17 32.1%	14 32.6%	6 27.3%	4 28.6%	9 28.1%	14 38.9%	11 28.2%	0 -	13 44.8%	12 23.1%	20 45.5%	2 8.0%
(5) completely disagree	34 21.8%	12 19.0%	18 21.2%	12 25.0%	9 17.0%	9 20.9%	3 13.6%	3 21.4%	8 25.0%	4 11.1%	8 20.5%	0 -	1 3.4%	21 40.4%	12 27.3%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	35 22.4%	20 31.7%	15 17.6%	6 12.5%	13 24.5%	13 30.2%	2 9.1%	3 21.4%	8 25.0%	10 27.8%	12 30.8%	4 66.7%	7 24.1%	3 5.8%	4 9.1%	17 68.0%
Middle Box (3)	40 25.6%	12 19.0%	27 31.8%	17 35.4%	14 26.4%	7 16.3%	11 50.0%	4 28.6%	7 21.9%	8 22.2%	8 20.5%	2 33.3%	8 27.6%	16 30.8%	8 18.2%	6 24.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	81 51.9%	31 49.2%	43 50.6%	25 52.1%	26 49.1%	23 53.5%	9 40.9%	7 50.0%	17 53.1%	18 50.0%	19 48.7%	0 -	14 48.3%	33 63.5%	32 72.7%	2 8.0%
Mean	3,45	3,25	3,51	3,63	3,36	3,37	3,45	3,36	3,50	3,25	3,28	2,33	3,28	3,96	3,89	2,08
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	1 0.6%	1 1.6%	0 -	1 2.0%	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	152 96.8%	63 98.4%	82 96.5%	46 93.9%	52 98.1%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	28 96.6%	49 92.5%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are.</b>																
(1) completely agree	18 11.8%	6 9.5%	11 13.4%	5 10.9%	5 9.6%	5 11.6%	4 18.2%	0 -	2 6.5%	4 11.1%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	2 7.1%	9 18.4%	2 4.5%	4 16.0%
(2) rather agree	39 25.7%	22 34.9%	16 19.5%	12 26.1%	11 21.2%	12 27.9%	7 31.8%	0 -	9 29.0%	10 27.8%	11 28.2%	2 33.3%	5 17.9%	13 26.5%	7 15.9%	12 48.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	36 23.7%	17 27.0%	17 20.7%	9 19.6%	15 28.8%	10 23.3%	3 13.6%	6 42.9%	5 16.1%	10 27.8%	11 28.2%	2 33.3%	6 21.4%	11 22.4%	8 18.2%	9 36.0%
(4) rather disagree	42 27.6%	13 20.6%	26 31.7%	12 26.1%	15 28.8%	13 30.2%	5 22.7%	6 42.9%	10 32.3%	8 22.2%	9 23.1%	1 16.7%	12 42.9%	10 20.4%	19 43.2%	0 -
(5) completely disagree	17 11.2%	5 7.9%	12 14.6%	8 17.4%	6 11.5%	3 7.0%	3 13.6%	2 14.3%	5 16.1%	4 11.1%	2 5.1%	0 -	3 10.7%	6 12.2%	8 18.2%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	57 37.5%	28 44.4%	27 32.9%	17 37.0%	16 30.8%	17 39.5%	11 50.0%	0 -	11 35.5%	14 38.9%	17 43.6%	3 50.0%	7 25.0%	22 44.9%	9 20.5%	16 64.0%
Middle Box (3)	36 23.7%	17 27.0%	17 20.7%	9 19.6%	15 28.8%	10 23.3%	3 13.6%	6 42.9%	5 16.1%	10 27.8%	11 28.2%	2 33.3%	6 21.4%	11 22.4%	8 18.2%	9 36.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	59 38.8%	18 28.6%	38 46.3%	20 43.5%	21 40.4%	16 37.2%	8 36.4%	8 57.1%	15 48.4%	12 33.3%	11 28.2%	1 16.7%	15 53.6%	16 32.7%	27 61.4%	0 -
Mean	3,01	2,83	3,15	3,13	3,12	2,93	2,82	3,71	3,23	2,94	2,74	2,50	3,32	2,82	3,55	2,20
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	5 3.2%	1 1.6%	3 3.5%	3 6.1%	1 1.9%	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	1 3.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	4 7.5%	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	153 97.5%	62 96.9%	84 98.8%	46 93.9%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	49 92.5%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired.</b>																
(1) completely agree	63 41.2%	28 45.2%	31 36.9%	21 45.7%	13 24.5%	23 53.5%	14 63.6%	4 28.6%	6 18.8%	16 45.7%	18 46.2%	4 66.7%	0 -	23 46.9%	20 45.5%	16 64.0%
(2) rather agree	54 35.3%	21 33.9%	31 36.9%	15 32.6%	25 47.2%	12 27.9%	6 27.3%	4 28.6%	18 56.3%	11 31.4%	11 28.2%	1 16.7%	11 37.9%	20 40.8%	19 43.2%	3 12.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	20 13.1%	9 14.5%	10 11.9%	7 15.2%	8 15.1%	2 4.7%	1 4.5%	5 35.7%	5 15.6%	4 11.4%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	5 17.2%	4 8.2%	4 9.1%	6 24.0%
(4) rather disagree	13 8.5%	3 4.8%	10 11.9%	1 2.2%	7 13.2%	5 11.6%	0 -	1 7.1%	3 9.4%	3 8.6%	6 15.4%	0 -	12 41.4%	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -
(5) completely disagree	3 2.0%	1 1.6%	2 2.4%	2 4.3%	0 -	1 2.3%	1 4.5%	0 -	0 -	1 2.9%	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	2 4.1%	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	117 76.5%	49 79.0%	62 73.8%	36 78.3%	38 71.7%	35 81.4%	20 90.9%	8 57.1%	24 75.0%	27 77.1%	29 74.4%	5 83.3%	11 37.9%	43 87.8%	39 88.6%	19 76.0%
Middle Box (3)	20 13.1%	9 14.5%	10 11.9%	7 15.2%	8 15.1%	2 4.7%	1 4.5%	5 35.7%	5 15.6%	4 11.4%	4 10.3%	1 16.7%	5 17.2%	4 8.2%	4 9.1%	6 24.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	16 10.5%	4 6.5%	12 14.3%	3 6.5%	7 13.2%	6 14.0%	1 4.5%	1 7.1%	3 9.4%	4 11.4%	6 15.4%	0 -	13 44.8%	2 4.1%	1 2.3%	0 -
Mean	1,95	1,84	2,06	1,87	2,17	1,81	1,55	2,21	2,16	1,91	1,95	1,50	3,10	1,73	1,68	1,60
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	4 2.5%	2 3.1%	1 1.2%	3 6.1%	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 7.5%	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The regulations for monument protection are too strict.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	150 95.5%	61 95.3%	81 95.3%	45 91.8%	51 96.2%	42 97.7%	21 91.3%	14 100.0%	30 93.8%	36 100.0%	38 97.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	48 90.6%	43 97.7%	24 96.0%
<b>The regulations for monument protection are too strict.</b>																
(1) completely agree	1 0.7%	1 1.6%	0 -	1 2.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.1%	0 -	0 -
(2) rather agree	15 10.0%	6 9.8%	8 9.9%	6 13.3%	3 5.9%	5 11.9%	4 19.0%	1 7.1%	3 10.0%	2 5.6%	4 10.5%	1 16.7%	4 13.8%	8 16.7%	1 2.3%	1 4.2%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	71 47.3%	28 45.9%	40 49.4%	29 64.4%	22 43.1%	16 38.1%	14 66.7%	8 57.1%	16 53.3%	14 38.9%	14 36.8%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	29 60.4%	25 58.1%	4 16.7%
(4) rather disagree	50 33.3%	23 37.7%	25 30.9%	8 17.8%	20 39.2%	19 45.2%	3 14.3%	5 35.7%	8 26.7%	13 36.1%	19 50.0%	3 50.0%	13 44.8%	7 14.6%	12 27.9%	15 62.5%
(5) completely disagree	13 8.7%	3 4.9%	8 9.9%	1 2.2%	6 11.8%	2 4.8%	0 -	0 -	3 10.0%	6 16.7%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	3 6.3%	5 11.6%	4 16.7%
Top Box (1+2)	16 10.7%	7 11.5%	8 9.9%	7 15.6%	3 5.9%	5 11.9%	4 19.0%	1 7.1%	3 10.0%	3 8.3%	4 10.5%	1 16.7%	4 13.8%	9 18.8%	1 2.3%	1 4.2%
Middle Box (3)	71 47.3%	28 45.9%	40 49.4%	29 64.4%	22 43.1%	16 38.1%	14 66.7%	8 57.1%	16 53.3%	14 38.9%	14 36.8%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	29 60.4%	25 58.1%	4 16.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	63 42.0%	26 42.6%	33 40.7%	9 20.0%	26 51.0%	21 50.0%	3 14.3%	5 35.7%	11 36.7%	19 52.8%	20 52.6%	3 50.0%	14 48.3%	10 20.8%	17 39.5%	19 79.2%
Mean	3,39	3,34	3,41	3,04	3,57	3,43	2,95	3,29	3,37	3,58	3,45	3,33	3,38	3,06	3,49	3,92
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	7 4.5%	3 4.7%	4 4.7%	4 8.2%	2 3.8%	1 2.3%	2 8.7%	0 -	2 6.3%	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	5 9.4%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Monument protection should be supported by public finances.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	155 98.7%	63 98.4%	84 98.8%	47 95.9%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	21 91.3%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	51 96.2%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Monument protection should be supported by public finances.</b>																
(1) completely agree	71 45.8%	30 47.6%	36 42.9%	20 42.6%	21 39.6%	22 51.2%	8 38.1%	5 35.7%	11 34.4%	19 52.8%	19 48.7%	5 83.3%	4 13.8%	27 52.9%	16 36.4%	19 76.0%
(2) rather agree	57 36.8%	27 42.9%	29 34.5%	17 36.2%	23 43.4%	16 37.2%	5 23.8%	9 64.3%	17 53.1%	9 25.0%	15 38.5%	1 16.7%	20 69.0%	12 23.5%	20 45.5%	4 16.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	18 11.6%	3 4.8%	13 15.5%	8 17.0%	5 9.4%	3 7.0%	6 28.6%	0 -	2 6.3%	4 11.1%	4 10.3%	0 -	2 6.9%	9 17.6%	5 11.4%	2 8.0%
(4) rather disagree	6 3.9%	2 3.2%	4 4.8%	1 2.1%	2 3.8%	2 4.7%	1 4.8%	0 -	0 -	4 11.1%	1 2.6%	0 -	2 6.9%	2 3.9%	2 4.5%	0 -
(5) completely disagree	3 1.9%	1 1.6%	2 2.4%	1 2.1%	2 3.8%	0 -	1 4.8%	0 -	2 6.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.4%	1 2.0%	1 2.3%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	128 82.6%	57 90.5%	65 77.4%	37 78.7%	44 83.0%	38 88.4%	13 61.9%	14 100.0%	28 87.5%	28 77.8%	34 87.2%	6 100.0%	24 82.8%	39 76.5%	36 81.8%	23 92.0%
Middle Box (3)	18 11.6%	3 4.8%	13 15.5%	8 17.0%	5 9.4%	3 7.0%	6 28.6%	0 -	2 6.3%	4 11.1%	4 10.3%	0 -	2 6.9%	9 17.6%	5 11.4%	2 8.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	9 5.8%	3 4.8%	6 7.1%	2 4.3%	4 7.5%	2 4.7%	2 9.5%	0 -	2 6.3%	4 11.1%	1 2.6%	0 -	3 10.3%	3 5.9%	3 6.8%	0 -
Mean	1,79	1,68	1,89	1,85	1,89	1,65	2,14	1,64	1,91	1,81	1,67	1,17	2,17	1,78	1,91	1,32
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	2 1.3%	1 1.6%	1 1.2%	2 4.1%	0 -	0 -	2 8.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? Citizen participation is important in the area of heritage protection.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	156 99.4%	63 98.4%	85 100.0%	48 98.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>Citizen participation is important in the area of heritage protection.</b>																
(1) completely agree	86 55.1%	32 50.8%	49 57.6%	26 54.2%	28 52.8%	24 55.8%	12 54.5%	9 64.3%	17 53.1%	18 50.0%	21 53.8%	1 16.7%	15 51.7%	29 55.8%	24 54.5%	17 68.0%
(2) rather agree	48 30.8%	21 33.3%	24 28.2%	14 29.2%	18 34.0%	13 30.2%	9 40.9%	2 14.3%	9 28.1%	15 41.7%	11 28.2%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	13 25.0%	17 38.6%	5 20.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	14 9.0%	5 7.9%	9 10.6%	2 4.2%	6 11.3%	5 11.6%	0 -	1 7.1%	4 12.5%	2 5.6%	6 15.4%	3 50.0%	2 6.9%	3 5.8%	3 6.8%	3 12.0%
(4) rather disagree	7 4.5%	4 6.3%	3 3.5%	5 10.4%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 4.5%	1 7.1%	2 6.3%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	6 11.5%	0 -	0 -
(5) completely disagree	1 0.6%	1 1.6%	0 -	1 2.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 7.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	134 85.9%	53 84.1%	73 85.9%	40 83.3%	46 86.8%	37 86.0%	21 95.5%	11 78.6%	26 81.3%	33 91.7%	32 82.1%	3 50.0%	26 89.7%	42 80.8%	41 93.2%	22 88.0%
Middle Box (3)	14 9.0%	5 7.9%	9 10.6%	2 4.2%	6 11.3%	5 11.6%	0 -	1 7.1%	4 12.5%	2 5.6%	6 15.4%	3 50.0%	2 6.9%	3 5.8%	3 6.8%	3 12.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	8 5.1%	5 7.9%	3 3.5%	6 12.5%	1 1.9%	1 2.3%	1 4.5%	2 14.3%	2 6.3%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	7 13.5%	0 -	0 -
Mean	1,65	1,75	1,60	1,77	1,62	1,60	1,55	1,79	1,72	1,61	1,67	2,33	1,62	1,79	1,52	1,44
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	1 0.6%	1 1.6%	0 -	1 2.0%	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	156 99.4%	63 98.4%	85 100.0%	48 98.0%	53 100.0%	43 100.0%	22 95.7%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	36 100.0%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	52 98.1%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism.</b>																
(1) completely agree	115 73.7%	48 76.2%	61 71.8%	40 83.3%	39 73.6%	28 65.1%	20 90.9%	10 71.4%	23 71.9%	26 72.2%	25 64.1%	5 83.3%	20 69.0%	41 78.8%	33 75.0%	16 64.0%
(2) rather agree	36 23.1%	14 22.2%	21 24.7%	8 16.7%	12 22.6%	13 30.2%	2 9.1%	4 28.6%	8 25.0%	9 25.0%	11 28.2%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	11 21.2%	10 22.7%	6 24.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	3 1.9%	0 -	2 2.4%	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	1 2.3%	1 4.0%
(4) rather disagree	1 0.6%	0 -	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.0%
(5) completely disagree	1 0.6%	1 1.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.0%
Top Box (1+2)	151 96.8%	62 98.4%	82 96.5%	48 100.0%	51 96.2%	41 95.3%	22 100.0%	14 100.0%	31 96.9%	35 97.2%	36 92.3%	6 100.0%	28 96.6%	52 100.0%	43 97.7%	22 88.0%
Middle Box (3)	3 1.9%	0 -	2 2.4%	0 -	2 3.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.1%	1 2.8%	1 2.6%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	1 2.3%	1 4.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	2 1.3%	1 1.6%	1 1.2%	0 -	0 -	2 4.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 8.0%
Mean	1,31	1,29	1,33	1,17	1,30	1,47	1,09	1,29	1,31	1,31	1,51	1,17	1,34	1,21	1,27	1,60
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	1 0.6%	1 1.6%	0 -	1 2.0%	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	0 -

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection? We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	153 97.5%	63 98.4%	83 97.6%	47 95.9%	52 98.1%	43 100.0%	21 91.3%	14 100.0%	32 100.0%	35 97.2%	39 100.0%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	49 92.5%	44 100.0%	25 100.0%
<b>We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.</b>																
(1) completely agree	16 10.5%	7 11.1%	9 10.8%	5 10.6%	4 7.7%	6 14.0%	3 14.3%	0 -	4 12.5%	2 5.7%	6 15.4%	0 -	5 17.2%	5 10.2%	3 6.8%	3 12.0%
(2) rather agree	32 20.9%	13 20.6%	19 22.9%	4 8.5%	18 34.6%	10 23.3%	1 4.8%	4 28.6%	7 21.9%	9 25.7%	10 25.6%	3 50.0%	12 41.4%	3 6.1%	8 18.2%	6 24.0%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	61 39.9%	22 34.9%	35 42.2%	23 48.9%	15 28.8%	15 34.9%	11 52.4%	6 42.9%	12 37.5%	12 34.3%	15 38.5%	3 50.0%	5 17.2%	23 46.9%	15 34.1%	15 60.0%
(4) rather disagree	39 25.5%	18 28.6%	18 21.7%	14 29.8%	15 28.8%	8 18.6%	6 28.6%	4 28.6%	9 28.1%	10 28.6%	6 15.4%	0 -	6 20.7%	16 32.7%	17 38.6%	0 -
(5) completely disagree	5 3.3%	3 4.8%	2 2.4%	1 2.1%	0 -	4 9.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 5.7%	2 5.1%	0 -	1 3.4%	2 4.1%	1 2.3%	1 4.0%
Top Box (1+2)	48 31.4%	20 31.7%	28 33.7%	9 19.1%	22 42.3%	16 37.2%	4 19.0%	4 28.6%	11 34.4%	11 31.4%	16 41.0%	3 50.0%	17 58.6%	8 16.3%	11 25.0%	9 36.0%
Middle Box (3)	61 39.9%	22 34.9%	35 42.2%	23 48.9%	15 28.8%	15 34.9%	11 52.4%	6 42.9%	12 37.5%	12 34.3%	15 38.5%	3 50.0%	5 17.2%	23 46.9%	15 34.1%	15 60.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	44 28.8%	21 33.3%	20 24.1%	15 31.9%	15 28.8%	12 27.9%	6 28.6%	4 28.6%	9 28.1%	12 34.3%	8 20.5%	0 -	7 24.1%	18 36.7%	18 40.9%	1 4.0%
Mean	2,90	2,95	2,82	3,04	2,79	2,86	2,95	3,00	2,81	3,03	2,69	2,50	2,52	3,14	3,11	2,60
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	4 2.5%	1 1.6%	2 2.4%	2 4.1%	1 1.9%	0 -	2 8.7%	0 -	0 -	1 2.8%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 7.5%	0 -	0 -

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## How satisfied are you with the currently available consolidants?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	90 57.3%	31 48.4%	54 63.5%	18 36.7%	40 75.5%	27 62.8%	10 43.5%	6 42.9%	20 62.5%	20 55.6%	27 69.2%	4 66.7%	18 62.1%	27 50.9%	24 54.5%	17 68.0%
<b>Satisfaction with currently available consolidants</b>																
(1) very satisfied	5 5.6%	4 12.9%	0 -	3 16.7%	0 -	1 3.7%	2 20.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 3.7%	0 -	0 -	5 18.5%	0 -	0 -
(2)	26 28.9%	10 32.3%	13 24.1%	3 16.7%	14 35.0%	7 25.9%	2 20.0%	2 33.3%	6 30.0%	8 40.0%	7 25.9%	3 75.0%	5 27.8%	3 11.1%	4 16.7%	11 64.7%
(3)	47 52.2%	16 51.6%	30 55.6%	12 66.7%	18 45.0%	15 55.6%	6 60.0%	3 50.0%	10 50.0%	10 50.0%	14 51.9%	0 -	9 50.0%	18 66.7%	15 62.5%	5 29.4%
(4)	11 12.2%	1 3.2%	10 18.5%	0 -	7 17.5%	4 14.8%	0 -	1 16.7%	4 20.0%	1 5.0%	5 18.5%	1 25.0%	4 22.2%	1 3.7%	4 16.7%	1 5.9%
(5) very unsatisfied	1 1.1%	0 -	1 1.9%	0 -	1 2.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 5.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.2%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	31 34.4%	14 45.2%	13 24.1%	6 33.3%	14 35.0%	8 29.6%	4 40.0%	2 33.3%	6 30.0%	8 40.0%	8 29.6%	3 75.0%	5 27.8%	8 29.6%	4 16.7%	11 64.7%
Middle Box (3)	47 52.2%	16 51.6%	30 55.6%	12 66.7%	18 45.0%	15 55.6%	6 60.0%	3 50.0%	10 50.0%	10 50.0%	14 51.9%	0 -	9 50.0%	18 66.7%	15 62.5%	5 29.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	12 13.3%	1 3.2%	11 20.4%	0 -	8 20.0%	4 14.8%	0 -	1 16.7%	4 20.0%	2 10.0%	5 18.5%	1 25.0%	4 22.2%	1 3.7%	5 20.8%	1 5.9%
Mean	2,74	2,45	2,98	2,50	2,88	2,81	2,40	2,83	2,90	2,75	2,85	2,50	2,94	2,56	3,08	2,41
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	67 42.7%	33 51.6%	31 36.5%	31 63.3%	13 24.5%	16 37.2%	13 56.5%	8 57.1%	12 37.5%	16 44.4%	12 30.8%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	26 49.1%	20 45.5%	8 32.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How satisfied are you with the currently available protectives?

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	88 56.1%	32 50.0%	51 60.0%	17 34.7%	37 69.8%	29 67.4%	10 43.5%	5 35.7%	19 59.4%	18 50.0%	29 74.4%	4 66.7%	17 58.6%	27 50.9%	25 56.8%	15 60.0%
<b>Satisfaction with currently available protectives</b>																
(1) very satisfied	6 6.8%	3 9.4%	2 3.9%	3 17.6%	1 2.7%	1 3.4%	2 20.0%	0 -	0 -	1 5.6%	1 3.4%	0 -	0 -	4 14.8%	2 8.0%	0 -
(2)	12 13.6%	3 9.4%	8 15.7%	1 5.9%	4 10.8%	6 20.7%	0 -	1 20.0%	2 10.5%	2 11.1%	5 17.2%	0 -	2 11.8%	3 11.1%	7 28.0%	0 -
(3)	45 51.1%	16 50.0%	27 52.9%	11 64.7%	21 56.8%	12 41.4%	7 70.0%	2 40.0%	14 73.7%	6 33.3%	13 44.8%	0 -	13 76.5%	18 66.7%	8 32.0%	6 40.0%
(4)	20 22.7%	8 25.0%	11 21.6%	2 11.8%	9 24.3%	7 24.1%	1 10.0%	2 40.0%	3 15.8%	6 33.3%	8 27.6%	3 75.0%	2 11.8%	1 3.7%	6 24.0%	8 53.3%
(5) very unsatisfied	5 5.7%	2 6.3%	3 5.9%	0 -	2 5.4%	3 10.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 16.7%	2 6.9%	1 25.0%	0 -	1 3.7%	2 8.0%	1 6.7%
Top Box (1+2)	18 20.5%	6 18.8%	10 19.6%	4 23.5%	5 13.5%	7 24.1%	2 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 10.5%	3 16.7%	6 20.7%	0 -	2 11.8%	7 25.9%	9 36.0%	0 -
Middle Box (3)	45 51.1%	16 50.0%	27 52.9%	11 64.7%	21 56.8%	12 41.4%	7 70.0%	2 40.0%	14 73.7%	6 33.3%	13 44.8%	0 -	13 76.5%	18 66.7%	8 32.0%	6 40.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	25 28.4%	10 31.3%	14 27.5%	2 11.8%	11 29.7%	10 34.5%	1 10.0%	2 40.0%	3 15.8%	9 50.0%	10 34.5%	4 100.0%	2 11.8%	2 7.4%	8 32.0%	9 60.0%
Mean	3,07	3,09	3,10	2,71	3,19	3,17	2,70	3,20	3,05	3,44	3,17	4,25	3,00	2,70	2,96	3,67
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	69 43.9%	32 50.0%	34 40.0%	32 65.3%	16 30.2%	14 32.6%	13 56.5%	9 64.3%	13 40.6%	18 50.0%	10 25.6%	2 33.3%	12 41.4%	26 49.1%	19 43.2%	10 40.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

In your opinion, how much will the quality of consolidants and protectives improve regarding their application?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	89 56.7%	31 48.4%	53 62.4%	18 36.7%	40 75.5%	26 60.5%	9 39.1%	6 42.9%	20 62.5%	20 55.6%	26 66.7%	4 66.7%	17 58.6%	27 50.9%	24 54.5%	17 68.0%
<b>Improvement in application of consolidants and protectives</b>																
very strong improvements	10 11.2%	3 9.7%	6 11.3%	3 16.7%	4 10.0%	2 7.7%	1 11.1%	0 -	3 15.0%	1 5.0%	3 11.5%	0 -	1 5.9%	3 11.1%	5 20.8%	1 5.9%
strong improvements	40 44.9%	13 41.9%	24 45.3%	12 66.7%	20 50.0%	6 23.1%	8 88.9%	3 50.0%	9 45.0%	7 35.0%	8 30.8%	1 25.0%	10 58.8%	18 66.7%	9 37.5%	2 11.8%
slight improvements	33 37.1%	14 45.2%	18 34.0%	3 16.7%	13 32.5%	15 57.7%	0 -	2 33.3%	8 40.0%	11 55.0%	11 42.3%	2 50.0%	3 17.6%	5 18.5%	9 37.5%	14 82.4%
no improvement	6 6.7%	1 3.2%	5 9.4%	0 -	3 7.5%	3 11.5%	0 -	1 16.7%	0 -	1 5.0%	4 15.4%	1 25.0%	3 17.6%	1 3.7%	1 4.2%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	50 56.2%	16 51.6%	30 56.6%	15 83.3%	24 60.0%	8 30.8%	9 100.0%	3 50.0%	12 60.0%	8 40.0%	11 42.3%	1 25.0%	11 64.7%	21 77.8%	14 58.3%	3 17.6%
Middle Box (3)	33 37.1%	14 45.2%	18 34.0%	3 16.7%	13 32.5%	15 57.7%	0 -	2 33.3%	8 40.0%	11 55.0%	11 42.3%	2 50.0%	3 17.6%	5 18.5%	9 37.5%	14 82.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	6 6.7%	1 3.2%	5 9.4%	0 -	3 7.5%	3 11.5%	0 -	1 16.7%	0 -	1 5.0%	4 15.4%	1 25.0%	3 17.6%	1 3.7%	1 4.2%	0 -
Mean	2,39	2,42	2,42	2,00	2,38	2,73	1,89	2,67	2,25	2,60	2,62	3,00	2,47	2,15	2,25	2,76
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	68 43.3%	33 51.6%	32 37.6%	31 63.3%	13 24.5%	17 39.5%	14 60.9%	8 57.1%	12 37.5%	16 44.4%	13 33.3%	2 33.3%	12 41.4%	26 49.1%	20 45.5%	8 32.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

In your opinion, how much will the quality of consolidants and protectives improve regarding their effectiveness?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	87 55.4%	30 46.9%	52 61.2%	17 34.7%	38 71.7%	27 62.8%	9 39.1%	5 35.7%	20 62.5%	20 55.6%	26 66.7%	4 66.7%	15 51.7%	26 49.1%	25 56.8%	17 68.0%
<b>Improvement in effectiveness of consolidants and protectives</b>																
very strong improvements	11 12.6%	3 10.0%	7 13.5%	1 5.9%	7 18.4%	2 7.4%	0 -	0 -	6 30.0%	1 5.0%	3 11.5%	0 -	2 13.3%	2 7.7%	6 24.0%	1 5.9%
strong improvements	37 42.5%	12 40.0%	22 42.3%	9 52.9%	16 42.1%	10 37.0%	6 66.7%	2 40.0%	9 45.0%	7 35.0%	10 38.5%	2 50.0%	7 46.7%	17 65.4%	8 32.0%	3 17.6%
slight improvements	35 40.2%	13 43.3%	21 40.4%	7 41.2%	13 34.2%	13 48.1%	3 33.3%	2 40.0%	5 25.0%	11 55.0%	11 42.3%	2 50.0%	4 26.7%	7 26.9%	10 40.0%	12 70.6%
no improvement	4 4.6%	2 6.7%	2 3.8%	0 -	2 5.3%	2 7.4%	0 -	1 20.0%	0 -	1 5.0%	2 7.7%	0 -	2 13.3%	0 -	1 4.0%	1 5.9%
Top Box (1+2)	48 55.2%	15 50.0%	29 55.8%	10 58.8%	23 60.5%	12 44.4%	6 66.7%	2 40.0%	15 75.0%	8 40.0%	13 50.0%	2 50.0%	9 60.0%	19 73.1%	14 56.0%	4 23.5%
Middle Box (3)	35 40.2%	13 43.3%	21 40.4%	7 41.2%	13 34.2%	13 48.1%	3 33.3%	2 40.0%	5 25.0%	11 55.0%	11 42.3%	2 50.0%	4 26.7%	7 26.9%	10 40.0%	12 70.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	4 4.6%	2 6.7%	2 3.8%	0 -	2 5.3%	2 7.4%	0 -	1 20.0%	0 -	1 5.0%	2 7.7%	0 -	2 13.3%	0 -	1 4.0%	1 5.9%
Mean	2,37	2,47	2,35	2,35	2,26	2,56	2,33	2,80	1,95	2,60	2,46	2,50	2,40	2,19	2,24	2,76
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	70 44.6%	34 53.1%	33 38.8%	32 65.3%	15 28.3%	16 37.2%	14 60.9%	9 64.3%	12 37.5%	16 44.4%	13 33.3%	2 33.3%	14 48.3%	27 50.9%	19 43.2%	8 32.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How did the price of consolidants develop over the last years?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	63 40.1%	22 34.4%	37 43.5%	13 26.5%	27 50.9%	18 41.9%	6 26.1%	5 35.7%	13 40.6%	13 36.1%	20 51.3%	4 66.7%	11 37.9%	20 37.7%	14 31.8%	14 56.0%
<b>Price development of consolidants - retrospective</b>																
(1) strong increase	6 9.5%	3 13.6%	3 8.1%	1 7.7%	1 3.7%	4 22.2%	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	3 23.1%	2 10.0%	2 50.0%	0 -	1 5.0%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%
(2) slight increase	34 54.0%	12 54.5%	20 54.1%	8 61.5%	13 48.1%	10 55.6%	2 33.3%	3 60.0%	7 53.8%	8 61.5%	11 55.0%	2 50.0%	5 45.5%	11 55.0%	7 50.0%	9 64.3%
(3) stayed the same	13 20.6%	5 22.7%	7 18.9%	4 30.8%	7 25.9%	1 5.6%	3 50.0%	2 40.0%	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	2 10.0%	0 -	4 36.4%	7 35.0%	0 -	2 14.3%
(4) slight price fall	10 15.9%	2 9.1%	7 18.9%	0 -	6 22.2%	3 16.7%	0 -	0 -	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	5 25.0%	0 -	2 18.2%	1 5.0%	5 35.7%	2 14.3%
(5) strong price fall	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	40 63.5%	15 68.2%	23 62.2%	9 69.2%	14 51.9%	14 77.8%	3 50.0%	3 60.0%	7 53.8%	11 84.6%	13 65.0%	4 100.0%	5 45.5%	12 60.0%	9 64.3%	10 71.4%
Middle Box (3)	13 20.6%	5 22.7%	7 18.9%	4 30.8%	7 25.9%	1 5.6%	3 50.0%	2 40.0%	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	2 10.0%	0 -	4 36.4%	7 35.0%	0 -	2 14.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	10 15.9%	2 9.1%	7 18.9%	0 -	6 22.2%	3 16.7%	0 -	0 -	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	5 25.0%	0 -	2 18.2%	1 5.0%	5 35.7%	2 14.3%
Mean	2,43	2,27	2,49	2,23	2,67	2,17	2,33	2,40	2,69	2,00	2,50	1,50	2,73	2,40	2,57	2,36
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	94 59.9%	42 65.6%	48 56.5%	36 73.5%	26 49.1%	25 58.1%	17 73.9%	9 64.3%	19 59.4%	23 63.9%	19 48.7%	2 33.3%	18 62.1%	33 62.3%	30 68.2%	11 44.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

## How did the price of protectives develop over the last years?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	60 38.2%	22 34.4%	34 40.0%	12 24.5%	24 45.3%	19 44.2%	6 26.1%	4 28.6%	12 37.5%	11 30.6%	21 53.8%	4 66.7%	10 34.5%	20 37.7%	14 31.8%	12 48.0%
<b>Price development of protectives - retrospective</b>																
(1) strong increase	4 6.7%	1 4.5%	3 8.8%	1 8.3%	1 4.2%	2 10.5%	1 16.7%	0 -	0 -	2 18.2%	1 4.8%	1 25.0%	0 -	1 5.0%	2 14.3%	0 -
(2) slight increase	29 48.3%	13 59.1%	14 41.2%	8 66.7%	7 29.2%	11 57.9%	3 50.0%	1 25.0%	6 50.0%	6 54.5%	10 47.6%	2 50.0%	3 30.0%	12 60.0%	5 35.7%	7 58.3%
(3) stayed the same	17 28.3%	6 27.3%	10 29.4%	3 25.0%	10 41.7%	3 15.8%	2 33.3%	3 75.0%	3 25.0%	2 18.2%	5 23.8%	0 -	6 60.0%	6 30.0%	2 14.3%	3 25.0%
(4) slight price fall	9 15.0%	1 4.5%	7 20.6%	0 -	5 20.8%	3 15.8%	0 -	0 -	3 25.0%	1 9.1%	4 19.0%	1 25.0%	0 -	1 5.0%	5 35.7%	2 16.7%
(5) strong price fall	1 1.7%	1 4.5%	0 -	0 -	1 4.2%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.8%	0 -	1 10.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	33 55.0%	14 63.6%	17 50.0%	9 75.0%	8 33.3%	13 68.4%	4 66.7%	1 25.0%	6 50.0%	8 72.7%	11 52.4%	3 75.0%	3 30.0%	13 65.0%	7 50.0%	7 58.3%
Middle Box (3)	17 28.3%	6 27.3%	10 29.4%	3 25.0%	10 41.7%	3 15.8%	2 33.3%	3 75.0%	3 25.0%	2 18.2%	5 23.8%	0 -	6 60.0%	6 30.0%	2 14.3%	3 25.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	10 16.7%	2 9.1%	7 20.6%	0 -	6 25.0%	3 15.8%	0 -	0 -	3 25.0%	1 9.1%	5 23.8%	1 25.0%	1 10.0%	1 5.0%	5 35.7%	2 16.7%
Mean	2,57	2,45	2,62	2,17	2,92	2,37	2,17	2,75	2,75	2,18	2,71	2,25	2,90	2,35	2,71	2,58
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	97 61.8%	42 65.6%	51 60.0%	37 75.5%	29 54.7%	24 55.8%	17 73.9%	10 71.4%	20 62.5%	25 69.4%	18 46.2%	2 33.3%	19 65.5%	33 62.3%	30 68.2%	13 52.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

And how do you think the price of the consolidants will develop in the coming years?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	82 52.2%	27 42.2%	51 60.0%	14 28.6%	38 71.7%	25 58.1%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	19 59.4%	19 52.8%	25 64.1%	4 66.7%	18 62.1%	22 41.5%	23 52.3%	15 60.0%
<b>Price development of consolidants - prospective</b>																
(1) strong increase	14 17.1%	3 11.1%	10 19.6%	2 14.3%	5 13.2%	6 24.0%	1 14.3%	0 -	4 21.1%	2 10.5%	6 24.0%	3 75.0%	3 16.7%	4 18.2%	4 17.4%	0 -
(2) slight increase	40 48.8%	16 59.3%	23 45.1%	7 50.0%	20 52.6%	11 44.0%	2 28.6%	4 66.7%	10 52.6%	10 52.6%	12 48.0%	0 -	9 50.0%	12 54.5%	6 26.1%	13 86.7%
(3) stayed the same	14 17.1%	3 11.1%	9 17.6%	4 28.6%	5 13.2%	3 12.0%	3 42.9%	2 33.3%	1 5.3%	2 10.5%	3 12.0%	1 25.0%	3 16.7%	5 22.7%	4 17.4%	1 6.7%
(4) slight price fall	13 15.9%	4 14.8%	9 17.6%	1 7.1%	7 18.4%	5 20.0%	1 14.3%	0 -	4 21.1%	4 21.1%	4 16.0%	0 -	3 16.7%	1 4.5%	8 34.8%	1 6.7%
(5) strong price fall	1 1.2%	1 3.7%	0 -	0 -	1 2.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 5.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	54 65.9%	19 70.4%	33 64.7%	9 64.3%	25 65.8%	17 68.0%	3 42.9%	4 66.7%	14 73.7%	12 63.2%	18 72.0%	3 75.0%	12 66.7%	16 72.7%	10 43.5%	13 86.7%
Middle Box (3)	14 17.1%	3 11.1%	9 17.6%	4 28.6%	5 13.2%	3 12.0%	3 42.9%	2 33.3%	1 5.3%	2 10.5%	3 12.0%	1 25.0%	3 16.7%	5 22.7%	4 17.4%	1 6.7%
Bottom Box (4+5)	14 17.1%	5 18.5%	9 17.6%	1 7.1%	8 21.1%	5 20.0%	1 14.3%	0 -	4 21.1%	5 26.3%	4 16.0%	0 -	3 16.7%	1 4.5%	9 39.1%	1 6.7%
Mean	2,35	2,41	2,33	2,29	2,45	2,28	2,57	2,33	2,26	2,58	2,20	1,50	2,33	2,14	2,83	2,20
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	75 47.8%	37 57.8%	34 40.0%	35 71.4%	15 28.3%	18 41.9%	16 69.6%	8 57.1%	13 40.6%	17 47.2%	14 35.9%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	31 58.5%	21 47.7%	10 40.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

And how do you think the price of the protectives will develop in the coming years?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	80 51.0%	27 42.2%	49 57.6%	14 28.6%	35 66.0%	26 60.5%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	18 56.3%	18 50.0%	25 64.1%	4 66.7%	16 55.2%	22 41.5%	24 54.5%	14 56.0%
<b>Price development of protectives - prospective</b>																
(1) strong increase	14 17.5%	2 7.4%	11 22.4%	3 21.4%	5 14.3%	5 19.2%	1 14.3%	0 -	4 22.2%	2 11.1%	5 20.0%	2 50.0%	3 18.8%	5 22.7%	4 16.7%	0 -
(2) slight increase	36 45.0%	15 55.6%	20 40.8%	5 35.7%	16 45.7%	13 50.0%	1 14.3%	4 66.7%	8 44.4%	9 50.0%	13 52.0%	1 25.0%	7 43.8%	10 45.5%	7 29.2%	11 78.6%
(3) stayed the same	16 20.0%	5 18.5%	9 18.4%	5 35.7%	6 17.1%	3 11.5%	4 57.1%	2 33.3%	2 11.1%	2 11.1%	3 12.0%	0 -	4 25.0%	6 27.3%	4 16.7%	2 14.3%
(4) slight price fall	13 16.3%	4 14.8%	9 18.4%	1 7.1%	7 20.0%	5 19.2%	1 14.3%	0 -	4 22.2%	4 22.2%	4 16.0%	1 25.0%	2 12.5%	1 4.5%	8 33.3%	1 7.1%
(5) strong price fall	1 1.3%	1 3.7%	0 -	0 -	1 2.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 5.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.2%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	50 62.5%	17 63.0%	31 63.3%	8 57.1%	21 60.0%	18 69.2%	2 28.6%	4 66.7%	12 66.7%	11 61.1%	18 72.0%	3 75.0%	10 62.5%	15 68.2%	11 45.8%	11 78.6%
Middle Box (3)	16 20.0%	5 18.5%	9 18.4%	5 35.7%	6 17.1%	3 11.5%	4 57.1%	2 33.3%	2 11.1%	2 11.1%	3 12.0%	0 -	4 25.0%	6 27.3%	4 16.7%	2 14.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	14 17.5%	5 18.5%	9 18.4%	1 7.1%	8 22.9%	5 19.2%	1 14.3%	0 -	4 22.2%	5 27.8%	4 16.0%	1 25.0%	2 12.5%	1 4.5%	9 37.5%	1 7.1%
Mean	2,39	2,52	2,33	2,29	2,51	2,31	2,71	2,33	2,33	2,61	2,24	2,00	2,31	2,14	2,79	2,29
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	77 49.0%	37 57.8%	36 42.4%	35 71.4%	18 34.0%	17 39.5%	16 69.6%	8 57.1%	14 43.8%	18 50.0%	14 35.9%	2 33.3%	13 44.8%	31 58.5%	20 45.5%	11 44.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

The application of chemical products is essential for the consolidation of stones.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	101 64.3%	39 60.9%	57 67.1%	20 40.8%	40 75.5%	34 79.1%	10 43.5%	7 50.0%	20 62.5%	25 69.4%	31 79.5%	5 83.3%	21 72.4%	31 58.5%	27 61.4%	17 68.0%
<b>The application of chemical products is essential for the consolidation of stones.</b>																
(1) completely agree	19 18.8%	10 25.6%	8 14.0%	2 10.0%	6 15.0%	9 26.5%	1 10.0%	2 28.6%	2 10.0%	4 16.0%	9 29.0%	1 20.0%	3 14.3%	7 22.6%	4 14.8%	4 23.5%
(2) rather agree	27 26.7%	13 33.3%	13 22.8%	7 35.0%	14 35.0%	4 11.8%	4 40.0%	2 28.6%	8 40.0%	6 24.0%	6 19.4%	1 20.0%	7 33.3%	10 32.3%	4 14.8%	5 29.4%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	36 35.6%	10 25.6%	23 40.4%	8 40.0%	13 32.5%	13 38.2%	4 40.0%	3 42.9%	7 35.0%	7 28.0%	11 35.5%	1 20.0%	9 42.9%	11 35.5%	9 33.3%	6 35.3%
(4) rather disagree	11 10.9%	6 15.4%	5 8.8%	2 10.0%	3 7.5%	5 14.7%	1 10.0%	0 -	2 10.0%	5 20.0%	2 6.5%	2 40.0%	1 4.8%	2 6.5%	4 14.8%	2 11.8%
(5) completely disagree	8 7.9%	0 -	8 14.0%	1 5.0%	4 10.0%	3 8.8%	0 -	0 -	1 5.0%	3 12.0%	3 9.7%	0 -	1 4.8%	1 3.2%	6 22.2%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	46 45.5%	23 59.0%	21 36.8%	9 45.0%	20 50.0%	13 38.2%	5 50.0%	4 57.1%	10 50.0%	10 40.0%	15 48.4%	2 40.0%	10 47.6%	17 54.8%	8 29.6%	9 52.9%
Middle Box (3)	36 35.6%	10 25.6%	23 40.4%	8 40.0%	13 32.5%	13 38.2%	4 40.0%	3 42.9%	7 35.0%	7 28.0%	11 35.5%	1 20.0%	9 42.9%	11 35.5%	9 33.3%	6 35.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	19 18.8%	6 15.4%	13 22.8%	3 15.0%	7 17.5%	8 23.5%	1 10.0%	0 -	3 15.0%	8 32.0%	5 16.1%	2 40.0%	2 9.5%	3 9.7%	10 37.0%	2 11.8%
Mean	2,62	2,31	2,86	2,65	2,63	2,68	2,50	2,14	2,60	2,88	2,48	2,80	2,52	2,35	3,15	2,35
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	56 35.7%	25 39.1%	28 32.9%	29 59.2%	13 24.5%	9 20.9%	13 56.5%	7 50.0%	12 37.5%	11 30.6%	8 20.5%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	22 41.5%	17 38.6%	8 32.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

The application of chemical products is essential for the protection of stones.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	98 62.4%	38 59.4%	55 64.7%	18 36.7%	40 75.5%	34 79.1%	9 39.1%	7 50.0%	20 62.5%	25 69.4%	30 76.9%	5 83.3%	21 72.4%	28 52.8%	27 61.4%	17 68.0%
<b>The application of chemical products is essential for the protection of stones.</b>																
(1) completely agree	9 9.2%	5 13.2%	2 3.6%	1 5.6%	3 7.5%	3 8.8%	0 -	2 28.6%	1 5.0%	1 4.0%	3 10.0%	0 -	2 9.5%	4 14.3%	2 7.4%	1 5.9%
(2) rather agree	22 22.4%	7 18.4%	15 27.3%	6 33.3%	11 27.5%	5 14.7%	2 22.2%	3 42.9%	8 40.0%	4 16.0%	5 16.7%	0 -	5 23.8%	9 32.1%	5 18.5%	3 17.6%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	44 44.9%	16 42.1%	25 45.5%	8 44.4%	16 40.0%	16 47.1%	6 66.7%	2 28.6%	7 35.0%	14 56.0%	11 36.7%	3 60.0%	10 47.6%	12 42.9%	11 40.7%	8 47.1%
(4) rather disagree	18 18.4%	10 26.3%	8 14.5%	3 16.7%	7 17.5%	8 23.5%	1 11.1%	0 -	4 20.0%	3 12.0%	9 30.0%	2 40.0%	3 14.3%	3 10.7%	5 18.5%	5 29.4%
(5) completely disagree	5 5.1%	0 -	5 9.1%	0 -	3 7.5%	2 5.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	3 12.0%	2 6.7%	0 -	1 4.8%	0 -	4 14.8%	0 -
Top Box (1+2)	31 31.6%	12 31.6%	17 30.9%	7 38.9%	14 35.0%	8 23.5%	2 22.2%	5 71.4%	9 45.0%	5 20.0%	8 26.7%	0 -	7 33.3%	13 46.4%	7 25.9%	4 23.5%
Middle Box (3)	44 44.9%	16 42.1%	25 45.5%	8 44.4%	16 40.0%	16 47.1%	6 66.7%	2 28.6%	7 35.0%	14 56.0%	11 36.7%	3 60.0%	10 47.6%	12 42.9%	11 40.7%	8 47.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	23 23.5%	10 26.3%	13 23.6%	3 16.7%	10 25.0%	10 29.4%	1 11.1%	0 -	4 20.0%	6 24.0%	11 36.7%	2 40.0%	4 19.0%	3 10.7%	9 33.3%	5 29.4%
Mean	2,88	2,82	2,98	2,72	2,90	3,03	2,89	2,00	2,70	3,12	3,07	3,40	2,81	2,50	3,15	3,00
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	59 37.6%	26 40.6%	30 35.3%	31 63.3%	13 24.5%	9 20.9%	14 60.9%	7 50.0%	12 37.5%	11 30.6%	9 23.1%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	25 47.2%	17 38.6%	8 32.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

The industry offers a broad range of products for stone treatment.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	97 61.8%	38 59.4%	54 63.5%	16 32.7%	41 77.4%	33 76.7%	9 39.1%	6 42.9%	20 62.5%	26 72.2%	29 74.4%	5 83.3%	21 72.4%	27 50.9%	27 61.4%	17 68.0%
<b>The industry offers a broad range of products for stone treatment.</b>																
(1) completely agree	11 11.3%	6 15.8%	5 9.3%	3 18.8%	6 14.6%	1 3.0%	3 33.3%	0 -	3 15.0%	5 19.2%	0 -	1 20.0%	4 19.0%	3 11.1%	2 7.4%	1 5.9%
(2) rather agree	34 35.1%	16 42.1%	17 31.5%	5 31.3%	13 31.7%	14 42.4%	3 33.3%	3 50.0%	5 25.0%	10 38.5%	12 41.4%	2 40.0%	7 33.3%	10 37.0%	9 33.3%	6 35.3%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	31 32.0%	9 23.7%	18 33.3%	6 37.5%	12 29.3%	9 27.3%	3 33.3%	1 16.7%	7 35.0%	6 23.1%	9 31.0%	2 40.0%	5 23.8%	11 40.7%	8 29.6%	5 29.4%
(4) rather disagree	14 14.4%	6 15.8%	8 14.8%	1 6.3%	7 17.1%	6 18.2%	0 -	1 16.7%	2 10.0%	4 15.4%	6 20.7%	0 -	5 23.8%	2 7.4%	4 14.8%	3 17.6%
(5) completely disagree	7 7.2%	1 2.6%	6 11.1%	1 6.3%	3 7.3%	3 9.1%	0 -	1 16.7%	3 15.0%	1 3.8%	2 6.9%	0 -	0 -	1 3.7%	4 14.8%	2 11.8%
Top Box (1+2)	45 46.4%	22 57.9%	22 40.7%	8 50.0%	19 46.3%	15 45.5%	6 66.7%	3 50.0%	8 40.0%	15 57.7%	12 41.4%	3 60.0%	11 52.4%	13 48.1%	11 40.7%	7 41.2%
Middle Box (3)	31 32.0%	9 23.7%	18 33.3%	6 37.5%	12 29.3%	9 27.3%	3 33.3%	1 16.7%	7 35.0%	6 23.1%	9 31.0%	2 40.0%	5 23.8%	11 40.7%	8 29.6%	5 29.4%
Bottom Box (4+5)	21 21.6%	7 18.4%	14 25.9%	2 12.5%	10 24.4%	9 27.3%	0 -	2 33.3%	5 25.0%	5 19.2%	8 27.6%	0 -	5 23.8%	3 11.1%	8 29.6%	5 29.4%
Mean	2,71	2,47	2,87	2,50	2,71	2,88	2,00	3,00	2,85	2,46	2,93	2,20	2,52	2,56	2,96	2,94
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	60 38.2%	26 40.6%	31 36.5%	33 67.3%	12 22.6%	10 23.3%	14 60.9%	8 57.1%	12 37.5%	10 27.8%	10 25.6%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	26 49.1%	17 38.6%	8 32.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

The industry offers a broad range of matured/sophisticated products for stone treatment.

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	24 15.3%	14 21.9%	9 10.6%	2 4.1%	10 18.9%	9 20.9%	0 -	2 14.3%	4 12.5%	11 30.6%	7 17.9%	5 83.3%	0 -	0 -	2 4.5%	17 68.0%
The industry offers a broad range of matured/sophisticated products for stone treatment.																
(1) completely agree	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2) rather agree	5 20.8%	5 35.7%	0 -	0 -	3 30.0%	2 22.2%	0 -	0 -	2 50.0%	2 18.2%	1 14.3%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 23.5%
(3) neither agree nor disagree	10 41.7%	4 28.6%	5 55.6%	1 50.0%	4 40.0%	4 44.4%	0 -	1 50.0%	1 25.0%	4 36.4%	4 57.1%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	1 50.0%	8 47.1%
(4) rather disagree	8 33.3%	5 35.7%	3 33.3%	0 -	3 30.0%	3 33.3%	0 -	1 50.0%	0 -	5 45.5%	2 28.6%	3 60.0%	0 -	0 -	1 50.0%	4 23.5%
(5) completely disagree	1 4.2%	0 -	1 11.1%	1 50.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 25.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 5.9%
Top Box (1+2)	5 20.8%	5 35.7%	0 -	0 -	3 30.0%	2 22.2%	0 -	0 -	2 50.0%	2 18.2%	1 14.3%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 23.5%
Middle Box (3)	10 41.7%	4 28.6%	5 55.6%	1 50.0%	4 40.0%	4 44.4%	0 -	1 50.0%	1 25.0%	4 36.4%	4 57.1%	1 20.0%	0 -	0 -	1 50.0%	8 47.1%
Bottom Box (4+5)	9 37.5%	5 35.7%	4 44.4%	1 50.0%	3 30.0%	3 33.3%	0 -	1 50.0%	1 25.0%	5 45.5%	2 28.6%	3 60.0%	0 -	0 -	1 50.0%	5 29.4%
Mean	3,21	3,00	3,56	4,00	3,00	3,11	-	3,50	3,00	3,27	3,14	3,40	-	-	3,50	3,12
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	133 84.7%	50 78.1%	76 89.4%	47 95.9%	43 81.1%	34 79.1%	23 100.0%	12 85.7%	28 87.5%	25 69.4%	32 82.1%	1 16.7%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	42 95.5%	8 32.0%

BACES





## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

Have you already used nano-products for stone conservation?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	105 66.9%	42 65.6%	57 67.1%	18 36.7%	43 81.1%	36 83.7%	9 39.1%	8 57.1%	22 68.8%	26 72.2%	33 84.6%	5 83.3%	21 72.4%	28 52.8%	30 68.2%	21 84.0%
<b>Use of nano-products for stone conservation</b>																
Yes	37 35.2%	13 31.0%	22 38.6%	4 22.2%	14 32.6%	15 41.7%	1 11.1%	1 12.5%	7 31.8%	11 42.3%	14 42.4%	1 20.0%	3 14.3%	9 32.1%	15 50.0%	9 42.9%
No	61 58.1%	26 61.9%	31 54.4%	9 50.0%	28 65.1%	20 55.6%	5 55.6%	7 87.5%	15 68.2%	13 50.0%	18 54.5%	4 80.0%	17 81.0%	14 50.0%	15 50.0%	11 52.4%
I don't know	7 6.7%	3 7.1%	4 7.0%	5 27.8%	1 2.3%	1 2.8%	3 33.3%	0 -	0 -	2 7.7%	1 3.0%	0 -	1 4.8%	5 17.9%	0 -	1 4.8%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	52 33.1%	22 34.4%	28 32.9%	31 63.3%	10 18.9%	7 16.3%	14 60.9%	6 42.9%	10 31.3%	10 27.8%	6 15.4%	1 16.7%	8 27.6%	25 47.2%	14 31.8%	4 16.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you rate the effectiveness of nano-products in comparison to conventional products? Nano-products are ?

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	87 55.4%	37 57.8%	47 55.3%	14 28.6%	35 66.0%	33 76.7%	7 30.4%	5 35.7%	19 59.4%	22 61.1%	29 74.4%	4 66.7%	18 62.1%	24 45.3%	22 50.0%	19 76.0%
<b>Nano-products' effectiveness</b>																
(1) significantly more effective	11 12.6%	6 16.2%	4 8.5%	2 14.3%	4 11.4%	4 12.1%	1 14.3%	2 40.0%	2 10.5%	1 4.5%	4 13.8%	0 -	1 5.6%	5 20.8%	5 22.7%	0 -
(2)	26 29.9%	9 24.3%	16 34.0%	8 57.1%	8 22.9%	9 27.3%	5 71.4%	1 20.0%	6 31.6%	4 18.2%	7 24.1%	1 25.0%	4 22.2%	11 45.8%	10 45.5%	0 -
(3)	35 40.2%	12 32.4%	22 46.8%	4 28.6%	15 42.9%	13 39.4%	1 14.3%	1 20.0%	8 42.1%	11 50.0%	13 44.8%	3 75.0%	7 38.9%	7 29.2%	6 27.3%	12 63.2%
(4)	9 10.3%	6 16.2%	3 6.4%	0 -	5 14.3%	4 12.1%	0 -	1 20.0%	1 5.3%	5 22.7%	2 6.9%	0 -	3 16.7%	1 4.2%	0 -	5 26.3%
(5) significantly less effective	6 6.9%	4 10.8%	2 4.3%	0 -	3 8.6%	3 9.1%	0 -	0 -	2 10.5%	1 4.5%	3 10.3%	0 -	3 16.7%	0 -	1 4.5%	2 10.5%
Top Box (1+2)	37 42.5%	15 40.5%	20 42.6%	10 71.4%	12 34.3%	13 39.4%	6 85.7%	3 60.0%	8 42.1%	5 22.7%	11 37.9%	1 25.0%	5 27.8%	16 66.7%	15 68.2%	0 -
Middle Box (3)	35 40.2%	12 32.4%	22 46.8%	4 28.6%	15 42.9%	13 39.4%	1 14.3%	1 20.0%	8 42.1%	11 50.0%	13 44.8%	3 75.0%	7 38.9%	7 29.2%	6 27.3%	12 63.2%
Bottom Box (4+5)	15 17.2%	10 27.0%	5 10.6%	0 -	8 22.9%	7 21.2%	0 -	1 20.0%	3 15.8%	6 27.3%	5 17.2%	0 -	6 33.3%	1 4.2%	1 4.5%	7 36.8%
Mean	2,69	2,81	2,64	2,14	2,86	2,79	2,00	2,20	2,74	3,05	2,76	2,75	3,17	2,17	2,18	3,47
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	70 44.6%	27 42.2%	38 44.7%	35 71.4%	18 34.0%	10 23.3%	16 69.6%	9 64.3%	13 40.6%	14 38.9%	10 25.6%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	29 54.7%	22 50.0%	6 24.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you rate nano-products? risks for the safety of restorers/users in comparison to conventional products? Nano-products are ?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	87 55.4%	38 59.4%	45 52.9%	16 32.7%	32 60.4%	33 76.7%	8 34.8%	6 42.9%	17 53.1%	23 63.9%	28 71.8%	4 66.7%	17 58.6%	25 47.2%	21 47.7%	20 80.0%
<b>Nano-products' risks for the safety of restorers/users</b>																
(1) significantly more risky	4 4.6%	2 5.3%	2 4.4%	1 6.3%	0 -	3 9.1%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	2 7.1%	0 -	2 11.8%	1 4.0%	1 4.8%	0 -
(2)	19 21.8%	7 18.4%	10 22.2%	5 31.3%	8 25.0%	4 12.1%	2 25.0%	3 50.0%	5 29.4%	5 21.7%	2 7.1%	0 -	4 23.5%	6 24.0%	7 33.3%	2 10.0%
(3)	37 42.5%	15 39.5%	20 44.4%	6 37.5%	18 56.3%	11 33.3%	4 50.0%	1 16.7%	7 41.2%	13 56.5%	11 39.3%	2 50.0%	8 47.1%	8 32.0%	10 47.6%	9 45.0%
(4)	19 21.8%	10 26.3%	9 20.0%	3 18.8%	4 12.5%	10 30.3%	2 25.0%	1 16.7%	3 17.6%	2 8.7%	10 35.7%	1 25.0%	2 11.8%	10 40.0%	1 4.8%	5 25.0%
(5) significantly less risky	8 9.2%	4 10.5%	4 8.9%	1 6.3%	2 6.3%	5 15.2%	0 -	1 16.7%	2 11.8%	2 8.7%	3 10.7%	1 25.0%	1 5.9%	0 -	2 9.5%	4 20.0%
Top Box (1+2)	23 26.4%	9 23.7%	12 26.7%	6 37.5%	8 25.0%	7 21.2%	2 25.0%	3 50.0%	5 29.4%	6 26.1%	4 14.3%	0 -	6 35.3%	7 28.0%	8 38.1%	2 10.0%
Middle Box (3)	37 42.5%	15 39.5%	20 44.4%	6 37.5%	18 56.3%	11 33.3%	4 50.0%	1 16.7%	7 41.2%	13 56.5%	11 39.3%	2 50.0%	8 47.1%	8 32.0%	10 47.6%	9 45.0%
Bottom Box (4+5)	27 31.0%	14 36.8%	13 28.9%	4 25.0%	6 18.8%	15 45.5%	2 25.0%	2 33.3%	5 29.4%	4 17.4%	13 46.4%	2 50.0%	3 17.6%	10 40.0%	3 14.3%	9 45.0%
Mean	3,09	3,18	3,07	2,88	3,00	3,30	3,00	3,00	3,12	2,96	3,36	3,75	2,76	3,08	2,81	3,55
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	70 44.6%	26 40.6%	40 47.1%	33 67.3%	21 39.6%	10 23.3%	15 65.2%	8 57.1%	15 46.9%	13 36.1%	11 28.2%	2 33.3%	12 41.4%	28 52.8%	23 52.3%	5 20.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you rate the risks that nano-products damage the buildings in comparison to conventional products? Nano-products are ?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col. %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	90 57.3%	38 59.4%	48 56.5%	16 32.7%	35 66.0%	33 76.7%	8 34.8%	6 42.9%	19 59.4%	23 63.9%	29 74.4%	4 66.7%	19 65.5%	25 47.2%	21 47.7%	21 84.0%
<b>Nano-products' risk to damage the buildings</b>																
(1) significantly more risky	6 6.7%	2 5.3%	4 8.3%	2 12.5%	1 2.9%	3 9.1%	1 12.5%	0 -	0 -	3 13.0%	1 3.4%	0 -	3 15.8%	3 12.0%	0 -	0 -
(2)	9 10.0%	5 13.2%	4 8.3%	2 12.5%	6 17.1%	1 3.0%	1 12.5%	2 33.3%	5 26.3%	0 -	1 3.4%	0 -	4 21.1%	1 4.0%	3 14.3%	1 4.8%
(3)	40 44.4%	15 39.5%	23 47.9%	8 50.0%	19 54.3%	10 30.3%	4 50.0%	2 33.3%	8 42.1%	12 52.2%	12 41.4%	2 50.0%	6 31.6%	11 44.0%	11 52.4%	10 47.6%
(4)	26 28.9%	12 31.6%	13 27.1%	4 25.0%	7 20.0%	13 39.4%	2 25.0%	2 33.3%	4 21.1%	8 34.8%	9 31.0%	2 50.0%	5 26.3%	8 32.0%	5 23.8%	6 28.6%
(5) significantly less risky	9 10.0%	4 10.5%	4 8.3%	0 -	2 5.7%	6 18.2%	0 -	0 -	2 10.5%	0 -	6 20.7%	0 -	1 5.3%	2 8.0%	2 9.5%	4 19.0%
Top Box (1+2)	15 16.7%	7 18.4%	8 16.7%	4 25.0%	7 20.0%	4 12.1%	2 25.0%	2 33.3%	5 26.3%	3 13.0%	2 6.9%	0 -	7 36.8%	4 16.0%	3 14.3%	1 4.8%
Middle Box (3)	40 44.4%	15 39.5%	23 47.9%	8 50.0%	19 54.3%	10 30.3%	4 50.0%	2 33.3%	8 42.1%	12 52.2%	12 41.4%	2 50.0%	6 31.6%	11 44.0%	11 52.4%	10 47.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	35 38.9%	16 42.1%	17 35.4%	4 25.0%	9 25.7%	19 57.6%	2 25.0%	2 33.3%	6 31.6%	8 34.8%	15 51.7%	2 50.0%	6 31.6%	10 40.0%	7 33.3%	10 47.6%
Mean	3,26	3,29	3,19	2,88	3,09	3,55	2,88	3,00	3,16	3,09	3,62	3,50	2,84	3,20	3,29	3,62
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	67 42.7%	26 40.6%	37 43.5%	33 67.3%	18 34.0%	10 23.3%	15 65.2%	8 57.1%	13 40.6%	13 36.1%	10 25.6%	2 33.3%	10 34.5%	28 52.8%	23 52.3%	4 16.0%

BACES



# NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you estimate the costs of nano-products in comparison to conventional products? Nano-products are ?

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	81 51.6%	35 54.7%	44 51.8%	15 30.6%	32 60.4%	29 67.4%	7 30.4%	6 42.9%	18 56.3%	19 52.8%	26 66.7%	4 66.7%	18 62.1%	21 39.6%	20 45.5%	18 72.0%
<b>Nano-products' costs</b>																
(1) significantly cheaper	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -
(2)	3 3.7%	2 5.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 7.7%	0 -	1 5.6%	1 4.8%	1 5.0%	0 -
(3)	34 42.0%	13 37.1%	20 45.5%	10 66.7%	9 28.1%	14 48.3%	6 85.7%	2 33.3%	2 11.1%	9 47.4%	12 46.2%	2 50.0%	5 27.8%	12 57.1%	9 45.0%	6 33.3%
(4)	31 38.3%	16 45.7%	15 34.1%	4 26.7%	17 53.1%	7 24.1%	1 14.3%	2 33.3%	13 72.2%	7 36.8%	7 26.9%	1 25.0%	10 55.6%	6 28.6%	7 35.0%	7 38.9%
(5) significantly more expensive	13 16.0%	4 11.4%	9 20.5%	1 6.7%	6 18.8%	6 20.7%	0 -	2 33.3%	3 16.7%	3 15.8%	5 19.2%	1 25.0%	2 11.1%	2 9.5%	3 15.0%	5 27.8%
Top Box (1+2)	3 3.7%	2 5.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 6.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 7.7%	0 -	1 5.6%	1 4.8%	1 5.0%	0 -
Middle Box (3)	34 42.0%	13 37.1%	20 45.5%	10 66.7%	9 28.1%	14 48.3%	6 85.7%	2 33.3%	2 11.1%	9 47.4%	12 46.2%	2 50.0%	5 27.8%	12 57.1%	9 45.0%	6 33.3%
Bottom Box (4+5)	44 54.3%	20 57.1%	24 54.5%	5 33.3%	23 71.9%	13 44.8%	1 14.3%	4 66.7%	16 88.9%	10 52.6%	12 46.2%	2 50.0%	12 66.7%	8 38.1%	10 50.0%	12 66.7%
Mean	3,67	3,63	3,75	3,40	3,91	3,59	3,14	4,00	4,06	3,68	3,58	3,75	3,72	3,43	3,60	3,94
Basis total	157	64	85	49	53	43	23	14	32	36	39	6	29	53	44	25
missings	76 48.4%	29 45.3%	41 48.2%	34 69.4%	21 39.6%	14 32.6%	16 69.6%	8 57.1%	14 43.8%	17 47.2%	13 33.3%	2 33.3%	11 37.9%	32 60.4%	24 54.5%	7 28.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

How would you rate the workload required when applying nano-products in comparison to conventional products? Nano-products are ?

Abs. Col %	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	41 26.1%	16 25.0%	23 27.1%	3 6.1%	16 30.2%	17 39.5%	1 4.3%	3 21.4%	7 21.9%	14 38.9%	15 38.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	23 52.3%	18 72.0%
<b>Nano-products' required workload when applying</b>																
(1) significantly easier to apply	4 9.8%	3 18.8%	1 4.3%	0 -	3 18.8%	1 5.9%	0 -	0 -	1 14.3%	2 14.3%	1 6.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	3 16.7%
(2)	11 26.8%	5 31.3%	6 26.1%	2 66.7%	2 12.5%	6 35.3%	1 100.0%	2 66.7%	1 14.3%	2 14.3%	5 33.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	7 30.4%	4 22.2%
(3)	23 56.1%	7 43.8%	14 60.9%	1 33.3%	9 56.3%	9 52.9%	0 -	1 33.3%	4 57.1%	9 64.3%	8 53.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	13 56.5%	10 55.6%
(4)	1 2.4%	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -	1 6.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 14.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	0 -
(5) significantly harder to apply	2 4.9%	1 6.3%	1 4.3%	0 -	1 6.3%	1 5.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 7.1%	1 6.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	1 4.3%	1 5.6%
Top Box (1+2)	15 36.6%	8 50.0%	7 30.4%	2 66.7%	5 31.3%	7 41.2%	1 100.0%	2 66.7%	2 28.6%	4 28.6%	6 40.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	8 34.8%	7 38.9%
Middle Box (3)	23 56.1%	7 43.8%	14 60.9%	1 33.3%	9 56.3%	9 52.9%	0 -	1 33.3%	4 57.1%	9 64.3%	8 53.3%	0 -	0 -	0 -	13 56.5%	10 55.6%
Bottom Box (4+5)	3 7.3%	1 6.3%	2 8.7%	0 -	2 12.5%	1 5.9%	0 -	0 -	1 14.3%	1 7.1%	1 6.7%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 8.7%	1 5.6%
Mean	2,66	2,44	2,78	2,33	2,69	2,65	2,00	2,33	2,71	2,71	2,67	-	-	-	2,74	2,56
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missings	116 73.9%	48 75.0%	62 72.9%	46 93.9%	37 69.8%	26 60.5%	22 95.7%	11 78.6%	25 78.1%	22 61.1%	24 61.5%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	21 47.7%	7 28.0%

BACES



## NANO-Cathedral - Survey at Public Workshops

Could you imagine using the Nano-Cathedral products?

	Total	Gender		Age Group			Work Experience					Workshop				
Abs. Col %	N	male	female	<30 years	30-45 years	>45 years	<1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 10 years	11 to 20 years	>20 years	Vienna	Ghent	Pisa	Vitoria	Cologne
Basis total without missing	48 30.6%	20 31.3%	26 30.6%	3 6.1%	20 37.7%	20 46.5%	1 4.3%	3 21.4%	9 28.1%	17 47.2%	17 43.6%	0 -	0 -	0 -	27 61.4%	21 84.0%
<b>Use of Nano-Cathedral products</b>																
Yes	18 37.5%	5 25.0%	12 46.2%	3 100.0%	9 45.0%	5 25.0%	1 100.0%	2 66.7%	5 55.6%	5 29.4%	4 23.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	14 51.9%	4 19.0%
Maybe	22 45.8%	9 45.0%	12 46.2%	0 -	10 50.0%	10 50.0%	0 -	1 33.3%	4 44.4%	8 47.1%	9 52.9%	0 -	0 -	0 -	11 40.7%	11 52.4%
No	8 16.7%	6 30.0%	2 7.7%	0 -	1 5.0%	5 25.0%	0 -	0 -	0 -	4 23.5%	4 23.5%	0 -	0 -	0 -	2 7.4%	6 28.6%
<b>Basis total</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>25</b>
missing	109 69.4%	44 68.8%	59 69.4%	46 93.9%	33 62.3%	23 53.5%	22 95.7%	11 78.6%	23 71.9%	19 52.8%	22 56.4%	6 100.0%	29 100.0%	53 100.0%	17 38.6%	4 16.0%

BACES





# Nano-Cathedral

## Questionnaires

- **Public Survey in Six European Countries**
- **Survey at Public Workshops**



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 646178





# Questionnaire for Public Survey in Six European Countries

## Section 1: Demographics

*Note: Some answer options vary in different countries due to different school systems, political parties, federal states,...* Gender [What gender are you?]: (Z\_1)

- (1) Male
- (2) Female

Year of birth [In which year were you born?]: (Z\_2)

School education [What is the highest level of education you have completed?]: (Z\_3)

- (1) Finished school without degree
- (2) Finished school with a medium degree
- (3) Finished school with the ability to go to the university

## Section 2: Politics

What is currently the most important problem in [country]?

- (1) Most important problem (P\_1A)

To what extent do you think the following measures are important for the future development of your country?

Scale: (1) very important (2) important (3) rather important (4) less important (5) unimportant

- (1) Reduction of social inequalities (P\_2A)
- (2) Fighting poverty (P\_2B)
- (3) Investing in education and research (P\_2C)
- (4) Development of new technologies (P\_2D)
- (5) Preservation of cultural goods and monuments (P\_2E)
- (6) Expanding the infrastructure (P\_2F)
- (7) Increasing international trade (P\_2G)
- (8) Acceleration of the ecological change (P\_2H)
- (9) Strengthening the European Union (P\_2I)
- (10) Integration of migrants and refugees (P\_2J)
- (11) Regulating migration (P\_2K)
- (12) Strengthening the national identity (P\_2L)
- (13) Supporting families (P\_2M)
- (14) Dealing with consequences of demographic change (P\_2N)

### Section 3: New Technology

What do you associate with the term 'new technology'? (T\_1)

How technology-friendly are you? How positive or negative do you personally feel about 'new technologies'? (T\_2A)

Scale: (1) very positive (11) very negative

And how would you estimate the feeling of the population towards 'new technologies' in your country? (T\_2B)

Scale: (1) very positive (11) very negative

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

Scale: (1) completely agree (2) rather agree (3) neither agree nor disagree (4) rather disagree (5) completely disagree

New technologies...

- (1) ... are important to solve current problems. (T\_4)
- (2) ... promote the economic development. (T\_5)
- (3) ... help protecting the environment. (T\_6)
- (4) ... create more jobs than they endanger. (T\_7)
- (5) ... are connected with health risks. (T\_8)
- (6) ... should be supported by public finances. (T\_9)
- (7) ... should be regulated by law. (T\_10)
- (8) ... interest me a lot. (T\_11)
- (9) ... I use as often as possible. (T\_12)
- (10) ... make me feel uneasy. (T\_13)
- (11)... mainly benefit large companies. (T\_14)

How much did you hear or read about the following technologies?

Scale: (1) very much (2) rather much (3) rather little (4) very little (5) nothing at all

- (1) Solar energy (T\_15A)
- (2) IT and computer technology (T\_15B)
- (3) Nanotechnology (T\_15C)
- (4) Nuclear energy (T\_15E)
- (5) Robot technology (T\_15F)
- (6) Wind energy (T\_15G)
- (7) Genetic Engineering (T\_15H)

How positive or negative will the effect of the following technologies be for our life in the future?

Scale: (1) very positive effect (11) very negative effect

- (1) Solar energy (T\_16A)
- (2) IT- and computer technology (T\_16B)
- (3) Nanotechnology (T\_16C)
- (4) Nuclear energy (T\_16E)
- (5) Robot technology (T\_16F)
- (6) Wind energy (T\_16G)
- (7) Genetic Engineering (T\_16H)

#### Section 4: Nanotechnology

What do you associate with the term 'Nanotechnology'? (N\_1)

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits and risks of nanotechnology?

Scale: (1) very great (2) rather great (3) moderate (4) rather small (5) very small

- (1) Benefits (N\_2)
- (2) Risks (N\_3)

Which areas of application for nanotechnology do you know?

*[Multiple responses allowed]*

Scale: (1) quoted (2) unquoted

- (1) Medicine (N\_16A)
- (2) Textiles (N\_16B)
- (3) Food (N\_16C)
- (4) Paint and varnish (N\_16D)
- (5) Cosmetics (N\_16E)
- (6) Electronics (N\_16G)
- (7) Others: (N\_16F) [Mention (N\_16S)]
- (8) I don't know any applications. (N\_16Z)

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

Scale: (1) completely agree (2) rather agree (3) neither agree nor disagree (4) rather disagree (5) completely disagree

Nanotechnology...

- (1) ... is important to solve present problems (N\_4)
- (2) ... promotes the economic development. (N\_5)
- (3) ... helps protecting the environment. (N\_6)
- (4) ... creates more jobs than it endangers. (N\_7)
- (5) ... is connected with health risks. (N\_8)
- (6) ... should be supported by public finances. (N\_9)
- (7) ... should be regulated by law. (N\_10)
- (8) ... interests me a lot. (N\_11)
- (9) ... makes me feel uneasy. (N\_12)
- (10)... mainly benefits large companies. (N\_13)
- (11) ... -products are products I would use. (N\_14)
- (12) ... is mainly a marketing-trick to sell more products. (N\_15)

## Section 5: Selected other technologies

*Note: The questions below were presented for up to four different technologies:*

*Solar energy*

*IT and computer technology*

*Nuclear energy*

*Robot technology*

*Wind energy*

*Genetic Engineering*

*Thereby, [technology] was replaced with the selected technology.*

What do you associate with the term '[technology]'? (SO\_1; IT\_1; NU\_1; RO\_1; WI\_1; GE\_1)

All in all, how do you evaluate the benefits and risks of [technology]?

Scale: (1) very great (2) rather great (3) moderate (4) rather small (5) very small

- (1) Benefits (SO\_2; IT\_2; NU\_2; RO\_2; WI\_2; GE\_2)
- (2) Risks (SO\_3; IT\_3; NU\_3; RO\_3; WI\_3; GE\_3)

In what extent do you agree with the following statements?

Scale: (1) completely agree (2) rather agree (3) neither agree nor disagree (4) rather disagree (5) completely disagree

[Technology]...

- (1) ... is important to solve present problems (SO\_4; IT\_4; BI\_4; NU\_4; RO\_4; WI\_4; GE\_4)
- (2) ... promotes the economic development. (SO\_5; IT\_5; BI\_5; NU\_5; RO\_5; WI\_5; GE\_5)
- (3) ... helps protecting the environment. (SO\_6; IT\_6; BI\_6; NU\_6; RO\_6; WI\_6; GE\_6)
- (4) ... creates more jobs than it endangers. (SO\_7; IT\_7; BI\_7; NU\_7; RO\_7; WI\_7; GE\_7)
- (5) ... is connected with health risks. (SO\_8; IT\_8; BI\_8; NU\_8; RO\_8; WI\_8; GE\_8)
- (6) ... should be supported by public finances. (SO\_9; IT\_9; BI\_9; NU\_9; RO\_9; WI\_9; GE\_9)
- (7) ... should be regulated by law. (SO\_10; IT\_10; BI\_10; NU\_10; RO\_10; WI\_10; GE\_10)
- (8) ... interests me a lot. (SO\_11; IT\_11; BI\_11; NU\_11; RO\_11; WI\_11; GE\_11)
- (9) ... makes me feel uneasy. (SO\_12; IT\_12; BI\_12; NU\_12; RO\_12; WI\_12; GE\_12)
- (10)... mainly benefits large companies. (SO\_13; IT\_13; BI\_13; NU\_13; RO\_13; WI\_13; GE\_13)

## Section 6: Visual Perception of Monuments

What do you associate with monument protection? (D\_1)

During restoration work the historic building was cleaned. Please take a look at the before and after pictures.

Before

After



To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

On historic buildings I find...

- (1) ...the soiling of the facade unappealing.
- (2) ...damages on the facade unappealing.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree

During restoration work the historic building was cleaned. Please take a look at the before and after pictures.

Before

After



To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

The cleaned facade of the historic building...

- (1) ...I find more appealing than the old condition of the building.
- (2) ...enhances the attraction of the building for tourists.
- (3) ...should be preserved as long as possible through the application of protectives.
- (4) ...enhances the cultural value of the building.
- (5) ...looks less authentic than the old condition of the building.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree



To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

- (1) The original building material of historic monuments should be maintained as far as possible.
- (2) Damaged parts of historic monuments may be replaced by new material.
- (3) Historic monuments are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.
- (4) Historic monuments should not be entirely cleaned to maintain the impression of an old/historic building.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree

Please take a look at the picture of the sculptures.



To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

- (1) ...the soiling of sculptures unappealing.
- (2) ...damaged sculptures unappealing.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree

Please take a look at the picture of the sculptures.





To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

- (1) The new sculptures look more attractive than the aged sculptures.
- (2) The contrast between the new and the aged sculptures is irritating.
- (3) The aged sculptures look more authentic.
- (4) On historic buildings sculptures should be replaced with copies, to ensure their long-term preservation (in museums or in a protected environment).
- (5) Damaged sculptures should be restored to recreate their original condition.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree

Please take a look at the picture of the modern building. To what extent do you agree with the following statements?



On modern monuments I find...

- (1) ...the soiling of the facade unappealing.
- (2) ...damages on the facade unappealing.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree

To what extent do you agree with the following statements?

Modern monuments...

- (1) ...are as attractive for tourists as historic buildings.
- (2) ...are less attractive for tourists when they are soiled or show damages.
- (3) ...should always look “as good as new”.

Scale: (1) completely agree – (5) completely disagree

## Section 7: Monument protection

How often do you visit a historical monument in your leisure time, on weekends or during vacations?

Scale: (1) almost always (2) frequently (3) occasionally (4) rarely (5) almost never

- (1) On weekends or in your leisure time (D\_2A)
- (2) During vacations (D\_2B)
- (3) On other occasions (D\_2C) [Mention (D\_2AS)]

To what extent do you agree with the following statements regarding monument protection?

Scale: (1) completely agree (2) rather agree (3) neither agree nor disagree (4) rather disagree (5) completely disagree

- (1) Buildings are only protected as monuments if their preservation is of general cultural importance. (D\_3)
- (2) In [country] we do enough for monument protection. (D\_4)
- (3) The preservation of protected monuments creates cultural identity. (D\_5)
- (4) The preservation of protected monuments promotes tourism. (D\_6)
- (5) Monument protection is an important social duty. (D\_7)
- (6) Extraordinary modern buildings deserve to be protected (as monuments) as well. (D\_8)
- (7) Newly renovated historical buildings are very appealing. (D\_9)
- (8) Monument protection undermines the interests of private real estate owners. (D\_10)
- (9) Historical monuments should look as old as they actually are. (D\_11)
- (10) Damages on buildings which are protected as monuments should be repaired. (D\_12)
- (11) The regulations for monument protection are too strict. (D\_13)
- (12) Monument protection should be supported by public finances. (D\_14)
- (13) We should rely on traditional methods in monument protection.
- (14) New technologies are useful for monument protection.

## Section 8: Tourism

In your opinion, how important are the following aspects when visiting a protected monument?

Scale: (1) very important (2) rather important (3) neither important nor unimportant (4) rather unimportant (5) very unimportant

- (1) Free guided tours (TO\_1)
- (2) Meaningful information flyers (TO\_2)
- (3) Good condition of the monument (TO\_3)
- (4) Souvenir booths (TO\_4)
- (5) Professionally guided tours (TO\_5)
- (6) Restaurants in the near surrounding (TO\_6)
- (7) Clean external fronts (TO\_7)
- (8) Repair of damages caused by the age of the monument (TO\_8)
- (9) Reconstruction of the previous state of the monument (TO\_9)
- (10) Limited number of visitors (TO\_10)
- (11) 'Day of the open monument' (TO\_11)
- (12) Welcoming surrounding (TO\_12)

## Section 9: Other

Which party would you vote for if general elections were held next week? (Z\_4)

How strongly connected do you feel to ...?

Scale: (1) Very strong (2) rather strong (3) partly (4) rather weak (5) very weak

- (1) ... your community (Z\_16)
- (2) ... your federal state (Z\_17)
- (3) ... [country] [Z\_18]
- (4) ... Europe [Z\_19]

How often do you normally go to church? (Z\_5)

- (1) Never
- (2) Once a year
- (3) Several times a year
- (4) Once a month
- (5) Two to three times a month
- (6) Once or several times a week

Including yourself, how many people live within your household? (Z\_6)

Are you currently employed? (Z\_9)

- (1) Full-time employment
- (2) Part-time employment
- (3) In training (Student/ in retraining)
- (4) Currently unemployed
- (5) Retiree, pensioner
- (6) Not employed (Housewife/housemen)

Marital status [What is your current marital status?]: (Z\_8)

- (1) Single
- (2) Married
- (3) Divorced
- (4) Widowed

How many old historical buildings are there in you city or community? (Z\_10)

- (1) A great many
- (2) Rather many
- (3) Rather few
- (4) No historical buildings at all

How many people live in your community? (Z\_11)

- (1) Under 5.000
- (2) 5.001-25.000
- (3) 25.000-100.000
- (4) 100.001-500.000
- (5) Over 500.000

In which federal state do you have your principal residence? (Z\_12)

# Questionnaire for Survey at Public Workshops

- **Vienna:** 21. September 2017
- **Ghent:** 24. October 2017
- **Pisa:** 1. December 2017
- **Vitoria-Gasteiz:** 25. – 26. January 2018
- **Cologne:** 15. March 2018





# Nano-Cathedral

## Befragung

## Workshop Wien - Stephansdom

Präsentation der Laborergebnisse und erste Tests am Dom  
Praktische Beispiele, Anwendung und Auswertung

Donnerstag, 21. September 2017





BACES  
Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg  
Feldkirchenstraße 21  
96045 Bamberg  
Tel.: +49 (0)951 863 2612  
Fax.:+49 (0)951 35996  
Email: [info@baces.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:info@baces.uni-bamberg.de)

### Wie bewerten Sie folgende Aspekte des Workshops in Wien zum EU-Projekt „Nano-Cathedral“?

	hervorragend	sehr gut	gut	mittelmäßig	schlecht
Die Veranstaltung insgesamt	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Organisation der Veranstaltung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Ausstattung des Veranstaltungsraums	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Den Zeitrahmen der Veranstaltung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Auswahl der Referenten	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Vorträge und Diskussionen	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie stehen Sie persönlich "Neuen Technologien" gegenüber?

sehr positiv											sehr negativ
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie schätzen Sie die Einstellung von Restauratoren und Handwerkern im Bereich Denkmalpflege gegenüber "Neuen Technologien" ein?

sehr positiv											sehr negativ
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie viel haben Sie über Nanotechnologie schon gehört oder gelesen?

sehr viel	eher viel	eher wenig	sehr wenig	gar nichts
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie schätzen Sie alles in allem den Nutzen bzw. die Risiken der Nanotechnologie ein?

	sehr groß	eher groß	mittelmäßig	eher klein	sehr klein
Nutzen	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Risiken	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Welche Anwendungsgebiete von Nanotechnologien sind Ihnen bekannt?

Mehrfachnennungen möglich

- ☐ Medikamente
 ☐ Lacke und Farben  
☐ Textilien
 ☐ Kosmetika  
☐ Lebensmittel
 ☐ Ich kenne keine Anwendungsbereiche  
☐ Andere Produkte und zwar:

**Wie sehr stimmen Sie folgenden Aussagen zu?  
Nanotechnologie ...**

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
... fördert die wirtschaftliche Entwicklung.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... -Produkte würde ich nutzen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... ist ein Marketing-Gag, um Produkte besser zu verkaufen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... hilft, die Umwelt zu schützen und Umweltschäden zu beheben.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... schafft mehr Arbeitsplätze als sie vernichtet.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... ist mit gesundheitlichen Risiken verbunden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... soll durch öffentliche Finanzmittel gefördert werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... bereitet mir ein ungutes Gefühl.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... interessiert mich stark.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... ist wichtig zur Lösung gegenwärtiger Probleme.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... nutzt vor allem den großen Unternehmen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... muss durch Gesetze reguliert werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Wie sehr stimmen Sie den folgenden Aussagen zum Thema Denkmalpflege zu?**

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
Es werden nur Gebäude unter Denkmalschutz gestellt, deren Erhalt im kulturellen Interesse ist.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Für den Erhalt von historischen Gebäuden wird hierzulande genug getan.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Denkmalgeschützte Bauten stiften kulturelle Identität.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Neue Technologien bringen die Denkmalpflege voran.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Denkmalschutz ist eine wichtige gesellschaftliche Aufgabe.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Herausragende moderne Gebäude verdienen es unter (Denkmal-)schutz gestellt zu werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie stark stimmen Sie den folgenden Aussagen zum Thema Denkmalpflege zu?

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
Denkmalschutz steht häufig im Konflikt mit den Interessen von privaten Immobilienbesitzern.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Historischen Gebäuden muss man ihr Alter ansehen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schäden an Gebäuden die unter Denkmalschutz stehen, sollen behoben werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Auflagen des Denkmalschutzes sind zu streng.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Denkmalschutz soll durch öffentliche Finanzmittel gefördert werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In der Denkmalpflege sollte man sich auf traditionelle Methoden verlassen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Der Erhalt von historischen Gebäuden fördert den Tourismus.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bürgerbeteiligung im Bereich Denkmalschutz ist wichtig.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Welche Rolle wird Nanotechnologie Ihrer Meinung nach in der Zukunft im Bereich Denkmalschutz und Denkmalpflege spielen?

Falls Sie bereits Mittel Festigungs- oder Schutzmittel zur Steinkonservierung verwendet haben, bitten wir Sie auch die folgenden Fragen zu beantworten.

Ansonsten fahren Sie bitte auf der letzten Seite fort.

**Wie zufrieden sind Sie alles in allem mit den Ihnen derzeit zur Verfügung stehenden ...?**

	sehr zufrieden	eher zufrieden	teils/teils	eher unzufrieden	sehr unzufrieden
Festigungsmitteln	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schutzmitteln	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Wie stark werden sich die Produkte zur Festigung und zum Schutz ihrer Meinung nach in Bezug auf die Anwendung und die Wirkung verbessern?**

	sehr zufrieden	stark	etwas	gar nicht
Anwendung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Wirkung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Wie haben sich die Preise der einzelnen Produktreihen in den letzten Jahren verändert?**

	stark gestiegen	etwas gestiegen	gleich geblieben	etwas gesunken	stark gesunken
Festigungsmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schutzmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Und wie glauben Sie werden sich die Preise der einzelnen Produktreihen in den kommenden Jahren entwickeln? Die Preise werden ...**

	stark steigen	etwas steigen	gleich bleiben	etwas sinken	stark sinken
Festigungsmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schutzmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Inwieweit stimmen Sie folgenden Aussagen zur Steinbehandlung zu?

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
Der Einsatz chemischer Mittel zur Festigung der Steine ist unerlässlich.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Der Einsatz chemischer Mittel zum Schutz der Steine ist unerlässlich.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Industrie bietet eine breite Palette von Produkten für die Steinbehandlung.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Industrie bietet eine Palette von ausgereiften Produkten für die Steinbehandlung.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Haben Sie im Bereich der Steinkonservierung bereits Produkte auf Nanobasis verwendet?

☐ Ja, und zwar:

☐ Nein

☐ Weiß ich nicht

### Wie würden Sie die Wirksamkeit von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich effektiver ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ deutlich ineffektiver

### Wie würden Sie die Risiken von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten im Hinblick auf die Sicherheit für Ihre Mitarbeiter bei der Anwendung einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich risikoärmer ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ deutlich risikoreicher

### Wie würden Sie die Risiken von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten im Hinblick auf die Beschädigung des Bauwerks einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich risikoärmer ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ deutlich risikoreicher

### Wie würden Sie die Kosten von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich kostengünstiger ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ deutlich teurer

**Angaben zur Person****Welche höchste berufliche Qualifikation haben Sie?****In welchem Beruf arbeiten Sie momentan?****Wie viele Jahre Berufserfahrung haben Sie?**

- ☐ weniger als ein Jahr    ☐ elf bis 20 Jahre
- ☐ ein bis drei Jahre    ☐ mehr als 20 Jahre
- ☐ vier bis zehn Jahre

**Welches Geschlecht haben Sie?**

- ☐ Männlich    ☐ Weiblich

**In welchem Jahr sind Sie geboren?**

--	--	--	--

Falls Sie weitere Fragen oder Bemerkungen zum Thema oder zum Workshop haben, notieren Sie diese bitte hier:

**Herzlichen Dank für Ihre Teilnahme!**



# Nano-Cathedral

## Nano-Cathedral Workshop Gent

24 Oktober 2017





BACES  
Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg  
Feldkirchenstraße 21  
96045 Bamberg  
Tel.: +49 (0)951 863 2612  
Fax.: +49 (0)951 35996  
Email: [info@baces.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:info@baces.uni-bamberg.de)

### Hoe zou u volgende aspecten van de workshop in Gent beoordelen?

	excellent	heel goed	goed	middelmatig	slecht
De algemene indruk over de workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
De organisatie van de workshop	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Het tijdsbestek	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
De presentaties en discussies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Hoe staat u persoonlijk tegenover nieuw ontwikkelde technologieën?

erg positief erg negatief

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

### En hoe denkt u dat restaurateurs en vakmensen staan tegenover nieuw ontwikkelde technologieën?

erg positief erg negatief

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

### Hoe vaak hebt u al gehoord van nanotechnologie?

erg vaak ☐      eerder regelmatig ☐      eerder weinig ☐      erg weinig ☐      nog nooit ☐

### Hoe beoordeelt u de voordelen en risico's van nanotechnologie?

	zeer groot	eerder groot	gemiddeld	eerder beperkt	zeer beperkt
Voordeel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Risico's	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Welke toepassing van nanotechnologie kent u?

*Mehrfachnennungen möglich*

- ☐ Geneesmiddelen      ☐ Cosmetics  
☐ Textiel      ☐ Ik ken geen toepassingen  
☐ Voedsel      ☐ Electronica  
☐ Verven en vernissen      ☐ Andere:

### In welke mate gaat u akkoord met volgende stellingen? Nanotechnologie ...

	volledig akkoord	eerder akkoord	neutraal	eerder niet akkoord	helemaal niet akkoord
... heeft een positieve impact op onze economische ontwikkeling.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... leidt tot producten die ik zou gebruiken.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... is voornamelijk een marketingtruc met als doel meer producten te verkopen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... kan bijdragen aan de bescherming van het milieu en het beperken van milieuschade.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... draagt bij aan het creëren van nieuwe arbeidsplaatsen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... houdt gezondheidsrisico's in.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... zou ondersteund moeten worden met belastingsgeld.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...wekt mijn bezorgdheid.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... wekt mijn interesse.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... is erg belangrijk om onze huidige problemen op te lossen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... komt vooral grote firma's ten goede.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... zou bij wet moeten geregeld zijn.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### In welke mate gaat u akkoord met volgende uitspraken wanneer het over de bescherming van monumenten gaat?

	volledig akkoord	eerder akkoord	neutraal	eerder niet akkoord	helemaal niet akkoord
Gebouwen zijn enkel als monument beschermd wanneer hun behoud van algemeen cultureel belang is	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In België doen we genoeg aangaande het behoud en de bescherming van monumenten.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Het behoud van beschermde monumenten draagt bij aan een culturele identiteit.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Nieuwe technologieën zijn zinvol bij de bescherming van monumenten.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Het beschermen van monumenten is een belangrijke sociale plicht.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bijzondere moderne gebouwen zouden ook als monument beschermd moeten worden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**In welke mate gaat u akkoord met volgende uitspraken wanneer het over de bescherming van monumenten gaat?**

	volledig akkoord	eerder akkoord	neutraal	eerder niet akkoord	helemaal niet akkoord
De bescherming van monumenten treedt in conflict met de belangen van private eigenaars.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Historische gebouwen zouden er zo oud moeten uitzien als ze werkelijk zijn.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Beschadigingen aan beschermd monumenten zouden ten alle tijde hersteld moeten worden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
De regelgeving aangaande de bescherming van monumenten is te strikt.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
De bescherming van monumenten moet gefinancierd worden met overheidsmiddelen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Publieksparticipatie is erg belangrijk bij het omgaan met en beschermen van erfgoed.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Het behoud van beschermd monumenten komt het toerisme ten goede.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
We moeten ons beroepen op traditionele werkwijzen bij werken aan beschermd gebouwen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Hoe ziet u de rol van nanotechnologie in de toekomstige bescherming van ons erfgoed?**

Indien u reeds steenverstevigende en beschermende producten heeft gebruikt voor de conservatie van natuursteen, willen we u vragen om volgende vragen te beantwoorden.

Zoniet, gelieve verder te gaan op de volgende pagina.

### Hoe tevreden bent u over de momenteel beschikbare ... ?

	zeer tevreden	eerder tevreden	neutraal	eerder ontevreden	helemaal niet tevreden
Steenverstevigers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Beschermende producten	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### In hoeverre zullen, volgens u, de kwaliteit van steenverstevigers en beschermende producten inzake toepassing en effectiviteit in de toekomst verbeteren?

	zeer grote verbetering	grote verbetering	matige verbetering	geen verbetering
Toepassing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Effectiviteit	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Hoe is de prijs van volgende produkten de voorbije jaren geëvolueerd?

	grote prijsstoe name	kleine prijsstoe name	geen verandering	lichte prijsdaling	grote prijsdaling
Steenverstevigers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Beschermende producten	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Hoe denkt u dat de prijs van de volgende producten de komende jaren zal evolueren?

	grote prijsstoe name	kleine prijsstoe name	geen verandering	lichte prijsdaling	grote prijsdaling
Steenverstevigers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Beschermende produkten	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### In hoeverre kan u akkoord gaan met volgende uitspraken aangaande steenbehandeling?

	volledig akkoord	eerder akkoord	neutraal	eerder niet akkoord	helemaal niet akkoord
De toepassing van chemische producten is noodzakelijk voor het verstevigen van natuursteen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
De toepassing van chemische producten is noodzakelijk voor de bescherming van natuursteen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Er is een groot gamma aan producten voor natuursteenconservatie beschikbaar.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Heeft u reeds nano-producten gebruikt voor natuursteenconservatie?

☐ Ja, de volgende:

☐ Neen

☐ Ik weet het niet

### Hoe zou u de doeltreffendheid van nano-producten inschatten in vergelijking met conventionele producten? Nano-producten zijn ...

beduidend meer doeltreffend					beduidend minder doeltreffend
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Hoe zou u de gevaren voor de gezondheid en veiligheid voor restaurateurs/gebruikers inschatten in vergelijking met conventionele producten? Nano-producten zijn ...

beduidend meer risico					beduidend minder risico
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Hoe zou u het risico op schade aan de behandelde gebouwen inschatten in vergelijking met conventionele producten? Nano-producten zijn ...

beduidend meer risico					beduidend minder risico
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Hoe zou u de kostprijs van nano-producten inschatten in vergelijking met conventionele producten? Nano-producten zijn ...

beduidend goedkoper					beduidend duurder
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Persoonlijke informatie****Wat is uw hoogst behaalde functieniveau op professioneel vlak?****Wat is uw huidige job?****Hoeveel jaren werkervaring heeft u?**

- ☐ minder dan een jaar    ☐ elf tot twintig jaar
- ☐ één tot drie jaar    ☐ meer dan 20 jaar
- ☐ vier tot tien jaar


**Wat is uw geslacht?**

- ☐ Man    ☐ Vrouw

**In welk jaar bent u geboren?**

--	--	--	--

**Indien u nog verdere bedenkingen, opmerkingen of vragen heeft aangaande de workshop, kan u deze hier noteren:****Hartelijk dank voor uw deelname!**



# Sondaggio

## Conferenza

„Conservazione e restauro del materiale lapideo  
in Piazza dei Miracoli:  
l’esperienza del progetto Nanocathedral (EU Project 646178)“  
e visita guidata in Piazza dei Miracoli

## Pisa

1 Dicembre 2017





BACES  
Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg  
Feldkirchenstraße 21  
96045 Bamberg  
Tel.: +49 (0)951 863 2612  
Fax.:+49 (0)951 35996  
Email: [info@baces.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:info@baces.uni-bamberg.de)

### Come valuta i seguenti aspetti della Conferenza di Pisa?

	eccellente	molto buono	buono	discreto	scarso
Impressione generale della Conferenza	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Organizzazione della Conferenza	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Durata	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Presentazioni e discussioni	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Quanto si sente positivo o negativo nei confronti delle "Nuove Tecnologie"?

molto positivo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	molto negativo
-------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

### Esprima la percezione dei restauratori verso le "Nuove Tecnologie":

molto positivo	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	molto negativo
-------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------

### Quanto ha sentito o letto riguardo alle nanotecnologie?

molto	abbastanza	non molto	molto poco	per nulla
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Nel complesso, come percepisce i benefici e i rischi delle nanotecnologie?

	molto grande	abbastanza grande	moderatamente	abbastanza piccolo	molto piccolo
Benefici	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Rischi	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### In che ambiti pensa che si possano applicare le nanotecnologie?

[Sono permesse risposte multiple.]

- ☐ Medicina
 ☐ Cosmesi
- ☐ Tessile
 ☐ Elettronica
- ☐ Alimentazione
 ☐ Non conosco nessuna applicazione possibile
- ☐ Vernici e smalti
 ☐ Altro:

**In che misura è d'accordo con le seguenti affermazioni?  
Le nanotecnologie...**

	completamente d'accordo	abbastanza d'accordo	non completamente d'accordo	abbastanza in disaccordo	completamente in disaccordo
...hanno un impatto positivo sullo sviluppo economico.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...sono prodotti che userei.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...è solo un trucco del mercato per vendere più prodotti.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...aiutano a proteggere l'ambiente e a ridurre i rischi ambientali.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...creano più posti di lavoro di quanti non ne mettano in pericolo.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...è connessa con dei rischi per la salute.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...dovrebbe essere supportata dal finanziamento pubblico.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...mi mette a disagio.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...sono molto interessato.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...sono utili a risolvere i problemi contemporanei.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...sono utili principalmente alle grandi industrie.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...dovrebbero essere regolata per legge.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**In che misura è d'accordo con le seguenti affermazioni riguardanti la protezione dei monumenti?**

	completamente d'accordo	abbastanza d'accordo	non completamente d'accordo	abbastanza in disaccordo	completamente in disaccordo
Vanno protetti come monumenti solo gli edifici la cui preservazione è di importanza pubblica.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In Italia facciamo abbastanza per proteggere i monumenti.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La preservazione dei monumenti crea identità culturale.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Le nuove tecnologie sono utili per la protezione dei monumenti.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La protezione dei monumenti è un dovere sociale.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Anche gli edifici moderni vanno considerati di interesse culturale e in quanto tali protetti.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### In che misura è d'accordo con le seguenti affermazioni riguardanti la protezione dei monumenti?

	completamente d'accordo	abbastanza d'accordo	non completamente d'accordo	abbastanza in disaccordo	completamente in disaccordo
La tutela dei monumenti arreca un danno agli interessi del proprietario dell'immobile privato.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I monumenti storici dovrebbero mantenere il loro aspetto originale e apparire tanto antichi	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I danni subiti dagli edifici protetti come monumenti dovrebbero essere riparati.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Le normative sulla protezione dei monumenti sono troppo stringenti.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La protezione dei monumenti dovrebbe essere supportata dal finanziamento pubblico.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Il coinvolgimento dei cittadini è importante nelle strategie decisionali relative alla protezione e salvaguardia dei Beni Culturali.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La preservazione dei monumenti promuove il turismo.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dovremmo continuare ad applicare i metodi tradizionali per la protezione dei monumenti.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Come vede il ruolo delle nanotecnologie nel futuro della protezione del patrimonio culturale?

Nel caso in cui abbia già utilizzato consolidanti o protettivi per la conservazione della pietra, risponda alle domande seguenti.

Altrimenti proceda all'ultima pagina.

### Quanto è soddisfatto della versione attualmente disponibile di...?

	molto soddisfatto				molto insoddisfatto	
Consolidanti	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protettivi	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Secondo lei, quanto migliorerà la qualità dei consolidanti e dei protettivi rispetto alla loro applicazione ed efficacia?

	miglioramenti molto forti	forti miglioramenti	piccoli miglioramenti	nessun miglioramento
Applicazione	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Efficacia	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Come si è evoluto il prezzo dei seguenti prodotti negli ultimi anni?

	forte aumento	debole aumento	invariato	leggero calo	forte calo
Consolidanti	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protettivi	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### E come pensa che si evolverà il prezzo dei seguenti prodotti nei prossimi anni?

	forte aumento	debole aumento	invariato	leggero calo	forte calo
Consolidanti	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protettivi	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### In quale misura accetta le seguenti affermazioni relative al trattamento della pietra?

	completamente d'accordo			completamente in disaccordo		
L'applicazione di prodotti chimici è essenziale per il consolidamento della pietra.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
L'applicazione di prodotti chimici è essenziale per la protezione della pietra.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
L'industria offre una vasta gamma di prodotti per il trattamento della pietra.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Ha già usato prodotti a base di nanoparticelle per la conservazione della pietra?

☐ Sì, il seguente:

☐ No

☐ Non lo so

### Come valuta l'efficacia dei prodotti a base di nanoparticelle rispetto ai prodotti convenzionali?

I prodotti a base di nanoparticelle sono....

significativamente più efficaci				significativamente meno efficaci
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Come valuta i rischi dei prodotti a base di nanoparticelle per la sicurezza dei restauratori / utenti rispetto ai prodotti convenzionali?

I prodotti a base di nanoparticelle sono ...

significativamente più rischiosi				significativamente meno rischiosi
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Come valuta il rischio che i prodotti a base di nanoparticelle possano danneggiare gli edifici rispetto ai prodotti convenzionali?

I prodotti a base di nanoparticelle sono...

significativamente più rischiosi				significativamente meno rischiosi
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Come valuta i costi dei prodotti a base di nanoparticelle rispetto ai prodotti convenzionali?

I prodotti a base di nanoparticelle sono ...

significativamente più economici				significativamente più costosi
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Dati Personali****Qual è la sua più alta qualifica professionale?****Quale lavoro svolge attualmente?****Quanti anni di esperienza lavorativa ha?**

- ☐ meno di un anno      ☐ da undici a venti anni
- ☐ da uno a tre anni      ☐ più di venti anni
- ☐ da quattro a dieci anni

**Indica il tuo genere:**

- ☐ Uomo      ☐ Donna

**Indica il tuo anno di nascita:**

--	--	--	--

**Se ha ulteriori commenti o domande sulla conferenza, la preghiamo di annotarli qui di seguito:****Grazie per la sua partecipazione al sondaggio!**



# Nano-Cathedral

## **Cuestionario**

### **4º Taller del Proyecto ‘Nano-Cathedral’ Vitoria – Gasteiz**

25 – 26 de enero de 2018





BACES  
Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg  
Feldkirchenstraße 21  
96045 Bamberg  
Tel.: +49 (0)951 863 2612  
Fax.:+49 (0)951 35996  
Email: [nano.cathedral@baces.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:nano.cathedral@baces.uni-bamberg.de)

¿Cómo puntuaría usted los siguientes aspectos en torno al Taller realizado en Vitoria?

	excelente	muy bueno/a	bueno/a	moderado/a	malo/a
Impresión general del taller	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Organización del taller	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Franja horaria	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Las presentaciones y debates	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Apoya usted la tecnología?

Personalmente, ¿cree usted que las nuevas tecnologías son positivas, o negativas?

muy positiva										muy negativa
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Y, ¿cómo valoraría usted la impresión que tienen los restauradores hacia las 'nuevas tecnologías'?

muy positiva										muy negativa
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Cuánto ha escuchado usted, o leído, a cerca de la nanotecnología?

bastante		mucho		no mucho		poco		nada
<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>

En general, ¿cómo evalúa usted los beneficios y riesgos de la nanotecnología?

	bastantes	muchos	moderados	pocos	muy pocos
Beneficios	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Riesgos	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Qué áreas de aplicación nano tecnológica conoce?

[Elija las opciones que considere]

- ☐ Medicina
 ☐ Cosméticos
- ☐ Textiles
 ☐ Electrónica
- ☐ Alimenticia
 ☐ No conozco ninguna aplicación
- ☐ Pintura y barnices
 ☐ Otros. Mencionalo:

**¿Hasta qué punto está usted de acuerdo con las siguientes afirmaciones?**  
**La nanotecnología...**

	completamente de acuerdo	de acuerdo	imparcial	en desacuerdo	totalmente en desacuerdo
...tiene un efecto positivo en el desarrollo económico.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...y sus productos son los que yo usaría.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...es principalmente un truco de mercado para vender más productos.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...ayuda a proteger el Medioambiente y corrige los daños causados a éste.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...crea más puestos de empleo de los que destruye.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...está conectada con riesgos para la salud.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...debería estar financiada por medios públicos.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...me hace sentir incómodo/a.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...me interesa bastante.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...es importante para resolver problemas actuales.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...beneficia únicamente a grandes empresas.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
...debería de estar regulada por ley.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Teniendo en cuenta la protección Monumental,**  
**¿hasta qué punto está usted de acuerdo con las siguientes afirmaciones?**

	completamente de acuerdo	de acuerdo	imparcial	en desacuerdo	totalmente en desacuerdo
Los edificios están bajo la protección de Monumentos únicamente si su conservación es de importancia cultural general.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
En España sí se hace lo suficiente para proteger Monumentos.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La conservación de Monumentos protegidos crea una identidad cultural.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Las nuevas tecnologías son útiles para la protección monumental.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La protección de Monumentos es un deber social.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Los edificios modernos extraordinarios también deberían de ser protegidos.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Teniendo en cuenta la protección Monumental,  
¿hasta qué punto está usted de acuerdo con las siguientes afirmaciones?**

	completamente de acuerdo	de acuerdo	imparcial	en desacuerdo	totalmente en desacuerdo
La protección de los Monumentos perjudica los intereses de los dueños de Bienes privados.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Los Monumentos históricos deberían de parecer tan viejos como realmente son.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Los daños sobre edificios protegidos como Monumentos deberían de ser reparados.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
El Reglamento para la protección de Monumentos es demasiado estricto.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La protección de Monumentos debería de estar financiada por medios públicos.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La participación ciudadana es importante en el campo de la protección patrimonial.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La conservación de Monumentos protegidos promueve el turismo.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La protección monumental debería de apoyarse en métodos tradicionales de protección.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**¿Cómo ve usted el futuro de la nanotecnología en cuestión de protección cultural?**

En el caso de que usted ya haya utilizado consolidantes y protectores para conservación de piedra, por favor, conteste a las siguientes preguntas.

Si no los ha utilizado, continúe con la siguiente página.

¿Hasta qué punto está usted satisfecho con los \_\_\_\_\_ disponibles actualmente?

	muy satisfecho				muy insatisfecho
Consolidantes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protectores	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

En su opinion, ¿Hasta qué punto podría mejorar la calidad de consolidantes y protectores, con respecto a la aplicación y eficacia?

	bastantes mejoras	muchas mejoras	algunas mejoras	ninguna mejora
Aplicación	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Eficacia	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Hasta qué punto ha cambiado el precio de los siguientes productos, con los años?

	altamente	ligeramente	se ha mantenido igual	poca reducción	bastante reducción
Consolidantes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protectores	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Cómo cree que cambiará el precio de los siguientes productos en los próximos años?

	un gran aumento	un ligero aumento	no cambiará	bajará moderadamente	bajará bastante
Consolidantes	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protectores	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Hasta qué punto está usted de acuerdo con las siguientes afirmaciones acerca de tratamientos pétreos?

	completamente de acuerdo				totalmente en desacuerdo
La aplicación de productos químicos es esencial para la consolidación de piedra.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La aplicación de productos químicos es esencial para la protección de piedra.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
La industria ofrece un gran rango de productos para el tratamiento de piedras.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

¿Ha usado usted nano-productos para conservación pétreo?

☐ Si, los siguientes:

☐ No

☐ No lo sé

¿Como puntuaría la eficacia de los nano-productos en comparación con los convencionales? Los nano-productos son...

significativamente  
más efectivos

☐
☐
☐
☐

significativamente  
menos efectivos

☐

¿Cómo puntuaría los riesgos para la salud de los nano-productos para los restauradores / usuarios en comparación con los convencionales? Los nano-productos son...

significativamente  
muy peligrosos

☐
☐
☐
☐

significativamente  
poco peligrosos

☐

¿Cómo puntuaría los riesgos de daño a edificios mediante nano-productos en comparación con los convencionales? Los nano-productos son...

significativamente  
muy peligrosos

☐
☐
☐
☐

significativamente  
poco peligrosos

☐

¿Cómo valoraría usted los costes de nano-productos frente a los productos convencionales? Los nano-productos son...

significativamente  
más baratos

☐
☐
☐
☐

significativamente  
más caros

☐

¿Cómo determinaría usted la carga de trabajo durante la aplicación con nano-productos frente a la aportada con los productos convencionales? Los nano productos son...

significativamente más  
fáciles de aplicar

☐
☐
☐
☐

significativamente más  
complicados de aplicar

☐

¿Se imagina usted utilizando productos 'Nano-Cathedral'?

☐ Si

☐ Posiblemente

☐ No

¿Por qué se imagina usted, o no, utilizando productos del proyecto 'Nano-Cathedral'?

**Detalles personales****¿Cuál es su cualificación profesional más alta?****¿A qué se dedica usted actualmente?****¿Cuántos años de experiencia laboral tiene?**

- ☐ menos de un año      ☐ de once a veinte años
- ☐ de uno a tres años      ☐ más de veinte años
- ☐ de cuatro a diez años

**Género:**

- ☐ Hombre      ☐ Mujer

**¿Cuál es su fecha de nacimiento?**

--	--	--	--

**Si tiene algún comentario o pregunta en torno al Taller, por favor anótelo aquí:****¡Gracias por su participación en la encuesta!**



# Nano-Cathedral

## Befragung

### Workshop in der Kölner Dombauhütte

Vorstellung von Forschungsergebnissen  
des EU-Projekts “Nano-Cathedral“

15. März 2018





BACES  
Otto-Friedrich Universität Bamberg  
Feldkirchenstraße 21  
96045 Bamberg  
Tel.: +49 (0)951 863 2612  
Fax.: +49 (0)951 35996  
Email: [nano.cathedral@baces.uni-bamberg.de](mailto:nano.cathedral@baces.uni-bamberg.de)

### Wie bewerten Sie folgende Aspekte des Workshops in Köln zum EU-Projekt „Nano-Cathedral“?

	hervorragend	sehr gut	gut	mittelmäßig	schlecht
Die Veranstaltung insgesamt	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Organisation der Veranstaltung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Ausstattung des Veranstaltungsraums	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Den Zeitrahmen der Veranstaltung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Auswahl der Referenten	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Vorträge und Diskussionen	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie stehen Sie persönlich neuen Technologien gegenüber?

sehr positiv	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	sehr negativ
--------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--------------

### Wie schätzen Sie die Einstellung von Restauratoren und Handwerkern im Bereich Denkmalpflege gegenüber neuen Technologien ein?

sehr positiv	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	sehr negativ
--------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	--------------

### Wie viel haben Sie über Nanotechnologie schon gehört oder gelesen?

sehr viel	eher viel	eher wenig	sehr wenig	gar nichts
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie schätzen Sie alles in allem den Nutzen bzw. die Risiken der Nanotechnologie ein?

	sehr groß	eher groß	mittelmäßig	eher klein	sehr klein
Nutzen	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Risiken	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Welche Anwendungsgebiete von Nanotechnologien sind Ihnen bekannt?

[Mehrfachnennungen möglich]

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Medikamente      | <input type="radio"/> Kosmetika                          |
| <input type="radio"/> Textilien        | <input type="radio"/> Elektronik                         |
| <input type="radio"/> Lebensmittel     | <input type="radio"/> Ich kenne keine Anwendungsbereiche |
| <input type="radio"/> Lacke und Farben | <input type="radio"/> Andere Produkte und zwar:          |

### Wie sehr stimmen Sie folgenden Aussagen zu? Nanotechnologie ...

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
... fördert die wirtschaftliche Entwicklung.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... -Produkte würde ich nutzen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... ist ein Marketing-Gag, um Produkte besser zu verkaufen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... hilft, die Umwelt zu schützen und Umweltschäden zu beheben.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... schafft mehr Arbeitsplätze als sie vernichtet.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... ist mit gesundheitlichen Risiken verbunden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... soll durch öffentliche Finanzmittel gefördert werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... bereitet mir ein ungutes Gefühl.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... interessiert mich stark.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... ist wichtig zur Lösung gegenwärtiger Probleme.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... nutzt vor allem den großen Unternehmen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
... muss durch Gesetze reguliert werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie sehr stimmen Sie den folgenden Aussagen zum Thema Denkmalpflege zu?

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
Es werden nur Gebäude unter Denkmalschutz gestellt, deren Erhalt im kulturellen Interesse ist.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Für den Erhalt von historischen Gebäuden wird in Deutschland genug getan.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Denkmalgeschützte Bauten stiften kulturelle Identität.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Neue Technologien bringen die Denkmalpflege voran.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Denkmalschutz ist eine wichtige gesellschaftliche Aufgabe.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Herausragende moderne Gebäude verdienen es unter (Denkmal-)schutz gestellt zu werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Wie stark stimmen Sie den folgenden Aussagen zum Thema Denkmalpflege zu?

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
Denkmalschutz steht häufig im Konflikt mit den Interessen von privaten Immobilienbesitzern.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Historischen Gebäuden muss man ihr Alter ansehen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schäden an Gebäuden die unter Denkmalschutz stehen, sollen behoben werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Auflagen des Denkmalschutzes sind zu streng.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Denkmalschutz soll durch öffentliche Finanzmittel gefördert werden.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bürgerbeteiligung im Bereich Denkmalschutz ist wichtig.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Der Erhalt von historischen Gebäuden fördert den Tourismus.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
In der Denkmalpflege sollte man sich auf traditionelle Methoden verlassen.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

### Welche Rolle wird Nanotechnologie Ihrer Meinung nach in der Zukunft im Bereich Denkmalschutz und Denkmalpflege spielen?

Falls Sie bereits Mittel Festigungs- oder Schutzmittel zur Steinkonservierung verwendet haben, bitten wir Sie auch die folgenden Fragen zu beantworten.

Ansonsten fahren Sie bitte auf der letzten Seite fort.

**Wie zufrieden sind Sie alles in allem mit den Ihnen derzeit zur Verfügung stehenden ...?**

	sehr zufrieden	eher zufrieden	teils/teils	eher unzufrieden	sehr unzufrieden
Festigungsmitteln	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schutzmitteln	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Wie stark werden sich die Produkte zur Festigung und zum Schutz ihrer Meinung nach in Bezug auf die Anwendung und die Wirkung verbessern?**

	sehr stark	stark	etwas	gar nicht
Anwendung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Wirkung	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Wie haben sich die Preise der einzelnen Produktreihen in den letzten Jahren verändert?**

	stark gestiegen	etwas gestiegen	gleich geblieben	etwas gesunken	stark gesunken
Festigungsmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schutzmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Und wie glauben Sie werden sich die Preise der einzelnen Produktreihen in den kommenden Jahren entwickeln? Die Preise werden ...**

	stark steigen	etwas steigen	gleich bleiben	etwas sinken	stark sinken
Festigungsmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Schutzmittel	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**Inwieweit stimmen Sie folgenden Aussagen zur Steinbehandlung zu?**

	voll und ganz	eher	teils/teils	eher nicht	überhaupt nicht
Der Einsatz chemischer Mittel zur Festigung der Steine ist unerlässlich.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Der Einsatz chemischer Mittel zum Schutz der Steine ist unerlässlich.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Industrie bietet eine breite Palette von Produkten für die Steinbehandlung.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Die Industrie bietet eine Palette von ausgereiften Produkten für die Steinbehandlung.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Haben Sie im Bereich der Steinkonservierung bereits Produkte auf Nanobasis verwendet?

☐ Ja, und zwar:

☐ Nein

☐ Weiß ich nicht

Wie würden Sie die Wirksamkeit von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich effektiver

deutlich ineffektiver

☐
☐
☐
☐
☐

Wie würden Sie die Risiken von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten im Hinblick auf die Sicherheit für Ihre Mitarbeiter bei der Anwendung einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich risikoärmer

deutlich risikoreicher

☐
☐
☐
☐
☐

Wie würden Sie die Risiken von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten im Hinblick auf die Beschädigung des Bauwerks einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich risikoärmer

deutlich risikoreicher

☐
☐
☐
☐
☐

Wie würden Sie die Kosten von Nanoprodukten gegenüber konventionellen Produkten einschätzen? Nanoprodukte sind ...

deutlich kostengünstiger

deutlich teurer

☐
☐
☐
☐
☐

Wie schätzen Sie den Arbeitsaufwand beim Auftragen von Nanoprodukten im Vergleich zu konventionellen Produkten ein? Nanoprodukte sind...

deutlich schwerer  
aufzutragen

deutlich einfacher  
aufzutragen

☐
☐
☐
☐
☐

Können Sie sich vorstellen die 'Nano-Cathedral' Produkte zu verwenden?

☐ Ja

☐ Vielleicht

☐ Nein

Warum können Sie sich vorstellen / Warum können Sie sich nicht vorstellen die 'Nano-Cathedral' Produkte zu verwenden?

**Angaben zur Person****Welche höchste berufliche Qualifikation haben Sie?****In welchem Beruf arbeiten Sie momentan?****Wie viele Jahre Berufserfahrung haben Sie?**

- ☐ weniger als ein Jahr      ☐ elf bis 20 Jahre
- ☐ ein bis drei Jahre      ☐ mehr als 20 Jahre
- ☐ vier bis zehn Jahre

**Welches Geschlecht haben Sie?**

- ☐ Männlich      ☐ Weiblich

**In welchem Jahr sind Sie geboren?**

--	--	--	--

Falls Sie weitere Fragen oder Bemerkungen zum Thema oder zum Workshop haben, notieren Sie diese bitte hier:

**Herzlichen Dank für Ihre Teilnahme!**